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1. Introduction

In the last decades, the capacity of a freeway section is most taken as a constant value. For example, in the current published Highway Capacity Manual (HCM2000) [1], it was still defined as the maximum hourly rate at which vehicles reasonably can be expected to traverse a point or a uniform section of a lane or roadway during a given time period under prevailing roadway, traffic, and control conditions. However, more and more investigations [2–12] showed that the traditional definition of capacity cannot explain the traffic phenomena such as traffic breakdown occurring at various flow rate levels. In theory, if the demand exceeds capacity, breakdown will occur. However, more and more researches show that traffic flow presents complexity and that the breakdown has probability nature. In order to describe this phenomenon, different methods are employed. For example, Kerner [3] developed three phase traffic theory to explore traffic breakdown features and highway capacity stochastic nature. Freund et al. [13, 14] applied statistical physic theory and introduced the phase transition concept to describe the breakdown phenomenon.

In this paper, traffic breakdown was studied in a new view and it is considered as the result of traffic operational degradation. Analogous to electronic product degradation, if the traffic speed drops to some threshold value, it is called traffic operational degradation. Based on this concept, breakdown probability and capacity distribution model are developed.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present a brief literature review. In Section 3, the traffic degradation model is developed. In Section 4, the breakdown and capacity distribution models are derived. In Section 5, we give the empirical analysis results. Section 6 presents the summary.

2. Literature Review

In order to understand the freeway capacity, researchers have made great efforts to model and describe stochastic nature of freeway capacity and breakdown phenomenon. Polus et al. [2, 3] studied the stochastic nature of freeway capacity and used Gamma distribution to describe the capacity. But the definition of capacity is still based on the maximum...
flow rates. Zhang and David [8] examined the process of breakdown in detail and noted that the current HCM freeway capacity definition does not accurately reflect the relationship between breakdown and flow rate. They also suggested that freeway capacity should be described by incorporating a probability of breakdown component in the definition. Lorenz and Elefteriadou [9] introduced a new understanding of freeway capacity as the traffic flow below which traffic still flows and above which the traffic breaks down. In their study, a traffic breakdown is regarded as a failure event and the Product Limit Method for lifetime data analysis was used to estimate the capacity. Brillon et al. [10] studied the breakdown flow rates. It was found that breakdown may occur at flows lower than the capacity and that breakdown is a probabilistic event. A linear model for describing the process of breakdown at ramp-freeway junction was developed. Ozbay and Ozguven [11] examined the breakdown flow, prebreakdown flow, and maximum discharge flow to determine which one would be more appropriate for use in defining the "capacity" of a freeway. It was recommended that the breakdown flow be used. But they also pointed out that the numerical value of each of these three parameters varies and their ranges are relatively large, in the order of several hundred veh/h/lane. Biham et al. [12] provided the parameter estimating method for capacity probability distribution. It was concluded that including random component in the model results in better representation of observed data and thus improves understanding of real-life situation. Freund and Pöschel [13] analyzed traffic volume time series for dense traffic. They showed that traffic flow will decay over time and unstable traffic flow where a breakdown can be expected with certainty. Nagel and Paczuski [15] studied a single-lane traffic model using simulation and it is observed numerically that traffic breakdown occurs at or near the point of maximum throughput and that the flow behavior in this region is complex.

From these efforts, it is concluded that the breakdown phenomenon should be considered in the definition of traffic capacity. Due to the fact that the breakdown flows vary greatly, the traditional definition of freeway capacity is not proper and the definition based on probability distribution should be the better one.

### 3. Traffic Degradation and Breakdown

According to traffic theory [14, 16, 17], traffic velocity for freeway will decrease with increasing of volume. Figure 1 shows a typical speed-flow diagram obtained from empirical data. From Figure 1, it is easily found that when the traffic flow exceeds some threshold, the traffic will fall into congestion (i.e., traffic breakdown occurs). In the field of lifetime analysis, if the electronic product does not work well, it is called degradation [18]. Analogously, we call the traffic breakdown phenomena as traffic operational degradation. Similarly, we use the traffic speed as the freeway traffic performance measure which will fall with increasing of traffic volume just as the electronic product degenerates with time. Then the traffic degradation model is

\[ V = D(q, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k) + \varepsilon_q, \]

where \( V \) is speed, km/h; \( q \) is flow rate, veh/h/lane; \( D(q, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k) \) is the function of \( q \); \( \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k \) are parameters; and \( \varepsilon_q \) is the random error. Due to traffic fluctuation (such as a random increase of traffic and unexpected vehicle deceleration), \( D(q, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k) \) is also taken as random variable (Figure 2 presents the observed degradation of traffic operation in peak time for one week; it shows randomness of \( D(q, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k) \)). The randomness is due to two kinds of traffic fluctuation. One is the different behavioral patterns of the individual drivers, such as an abrupt deceleration which leads to a local decrease in speed and overreaction while slowing down. The second kind of fluctuation is hysteresis effects [12, 13]. The evidence is that the breakdown occurs at different flow rates [10]. \( \varepsilon_q \) is random error (which can be taken as observation error due to the time interval and the different behavior of the drivers. For example, when the traffic is free-flow, \( \varepsilon_q \) represents the difference of driver's speed choice), and it is assumed that \( \varepsilon_q \) follows normal distribution, \( \varepsilon_q \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \). Furthermore, \( dV/dq = D'(q, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k) \) is called degradation rate. It is supposed that some of the parameters among \( \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k \) are deterministic and others are random. The deterministic parameters reflect the nonstochastic characteristic of the traffic degradation and the random parameters represent the complexity of traffic degradation (such as nonlinearities between input of volume and output of velocity). It is also supposed that the error term \( \varepsilon_q \) and the parameters \( \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k \) are independent.

In model (1), the degradation function \( D(q, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k) \) and the distributions of \( \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k \) are the keys to determine the traffic degradation model. In Highway Capacity Manual [1], \( D(q, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k) \) has two segments; one part is horizontal and the other part is smooth curve (see Figure 3, Figure 1 also presents this characteristic). From both Figures 1 and 3, it is concluded that when the traffic demand exceeds some threshold, the traffic operational performance begins to degrade (velocity decreases quickly) with increasing of traffic.
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Figure 2: Observed operational degradation of traffic performance.

Figure 3: Speed-flow curves presented in Highway Capacity Manual.

The main characteristic of traffic breakdown is associated with an abrupt decrease in traffic flow speed [19, 20]. Therefore, traffic breakdown also is called speed breakdown and can be described by drop of speed [19, 20]. Let \( v_0 \) be denoted as the speed threshold; that is, if the traffic speed is observed lower than \( v_0 \), it indicates that traffic breakdown has occurred.

Using \( \{ V < v_0 \} \) to denote breakdown event, which can be rewritten as \( \{ D(q, \beta_1, \beta_2) + \epsilon_q \leq v_0 \} \). And the breakdown probability is

\[
P(V < v_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{v_0} P \left\{ D(q, \beta_1, \beta_2) + \epsilon_q \leq v_0 \right\} d\epsilon_q
\]

(3)

where \( P_b \) is the breakdown probability. \( \beta_1, v_0 \), and \( q_d \) are parameters as above defined. Due to the fact that the flow \( q \) reflects the effect of traffic demand on the traffic breakdown and degradation rate \( \beta_2 \) reflects the effect of traffic fluctuation, model (4) indicates that traffic flow \( q \) and degradation rate \( \beta_2 \) are the main influence factors of traffic breakdown probability for a given freeway section.

For different distribution choice of \( \beta_2 \), distinct model can be derived. For example, if it is supposed that \( \beta_2 \) has an inverse Weibull distribution:

\[
F_{\beta_2}(b) = 1 - \exp \left\{ -\left( \frac{1}{\eta b} \right)^\alpha \right\},
\]

(5)

where \( \alpha \) is the shape parameter and \( \eta \) is the scale parameter. The breakdown probability derived from (4) and (5) is Weibull distribution:

\[
P_b = 1 - \exp \left\{ -\left[ \frac{(q - q_d)}{\eta (\beta_1 - v_0)} \right]^\alpha \right\},
\]

(6)

In another view, the ultimate cause of traffic breakdown is that traffic demand exceeds facility capacity. It means that the event \( \{ V < v_0 \} \) is equivalent to the event that traffic flow exceeds the capacity \( C \); that is, \( \{ C < q \} \). So, according to the definition of capacity [7, 8], model (6) can be reexpressed as

\[
P(C < q) = 1 - \exp \left\{ -\left( \frac{q - q_d}{\eta (\beta_1 - v_0)} \right)^\alpha \right\},
\]

(7)

\[
F_C(q) = 1 - \exp \left\{ -\left[ \frac{(q - q_d)}{\eta (\beta_1 - v_0)} \right]^\alpha \right\},
\]

(8)
where \( P(C < q) \) is the probability of capacity less than traffic flow \( q \); \( F_C(q) \) is the distribution of capacity. It is noted that model (8) is Weibull distribution function with shape parameter \( \alpha \) and scale parameter \( \eta (v_0 - \beta_1) \).

One can also suppose that \( \beta_2 \) follows the log-normal distribution:

\[
P(\beta_2 < b) = \Phi \left( \frac{\ln b - \mu}{\sigma} \right),
\]

where \( \Phi(\cdot) \) is the standard normal distribution function and \( \mu \) and \( \sigma^2 \) are parameters. From (8) and (9), it can be derived that the capacity is also log-normal distributed:

\[
F_C(q) = \Phi \left( \frac{\ln(q - q_d) - \ln(v_0 - \beta_1) - u}{\sigma} \right) \quad q > q_d.
\]

Similarly, different distribution hypothesis can be made on the degradation rate \( \beta_2 \) and other models can be derived. In real world, the distribution of \( \beta_2 \) is unknown and it can be estimated using Bootstrap method [21].

### 5. Empirical Analysis

The field data were collected on the 3rd ring in Beijing City, China, by using microwave detectors. The posted speed limit on the 3rd ring is 80 km/h. Traffic breakdowns are typical during morning and afternoon peak periods. One-month data are used in this paper. Based on the speed-flow curve, \( q_d = 900 \) vehicles per hour per lane and speed threshold value \( v_0 = 40 \) km/h are determined (see Figure 1).

Let \( (q_i, v_i) \) \( (i = 1, \ldots, n) \) denote the observed value of flow rate and speed. Due to the fact that the degradation is mainly concerned about the free flow, only the upper branch data are used. So, the data were chosen by \( v_i > v_0 \). \( \beta_2 \) is estimated using the Bootstrap method [21] as follows.

**Step 1.** Draw a sample from \( 1, \ldots, n \) with replacement and denoted by \( i^*_1, \ldots, i^*_n \).

**Step 2.** Let \( j = 1, \ldots, n, q^*_j = q_{i^*_j} \), and \( v^*_j = v_{i^*_j} \).

**Step 3.** Use \( (q^*_1, v^*_1), \ldots, (q^*_n, v^*_n) \) to fit (2) and estimate the \( \beta^*_1, \beta^*_2 \).

**Step 4.** Repeat Steps 1-3, \( r = 1, \ldots, R \) (\( R \geq 500 \)).

**Step 5.** Use the \( \beta^*_2 \) \( (r = 1, \ldots, R) \) to estimate the distribution of \( \beta_2 \).

Table 1 lists the results of hypothesis testing about the distribution of \( \beta_2 \). It is supposed that \( \beta_2 \) follows inverse Weibull distribution and log-normal and normal distribution, respectively. The results confirmed that \( \beta_2 \) follows inverse Weibull distribution and that the capacity is Weibull distributed:

\[
F_C(q) = 1 - \exp \left\{ - \left[ \frac{(q - 900)}{1629} \right]^{21.57} \right\}.
\]

### 6. Conclusion

In this paper, the traffic degradation concept was introduced and the degradation model was developed to describe the traffic breakdown process. In the model, the degradation rate is defined to explain the traffic breakdown occurring at various flow rate levels. Based on the degradation model, traffic breakdown probability and traffic capacity distribution were derived theoretically. The advantage of this approach is that only traffic flow rate and speed data are needed. It overcomes the difficulty of estimating traffic capacity without the observed capacity data. In this paper, it also validated that freeway capacity follows Weibull distribution.

However, there are still some problems to be solved in the future.

1. Effect of geometric characteristics and traffic condition on the breakdown probability should be studied. Only by this, the research result may be applied generally.

2. An acceptable breakdown probability value should be determined. This value reflects the balance between risk of breakdown and higher traffic volume. So, it is determined by the operating agency and questionnaires should be conducted.

3. The definition of breakdown flow rate should be studied. Although, in this paper, the breakdown flow rate is defined as the flow rate observed immediately prior to breakdown, there are still some questions that need to be answered, such as the traffic flow that should be obtained for 5-minute, 10-minute, or 15-minute time interval prior to breakdown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fitted distribution</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Goodness-of-fit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scale parameter</td>
<td>Shape parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse Weibull distribution</td>
<td>40.74</td>
<td>21.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log-normal distribution function</td>
<td>-3.68</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>39.86</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hypothesis testing is that the reciprocal value distribution is Weibull function; the hypothesis is that log value distribution is normal distribution; the hypothesis is that the reciprocal is normal distribution.*
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