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Although a fragile climate region, the Taihu Lake Basin is among the most developed regions in China and is subjected to intense anthropogenic interference. In this basin, water resources encounter major challenges (e.g., floods, typhoons, and water pollution). In this study, the impacts of climate changes and human activities on hydrological processes were estimated to aid water resource management in developed regions in China. The Mann-Kendall test and cumulative anomaly curve were applied to detect the turning points in the runoff series. The year of 1982 divides the study period (1956–2008) into a baseline period (1956–1981) and a modified period (1982–2008). The double mass curve method and the hydrological sensitivity method based on the Budyko framework were applied to quantitatively attribute the runoff variation to climate changes and human activities. The results demonstrated that human activities are the dominant driving force of runoff variations in the basin, with a contribution of 83–89%; climate changes contributed to 11–17% of the variations. Moreover, the subregions of the basin indicated that humans severely disturbed the runoff variation, with contributions as high as 95–97%.

1. Introduction

Extreme hydrological events, such as floods and droughts, occur frequently [1, 2]. Most hydrological disturbances in basins are due to climatic and anthropogenic factors. Regional climate changes mainly influence precipitation and evapotranspiration, leading to an increase or decrease in regional water resources. Under climate change, complex hydrological uncertainties enhance the risk of disaster [3]. With rapid social and economic development, the intensity of human activities is increasing in most regions and is altering hydrological processes [4–7]. The dual impacts of climate changes and human activities alter the hydrological cycle and introduce water issues. There are many studies that attempt to separate the causes and effects of climate changes and human effects [8, 9]. In some basins, climate changes play a key role in hydrological variations [10], while human activities dominate in the Red River Basin [11] and the Reno River catchment [12]. Actually, the effects of climate changes and human activities interact, but most research simply assumes that the factors are independent.

As a typical region that experiences climatic impacts and intense human interference, the Taihu Lake Basin was chosen as a study case. Climate factors, such as El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the East Asian monsoon, lead to a fluctuating climate in the basin [13]. Considering the strong urbanization, human activities also increase the flood risk [14]. Because of the rapid social and economic development, the demand on water resources is increasing. Therefore, attributing hydrological modifications to these driving forces will have practical significance for the sustainable management of water resources. In this study, the hydrological changes based on trends and turning points were revealed in the Taihu Lake Basin. The impacts of climate changes and human activities on hydrological processes were quantified.

2. Study Area and Materials

The Taihu Lake Basin (Figure 1), with an area of 36 895 km², is located in the core region of the Yangtze River Delta. The basin has a typical subtropical monsoon climate. The water
Figure 1: Taihu Lake Basin.

The annual mean precipitation of the Taihu Lake Basin is approximately 1200 mm. However, the rainfall is concentrated in the flood season (from May to September). The annual mean runoff is nearly 430 mm. In this study, the Taihu Lake Basin was divided into four zones (the administrative units of the Taihu Basin Authority, Ministry of Water Resources, China): the Wuyang Zone (WYZ), the Huxi and Taihu Zone (HTZ), the Hangjiahu Zone (HJHZ), and the Huangpujiang Zone (HPJZ). The hydrological characteristics of the four zones are listed in Table 1. The daily precipitation, temperature, humidity, wind speed, and sunshine duration of 11 meteorological stations (see Figure 1) in the basin from 1956 to 2008 were collected from the China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System (http://data.cma.cn/). The daily potential evapotranspiration was estimated by the Penman-Monteith method [15] based on the meteorological data mentioned above. The annual precipitation and potential evapotranspiration were calculated and then interpolated by the inverse distance weighted method in each zone and the entire basin. The annual runoff of the basin and the four zones during 1956–2008 was provided by the Taihu Basin Authority, Ministry of Water Resources, China, respectively.

3. Methods

3.1. Trend and Turning Point Analysis. The cumulative departure curve was used to analyze the trends in the hydrological time series and to detect the preliminary turning points [13]. Then, the Mann-Kendall method [16–18] was employed to verify the turning points. The turning point was applied to divide the data series into two periods: the baseline period and the modified period. In the baseline period, the status or condition of the basin is assumed natural, without significant human interference (i.e., the reference period for the modified period). In contrast, human activities in the modified period are very apparent.

The departures of the cumulative departure curve are measured as follows [13]:

\[ D_{ei} = x_i - \bar{x}, \]

where \( x \) is the time series. The extreme values of the cumulative departure curves are probably the turning points.

The MK method [16, 17] has been widely used to detect the trends and turning points of time series [18]. For an independent time series \((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\), \( S_k \) is established:

\[ S_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{ij}, \]

where \( k = 2, \ldots, n \). In the equation, two observations are \( i \) and \( j \) (\( j = 1, 2, \ldots, i \)). If \( x_i > x_j \), then \( r_i = 1 \); if \( x_i = x_j \), then
Table 1: Hydrological characteristics of the Taihu Lake Basin and its zones during 1956–2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Annual runoff (mm)</th>
<th>Annual precipitation (mm)</th>
<th>Annual potential evapotranspiration (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hangjiahu Zone</td>
<td>451.7</td>
<td>1238.8</td>
<td>868.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huangpujiang Zone</td>
<td>395.2</td>
<td>1164.9</td>
<td>890.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wuyang Zone</td>
<td>373.0</td>
<td>1133.9</td>
<td>881.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huxi and Taihu Zone</td>
<td>463.8</td>
<td>1226.6</td>
<td>856.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taihu Basin</td>
<td>429.7</td>
<td>1199.5</td>
<td>870.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If $r_i = 0$ and if $x_i < x_j$, then $r_i = -1$. The statistical variable $UF_k$ has a standard normal distribution:

$$UF_k = \frac{S_k - \bar{S}_k}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(S_k)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $UF_k = 0$; $\text{Var}(S_k)$ and $\bar{S}_k$ are the variance and mean, respectively, of $S_k$. If the time series have the same continuous distribution, then

$$\bar{S}_k = \frac{n(n+1)}{4},$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\text{Var}(S_k) = \frac{n(n-1)(2n-5)}{18}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

If $|UF_k| > \alpha$ for a given significance level $\alpha$, then the statistical series exhibits an obvious trend. Then, the process was repeated by the inverse time series $(x_n, \ldots, x_2, x_1)$ with $UB_k = -UF_k (k = n, n-1, \ldots, 1)$ and $UB_1 = 0$. Finally, the intersection of the two graphs ($UF_k, UB_k$) is considered the turning point if it is within the critical lines.

3.2. Double Mass Curve Method. The runoff variation was attributed to impacts of both climate changes and human activities. The total runoff variation was calculated as follows:

$$\Delta Q^\text{tot} = \Delta Q^\text{human} + \Delta Q^\text{clim},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\Delta Q^\text{clim}$ and $\Delta Q^\text{human}$ are the runoff variation induced by climate changes and human activities, respectively. $\Delta Q^\text{tot}$ is the total runoff variation, which could also be calculated as follows:

$$\Delta Q^\text{tot} = \bar{Q}_2 - \bar{Q}_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $\bar{Q}$ is the annual mean runoff. Subscripts 1 and 2 indicate the baseline period and modified period, respectively. Then, the contributions of climate changes and human activities to runoff variations could be estimated by

$$c^\text{human} = \frac{\Delta Q^\text{human}}{\Delta Q^\text{tot}},$$

$$c^\text{clim} = \frac{\Delta Q^\text{clim}}{\Delta Q^\text{tot}},$$

where $c^\text{clim}$ and $c^\text{human}$ represent the contribution of climate changes and human activities, respectively.

The double mass curve method [19] employs linear regression analysis of hydrological time series. The relationship between the cumulative runoff and cumulative precipitation in the baseline period is analyzed by

$$\sum Q = k \sum P + b,$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $Q$ and $P$ are the runoff and precipitation, respectively. $k$ and $b$ are two parameters. Then, the regression equation is used to simulate the runoff in the modified period. Since the climate factor, precipitation, is the same for both the simulated and measured runoff, which eliminates the influence of climate changes, the difference between the mean simulated and measured runoff is the runoff variation induced by human activities:

$$\Delta Q^\text{human} = \bar{Q}'_2 - \bar{Q}_2,$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $\bar{Q}'$ is the annual mean simulated runoff. Then, the runoff variation induced by climate change is the difference between the total runoff variation and the runoff variation induced by human activities.

3.3. Hydrological Sensitivity Method Based on the Budyko Framework. The hydrological sensitivity method could be applied to estimate the impact of climate changes on hydrological processes based on the water balance [20, 21]:

$$P = E + Q + \Delta S,$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $E$ is the evapotranspiration and $\Delta S$ is the variation in the water storage in the basin, which can be neglected for 5–10 years. Then, (10) could be transformed based on the relationship between evapotranspiration and potential evapotranspiration [22]:

$$\frac{Q}{P} = 1 - \frac{E_0/P}{\left(1 + (E_0/P)^n\right)^{1/n}} = 1 - \frac{x}{(1 + x^n)^{1/n}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $E_0$ is the potential evapotranspiration and $n$ is a landscape parameter; $x$ indicates the dryness index, that is, $E_0/P$. Then, the runoff variation induced by the climate changes could be calculated as follows [23]:

$$\Delta Q^\text{clim} = \beta \Delta P + \gamma \Delta E_0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $\Delta P$ and $\Delta E_0$ are the changes in the precipitation and potential evapotranspiration, respectively; $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are
the sensitivity coefficient of runoff to precipitation and potential evapotranspiration, respectively:

\[
\beta = 1 - \frac{x^{n+1}}{(1 + x^n)^{1/n+1}},
\]

\[
\gamma = -\frac{1}{(1 + x^n)^{1/n+1}}.
\]

Similarly, for the hydrological sensitivity method, the runoff variation induced by human activities is the difference between the total runoff variation and the runoff variation induced by climate change.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Hydrological Regimes. First, precipitation and runoff data in the Taihu Lake Basin were analyzed. In Figure 2(a), the cumulative departure curve of the precipitation tended to decrease until 1979 and then increased. Therefore, 1979 could be the turning point for precipitation. Then, the Mann-Kendall test for precipitation showed a turning point in 1979 and verified the performance of the cumulative departure curve (Figure 2(b)). Similarly, the cumulative departure curve and the Mann-Kendall test of runoff both illustrated the turning point for runoff in 1982 (Figure 3).

The inconsistency in the turning points for precipitation and runoff could be attributed to both climate changes and human activities. Here, the turning point of runoff divided the time series into the baseline period (1956–1981) and the modified period (1982–2008). In fact, the open policy of China exerted at the end of 1970s accelerated social and economic development, particularly in the Yangtze River Delta. Intense human activities began in the early 1980s in the most basins of China, including the Taihu Lake Basin. Moreover, the river system changed significantly, and the number of lakes decreased after the 1980s. The land use/cover distinctly changed in the 1980s due to social and economic development [24]. Thus, the turning point of 1982 was reasonable.

4.2. Assessment of the Causes of the Runoff Variation. The runoff, precipitation, and potential evapotranspiration increased by 46.7 mm, 51.4 mm, and 106.9 mm in the modified period compared with the baseline period. In Figure 4, the curve of the cumulative precipitation and potential evapotranspiration deviated in 1982, which also verified the reliability of the division for the baseline and modified period. The relationship in the baseline period was \(\sum Q = 0.34 \times \sum P + 320.77\), which was applied to simulate the runoff in the modified period. In the double mass curve method, the results showed that human activities changed the runoff by 41.7 mm in the Taihu Lake Basin and contributed to 89% of the total runoff variation; climate changes contributed to 11% of the variation.

For the hydrological sensitivity method, the parameter \(n\) was set to 0.5 [22]; then, \(\beta\) and \(\gamma\) were computed as 0.80 and –0.46, respectively; 8.2 mm of the runoff variation was induced by climate changes. Consequently, the influences of the climate changes and human activities accounted for 18% and 82%, respectively, of the total runoff variation (Table 2). The error in the estimations by the double mass curve method and hydrological sensitivity method was 3.2 mm, with a deviation of 7%. Because of the relatively small error, the estimations could be used to verify the results. Therefore, 11~18% and 82~89% of the runoff variation were attributed to impacts of climate changes and human activities, respectively.

Previous studies reported that human activities played a slightly dominant role upstream of the Taihu Lake Basin and Xitiaoxi River Basin [13, 25]. In this study, the results showed that human activities played an absolutely dominant role in the runoff variation in the Taihu Lake Basin. Specifically, the urbanization increased the water stage, and the hydrological processes significantly responded to the change in the land use/cover in the basin [14]. The river system was disrupted by intense human activities, and many hydraulic projects were constructed. Climate factors such as ENSO played a role in the precipitation in the basin. Moreover, the instability of the climate variability increases the flooding and rainfall in the basin [24].
Table 2: Assessment of climate changes and human activities that impact the runoff variation in the Taihu Lake Basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Runoff variation induced by climate changes (mm)</th>
<th>Contribution of climate changes (%)</th>
<th>Runoff variation induced by human activities (mm)</th>
<th>Contribution of human activities (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Double mass curve method</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrological sensitivity method</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Turning points of runoff.

The precipitation in HPJZ, HJHZ, WYZ, and HTZ during the modified period increased by 7.9%, 6.4%, 5.2%, and 2.3%, respectively, compared with the baseline period. The potential evapotranspiration changed the most in HPJZ (16.8%); in the other three zones, the change was approximately 12%. The runoff variation in HPJZ, WYZ, and HJHZ was 30.1%, 27.8%, and 20.2%, respectively, but it only varied by 1.3% in HTZ (Figure 5). The relationship between the cumulative precipitation and runoff in the baseline period is shown in Table 3. In HTZ, HJHZ, HPJZ, and WYZ, human activities contributed to 76~79%, 83~84%, 84~92%, and 95~97%, respectively, of the runoff variation. The impact of climate changes in the four zones was less than 24% (Figure 6).

In the four zones, human interferences remarkably dominated the runoff variation. Human activities in WYZ were most intense, with an impact greater than 95%; the lowest impact occurred in HTZ. The relationship between runoff and precipitation was closer in HTZ than in other three regions (Table 3). The largest coefficient of the double mass curve method and the hydrological sensitivity method
Table 3: The relationship between the cumulative precipitation and runoff according to the two methods in the four zones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Equations of the double mass curve method</th>
<th>Equations of the hydrological sensitivity method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hangjiahu Zone</td>
<td>$\sum Q = 0.33 \times \sum P + 323.3$</td>
<td>$\Delta Q = 0.81 \times \Delta P - 0.47 \times \Delta E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huangpujiang Zone</td>
<td>$\sum Q = 0.30 \times \sum P + 250.2$</td>
<td>$\Delta Q = 0.79 \times \Delta P - 0.44 \times \Delta E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wuyang Zone</td>
<td>$\sum Q = 0.29 \times \sum P + 296.1$</td>
<td>$\Delta Q = 0.78 \times \Delta P - 0.43 \times \Delta E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huxi and Taihu Zone</td>
<td>$\sum Q = 0.37 \times \sum P + 358.6$</td>
<td>$\Delta Q = 0.88 \times \Delta P - 0.23 \times \Delta E$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human activities (%)</th>
<th>Climate change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>83–84</td>
<td>16–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84–92</td>
<td>8–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76–79</td>
<td>21–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95–97</td>
<td>3–5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Quantitative assessments of the attribution to runoff variations in the four zones.

5. Conclusion

The Taihu Lake Basin suffers disturbances from climate changes and human activities. The detection and identification of runoff variations are significant for countering water resources issues in the basin. The study aims to quantify the driving forces of runoff variations in the Taihu Lake Basin. The conclusions can be summarized as follows:

1. Based on the cumulative departure method and Mann-Kendall method, the runoff in the Taihu Lake Basin abruptly changed in 1982. Thus, 1982 was considered the turning point between the baseline period (1956–1981) and the modified period (1982–2008).

2. The impacts of climate changes and human activities were estimated by the double mass curve method and the hydrological sensitivity method; these factors contributed to 11–18% and 82–89%, respectively, of the runoff variation in the basin. Human activities significantly dominated in the four zones, among which WYZ had the most intense human activities (95–97% contribution rate). The intense urbanization and remarkable land use/cover change in the Taihu Lake Basin could be the main human activities that contribute to hydrological alterations.
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