The global bifurcations and chaotic dynamics of a thin-walled compressor blade for the resonant case of 2:1 internal resonance and primary resonance are investigated. With the aid of the normal theory, the desired form associated with a double zero and a pair of pure imaginary eigenvalues for the global perturbation method is obtained. Based on the simpler form, the method developed by Kovacic and Wiggins is used to find the existence of a Shilnikov-type homoclinic orbit. The results obtained here indicate that the orbit homoclinic to certain invariant sets for the resonance case which may lead to chaos in the sense of Smale horseshoes for the system. The chaotic motions of the rotating compressor blade are also found by using numerical simulation.

1. Introduction

Compressor blades are widely used in many fields of aerospace, aeronautic engineering, and mechanical industry due to their excellent mechanical properties. The problem of nonlinear dynamics of the rotating blades had attracted lots of research interest during the past decade. Various strategies and approaches have been proposed for nonlinear dynamics of rotating blades (see, e.g., [1−15]). However, theoretical analysis of global dynamics of the rotating blades has not been concerned in the current available literature. Several researchers have examined the global behaviors of plates, beams, and belt (see, e.g., [16−22]), but the results cannot be directly extended to the case of rotating blades.

Yang and Tsao investigated the vibration and stability of a pretwisted blade under nonconstant rotating speed in [1], and they also predicted the time-dependent rotating speed leads to a system with six parametric instability regions in primary and combination resonances. Surace et al. [2] dealt with the coupled bending-bending-torsion vibration of rotating pretwisted blades. Şakar and Sabuncu [3] presented the static stability and the dynamic stability of an aerofoil cross section rotating blade subjected to an axial periodic force and took into account the effects of coupling due to the center of flexure distance from the centroid, rotational speed, disk radius, and stagger angle. Al-Bedoor and Al-Qaisia [4] used a reduced-order nonlinear dynamic model to research the steady-state response of the rotating blade under the main shaft torsional vibration. Tang and Dowell [5] analyzed the nonlinear response of a nonrotating flexible rotor blade subjected to periodic gust excitations theoretically and experimentally. They reported that there exists a periodic or possibly chaotic behavior in the blade. Choi and Chou [6] studied the dynamic response of turbomachinery blades with general end restraints by applying the modified differential quadrature method. A Monte Carlo approach was employed to explore a supercritical Hopf bifurcation and random bifurcation of a two-dimensional nonlinear airfoil in turbulent flow by Poirel and Price [7]. Lacarbonara et al. [8, 9] established the governing equations of the blades under the centrifugal forces and discussed linear modal properties and the nonlinear modes of vibration away from internal resonances, respectively. Yao et al. [10] performed a nonlinear dynamic analysis of the rotating blade with varying rotating speed under high-temperature supersonic gas flow; furthermore, they [11] explored the contributions of nonlinearity, damping, and rotating speed to the steady-state nonlinear responses of the rotating blade, and they also investigated the effects of the rotating speed on nonlinear oscillations of the blade. Wang and Zhang discussed the stability...
of a spinning blade having periodically time varying coefficients for both linear model and geometric nonlinear model and obtained the stability boundary of linear model and stability of steady-state solutions of nonlinear model in [12].

In many cases, blades are usually modeled as a pretwisted, presetting, thin-walled rotating cantilever beam because the shape of the blade is very complex. Many researchers carried out studies on the dynamic behavior of the beam of this kind and obtained a lot of valuable results (see, e.g., [13–15]). Several methods have been developed to research the global bifurcation behaviors and chaotic dynamics in nonlinear systems that possess homoclinic or heteroclinic orbits. There are three methods: Melnikov method, global perturbation method, and energy-phase method. Melnikov gave the condition under which a homoclinic orbit in the unperturbed system would break under perturbation and at last lead to chaos in the system. Based on Melnikov method, Wiggins studied the global behaviors of the three basic systems [23]. Then, Kovacic and Wiggins [24] developed the global perturbation method to present Shilnikov-type homoclinic orbit for resonant system. The energy-phase method proposed by Haller and Wiggins [25,26] detected the homoclinic orbit for resonant system. The energy-phase method to present Shilnikov-type homoclinic orbit exists in these cases. Finally, numerical simulations are given to confirm the result in Section 5 and the work ends in Section 6 with a short summary.

2. Formulation of the Problem

A thin-walled compressor blade of gas turbine engines with varying speed under high-temperature supersonic gas flow is considered in [11]. It is modeled as a pretwisted, presetting, thin-walled rotating cantilever beam, considering the geometric nonlinearity, centrifugal force, the aerodynamic load, and the perturbed angular speed.

The pretwisted flexible cantilever blade, with length $L$ mounted on a rigid hub with radius $R_0$, is considered [11]. It rotates at a varying rotating speed $\Omega(t)$ around its polar axis where $\Omega(t) = \Omega_0 + f \cos \Omega_t t$, where $\Omega_0$ is the rotating speed at the steady-state and $f \cos \Omega_t t$ is a periodic perturbation. It is also allowed to vibrate flexurally in the plane making an angle $\gamma$, as shown in Figure 1(a). The rotating blade is treated as a pretwisted, presetting, thin-walled rotating cantilever beam. The length and width of the cross section of the beam in the $x$ and $y$ directions are $a$ and $b$, respectively, and the thickness of the thin-walled beam is $h$. For the purpose of describing the motion of the rotating blade, different coordinate systems are needed. The origin of the rotating coordinate system $(x, y, z)$ is located at the blade root, $x^p$ and $y^p$ are the principal axes of an arbitrary beam cross section in the local coordinates $(x^p, y^p, z^p)$ (Figure 1(b)), and the transformations between two coordinate systems are shown as $x = x^p \cos(y + \beta(z)) - y^p \sin(y + \beta(z)) + y^p \cos(y + \beta(z)), z = z^p$. $\beta_0$ is denoted as the pretwist at the beam tip; then, $\beta(z) = \beta_0 z/L$ is the pretwist angle of a current beam cross section.

The local coordinate system $(s, t, n)$ is defined on the cross section of the beam to describe the geometric configuration and the cross section, where $s$ and $n$ are the circumferential and thickness coordinate variables in Figure 1(c); the notion $(X, Y, Z)$ represents the points on the middle surface; it is different from the notion $(x, y, z)$; the relationship is $X = x + n(dy/ds)$ and $Y = y - n(dx/ds)$. Assume that $(u, v, w)$ and $(u_0, v_0, w_0)$ represent the displacements of an arbitrary point and a point in the middle surface of the rotating blades on the $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions, respectively, $\theta_0$ and $\theta_s$ represent the rotations about the $x$- and $y$-axis, respectively.

Based on the isotropic constitutive law, the nonlinear partial differential governing equations of motion for the pretwist, presetting, thin-walled rotating cantilever beam were derived by using Hamilton’s principle in [11]. Then, Galerkin procedure was applied to obtain the dimensionless governing differential equations of nonlinear vibration for the rotating blade by Yao et al. as follows:

\begin{align}
\ddot{p}(t) + \beta_{12}\dot{p}(t) + \beta_{13}\dot{q}(t) + \omega_1^2 p(t) + \beta_{11} q(t) \\
- 2\beta_{14} p(t) \Omega_0 f \cos \Omega_1 t - \beta_{14} p(t) f^2 \cos^2 \Omega_1 t \\
+ \beta_5 p(t) q(t) + \beta_5 p(t) \omega_2^2 q(t) + \beta_5 p(t) + \beta_{21} p(t) \\
+ 2\beta_{24} q(t) \Omega_0 f \cos \Omega_1 t - \beta_{24} q(t) f^2 \cos^2 \Omega_1 t \\
+ \beta_5 p^2(t) q(t) + \beta_5 q^2(t) = 0,
\end{align}

where $p(t)$ and $q(t)$ are the amplitudes of normal modes, $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are normal frequencies, and $\beta_{12}, \beta_{13}, \beta_{24},$ and $\beta_{25}$ are damping parameters. $\beta_5$ plays the role of the nonlinearity, $f$ is the amplitude of excitation, and all the expressions of the coefficients can be found in [11].

We study the case of 2:1 internal resonance and primary resonance; the resonant relations are represented as $\omega_1^2 = \Omega_1^2 + \epsilon \sigma_1$ and $\omega_2^2 = (1/4) \Omega_1^2 + \epsilon \sigma_1, \Omega_1 = 1$, where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ and $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ are two detuning parameters.

Using the method of multiple scales, the averaged equations were obtained as follows [11]:

\begin{align}
x_1 &= -\mu_1 x_1 + \left( -\frac{\sigma_1}{2 \Omega_1} + \frac{f^2}{8 \Omega_1} \beta_{14} \right) x_2 \\
- 3\frac{\beta_5}{8 \Omega_1} x_2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2) + \frac{\beta_5}{4 \Omega_1} x_2 (x_3^2 + x_4^2) \\
- \frac{1}{2} \beta_{16} f,
\end{align}
\[
\begin{align*}
x_2 &= \left( \frac{\sigma_1}{2\Omega_1} - \frac{3f^2}{8\Omega_1^3} + \beta_{14} \right) x_1 - \mu_1 x_2 + \frac{3\beta_5}{8\Omega_1} x_1 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\beta_5}{4\Omega_1} x_1 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right), \\
x_3 &= -\mu_2 x_3 + \left( -\frac{\sigma_2}{\Omega_1} - \frac{\Omega_0 f}{\Omega_1} \beta_{24} + \frac{f^2}{2\Omega_1^3} \beta_{24} \right) x_4 \\
&\quad - \frac{\beta_5}{2\Omega_1} x_4 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) + \frac{3\beta_5}{4\Omega_1} x_4 \left( x_3^2 + x_4^2 \right), \\
x_4 &= -\mu_2 x_4 + \left( \frac{\sigma_2}{\Omega_1} - \frac{\Omega_0 f}{\Omega_1} \beta_{24} - \frac{f^2}{2\Omega_1^3} \beta_{24} \right) x_3 \\
&\quad + \frac{\beta_5}{2\Omega_1} x_3 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) + \frac{3\beta_5}{4\Omega_1} x_3 \left( x_3^2 + x_4^2 \right).
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (2) have a zero solution \((x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (0, 0, 0, 0)\). Without the perturbation parameter \(\beta_{16}\), the Jacobian matrix at the origin is

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-\mu_1 & -\frac{\sigma_1}{2\Omega_1} + \frac{f^2}{8\Omega_1^3} \beta_{14} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\sigma_1}{2\Omega_1} - \frac{3f^2}{8\Omega_1^3} + \beta_{14} & -\mu_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\mu_2 & -\frac{\sigma_2}{\Omega_1} - \frac{\Omega_0 f}{\Omega_1} \beta_{24} + \frac{f^2}{2\Omega_1^3} \beta_{24} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\sigma_2}{\Omega_1} - \frac{\Omega_0 f}{\Omega_1} \beta_{24} - \frac{f^2}{2\Omega_1^3} \beta_{24} & -\mu_2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
The characteristic equation corresponding to the zero solution is

\[ P(\lambda) = \left( \lambda^2 + 2\mu_1\lambda + \mu_1^2 \right) - \left( -\frac{\sigma_1}{2\Omega_1} + \frac{f^2}{8\Omega_1^2}\beta_{14} \right), \]

\[ \left( \frac{\sigma_2}{\Omega_1} - \frac{f\Omega_0}{\Omega_1}\beta_{24} + \frac{f^2}{2\Omega_1}\beta_{24} \right) \left[ \lambda^2 + 2\mu_2\lambda + \mu_2^2 - \left( -\frac{3}{8}f^2r_1 - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{3}{8}f^2r_1 \right) \right] \left[ \lambda^2 + 2\mu_2\lambda + \mu_2^2 \right] \]

\[ + \left( r_1^2f^2 - \sigma_1^2 \right) \right]. \]  

(4)

For convenience of the following analysis, let \( \bar{\sigma}_1 = \sigma_1/2\Omega_1, \bar{\sigma}_2 = \sigma_2/\Omega_1 - (f^2/2\Omega_1)\beta_{24}, r_1 = \beta_{14}/\Omega_1, r_2 = (\Omega_0/\Omega_1)\beta_{24}, r_3 = \beta_3/\Omega_1, \) and \( r_4 = \beta_{16}. \) When \( \mu_1 = 0, \mu_2 = 0, \) \( \bar{\sigma}_1 = r_1f, \) and \( \bar{\sigma}_2 > (3/8)r_1f^2 \) are simultaneously satisfied, the eigenvalues of system (2) without parameter \( r_4 \) have a nonsemisimple double zero and a pair of pure imaginary 

\[ \lambda_{1,2} = \pm i\omega \] 

and \( \lambda_{3,4} = 0, \) where \( \omega^2 = -\bar{\sigma}_1^2 + (1/2)r_1^2f^2 - (3/64)r_1^4f^4. \) Assume \( f = 1, r_1 = 0, r_2 = -1/2, \) and \( \sigma_2^* = \bar{\sigma}_2 - r_2f, \) considering \( \sigma_2^*, \mu_1, \mu_2, \) and \( r_4 \) as the perturbation parameters; then, (2) without the perturbation parameters becomes

\[ x_1 = -\bar{\sigma}_1x_2 - \frac{3}{8}r_3x_1 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4}r_3x_2 \left( x_3^2 + x_4^2 \right), \]

\[ x_2 = \bar{\sigma}_1x_1 + \frac{3}{8}r_3x_1 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4}r_3x_1 \left( x_3^2 + x_4^2 \right), \]

\[ x_3 = x_4 - \frac{1}{2}r_3x_4 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) + \frac{3}{4}r_3x_4 \left( x_3^2 + x_4^2 \right), \]

\[ x_4 = \frac{1}{2}r_3x_3 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) + \frac{3}{4}r_3x_3 \left( x_3^2 + x_4^2 \right). \]  

(5)

In this case, we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\bar{\sigma}_1 & 0 & 0 \\
\bar{\sigma}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(6)

Using the method in [27], a third-order normal form of (5) is obtained as

\[ \dot{y}_1 = -\bar{\sigma}_1y_2 - \frac{3}{8}r_3y_2 \left( y_1^2 + y_2^2 \right) - \frac{1}{4}r_3y_2y_3^2, \]

\[ \dot{y}_2 = \bar{\sigma}_1y_1 + \frac{3}{8}r_3y_1 \left( y_1^2 + y_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4}r_3y_1y_3^2, \]

\[ \dot{y}_3 = y_4, \]

\[ \dot{y}_4 = \frac{1}{2}r_3y_3 \left( y_1^2 + y_2^2 \right) + \frac{3}{4}r_3y_3^3. \]  

(7)

Normal form with perturbation parameters of system (2) is

\[ \dot{y}_1 = -\mu_1y_1 - \bar{\sigma}_1y_2 - \frac{3}{8}r_3y_2 \left( y_1^2 + y_2^2 \right) - \frac{1}{4}r_3y_2y_3^2 \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2}r_4, \]

\[ \dot{y}_2 = \bar{\sigma}_1y_1 - \mu_1y_2 + \frac{3}{8}r_3y_1 \left( y_1^2 + y_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4}r_3y_1y_3^2, \]

\[ \dot{y}_3 = -\mu_2y_3 + \left( 1 - \sigma_2^* \right) y_4, \]

\[ \dot{y}_4 = -\mu_2y_4 + \sigma_2^*y_3 + \frac{1}{2}r_3y_3 \left( y_1^2 + y_2^2 \right) + \frac{3}{4}r_3y_3^3. \]  

(8)

We need to transform system (8) to a desired form in order to apply the global perturbation method. Let \( \mu_i \to \epsilon \mu_i \) \( (i = 1, 2) \) and \( r_4 \to \epsilon r_4, \) and use the transformations

\[ y_1 = \sqrt{I} \cos \phi, \]

\[ y_2 = \sqrt{I} \sin \phi, \]

\[ y_3 = \left( 1 - \sigma_2^* \right) u, \]

\[ y_4 = \mu_iu + v. \]  

(9)

And substituting (9) into the normal form (8) yields

\[ \dot{u} = v = \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial v} + \epsilon g_u, \]

\[ \dot{v} = u \left( \frac{1}{2}r_3I + \sigma_2^* \left( 1 - \sigma_2^* \right) - \mu_2^2 \right) + \frac{3}{4}r_3u^3 - 2\epsilon \mu_2v \]

\[ = -\frac{\partial H_0}{\partial u} + \epsilon g_v, \]

\[ \dot{I} = -2\epsilon \mu_1I - \epsilon r_4 \sqrt{I} \cos \phi = \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial \phi} + \epsilon g_I, \]

\[ \dot{\phi} = \bar{\sigma}_1 + \frac{3}{8}r_3I + \frac{1}{4}r_3u^2 + \frac{1}{2}r_3I - \frac{\epsilon r_4 \sin \phi}{2 \sqrt{I}} = -\frac{\partial H_0}{\partial I} + \epsilon g_\phi, \]

\[ g_u = \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial v}, \]

\[ g_v = -\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial u} - 2\mu_2v, \]

\[ g_I = \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial \phi} - \mu_1I, \]

\[ g_\phi = -\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial I}, \]  

(11)

where the Hamiltonian functions \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \) are of the following form:

\[ H_0 = \frac{1}{2}v^2 - \frac{1}{4}u^2 \epsilon r_3I + \frac{u^2}{2\mu_2} - \frac{3}{16}r_3u^4 - \bar{\sigma}_1 I \]

\[ - \frac{3}{16}r_3I^2, \]

\[ H_1 = -\sqrt{I} \epsilon r_4 \sin \phi, \]

where \( \bar{\mu}_2 = \mu_2^2 - \sigma_2^* (1 - \sigma_2^*). \)
3. Dynamics of the Unperturbed System

Setting $\varepsilon = 0$ in system (10), we obtain the unperturbed system. Obviously, the variable $I$ is a constant since $I = 0$, and the first three equations are completely independent of $\phi$. Thus, we obtain two uncoupled single-degree-of-freedom nonlinear systems:

$$\dot{u} = v, \quad \dot{v} = u \left( \frac{1}{2} r_3 I - \overline{\mu}_2 \right) + \frac{3}{4} r_3 u^3. \quad (13)$$

All possible fixed points in $(u, v)$ phase space can be classified as:

$$P_1 : u = v = 0;$$

$$P_2^b : u = \pm \sqrt{\frac{4\overline{\mu}_2 - 2r_3 I}{3r_3}}, \quad v = 0, \quad \text{where } (4\overline{\mu}_2 - 2r_3 I)/3r_3 > 0; \text{ that is, } I < 2\overline{\mu}_2/r_3 \text{ as } r_3 < 0 \text{ or } r_3 > 0. \text{ When } I > 2\overline{\mu}_2/r_3, \text{ the only solution of system (13) is } P_1, \text{ and from the Jacobian matrix evaluated at the trivial solution, } P_1 \text{ is a saddle point. At } I = 2\overline{\mu}_2/r_3, \text{ the trivial solution may bifurcate into three solutions through a pitchfork bifurcation. From the Jacobian matrices evaluated at } P_1 \text{ and } P_2^b, \text{ it is known that } P_1 \text{ is a center and } P_2^b \text{ are two saddle points. The phase portrait is illustrated in Figure 2.}

From transformation (9), the variables $I$ and $r$ may actually represent the amplitude and phase of nonlinear oscillations. Therefore, assume that variable $I \geq 0$ and put $I_1 = 0$ and $I_2 = 2\overline{\mu}_2/r_3$, such that, for all $I \in [I_1, I_2]$, system (13) has two saddle points $P_2^b$ and one center $P_1$, which is connected by heteroclinic orbits $(u^h(T_1, I), v^h(T_1, I))$. In four-dimensional space $(u, v, I, \phi)$, the set defined by

$$M = \left\{ (u, v, I, \phi) \mid u = \pm \sqrt{\frac{4\overline{\mu}_2 - 2r_3 I}{3r_3}}, \quad v = 0, \quad 0 < I < \frac{2\overline{\mu}_2}{r_3}, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi \right\} \quad (15)$$

is a two-dimensional invariant manifold and it is normally hyperbolic [24].

$M$ has a three-dimensional stable manifold $W^s(M)$ and an unstable manifold $W^u(M)$. Then, from [24], the existence of the heteroclinic orbits implies that $W^s(M)$ and $W^u(M)$ intersect nontransversally along a three-dimensional heteroclinic manifold denoted by $\Gamma$, which can be written as

$$\Gamma = W^s(M) \cap W^u(M) = \left\{(u, v, I, \phi) \mid u = u^h(T_1, I), v = v^h(T_1, I), 0 < I < \frac{2\overline{\mu}_2}{r_3}, \phi \right\} \quad (16)$$

where $\phi_0$ is a constant determined by the initial conditions. The geometric structures of the stable and unstable manifolds of $M$ and $\Gamma$ are shown in Figure 3. It is seen that (13) is a Hamilton system with Hamiltonian

$$H(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} v^2 - \frac{\eta}{2} u^2 + \frac{3}{16} r_3 u^4, \quad (17)$$

where $\eta = \overline{\mu}_2 - (1/2)r_3 I$. Then, we get the expressions of the pair of heteroclinic orbits as follows:

$$u = \pm 2 \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{3r_3}} \tanh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\eta} r_3}{2} T_1 \right), \quad (18)$$

$$v(T_1) = \pm 2 \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{3r_3}} \eta \sech^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\eta} r_3}{2} T_1 \right).$$

The dynamics restricted to the invariant manifold $M$ are described by the following equations:

$$\dot{I} = 0, \quad (19a)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = \overline{\sigma}_1 + \frac{3}{8} r_3 I + \frac{1}{4} r_3 u^2, \quad (19b)$$

where $I_1 \leq I \leq I_2$. From (19b), we have periodic orbits which are circles for each $I$ when $\overline{\sigma}_1 + (3/8) r_3 I + (1/4) r_3 u^2 \neq 0$, and the corresponding circle is a circle of fixed points when $\overline{\sigma}_1 + (3/8) r_3 I + (1/4) r_3 u^2 = 0$; that is, $I = I^* = \left(-8\overline{\mu}_2 - 24\overline{\sigma}_1 \right)/5r_3$. As homoclinic orbit in $(I, \phi)$ plane is a heteroclinic connection in the four-dimensional $(u, v, I, \phi)$ space which is shown in Figure 4, $I$ is called resonance due to the vanishing frequency of rotation along the $\phi$ direction, and when $I = I^*$, $\Delta \phi$ is not defined.

Now, we consider the phase shift:

$$\Delta \phi = \phi (\infty, I^*) - \phi (-\infty, I^*). \quad (20)$$
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Figure 4: The geometry of trajectories homoclinic to the periodic orbits on \( M_0 \) and orbits heteroclinic to fixed points on the resonances.

\[ \Delta \phi \text{ defined in (23).} \]

Substituting (18) into (19b) yields

\[ \dot{\phi} = \bar{\sigma}_1 + \frac{3}{8} r_3 I_r + \frac{\eta}{3} \tanh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\eta}}{2} T_1 \right). \] (21)

Integrating (21) yields

\[ \phi(T_1) = \left( \bar{\sigma}_1 + \frac{3}{8} r_3 I_r + \frac{\eta}{3} \right) T_1 - \frac{\sqrt{2\eta}}{3} \tanh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\eta} T_1}{2} \right) + \phi_0. \] (22)

Thus, the phase shift is expressed as

\[ \Delta \phi = -2 \sqrt{2\eta_3 \eta}. \] (23)

\( \Delta \phi \) is a function of \( \eta \). It is illustrated in Figure 5.

\section*{4. Dynamics of the Perturbed System}

As the manifold \( M \) along with its stable manifold \( W^s(M) \) and unstable manifold \( W^u(M) \) is invariant under sufficiently small perturbations [24], under perturbation (when \( \varepsilon \neq 0 \)), \( M \) becomes a locally invariant two-dimensional manifold \( M_\varepsilon \) described as follows:

\[ M_\varepsilon = \left\{ (u, v, I, \phi) \mid u_\varepsilon (I, \phi) = \pm 2 \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{3 r_3}} + \varepsilon u_1 (I, \phi) + o \left( \varepsilon^2 \right), \quad v_\varepsilon (I, \phi) = 0 + \varepsilon v_1 (I, \phi) + o \left( \varepsilon^2 \right), \quad 0 < I \right\} \] (24)

The flow on \( M_\varepsilon \) is obtained by substituting \((u, v)\) into (10):

\[ \dot{I} = -2\mu_1 I - \sqrt{I} r_4 \cos \phi + o(\varepsilon) \right), \]

\[ \phi = \bar{\sigma}_1 + \frac{3}{8} r_3 I_r + \frac{1}{4} r_3 u^2 + \frac{\varepsilon r_4 \sin \phi}{2 \sqrt{I}} + o \left( \varepsilon^2 \right). \] (25)

Introduce the scale transformations

\[ I = I_r + \sqrt{\varepsilon} h, \quad \tau = \sqrt{\varepsilon} T_1. \] (26)

Substituting transformations (26) into (25) yields

\[ h' = -2\mu_1 I_r - \sqrt{I} r_4 \cos \phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \left( 2\mu_1 + \frac{r_4}{2 \sqrt{I}} \cos \phi \right) + o(\varepsilon), \]

\[ \phi' = \frac{1}{24} r_3 h + \frac{r_4 \sin \phi}{2 \sqrt{I}} + o(\varepsilon). \] (27)

When \( \varepsilon = 0 \), (27) is reduced to

\[ h' = -2\mu_1 I_r - \sqrt{I} r_4 \cos \phi, \]

\[ \phi' = \frac{1}{24} r_3 h, \] (28)

which is a Hamilton system with Hamiltonian

\[ H(h, \phi) = -2\mu_1 I_r \phi - \sqrt{I} r_4 \sin \phi + \frac{1}{12} r_3 h^2. \] (29)

The fixed points of Hamilton system (28) are given by

\[ p(0, \phi_\pm) = \left( 0, \pi - \arccos \left( \frac{2\mu_1 \sqrt{I}}{r_4} \right) \right), \]

\[ q(0, \phi_c) = \left( 0, \pi + \arccos \left( \frac{2\mu_1 \sqrt{I}}{r_4} \right) \right). \] (30)

The Jacobian matrix of (28) evaluated at these fixed points is

\[ J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{I} r_4 \sin \phi_{xc} \\ \frac{1}{24} r_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \] (31)

It is easy to find that \( p \) is a saddle point and \( q \) is a center. Therefore, there exists a homoclinic orbit connecting \( p \) to

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{The geometry of trajectories homoclinic to the periodic orbits on \( M_0 \) and orbits heteroclinic to fixed points on the resonances.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure5.png}
\caption{The phase shift \( \Delta \phi \) defined in (23).}
\end{figure}
itself. The phase portrait of system (28) is shown in Figure 6. By the analysis of Kovacic and Wiggins [24], we can obtain that, for sufficiently small $\epsilon$, $p$ remains a saddle point and $q$ becomes a hyperbolic sink $q_c$.

The phase portrait of perturbed system (27) is given in Figure 7; Hamilton function remains constant on the homoclinic orbit; that is, $\hat{H}(0,\phi_n) = \hat{H}(0,\phi_s)$; then, we have

$$-2\mu_1 I_r \phi_n - \sqrt{I_r r_4} \sin \phi_n = -2\mu_1 I_r \left[ \pi - \arccos \left( \frac{2\mu_1 \sqrt{I_r}}{r_4} \right) \right]$$

$$- \sqrt{I_r r_4} \sin \left[ \pi - \arccos \left( \frac{2\mu_1 \sqrt{I_r}}{r_4} \right) \right].$$

In order to consider the dynamics on $M_r$ in the neighborhood of $I = I_r$, an annulus $A_r$ is defined as

$$A_r = \{(u,v,h,\phi) | u = u(I_r, + \sqrt{h}, \phi), v \quad v (I_r, + \sqrt{h}, \phi), \quad |h| < h_0, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi\},$$

where $h_0$ is a constant, which is chosen sufficiently large so that the unperturbed homoclinic orbits are enclosed within the annulus. Denote $W^s(A_r)$ and $W^u(A_r)$ as the three-dimensional stable and unstable manifolds of $A_r$, which are subsets of $W^s(A_{\epsilon})$ and $W^u(A_{\epsilon})$, respectively. According to the analysis of [24], the existence of an orbit homoclinic to a saddle-focus point $q_c$ can lead to chaos. This type of homoclinic orbit is called Shilnikov-type homoclinic orbit. The point $q_c$ on $A_r$ has an orbit that comes out of $A_r$ in the four-dimensional space and may return to the annulus; it may approach $q_c$ asymptotically as $t \to \infty$ and eventually complete a Shilnikov-type homoclinic orbit as shown in Figure 8.

We need to confirm the existence of a Shilnikov-type homoclinic orbit in two steps. First, we show that the unperturbed homoclinic orbit is called Shilnikov-type homoclinic orbit. Based on [24], the higher dimensional Melnikov function is given as

$$M^I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial u} g^{\nu} + \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial v} \phi^{\nu} + \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial I} \phi^I \right) dT_1. \quad (34)$$

Using the division of integral method and the aforementioned analysis, (34) can be expressed as

$$M^I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( -\frac{dH_1}{dT_1} - 2\mu_2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \phi} + 2\mu_1 I_r \phi \right) dT_1$$

$$= M_1 + M_2 + M_3. \quad (35)$$

With the aforementioned analysis, the first term can be evaluated as

$$M_1 = -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dH_1}{dT_1} dT_1 = \sqrt{I_r} \left[ \sin (\phi + \infty) \right. - \sin \phi (-\infty) \left. \right] = \sqrt{I_r} \left[ \cos \phi (-\infty) \sin \Delta \phi \right.$$

$$- \sin \phi (-\infty) (1 - \cos \Delta \phi) \right.$$}

$$= \sqrt{I_r} \left[ \frac{2\mu_1}{I_r} \frac{\sqrt{I}}{r_4} \sin \Delta \phi \right.$$

$$+ \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\mu_1}{I_r} \cos \Delta \phi - 1} \right]. \quad (36)$$

The second term can be simplified as

$$M_2 = 2\mu_2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{3r_3} \eta^2 \text{sech}^4 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\eta}}{2} T_1 \right) dT_1$$

$$= -\frac{16\sqrt{2\mu_1} \eta^{3/2}}{9r_3} \left. \right|_{T_1 = T_r}. \quad (37)$$

The third term is changed into

$$M_3 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 2\mu_1 I_r \phi dT_1 = 2\mu_1 I_r \Delta \phi. \quad (38)$$
By (36), (37), and (38), the Melnikov function may be expressed as
\[
M = r_4 \sqrt{T} \left[ -\frac{2\mu_1 \sqrt{T}}{r_4} \sin \Delta \phi + \left( \cos \Delta \phi - 1 \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\mu_2^2 I_r}{r_4^2}} - \frac{16\sqrt{2} \mu_0 \eta^{3/2}}{9r_3} \right] + 2\mu_1 I_r \Delta \phi.
\] (39)

Now, we can require that the Melnikov function has a simple zero. That is, we require
\[
r_4 \sqrt{T} \left[ -\frac{2\mu_1 \sqrt{T}}{r_4} \sin \Delta \phi + \left( \cos \Delta \phi - 1 \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\mu_2^2 I_r}{r_4^2}} - \frac{16\sqrt{2} \mu_0 \eta^{3/2}}{9r_3} \right] + 2\mu_1 I_r \Delta \phi = 0.
\] (40)

Next, we examine whether the orbit on \(W^u(q_\epsilon)\) returns to the domain of attraction of \(q_\epsilon\). The condition is given by
\[
\phi_s < \phi_c + \Delta \phi < \phi_n,
\] (41)
where \(m\) is an integer, \(\phi_s, \phi_c,\) and \(\phi_n\) are given by (30) and (31), and \(\Delta \phi\) is the change of angle. According to [24], when conditions (40) and (41) are satisfied simultaneously, there exists the Shilnikov-type chaos in the sense of Smale horseshoes in system (2).

5. Numerical Simulation of Chaotic Motions

Now fixed parameters are used in the abovementioned theory to simplify the calculation. Letting
\[
\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu, \quad \beta = \frac{2r_4}{\mu}, \quad I_r = 1,
\] (42)
condition (41) becomes
\[
\beta = \frac{1 - \cos \Delta \phi}{\sqrt{\left(\cos \Delta \phi - 1\right)^2 + \left((-36.28\sqrt{2}/9) \eta^{3/2} - \Delta \phi + \sin \Delta \phi\right)^2}}.
\] (43)

From (23), \(\Delta \phi\) is a function of \(\eta\); then, \(\beta\) is a function of \(\eta\). The figure of \(\beta\) shows that \(\beta\) exists when \(\eta \in (0, 1)\), so Melnikov function \(M^{(1)}(\beta, \eta)\) has a simple zero (Figure 9). \(\phi_s, \phi_c,\) and \(\phi_n\) are presented in Figure 10; we can see \(\phi_s < \phi_c + \Delta \phi < \phi_n\);
that is, condition (41) is satisfied. Then, $q_\epsilon$ has a Shilnikov homoclinic orbit for sufficiently small $\epsilon$. We choose (1) and (2) to do numerical simulations. We use numerical approach to explore the existence of chaotic motions of the rotating thin-walled blade. In Figure 10, we show the existence of the chaotic responses of the thin-walled blade to the forcing excitation. $\beta_{16} = 8.8$, and other parameters and initial conditions were chosen as $\mu = 0.001, \sigma_1 = 12, \beta_{14} = 0, \beta_2 = -17.64, \mu_2 = 0.001, \sigma_2 = 11/40, \Omega_0 = 5, \beta_{24} = -4, x_{10} = -0.052, x_{20} = 0.061, x_{30} = 0.042$, and $x_{40} = -0.051$. Figure 11
Figure 12: The chaotic motions of the compressor blade based on (1): (a) the phase portrait on plane \((x_1, x_2)\), (b) the waveform on plane \((t, x_1)\), (c) the phase portrait on plane \((x_3, x_4)\), (d) the waveform on plane \((t, x_3)\), (e) the phase portrait in three-dimensional space \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\), and (f) the phase portrait in three-dimensional space \((x_2, x_3, x_4)\).

shows the phase portraits on the planes \((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4), (x_1, x_2, x_3), (x_2, x_3, x_4)\) and the wave forms on plane \((t, x_1), (t, x_3)\) based on (2). With the same parameters, we get the portraits on the planes \((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4), (x_1, x_2, x_3), (x_2, x_3, x_4)\) and the wave forms on plane \((t, x_1), (t, x_3)\) based on (1). They are shown in Figure 12; the chaotic motion
demonstrated in Figures 11 and 12 is Shilnikov-type multi-pulse chaotic motion. Therefore, the numerical results agree with the theoretical predictions qualitatively.

6. Conclusions

The global bifurcations and chaotic dynamics of the thin-walled compressor blade with varying speed are investigated for the first time by using the analytical and numerical approaches simultaneously when the averaged equations have one nonsemisimple double zero and a pair of pure imaginary eigenvalues. The study is focused on coexistence of 2:1 internal resonance and primary resonance. Normal theory is utilized to find the explicit expressions of the simpler normal form of the averaged equations with a double zero and a pair of pure imaginary eigenvalues. Based on the Melnikov method and its extensions to resonance cases developed by Kovacic and Wiggins, the thin-walled compressor blade can undergo homoclinic bifurcation and the Shilnikov-type homoclinic orbit; that is, there exists chaotic motion in full four-dimensional averaged system. Finally, the Dynamics software is used to perform numerical simulation. The numerical results show the existence of chaotic motions in the averaged equations, which illustrate the predictions obtained by the theoretical analysis. The chaotic motions in averaged equations can lead to the amplitude modulated chaotic oscillations in the original system under certain conditions. Therefore, there are Shilnikov-type single-pulse chaotic motions for the thin-walled rotating compressor blade. This is the extension of the results obtained by Yao et al. [11]. We believe that our results give a direct explanation for the jumping behaviors observed in this class of the compressor blade under in-plane and moment excitations.
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