Influence of the Wavelength Dependence of Birefringence in the Generation of Supercontinuum and Dispersive Wave in Fiber Optics
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In this paper, we perform numerical analysis about the influence of the wavelength dependence of birefringence (WDB) in the Supercontinuum (SC) and dispersive wave (DW) generation. We study different birefringence profiles such as constant, linear, and parabolic. We see that, for a linear and parabolic profile, the generation of SC practically does not change, while this does so when the constant value of the birefringence varies. Similar situation happens with the generation of dispersive waves. In addition, we observe that the broadband of the SC increases when the Stimulated Raman Scattering (SRS) is neglected for all WDB profiles.

1. Introduction

The SC generation has attracted a lot of attention since it has found numerous applications in the fields of telecommunication [1], optical metrology [2], ultrafast coherence spectroscopy, and biological processes [3]. The mechanisms for SC generation in nonlinear fiber optics (FO) have been studied both numerically and experimentally [4–6]. Subsequently, SC generation techniques have brought the design of tunable ultrafast laser sources [7]. In addition, SC generation sources have been used as a simple way to generate multlwavelength optical sources [1]. It is useful for dense wavelength-division-multiplexing (WDM) telecommunications. In the generation of SC, various processes are involved such as self- and cross-phase modulation, four-wave mixing, modulation instability, soliton fission, dispersive wave generation [8], and Raman scattering [9]. All these effects can contribute to creating new frequencies within the pulse spectrum. Numerous SC generation methods have been studied to get a better understanding of the mechanisms for which it is efficiently possible to generate and develop the SC laser. Within these methods, the use of Photonic Crystal Fiber has brought a lot of interests due to its highly nonlinear optics characteristics [10, 11].

The SC generation can be studied with the generalized nonlinear Schrodinger equation (NLSE), which models the propagation of optical pulses in nonlinear FO. NLSE has been used to analyze the influence of various parameters on the SC generation [12, 13]. In those studies, the WDB in the Supercontinuum generation has not been enough studied [14, 15]. In this work, we study numerically the influence of WDB in the SC and DW generation. We analyze different birefringence profiles such as constant, linear, and parabolic. Some of those profiles can be seen in references [16, 17].

We show that, for a linear and parabolic profile, the generation of SC and DW do not change, while they do so as the constant value of the birefringence varies. We also found that the broadband of the SC is wider when the Stimulated Raman Scattering (SRS) is neglected for all birefringence profiles.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the fundamental theory of nonlinear pulse propagation in birefringent fiber optics. Section 3 describes the numerical calculation of SC and DW generation for different birefringent FO profiles by using the split-step Fourier method [9] and the four-order Runge-Kutta algorithm [18]. Finally, the conclusions are presented in Section 4.
2. Nonlinear Pulse Propagation in Birefringent Fibers

The nonlinear pulse propagation, in birefringent optical fiber, can be written as follows [9]:

\[
\frac{i}{\partial z} \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial z} + \sum_{m=2}^{m-1} \frac{\beta_{mj}}{m} \frac{\partial A_j^m}{\partial T^m} - (-1)^j bA_j = - \left(1 + i \tau_{\text{shock}} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right) \left[ (1 - f_R) N_j^1 + f_R N_j^2 \right],
\]

where \( A_j (j = 1, 2) \) corresponds to the normalized field amplitude in the \( x \) (\( j = 1 \)) or \( y \) (\( j = 2 \)) direction, \( T = t - \beta_z z \) is the relative time, \( t \) is the absolute time, and \( z \) is the propagation length. The constants \( \beta_{mj} \) are the dispersion parameters. \( b = \Delta k L/2 \) is the normalized birefringence parameter, where \( \Delta k = \Delta n k_0 \) and \( \Delta n \) and \( k_0 \) are the birefringence and propagation constant in vacuum, respectively. \( L_D = T^2 / |\beta_z| \) is the dispersion length, with \( \beta_z \) being the second-order dispersion parameter and \( T \) being the initial pump pulse width. \( f_R = 0.245 \) is the Raman coefficient and \( \tau_{\text{shock}} = 1/\omega_o \) is the optical shock time scale. \( \omega_o \) is the pump frequency. The remaining terms in (1) are

\[
N_j^1 = \left( \left| (A_j) \right|^2 + \frac{2}{3} \left| (A_{3-j}) \right|^2 \right) A_j
\]

\[
N_j^2 = \left( A_j \right) \left[ f_1 \otimes \left| (A_j) \right|^2 + f_2 \otimes \left| (A_{3-j}) \right|^2 \right]
\]

where \( \otimes \) is the convolution operator, defined, for instance, as

\[
( f_1 \otimes \left| (A_j) \right|^2)(z, T) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(T') \left| A_j(z, T - T') \right|^2 dT'.
\]

The \( f_i \) functions for \( s = 1, 2, 3 \) in (3) are given by

\[
f_1(t) = (f_a + f_c) h_a(t) + f_b h_b(t),
\]

\[
f_2(t) = f_a h_a(t),
\]

\[
f_3(t) = \frac{[f_b h_b(t) + f_c h_c(t)]}{2}.
\]

\( f_a = 0.75, f_b = 0.21, f_c = 0.04 \), and

\[
h_a(t) = \frac{\tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2}{\tau_1^2 \tau_2^2} \exp \left( -\frac{t}{\tau_2} \right) \sin \left( \frac{t}{\tau_1} \right),
\]

\[
h_b(t) = \frac{2\tau_2 - t}{\tau_2} \exp \left( \frac{t}{\tau_2} \right),
\]

with \( \tau_1 = 12.2 f_s, \tau_2 = 32 f_s, \) and \( \tau_b = 96 f_s \). We ignore the SRS and \( \beta_{mj} \) for \( m \geq 4 \) in (1) in order to analyze the DW behavior. The dispersive wave generation is characterized by the parameters \( \delta_5 = \beta_3/6 |\beta_2| T_0 \) and the soliton order \( N = \sqrt{L_D/L_{NL}} \), where \( \beta_3 \) is the third-order dispersion parameter. \( L_{NL} = 1 / P_o \gamma \) is the nonlinear length, where \( P_o \) and \( \gamma \) are the initial peak power of the pump and the nonlinear coefficient, respectively.

3. Numerical Results

For nonlinear pulse simulations, (1) is numerically solved by combining the split-step Fourier method [9] and the four-order Runge-Kutta algorithm [18]. The basic idea is to divide the equation into a dispersive and nonlinear operator; that is,

\[
\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial z} = \left( \hat{D}_j + \hat{N}_j \right) A_j,
\]

where

\[
\hat{D}_j = \left( \sum_{m=2}^{m-1} \frac{\beta_{mj}}{m} \frac{\partial A_j^m}{\partial T^m} - (-1)^j b A_j \right),
\]

\[
\hat{N}_j = \left( 1 + i \tau_{\text{shock}} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right) \left[ (1 - f_R) N_j^1 + f_R N_j^2 \right].
\]

The dispersive and nonlinear operators act together along the fiber. During numerical simulations, the fiber is divided into many \( N \)-sections with size \( h \); at each section each operator acts independently; that is, at section \( i, \hat{N}_j = 0 \) and \( \hat{D}_j \) acts; at the next section \( i + 1, \hat{D}_j = 0 \), and \( \hat{N}_j \) acts and so on. The case

\[
\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial z} = \hat{D}_j A_j
\]

is solved in the Fourier domain according to

\[
A_j (z + h, T) = \exp \left( h \hat{D}_j \right) A_j (z, T).
\]

The exponential operator \( \exp(\hat{hD}_j) \) is calculated by the mathematical prescription:

\[
\exp \left( h \hat{D}_j \right) A_j (z, T) = F_T^{-1} \exp \left[ h \hat{D}_j (-i\omega) \right] F_T A_j (z, T),
\]

where \( F_T \) represents the Fourier-transform operator, \( \hat{D}_j (-i\omega) \) is obtained from (8) by replacing \( \partial / \partial T \) by \(-i\omega \), and \( \omega \) is the frequency in the Fourier domain. The other case

\[
\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial z} = \hat{N}_j A_j
\]

is solved by using the four-order Runge-Kutta Method [18], where the following algorithm is implemented:

\[
\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial z} = f (z, A_j) = \hat{N}_j A_j,
\]

\[
A_j^{m+1} = A_j^m + h \left( k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4 \right),
\]

\[
z_{m+1} = z_m + h,
\]
for $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $A_j^0(z = 0) = A_0 \text{sech}(T/T_0)$, where $A_0 = \sqrt{P_0}$. The constants $k_1$, $k_2$, $k_3$, and $k_4$ are given by

$$k_1 = f \left( z^m, A_j^m \right),$$

$$k_2 = f \left( z^m + \frac{h}{2}, A_j^m + \frac{h}{2}k_1 \right),$$

$$k_3 = f \left( z^m + h, A_j^m + hk_2 \right),$$

$$k_4 = f \left( z^m + h, A_j^m + hk \right).$$

(15)
For our simulations, we assume the dispersion terms are the same for x and y polarization and use the typical parameters shown in Table 1, where the length of propagation is 6 cm. We consider the birefringence profiles shown in Figure 1. They are linear increasing (profile 1), linear decreasing (profile 2), parabolic positive (profile 3), and parabolic negative (profile 4). We first compute the SC generation in both x and y polarization for six constant birefringence values between $10^{-7}$ and $10^{-6}$. The results are shown in Figure 2. We see that the generation of SC varies as the birefringence does so. For each birefringence value, we see depths at different wavelengths.

We also calculated the generation of SC for all profiles shown in Figure 1. We display the results in Figure 3. We see that all SC generations are the same and have the same two big depths around 550 nm and 900 nm, which is different from constant birefringence profile (Figure 2). We repeated the above computation for $f_R = 0$ (no SRS). The results can be viewed in Figure 4. The broadband of the SC is wider compared to the one seen in Figure 3. In addition, the biggest depth is now around 700 nm, which tells us that SCG and depths on it are really influenced by WDB.

We finally investigated how the DW frequency can be affected by birefringence profiles. As is known, DW is a linear wave that propagates in any dispersive medium which can be generated from the disturbance of solitons due to the third-order dispersion $\beta_3$. The dispersive wave frequency can be obtained by a phase-matching argument requiring that the DW propagates with the same phase velocity as that of the soliton. Then, we computed the normalized DW frequency shift $\Delta\nu_d T_0$ and DW peak power versus $\delta_3$ for constant

![Figure 3: SC generation for profiles shown in Figure 1. x and y polarization are the same. Polarization angle $\theta = 45^\circ$.](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>0.08 W$^{-1}$m$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_2$</td>
<td>$-1.3504 \times 10^{-2}$ ps$^2$ km$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_3$</td>
<td>$8.2385 \times 10^{-2}$ ps$^3$ km$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_4$</td>
<td>$-9.1913 \times 10^{-3}$ ps$^4$ km$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_5$</td>
<td>$1.7589 \times 10^{-4}$ ps$^5$ km$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_6$</td>
<td>$-3.8095 \times 10^{-5}$ ps$^6$ km$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_7$</td>
<td>$9.4138 \times 10^{-6}$ ps$^7$ km$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_0$</td>
<td>10 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>50 fs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A(0,T)$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{P_0}\text{sech}(T/T_0)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_x(0,T)$</td>
<td>$A(0,T)\cos(\theta)$; $\theta$ is the polarization angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_y(0,T)$</td>
<td>$A(0,T)\sin(\theta)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4: SC generation for profiles shown in Figure 1, with $f_R = 0$. $x$ and $y$ polarization are the same. Polarization angle $\theta = 45^\circ$.

Figure 5: DW generation for constant birefringence profile and the ones shown in Figure 1. Profile-$i_x = $ Profile-$i_y$, with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Polarization angle $\theta = 45^\circ$.

Figure 6: DW peak power for constant birefringence profile and the ones shown in Figure 1. Profile-$i_x = $ Profile-$i_y$, with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Polarization angle $\theta = 45^\circ$.

birefringence profile and the ones shown in Figure 1. We set $N = 2$, which corresponds to the second-order soliton. DW frequency shift is defined as $\Delta \nu_d = (\nu_d - \nu_s)$, where $\nu_d$ is the DW frequency and $\nu_s$ is the soliton frequency. We performed simulations and had the results shown in Figures 5 and 6. The DW characteristics are the same for any WDB profile, but different from constant profile. In addition, the $x$- and $y$-DW polarizations have equal behavior for all WDB. From Figure 6, the normalized DW peak power almost scales linearly to $\delta_3$, which means that this property does not depend on WDB. The above effects can be used to control the generation of the dispersive wave created from solitons using the WDB.
4. Conclusion

We have shown that the generation of SC and DW properties do not depend on the four WDB profiles seen in Figure 1. We observed that there are a couple of big depths around 500 nm and 900 nm, while they are at different positions for constant birefringence profile depending on its value. The generation of DW has the same behavior for any WDB profile, but different from the constant ones. Finally, we have proven that the broadband of SC is bigger for WDB when SRS is neglected.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges support from the Universidad Nacional de Colombia (Grant no. 35453) and COLCIENCIAS (Grant no. FP44842-107-2016) for this work.

References


