Stability Switches and Hopf Bifurcations in a Second-Order Complex Delay Equation
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The aim of this paper is to characterize the stability of the zero solution of the equation

\[ x''(t) + ax'(t - \tau) + bx(t) = 0, \quad (4) \]

where \( \tau > 0 \) is a constant delay and \( a, b \) are complex parameters, with \( b \neq 0 \).

Using the results given by [10], the existence of stability switches and Hopf bifurcations for certain conditions on the parameters of (4) will be shown, discussing the conditions that may allow for delay dependent stabilization of the system.

2. Methods

To carry out our analysis, we will use some previous results that are recalled in this section (see, [4, 10, 12, 13]).

Following [10], and similar to the analysis carried out [11] for a first-order equation, we write the characteristic equation of a time-delay system with a single delay \( \tau \geq 0 \) in the form

\[ \Delta (\lambda, \tau) = P(\lambda) + Q(\lambda) e^{-\lambda \tau}, \quad (5) \]

where \( P(\lambda) \) and \( Q(\lambda) \) are complex polynomial. To be able to apply the main result in [10], we will require the order of \( P(\lambda) \) to be either higher than that of \( Q(\lambda) \) or, if they have the same order, that \( |\alpha| > |\beta| \), with \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \) being, respectively, the highest order coefficients of \( P(\lambda) \) and \( Q(\lambda) \). Also, it is
necessary that $P(\lambda)$ and $Q(\lambda)$ have no roots on the imaginary axis simultaneously and that $\lambda = 0$ is not a root of (5): that is,

$$P(0) + Q(0) \neq 0.$$  \hfill (6)

In the next section, it will be shown that all these conditions hold in our problem.

As shown in [10], introducing the function

$$F(\omega) = |P(i\omega)|^2 - |Q(i\omega)|^2, \quad (7)$$

if $\omega^* \neq 0$ is a zero of $F(\omega)$, then there are an infinite number of delays $\tau_j$ corresponding to $\omega^*$ satisfying

$$\Delta(i\omega^*, \tau_j) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Based on a previous work of Lee and Hsu [14], Li et al. established the following theorem [10, Theorem 1], characterizing, for the critical values $\tau_j$ such that $\Delta(i\omega^*, \tau_j) = 0$, the variation of the number of zeros with nonnegative real parts of $\Delta(\lambda, \tau)$, in terms of the order and sign of the first nonzero derivative of $F(\omega^*)$.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that $\Delta(i\omega^*, \tau_j) = 0$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Let $N(\tau)$ be the number of zeros with nonnegative real parts of $\Delta(\lambda, \tau)$, and let $M$ be an integer such that $F^{(M)}(\omega^*) \neq 0$ and $F^{(M)}(\omega^*) = 0$ for all $m < M$. Then

(a) $N(\tau)$ keeps unchanged as $\tau$ increases along $\tau_j$ if $M$ is even,

(b) when $M$ is odd, $N(\tau)$ increases by one if $\omega^* F^{(M)}(\omega^*) > 0$, and decreases by one if $\omega^* F^{(M)}(\omega^*) < 0$, as $\tau$ increases along $\tau_j$.

This theorem facilitates the stability analysis with respect to the method used in [14] and extends to the complex coefficients setting a previous result which was only valid for real DDEs [15].

Hopf bifurcation theorem gives the conditions for the existence of local nontrivial periodic solutions (e.g., [4, 12, 13]). Basic conditions are the existence of a nonzero purely imaginary root of the characteristic equation, $\lambda_0$, that all other eigenvalues are not integer multiples of $\lambda_0$, and, in addition, it must hold that, if $\alpha$ is the bifurcation parameter, the branch of eigenvalues $\lambda(\alpha)$ which satisfies $\lambda(0) = \lambda_0$ is such that $\text{Re}(\lambda'(0)) \neq 0$, which is called the transcritical condition.

### 3. Stability Analysis of the Second-Order Complex DDE

Consider the complex DDE (4), where

$$a = a_1 + ia_2, \quad b = b_1 + ib_2.$$  \hfill (9)

The characteristic equation associated with (4) is

$$\lambda^2 + a\lambda e^{-\lambda \tau} + b = 0.$$  \hfill (10)

so that for the function $\Delta(\lambda, \tau)$, as defined in (5), one has

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + b,$$

$$Q(\lambda) = a\lambda.$$  \hfill (11)

Since $P(\lambda)$ is of higher order than $Q(\lambda)$, and since we assume $b \neq 0$, it also holds that $P(0) + Q(0) \neq 0$. Thus, the conditions to apply Theorem 1 are satisfied.

The following lemma gives $N(0)$, the number of zeros with nonnegative real parts of $\Delta(\lambda, \tau)$ when the delay is zero.

**Lemma 2.** Consider the complex number

$$z = (A, B) = (a_1^2 - a_2^2 - 4b_1, 2a_1a_2 - 4b_2). \quad (12)$$

If $z \neq 0$ and

$$|a_1| < \frac{|B|}{2 \sqrt{(-A + |z|)^2}} \quad (13)$$

then $N(0) = 1$. Else, if $a_1 \leq 0$ then $N(0) = 2$, and if $a_1 > 0$ then $N(0) = 0$ when $z = 0$ or

$$|a_1| > \frac{|B|}{2 \sqrt{(-A + |z|)^2}} \quad (14)$$

and $N(0) = 1$ when $z \neq 0$ and

$$|a_1| = \frac{|B|}{2 \sqrt{(-A + |z|)^2}}. \quad (15)$$

**Proof.** Consider the equation

$$\Delta(\lambda, 0) = P(\lambda) + Q(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + a\lambda + b = 0. \quad (16)$$

Then,

$$\lambda = \frac{-a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{-(a_1 + ia_2) \pm \sqrt{|a_1|^2 - a_2^2 + 2ia_1a_2 - 4b_1 - 4ib_2}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{-a_1 + ia_2 \pm \sqrt{2}}{2}. \quad (17)$$

If $z = 0$, there is a double root with real part $-a_1/2$. If $z \neq 0$, $\lambda$ can be written as

$$\lambda = \frac{-a_1 + ia_2 \pm (B/2 \sqrt{(-A + |z|)^2}) + i \sqrt{(-A + |z|)^2}}{2},$$

and the conclusion of the lemma follows. \hfill \Box

Now consider the function $F(\omega)$ defined in (7),

$$F(\omega) = (-\omega^2 + b_1)^2 + b_2^2 - |a|^2 \omega^2$$

$$= \omega^4 - (|a|^2 + 2b_1) \omega^2 + |b|^2. \quad (19)$$
and calculate its zeros. One gets

$$\omega_k^2 = \frac{|a|^2 + 2b_1 \pm \sqrt{(|a|^2 + 2b_1)^2 - 4|b|^2}}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

We will consider two different cases and several subcases.

Case 1 \(||a|^2 + 2b_1 > 0\).  

Case 1(a): \(||a|^2 + 2b_1|^2 > 4|b|^2\).

Case 1(b): \(||a|^2 + 2b_1|^2 = 4|b|^2\).

Case 1(c): \(||a|^2 + 2b_1|^2 < 4|b|^2\).

Case 2 \(||a|^2 + 2b_1 \leq 0\). First, we assume that \(|a|^2 + 2b_1 > 0\) (Case 1).

If \(||a|^2 + 2b_1|^2 > 4|b|^2\) (Case 1(a)), then \(F(\omega)\) has four real roots, \(\omega_1^+, \omega_2^+, \omega_1^-, \omega_2^-\), such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_1^+ &> \omega_2^+ > 0 > \omega_2^- > \omega_1^- , \\
\omega_1^+ &= -\omega_1^- , \\
\omega_2^+ &= -\omega_2^- .
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

If \(||a|^2 + 2b_1|^2 = 4|b|^2\) (Case 1(b)), then \(F(\omega)\) has two double real roots, \(\omega_1^+, \omega_1^-\), such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_1^+ &> 0 > \omega_1^- , \\
\omega_1^+ &= -\omega_1^- .
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

If \(||a|^2 + 2b_1|^2 < 4|b|^2\) (Case 1(c)), then \(F(\omega)\) has no real root, and therefore the stability of the zero solution of (4) does not change for any \(\tau > 0\).

Consider now Case 1(a), where \(\omega_1^+ > \omega_2^+ > 0 > \omega_2^- > \omega_1^-\). Substituting \(\lambda = i\omega\) into (10), and separating the real and imaginary parts, one gets

\[
\begin{align*}
-a^2 \omega \sin \omega \tau - a_2 \omega \cos \omega \tau + b_1 &= 0 , \\
b_1 + a_1 \omega \cos \omega \tau + a_2 \omega \sin \omega \tau &= 0 ,
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

obtaining the following four sets of values of \(\tau\) for which there are roots.

For \(\omega_1^+\) and \(\omega_1^-\), one gets

\[
\begin{align*}
\cos \omega_1^+ \tau &= \frac{-(\omega_1^+)^2 + b_1}{|a|^2 \omega_1^+} a_2 - b_2 a_1 , \\
\sin \omega_1^+ \tau &= \frac{(\omega_1^+)^2 - b_1}{|a|^2 \omega_1^+} a_1 - b_2 a_2 , \\
\cos \omega_1^- \tau &= \frac{-(\omega_1^-)^2 + b_1}{|a|^2 \omega_1^-} a_2 - b_2 a_1 , \\
\sin \omega_1^- \tau &= \frac{(\omega_1^-)^2 - b_1}{|a|^2 \omega_1^-} a_1 - b_2 a_2 .
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (24)

As \(\omega_1^+ = -\omega_1^-\), then \(\cos \omega_1^+ \tau = \cos \omega_1^- \tau\) and \(\sin \omega_1^+ \tau = -\sin \omega_1^- \tau\). By (24) \(\cos \omega_1^+ \tau = -\cos \omega_1^- \tau\) and \(\sin \omega_1^+ \tau = -\sin \omega_1^- \tau\). Therefore, \(\cos \omega_1^+ \tau = 0\) in what follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_{n,1}^+ &= \frac{\pi/2}{\omega_1^+} + \frac{nn\pi}{\omega_1^+} , \\
\tau_{n,1}^- &= \frac{-\pi/2}{\omega_1^-} + \frac{nn\pi}{\omega_1^-} , \\
\tau_{n,1}^+ &= \tau_{n,1}^- , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Similarly for \(\omega_2^+\) and \(\omega_2^-\), we obtain the following set of values of \(\tau\) for which there are roots,

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_{n,2}^+ &= \frac{\pi/2}{\omega_2^+} + \frac{nn\pi}{\omega_2^+} = \frac{-\pi/2}{\omega_2^-} + \frac{nn\pi}{\omega_2^-} , \\
n &= 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

Since

\[
\begin{align*}
F'(\omega_1^+) &= -F'(\omega_1^-) = 2\omega_1^+ \left[2 (\omega_1^+)^2 - \left(|a|^2 + 2b_1\right)\right] > 0 , \\
F'(\omega_2^+) &= -F'(\omega_2^-) = 2\omega_2^+ \left[2 (\omega_2^+)^2 - \left(|a|^2 + 2b_1\right)\right] < 0 ,
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

one has

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_1^+ F'(\omega_1^+) &> 0 , \\
\omega_1^- F'(\omega_1^-) &> 0 , \\
\omega_2^+ F'(\omega_2^+) &< 0 , \\
\omega_2^- F'(\omega_2^-) &< 0 .
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

Therefore, according to Theorem 1, as \(\tau\) is increased, the number of the characteristic roots with nonnegative real parts increases by two as \(\tau\) passes through \(\tau_{n,1}^+\) and decreases by two as \(\tau\) passes through \(\tau_{n,2}^+\).

If \(N(0) = 0\), that is, if the zero solution of (4) is stable for \(\tau = 0\), as \(\tau_{0,1}^+ < \tau_{0,2}^+\), there are stability switches when the delays are such that

\[
\tau_{0,1}^+ < \tau_{0,2}^+ < \tau_{1,1}^+ < \tau_{1,2}^+ < \cdots.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

Since

\[
\tau_{n+1,1}^+ - \tau_{n,1}^+ = \frac{\pi/2}{\omega_1^+} < \frac{\pi/2}{\omega_2^+} = \tau_{n+1,2}^+ - \tau_{n,2}^+, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

the intervals become smaller with increasing \(n\), so that eventually, for a certain \(k \geq 1\),

\[
\tau_{k-1,1}^+ < \tau_{k,1}^+ \leq \tau_{k-1,2}^+. \quad (31)
\]

Thus, the distribution of delays is

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_{0,1}^+ < \tau_{0,2}^+ < \tau_{1,1}^+ < \tau_{1,2}^+ < \cdots < \tau_{k-1,1}^+ < \tau_{k,1}^+ \leq \tau_{k-1,2}^+ < \tau_{k+1,1}^+ < \cdots,
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (32)
and there is only a finite number of stability switches, with the system becoming unstable for \( \tau > \tau_{k-1} \).

If \( N(0) = 1 \) or \( N(0) = 2 \), the system is always unstable because \( \tau_{n,1} < \tau_{n,2} \) and a distribution of delays for stability switches to occur is not possible.

After the study of the stability, we wonder what happens, when there are stability switches, in the critical delays \( \tau = \tau_{n,j} \). Denote \( \lambda(\tau) = \alpha(\tau) + i\omega(\tau) \) as the root of (10) satisfying 
\[
\alpha(\tau_{n,j}) = 0, \quad \omega(\tau_{n,j}) = \omega_{n,j}, \quad j = 1, 2.
\]
According to Theorem 1, one has
\[
\sgn \left[ \frac{d \text{Re} \lambda(\tau_{n,j})}{d\tau} \right] = \sgn(\omega_{n,j}) \sgn F'(\omega_{n,j}). \quad (33)
\]
By (28), one gets that the transversality condition required by Hopf Theorem is satisfied. Therefore, a Hopf bifurcation occurs for these critical values.

Now we study Case 1(b), where \( \omega_{1}^+ > \omega_{1}^- \) are two real roots. Proceding as before, there are two sets of critical values of delays \( \tau_n^+ \) and \( \tau_n^- \), corresponding to \( \omega_1^+ \) and \( \omega_1^- \), respectively, such that \( \tau_n^+ = \tau_n^- \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \). Since \( F'(\omega_1^+) = F'(\omega_1^-) = 0 \), we consider the second derivative,
\[
F''(\omega_1^+) = 4 \left[ |a|^2 + 2b_1 \right] \neq 0. \quad (34)
\]
By Theorem 1, since \( M = 2, N(\tau) \) keeps unchanged as \( \tau \) increases along \( \tau_n \). Consequently, the stability of zero solution of (4) does not change for any \( \tau > 0 \).

Finally, consider Case 2, where
\[
|a|^2 + 2b_1 \leq 0. \quad (35)
\]
The function \( F(\omega) \) defined in (19) has no real root, and therefore the stability of the zero solution of (4) does not change for any \( \tau > 0 \). Thus, the following theorem has been established.

**Theorem 3.** Consider the second-order complex delay equation (4). The following two cases may occur concerning its stability:

(a) \( (|a|^2 + 2b_1)^2 > 4|b|^2. \) In this case, if \( N(0) = 0 \), and the distribution of delays is \( 0 < \tau_{n,0}^+ < \tau_{n,1}^- < \cdots < \tau_{n,2}^- < \cdots \), then the zero solution of (4) is asymptotically stable for \( \tau \in (0, \tau_{n,1}^+) \) and \( \tau \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{k-2}(\tau_{n,k}^+, \tau_{n,k+1}^-) \), and unstable for \( \tau \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{k-2}(\tau_{n,k}^+, \tau_{n,k+1}^-) \). Otherwise, if \( N(0) = 1 \) or \( N(0) = 2 \), the zero solution of (4) is unstable for all \( \tau \geq 0 \). When there are stability switches, the critical delays \( \tau = \tau_{n,k}^+, \quad n = 0 \cdots k - 1 \), and \( \tau = \tau_{n,k}^-, \quad n = 0 \cdots k - 2 \), are Hopf bifurcation values for (4).

(b) \( (|a|^2 + 2b_1)^2 \leq 4|b|^2. \) In this case, the stability of the zero solution of (4) does not change for any \( \tau > 0 \).
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