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A novel chaos-based image encryption scheme has been proposed recently. In this scheme, redundancies of the Fridrich's structure were reduced significantly via a new circular inter-intra-pixels bit-level permutation strategy. However, we proved that the original encryption scheme is vulnerable to the known/chosen-plaintext attacks. Both the permutation and diffusion phases have been improved to enhance the security of the original scheme. By shifting each row of the plain image randomly, known-plaintext attacks could be resisted. Furthermore, by appending double crossover diffusion to the end of the original scheme, chosen-plaintext attacks lost their efficacies. Simulation results demonstrated that the improved encryption scheme outperforms the original one.

1. Introduction

With the development of Internet technology, image data has been widely applied in many fields, such as military systems, government agencies, medical imaging systems, and online trade and business. However, digital images are also vulnerable to security risks; that is, sensitive information can be destroyed in the case of unauthorized access, such as interception, tampering, illegal copy, and dissemination. Encryption is a widely employed tool to minimize the potential security risks. However, in most cases, traditional encryption techniques, such as DES, RSA, AES, and IDEA, are specifically made for text data. For image data with different intrinsic characteristics, such as large data storage requirements, high redundancy, and strong correlation among adjacent pixels [1, 2], traditional encryption techniques demonstrate limited performance at best. Therefore, chaos-based image encryption schemes have been developed specifically for images. Benefiting from the inherent properties of chaotic sequences, such as ergodicity, pseudo-randomness, and sensitivity to initial conditions and control parameters [3], these chaos-based image encryption schemes have exhibited excellent properties, including complexity, security, and computational efficiency.

To date, a variety of chaos-based schemes have been proposed. However, most [4–6] are based on Fridrich's permutation-substitution model [7] and demonstrate statistical correlations among the plain image, the cipher image, and the key. Previous studies [8, 9] proved that 96.7% of the bit values were unchanged using Fridrich's encryption structure. To reduce redundancy and statistical links, many bit-level-based techniques have been proposed [10–16]. However, these techniques also have some limitations. For example, the permutation phase has repetitive patterns [14] and the operations require significant computation time [15, 16]. In 2016, Diaconu proposed a circular inter-intra-pixels bit-level permutation-confusion strategy [17]. This strategy takes advantage of Fridrich's structure; however, employing the confusion strategy reduces the redundancy significantly. Bit-level circular shifting of each row demonstrates three
strong characteristics, that is, no repetitive patterns, uniform bits distribution, and reduced correlation between adjacent higher bit-planes.

To improve the security of existing cryptosystems, many cryptanalytic methods have been proposed [18, 19]. Such processes can render the existing cryptographic mechanisms insecure. In this study, we assessed Diaconu’s strategy [17] and found a dangerous vulnerability in the circular shift procedure. In addition, the secret matrix, that is, the equivalent of the keys, can be revealed through known-plaintext attacks. To overcome these security problems, we propose two improvements/changes to the scheme; that is, we improve the permutation phase to resist known-plaintext attacks and improve the diffusion phase to resist chosen-plaintext and differential attacks [20], respectively.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents an overview of Diaconu’s encryption scheme [17]. Section 3 discusses cryptanalysis using a known-plaintext attack. Improvements to the original algorithm are described in Section 4. Simulation results are provided and they are evaluated in Section 5, and the conclusions are presented in Section 6.

2. Original Encryption Scheme

In this section, we describe the original scheme, which is composed of permutation and diffusion phases. The permutation phase scrambles pixels by bit-level circular shift, which updates the pixel positions and generates new pixel values. In the diffusion phase, the permuted image is encrypted using two ciphering matrices.

2.1. Permutation. Here, let \( I_0 = \{I_0(i,j)\}_{i=1,j=1}^{m,m} \) denote a grayscale plain image, and let

\[
I_0(i,:) = [I_0(i,1), I_0(i,2), \ldots, I_0(i,m)]
\]

be the \( i \)th row of \( I_0 \).

The permutation phase is performed as follows.

Step 1. Decompose \( I_0(i,:) \) into a vector \( I_0 = \{I_0(k)\}_{k=1}^{8m} \) as follows:

\[
I_0 = \text{dec2bin}(I_0(i,:)),
\]

where the function dec2bin converts the decimal number to a binary number.

Step 2. Compute the circular shift steps \( N_{\text{shifts}} \) and direction \( D_{\text{shifts}} \) of each row.

\[
N_{\text{shifts}} = \sum_{k=1}^{8m} I_0(k),
\]

where \( N_{\text{shifts}} \) is the number of 1s of each row.

\[
D_{\text{shifts}} = \text{mod}(N_{\text{shifts}}, 2).
\]

Each vector \( I_0 \) is right circular-shifted with \( N_{\text{shifts}} \) steps if \( D_{\text{shifts}} \) equals 0 and left circular-shifted if \( D_{\text{shifts}} \) equals 1.

Step 3. Each group of 8 bits of vector \( I_0 \) is converted to a decimal number step by step; thus, the permuted image \( I = \{I(i,j)\}_{i=1,j=1}^{m,m} \) can be obtained:

\[
I(i,:) = \text{bin2dec}(I_0),
\]

where \( I(i,:) \) denotes the \( i \)th row of the permuted image and the function bin2dec converts the binary number to a decimal number.

2.2. Diffusion. The final cipher image \( I_{\text{ENC}} = \{I_{\text{ENC}}(i,j)\}_{i=1,j=1}^{m,m} \) is obtained as follows.

For each row \( I_{\text{ENC}}(i,:) \),

\[
I_{\text{ENC}}(i,:) = I_{\text{ENC}}(i,:) \oplus I_{\text{cipher_row}}(i,:),
\]

and for each column \( I_{\text{ENC}}(:,j) \),

\[
I_{\text{ENC}}(:,j) = I_{\text{ENC}}(:,j) \oplus I_{\text{cipher_col}}(j,:),
\]

where symbol \( \oplus \) represents a bitwise XOR operation, and \( I_{\text{cipher_row}} = \{I_{\text{cipher_row}}(i,j)\}_{i=1,j=1}^{m,m} \) and \( I_{\text{cipher_col}} = \{I_{\text{cipher_col}}(i,j)\}_{i=1,j=1}^{m,m} \) are two ciphering matrices.

2.3. Computing Ciphering Matrices. Two ciphering matrices are computed by the pseudorandom number generator PRNG (8), which has been proven to have attractor’s fractal structure, ergodicity, and an enormous key space [24],

\[
y_i = f_{r_1}(x^1_i) * f_{r_2}(x^2_i) = \frac{f_{r_1}(x^1_i) + f_{r_2}(x^2_i)}{1 - f_{r_1}(x^1_i) f_{r_2}(x^2_i)},
\]

where \( r_1, r_2 \) are the control parameters, \( x^1_i, x^2_i \) are the initial values, and \( x^1_i, x^2_i \) can be generated by the following equation:

\[
x^w_i = f_{r_1}(x^w_i) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctg\left(\frac{\text{ctg}(x^w_i \cdot r_w)}{r_w}\right), \quad w = 1, 2.
\]

A chaotic sequence of size \( 8m^2 \) can be generated using (8) and (9). Then, the sequence is discretized into dibits by using four thresholds. The high and low bits of all dibits are arranged in two vectors \( h = \{h(t)\}_{t=1}^{8m^2} \) and \( l = \{l(t)\}_{t=1}^{8m^2} \), respectively.

In [17], \( x^1_0 = 0.68775492511773 \), \( x^0_0 = -0.0134623354671 \), \( r_1 = 5.938725025421 \), and \( r_2 = 1.257490188615 \); thus, the key is denoted as \( K = [x^1_0, x^0_0, r_1, r_2] \).

Based on the definition above, two ciphering matrices are obtained as follows.

Step 1. Initialize

\[
I_{\text{cipher_row}} = I_{\text{cipher_col}} = O,
\]

\[
n = 0.
\]

Step 2. For \( i = 1 : m \) and \( j = 1 : m \)

(a) take eight consecutive bits separately from \( h \) and \( l \) in turn,

\[
B_{\text{col}} = \text{strcat}(h(n+k)) \quad k = 1, \ldots, 8,
\]

\[
B_{\text{row}} = \text{strcat}(l(n+k)) \quad k = 1, \ldots, 8,
\]
Obtain the secret matrix.

**Step 1.** Obtain the secret matrix. **Step 1.1.** Calculate $S_k$ (Section 2.1) with the known plain image $I_k$.

**Step 1.2.** Obtain the secret matrix $I_{cipher \_row \_col}$ using (16).

**Step 2.** Decrypt the given cipher image.

**Step 2.1.** With the given cipher image $I_{ENC}$, calculate the scrambled image $I_c$ using (17).

$$I_{ENC} \oplus I_{cipher \_row \_col} = I_c \oplus I_{cipher \_row} \oplus I'_{cipher \_col} \oplus I_{cipher \_row} \oplus I'_{cipher \_col} \oplus I_{cipher \_row} \oplus I'_{cipher \_col} = I_c.$$ (17)

**Step 2.2.** Obtain the deciphering image $I_d$ by applying the opposite circular shift to $I_c$; that is, if $D_{shifts} = 0$, then each row of $I_c$ should be left circular-shifted, and if $D_{shifts} = 1$, then each row of $I_c$ should be right circular-shifted.

To further demonstrate this idea, experimental results are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 1 illustrates how to obtain the secret matrix. Assume that a known plain image is Lena (Figure 1(a)). The cipher image corresponding to Figure 1(a) is shown in Figure 1(b). The circular-shifted image, that is, the permuted image $S_k$, is shown in Figure 1(c). The secret matrix $I_{cipher \_row \_col}$ computed by (16) is shown in Figure 1(d). The deciphering process of the given cipher image is shown in Figure 2. Here, Figure 2(a) is the plain image and its corresponding cipher image to be deciphered is shown in Figure 2(b). The scrambled image, which is obtained by an XOR operation between the secret matrix $I_{cipher \_row \_col}$ (Figure 1(d)) and the cipher image (Figure 2(b)), is shown in Figure 2(c). Then, after inverse circular shifting, the deciphering image is obtained which is shown in Figure 2(d). Note that the deciphering image is the same as the plain image.

### 4. Analysis, Discussion, and Improvement

#### 4.1. Improving Permutation

**4.1.1. Vulnerability to Known-Plaintext Attack.** In the original scheme, the shift steps and direction are decided by the number of 1s of each row. After permutation, the number of 1s of each row remains unchanged. Therefore, the scrambled image for any known plain image can be computed, which is the primary vulnerability of the original encryption scheme.

**4.1.2. Improvement.** Randomizing the shift steps and direction of each row can remove this vulnerability. Here, a secret sequence must be introduced to satisfy this requirement. For simplicity, the chaos map based on (8) and (9) is used. If the plain image has $m$ rows, the number of pairs of steps and directions is $m$. The permutation phase is improved as follows.
First, a random sequence of length $m \ast e$ is generated by iterations, where the parameter $e$ is computed by (18) and $8m$ is the bits of each row of the plain image.

\[
e = \log_2 (8m). \tag{18}
\]

Then, the sequence is discretized into dibits using four thresholds. The high and low bits of the dibits are arranged into vectors $h_s = \{h_s(t)\}_{t=1}^{m \ast e}$ and $l_s = \{l_s(t)\}_{t=1}^{m \ast e}$, separately.

Assume that $d_s = \{d_s(i)\}_{i=1}^m$ denotes the shift direction (left or right) and $p_s = \{p_s(i)\}_{i=1}^m$ denotes the steps of shift. Based on the definition above, the two vectors can be computed as follows.

**Step 1.** Initialize the vectors $d_s$ and $p_s$, and the counter variable $n$,

\[
d_s = p_s = 0, \quad n = 0. \tag{19}
\]

**Step 2.** (a) Take $e$ consecutive bits separately from $h_s$ and $l_s$ in turn,

\[
B_d = \text{strcat} (h_s(n+k)) \quad k = 1, \ldots, e,
\]

\[
B_l = \text{strcat} (l_s(n+k)) \quad k = 1, \ldots, e. \tag{20}
\]

(b) Obtain the $i$th pair of elements of the vectors $d_s$ and $p_s$,

\[
d_s(i) = \text{mod} \left( \text{bin2dec} (B_d), 2 \right),
\]

\[
p_s(i) = \text{bin2dec} (B_l). \tag{21}
\]

(c) Update the variable $n$,

\[
n = n + e. \tag{22}
\]

The permutation process is similar to the process described in Section 2.1. Here, vector $I_0$ is right circular-shifted with $p_s(i)$ steps if $d_s(i)$ equals 0 and left circular-shifted if $d_s(i)$ equals 1.

Since the scrambled image cannot be computed directly from the plain image, the known-plaintext attack would not break the original encryption scheme. However, the following analysis shows that it is insufficient to improve the permutation phase solely.

### 4.2. Improving Diffusion

#### 4.2.1. Vulnerability to Chosen-Plaintext Attack

After improving permutation, there are two secret sequences. One sequence generates the matrix $I_{\text{cipher, row, col}}$ used in the diffusion phase and the other generates $h_s$ and $l_s$ used in the permutation phase. Compared to the original algorithm,
security is increased; however, the improved encryption scheme can still be broken by a chosen-plaintext attack.

If \( L \) is a matrix where all elements are zero, the permutation phase has no influence on the \( L \). Then, the encrypted image \( I_{Z_{\text{ENC}}} \) can be expressed as follows:

\[
I_{Z_{\text{ENC}}} = I_{L} \oplus I_{\text{cipher\_row\_col}} = O \oplus I_{\text{cipher\_row\_col}}
\]

(23)

Here, we find that the secret matrix \( I_{\text{cipher\_row\_col}} \) is equal to the encryption output \( I_{Z_{\text{ENC}}} \). Next, random vectors \( h \) and \( I \) used in the permutation phase can be computed by using a matrix \( I_1 \), which is defined as follows:

\[
I_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(24)

The cipher and scrambled images corresponding to \( I_1 \) are denoted as \( I_{1_{\text{ENC}}} \) in (25) and \( I_{s1} \), respectively:

\[
I_{1_{\text{ENC}}} = I_{s1} \oplus I_{\text{cipher\_row\_col}}.
\]

(25)

The secret matrix \( I_{\text{cipher\_row\_col}} \) is calculated based on (23). Then, we obtain the scrambled image \( I_{s1} \) by applying an XOR operation between the cipher image \( I_{1_{\text{ENC}}} \) and the secret matrix \( I_{\text{cipher\_row\_col}} \):

\[
I_{s1} = I_{1_{\text{ENC}}} \oplus I_{\text{cipher\_row\_col}}
\]

(26)

By transforming each element of \( I_{s1} \) into an 8-bit binary number, we can obtain a matrix \( I^b_{s1} \) of size \( m \times 8m \), which has only one “1” in each row. Note that we must obtain the column position of “1.” For example, if the element “1” in the \( j \)th row is located at the \( k \)th column, we can conclude that the \( k \)th row of \( I_{s1} \) has been right circular-shifted with \( j \) steps. Assume that all the shift steps are saved in a vector \( I_b = \{I_d(i)\}_{i=1}^{m} \), where \( I_d(i) = j \) can be obtained easily.

The deciphering steps are described as follows.

Step 1. Obtain \( I_{\text{cipher\_row\_col}} \) via the chosen plain image \( I_z \).

Step 2. Obtain the scrambled image \( I_1 \) by an XOR operation between \( I_{\text{ENC}} \) and \( I_{\text{cipher\_row\_col}} \) based on (17).

Step 3. Obtain the permutation steps vector \( I_d \) using the chosen plain image \( I_1 \).

Step 4. Perform opposite circular shift on \( I_1 \); that is, the \( i \)th row of the scrambled image \( I_1 \) is circularly shifted towards the left direction with \( I_d(i) \) steps.

4.2.2. Improvement. The deciphering algorithm (Section 4.2.1) is performed successfully because it is easy to calculate the secret matrix \( I_{\text{cipher\_row\_col}} \). If we design a method to prevent an attacker from obtaining this matrix, the encryption would be secure. Therefore, the diffusion phase should also be improved. An operation, called double crossover diffusion (DCD) [20], is added to the end of the original scheme.

Assume that \( I_1 = \{I(t)_{z \in Z}\} \) is a stretched vector of cipher image \( I_{\text{ENC}} \). The DCD mechanism requires a random sequence, which is generated by the logistic map.

\[
u_{t+1} = a\cdot u_t \cdot (1 - u_t), \quad u_t \in (0,1).
\]

(27)

If parameter \( a \) is chosen in the range [3.5699456, 4] and the initial value \( u_0 \) is in the range [0, 1], the system is in a chaotic state. We can run the logistic map from \( u_0 \) to generate a chaotic sequence \( u = \{u(i)_{t=1}^{m}\} \). Then, by sorting this vector, a vector \( q = \{q(i)_{t=1}^{m}\} \) is obtained, where \( u(q(t)) \) is the \( l \)th largest element of the chaotic sequence \( u \).

The general structure of the improved procedure is presented in Algorithms 1 and 2. As shown in Algorithm 1, the cipher image is first reshaped to a vector \( I_1 \) and ordered by random sequence \( q \). As shown in Algorithm 2, the sorted vector \( I_1 \) is divided into two blocks and encrypted sequentially by the left and right parts. The key vector \( K_1 \) in one block (Algorithm 2) is connected to the vector \( q \) and the prior encrypted pixels of the other block.

5. Performance of the Improved Cryptosystem

This section presents experimental results and analyzes the performance of the improved cryptosystem. Here, we obtained plain images from the USC-SIPI image database [25]. Note that images of other sizes can also be encrypted effectively by the proposed algorithm. The PRNG parameters are \( x_1 = 0.68775492511773, x_2 = -0.0134623354671, r_1 = 5.938725025421, \) and \( r_2 = 1.257490188615 \). The logistic map parameters are \( a = 3.99 \) and \( u_0 = 0.2113 \). However, the parameter \( C_0 \) in crossover diffusion is 52, which is not considered as the key in this paper. Thus, there are six parameters in the key vector \( K_1 = \{x_1, x_2, r_1, r_2, \alpha, u_0\} \), where \( \alpha, r_1, r_2 \) are the control parameters and \( x_1, x_2, u_0 \) are the initial parameters. All experiments were implemented by using MATLAB R2010b and were executed on a personal computer (Intel Core i5-5300U 2.3 GHz CPU, 4 GB memory).

5.1. Security

5.1.1. Key Space. Key space is a significant index to measure the ability to resist brute-force attacks and an effective key space must contain very large and unique keys. Considering the type of PRNG and the logistic map, the six parameters can be expressed by double-real precision (15 decimals). Thus, the key space can achieve \((10^{15})^6 = 10^{90}\), which is nearly 299 bits. As a result, the size of the key space in the improved algorithm is sufficient to resist exhaustive attacks.

5.1.2. Key Sensitivity. An important security indicator for an image encryption system is the sensitivity to the key; that is, small changes to the key lead to significant changes in the cipher image. Assume that a new key \( K_2 \) can be
To represent the sensitivity of the encryption scheme to plain image. Stronger sensitivity affords greater resistance to differential attacks depends on the “sensitivity to the changes” in the pixels of one encrypted image differ from the pixels of the other image. Thus, the improved encryption scheme is highly sensitive to the secret key.

The ability to resist differential attacks depends on the “sensitivity to the changes” in plain image. Stronger sensitivity affords greater resistance to differential attacks. Let \( P_1 = \{P_1(i, j)\}_{i=1,j=1}^{m,m} \) denote a plain image. After selecting a pixel of this plain image randomly and flipping its least significant bit, we can obtain a new plain image \( P_2 = \{P_2(i, j)\}_{i=1,j=1}^{m,m} \). The corresponding cipher images are \( E_1 = \{E_1(i, j)\}_{i=1,j=1}^{m,m} \) and \( E_2 = \{E_2(i, j)\}_{i=1,j=1}^{m,m} \), respectively. To represent the sensitivity of the encryption scheme to plain image, two parameters, the Number of Pixels Change Rate (NPCR) and the Unified Average Changing Intensity (UACI), are introduced and defined by

\[
\text{NPCR} = \frac{1}{M \times N} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left| E_1(i, j) - E_2(i, j) \right| \times 100\% \tag{28}
\]

\[
\text{UACI} = \frac{1}{M \times N} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{E_1(i, j) - E_2(i, j)}{2^n - 1} \right] \times 100\% \tag{29}
\]

where \( n \) is the bits of the pixels and \( M \) and \( N \) represent the width and height of the test images, respectively. If \( E_1(i, j) \) is equal to \( E_2(i, j) \), then \( D(i, j) = 0 \); otherwise, \( D(i, j) = 1 \). Ideal NPCR and UACI values can be calculated by the following equations, respectively.

\[
\text{NPCR}_E = (1 - 2^{-n}) \times 100\% \tag{29}
\]

\[
\text{UACI}_E = \frac{2^{n-1}}{2^n - 1} \times 100\% \tag{30}
\]

If \( n = 8 \), the ideal NPCR and UACI values are 99.6094% and 33.4635%, respectively.

The NPCR and UACI values of the five images are shown in Table 2. To demonstrate the broad applicability of the improved scheme, we used different natural plain images and selected pixel multiple times randomly to obtain the average values. Note that our improved scheme requires only one-round encryption process and ideal values of NPCR and
Table 1: Percentage difference between two cipher images before and after adding $10^{-15}$ to $u_0$ (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Boat</th>
<th>Baboon</th>
<th>Couple</th>
<th>Peppers</th>
<th>Lena</th>
<th>Cameraman</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Figure 3: Sensitivity to small changes in the key: (a) image encrypted by key $K_1$; (b) image encrypted by key $K_2$; and (c) difference image between (a) and (b).

Figure 4: Image decryption using correct and incorrect keys: (a) image encrypted by key $K_1$; (b) deciphering image by correct key $K_1$; and (c) deciphering image by incorrect key $K_2$.

Table 2: NPCR and UACI values of different images by different algorithms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>NPCR (%)</th>
<th>UACI (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>99.61</td>
<td>99.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peppers</td>
<td>99.61</td>
<td>99.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple</td>
<td>99.63</td>
<td>99.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameraman</td>
<td>99.63</td>
<td>99.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat</td>
<td>99.63</td>
<td>99.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NPCR have been achieved without encrypting more than one round. As shown in Table 2, the NPCR values are close to the ideal value (i.e., 99.6094%), and the UACI values range from 33.3% to 33.6%. Relative to the NPCR, the proposed scheme is better than [22] and comparable to [17, 21, 23]. For UACI, the improved scheme demonstrates the best performance. Hence, changing one pixel of plain image influences nearly all pixels of the cipher image with the improved scheme, and this demonstrates the better security property of the proposed scheme against differential attacks.

5.2. Computational and Complexity Analysis. The permutation phase of the improved scheme is the same as that of the original scheme. As a result, the total complexity of the permutation phase is approximate to $\Theta(8 \times m \times m)$. The time complexity of the diffusion process is $\Theta(m \times m)$, which is similar to that of the original algorithm. Therefore, the total time complexity of the proposed algorithm is $\Theta(8 \times m \times m)$. The execution time of the improved scheme, the original scheme, and other algorithms are given in Table 3. For accuracy, we encrypted different natural images multiple times to obtain the average value by using different algorithms. As shown in Table 3, the operating speed of the improved scheme is better.
than those in [17, 21, 22] but less than that in [23]. Moreover, the execution efficiency of the improved scheme is somewhat higher than that of the original scheme. It is because the shift steps and the direction of each row are determined by the available key vectors $d_i$ and $p_i$ in our permutation phase. In contrast, in the original scheme, they are computed row by row. Besides, the execution time of the improved algorithm would be at least an order of magnitude slower than those reported in [17, 21–23] when they were executed in a different environment without optimization.

5.3. Visual and Statistical Analysis

5.3.1. Histogram Analysis. Histogram analysis can demonstrate the uniformity of a distribution. A flat histogram indicates a good cipher image obtained by a secure encryption algorithm. The comparative experiments with the original and improved algorithms are shown in Figure 5, and the corresponding histograms are shown in Figure 6. In Figure 5(b), it contains more information of plain image than the one in Figure 5(d), because the original permutation is based on the certain numbers of $1$s of each row, while the improved scheme is based on a secret sequence. If two adjacent rows are with equal numbers of $1$s, they would be circular-shifted with the same steps in the same direction. In Figures 6(c) and 6(e), one can deduce that the distributions of the two cipher images are nearly uniform. Therefore, both algorithms can resist statistical attack.

5.3.2. Correlation Analysis. A plain image typically has high neighbor correlation among pixels that can be weakened by an encryption algorithm. Note that better algorithms lead to weaker correlation. The correlations of adjacent pixels of plain image are compared to those of the cipher image, and the results are shown in Figures 7–9. Relative to the correlation, the improved algorithm is comparable to the original algorithm. The correlation coefficients are computed by

$$r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} (x_i - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} x_j)(x_n - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} x_j)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{M} (x_i - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} x_j)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{M} (x_n - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} x_j)^2}}.$$

where $x_i$ and $x_n$ denote the $i$th pair of neighboring pixels of the image and $M$ is the total number of pairs. The correlation coefficients of different algorithms are shown in Tables 4 and 5. As shown in Table 4, all correlation coefficients of the plain images are close to 1, and those of the cipher images are close to 0. Based on the data shown in Table 5, it can be concluded that the coefficients of the improved algorithm in the horizontal, vertical, and diagonal directions are less than those of algorithms found in [21–23], and the improved algorithm’s coefficients in the horizontal and vertical directions (but not the diagonal direction) are less than those of the original algorithm. By using the original algorithm, coefficients in the horizontal direction are greater than those in the vertical and diagonal directions. It is because two adjacent rows with equal numbers of $1$s are circular-shifted with the same steps in the same direction, which increases the correlation coefficient in the horizontal direction. This shortcoming of the original algorithm has been remedied by the proposed improved algorithm. The analysis above demonstrates that the improved algorithm can effectively break the correlation between adjacent pixels.

5.3.3. Information Entropy Analysis. Information entropy is an important indicator reflecting the randomness of information. A secure cipher image demonstrates large statistical randomness and large information entropy. The information entropy of an image can be computed as follows:

$$H(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} p(x_i) \log_2 (p(x_i)),$$

where $p(x_i)$ denotes the probability of pixel value $x_i$ and $2^n$ is the total status number of $x$. The signal source of entropy
Figure 6: Histograms corresponding to the images in Figure 5.

Figure 7: Correlation distribution of adjacent pixels in the horizon direction: (a) plain image; (b) cipher image (original algorithm); and (c) cipher image (improved algorithm).

Figure 8: Correlation distribution of adjacent pixels in the vertical direction: (a) plain image; (b) cipher image (original algorithm); and (c) cipher image (improved algorithm).

Figure 9: Correlation distribution of adjacent pixels in diagonal direction: (a) plain image; (b) cipher image (original algorithm); and (c) cipher image (improved algorithm).
Table 4: Correlation coefficients in different directions by different algorithms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>0.9456</td>
<td>−0.0086</td>
<td>−0.0066</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>0.9727</td>
<td>−0.0102</td>
<td>−0.0089</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>−0.0013</td>
<td>−0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagonal</td>
<td>0.9213</td>
<td>−0.0125</td>
<td>0.0424</td>
<td>−0.0227</td>
<td>−0.0099</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peppers</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>0.9664</td>
<td>−0.0089</td>
<td>0.0194</td>
<td>0.00071</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>−0.0017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>0.9738</td>
<td>−0.0113</td>
<td>−0.0091</td>
<td>−0.0065</td>
<td>−0.0016</td>
<td>−0.0033</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagonal</td>
<td>0.9423</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.0123</td>
<td>−0.0165</td>
<td>−0.0012</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>0.9299</td>
<td>−0.0178</td>
<td>−0.0122</td>
<td>−0.0236</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
<td>−0.0056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>0.8878</td>
<td>−0.0025</td>
<td>0.0262</td>
<td>−0.0045</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>−0.0017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagonal</td>
<td>0.8382</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>−0.0257</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>−0.0085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameraman</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>0.9335</td>
<td>−0.0211</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>−0.0047</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>0.9592</td>
<td>−0.0103</td>
<td>−0.0142</td>
<td>−0.0195</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>−0.0020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagonal</td>
<td>0.9087</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.0279</td>
<td>−0.0010</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>0.9494</td>
<td>−0.0054</td>
<td>−0.0189</td>
<td>−0.0295</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>0.9553</td>
<td>−0.0009</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>−0.0150</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagonal</td>
<td>0.9118</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>−0.0204</td>
<td>−0.0224</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Average correlation coefficients of different algorithms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>0.01236</td>
<td>0.01268</td>
<td>0.01320</td>
<td>0.01177</td>
<td>0.00398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>0.00704</td>
<td>0.01174</td>
<td>0.00778</td>
<td>0.00208</td>
<td>0.00143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagonal</td>
<td>0.00502</td>
<td>0.02350</td>
<td>0.01822</td>
<td>0.00322</td>
<td>0.00415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Information entropies of different images based on different algorithms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>7.4347</td>
<td>7.9991</td>
<td>7.9951</td>
<td>7.9965</td>
<td>7.9980</td>
<td>7.9965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peppers</td>
<td>7.5680</td>
<td>7.9973</td>
<td>7.9965</td>
<td>7.9958</td>
<td>7.9985</td>
<td>7.9985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple</td>
<td>7.1685</td>
<td>7.9987</td>
<td>7.9951</td>
<td>7.9980</td>
<td>7.9978</td>
<td>7.9976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameraman</td>
<td>7.0997</td>
<td>7.9966</td>
<td>7.9955</td>
<td>7.9964</td>
<td>7.9966</td>
<td>7.9985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat</td>
<td>7.0944</td>
<td>7.9979</td>
<td>7.9960</td>
<td>7.9959</td>
<td>7.9978</td>
<td>7.9981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>7.9979</td>
<td>7.9956</td>
<td>7.9965</td>
<td>7.9977</td>
<td>7.9978</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( n \) can generate \( 2^n \) characters. Thus, the ideal information entropy value should be 8 for a 256-gray-level cipher image. If the information entropy of a cipher image is close to 8, the distribution is close to a random distribution. The information entropies of different images obtained by using different algorithms are summarized in Table 6, which shows that the entropies of all encryption algorithms are close to 8. The improved algorithm has average information entropy of 7.9978, which is better than [17, 22, 23] and comparable to [21].

6. Conclusion

This paper has analyzed a recent chaos-based image encryption algorithm with circular inter-intra-pixels bit-level permutation. It was found that the scheme can be cracked by known-plaintext attacks. In addition, two defects in the original scheme have been identified and discussed. One is the fixed steps and directions of the circular shift, which causes the vulnerability to known-plaintext attacks. The other is the lack of secure diffusion, which causes the vulnerability to chosen-plaintext attacks. To improve the security of the original scheme, we employ the PRNG in the improved scheme to generate random directions and steps in the permutation process and execute the single-round DCD in the diffusion phase. Moreover, the performances of the improved scheme, including statistical, differential, adjacent correlative, and time complexity analyses, have been tested experimentally. The improved scheme has been proven superior or at least comparable to the original and other existing schemes. In the future, we plan to optimize the newly proposed scheme to improve encryption speed.
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