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1. Introduction

Functionally graded materials (FGM) [1] are a newly developed material in recent years. Their distinguishing feature is that the composition of the material presents a continuous gradient change in the direction of thickness. The feature and function of the material also appear as a gradient change.

A large number of domestic and abroad scholars had done a lot of research on the mechanical problems of functionally graded materials [2, 3]. Tornabene [4] applied the Generalized Differential Quadrature (GDQ) Method to study four parameters functionally graded and laminated composite shells and panels of revolution. The free vibration of functional gradient materials under mechanical loading and temperature loading was analyzed using Peano-Baker series method by Liu [5]. The dynamic characteristic analytical solution of the quadrilateral and rhombic function gradient plate was obtained by using the beam function group [6]. Tornabene [7] analyzed the dynamic of doubly curved shell structures, and the natural frequencies and mode shapes of several structures are presented and compared to numerical solutions taken from the literature. Viola [8] studied the dynamic behavior of moderately thick functionally graded parabolic panels of revolution and was concerned with the influence of the three parameters of the power-law distribution on their mechanical behavior. Reddy [9] adopted the first-order shear deformation theory to study the structural response of the functional gradient plate structure under the thermomachine coupling. Based on the first-order shear deformation theory (FSDT), Tornabene [10] focused on the dynamic behavior of moderately thick functionally graded parabolic panels and shells of revolution and illustrated the influence of the parameters of the power-law distribution on the mechanical behavior of shell structures. And furthermore the study concerned six types of shell structures that illustrate the influence of the power-law exponent, of the power-law distribution and of the choice of the four parameters on the mechanical behavior of shell structures considered [11].

Due to physical performance parameters of functionally gradient materials with the coordinate changing continuously, the constant coefficient differential equation turns into the variable coefficient differential equation while the theory of elastic body deformation is derived; it is difficult to solve such problem by analytical method. The numerical method is used to solve complex problem while the large computation is in process. The analytical solution is very difficult to obtain, while the precision of the solution will be declined for numerical method for FGM structure, and it also requires a lot of computational memories while using numerical method. In recent years, the researchers have proposed a seminumerical and semianalytic method, that is called the strip element method (SEM) [20], which combined the accuracy of the analytical method with the efficiency of the numerical method.

This study considered the boundary and initially applied strip element method to functionally graded materials structure. Meanwhile this paper firstly considered the displacement response of FGM structure with variable gradient parameters. The paper obtained the displacement response of functionally graded material structure under mechanical loading and further investigated the relationship between the gradient parameters and displacement response of functionally graded material structure. It provides guidance for the application of FGM.

2. Strip Element Method Theory

The strip element method is a series of strip elements separated by the solution domain as shown in Figure 1. The displacement function is the continuous function of \( x \) direction, and the displacement of the element is obtained through the line displacement interpolation.

Based on the finite element method in \( y \) direction, it is assumed that the displacement functions of functionally graded materials are

\[
U = N(y) V(x) \exp(-i\omega t),
\]

where \( N(y) \) represents matrix of shape functions for displacement interpolation; \( V(x) \) represents continuous function of \( x \) direction; \( i \) represents a complex unit; \( \omega \) represents circle frequency; \( t \) represents the computing time.

The shape functions can be derived from the displacement function of a single element. The matrix of shape functions \( N(y) \) [20] is

\[
N(y) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 - \frac{3y}{h} + \frac{2y^2}{h^2} & \frac{y}{h} - \frac{y^2}{h^2} & \frac{y}{h} + 2\frac{y^2}{h^2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( h \) represents element thickness; \( I \) represents the second order identity matrix.

According to Kauel's equation, the dynamic equilibrium equation of the system is

\[
ρ\ddot{U} - L^T σ = 0
\]

where \( U \) represents displacement vector; \( L \) represent differential operator matrix; \( σ \) represents stress vector and \( σ = [σ_x, σ_y, τ_{xy}]^T \); superscript \( T \) denotes transpose, where the expression for \( L \) is

\[
L = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{∂}{∂x} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{∂}{∂y} \\
\frac{∂}{∂y} & \frac{∂}{∂x}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

It can be seen that the internal displacement of the element is obtained by the interpolation of the nodal displacement from (1). The residual value must be generated in the interpolation calculation, and the residual value is expressed as follows:

\[
W = ρ\ddot{U} - L^T σ
\]

Since the internal displacement function of the element is interpolated by the nodal displacement, the residual value must exist in the element; then \( W \neq 0 \).
According to the principle of virtual work, for a deformed body in equilibrium state, the total virtual work done by the external force is equal to the sum of the work done by the internal force and the work done by the residual value, as follows:

\[ \delta V(x)^T F = \delta V(x)^T S + \int_0^h \delta U^T W \ dy \]  

(6)

where \( \delta V \) is tiny virtual displacement, \( F \) represents external mechanical load, and \( S \) represents nodal stress vector.

Given an external load as

\[ F = \overline{F} \exp(-i\omega t) \]  

(7)

where \( \overline{F} \) represents external load amplitude, the element stress is

\[ S^T = \begin{bmatrix} R_1^T | y=0 & R_1^T | y=h/2 & R_1^T | y=h \end{bmatrix} \]  

(8)

where stress \( R_x \) is

\[ R_x = L^T_x c L U \]  

(9)

where \( L_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \); \( c \) is the elastic constant matrix; \( c = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33} \end{bmatrix} \).

The displacement function is substituted into the virtual work equation based on the theory of thin plate deformation and we obtain

\[ \overline{F} = -A_1 \frac{\partial^2 V(x)}{\partial x^2} + A_1 \frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x} + A_0 V(x) \]  

\[ - \omega^2 M V(x) \]  

(10)

where \( A_0, A_1, \) and \( A_2 \) represent coefficient matrix. \( M \) represents mass matrix.

It can be seen from (10) that the governing equation obtained by element discretization is the ordinary differential equation of \( x \), which reduces the difficulty of the solution; it also reflects the idea of \( y \) direction discretization.

The coefficient matrix is

\[ A_0 = \frac{1}{3h} \begin{bmatrix} 7D_{xx} & -8D_{xx} & D_{xx} \\ -8D_{xx} & 16D_{xx} & -8D_{xx} \\ D_{xx} & -8D_{xx} & 7D_{xx} \end{bmatrix} \]  

(11)

\[ A_1 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 3(D_{xy} - D'_{xy}) & -4D_{xy} & D_{xy} \\ 4D_{xy} & 0 & -4D_{xy} \\ -D_{xy} & 4D_{xy} & 3(D_{xy} - D'_{xy}) \end{bmatrix} \]  

(12)

\[ A_2 = \frac{h}{30} \begin{bmatrix} 4D_{yy} & 2D_{yy} & -D_{yy} \\ 2D_{yy} & 16D_{yy} & 2D_{yy} \\ -D_{yy} & 2D_{yy} & 4D_{yy} \end{bmatrix} \]  

(13)

where

\[ D_{xx} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{13} \\ c_{13} & c_{33} \end{bmatrix}; \]  

\[ D_{yy} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{33} & c_{23} \\ c_{23} & c_{22} \end{bmatrix}; \]  

\[ D_{xy} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \left( 2c_{13} + c_{33} + c_{12} \right) \\ c_{33} + c_{12} \end{bmatrix}; \]  

\[ D'_{xy} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{13} & c_{12} \\ c_{33} & c_{23} \end{bmatrix}. \]  

(14)

The expression for the mass matrix is

\[ M = \int_0^h \rho N(y)^T N(y) \ dy \]  

(15)

Assembling all elements, (10) becomes as

\[ \overline{F}_t = \left[ -A_{2t} \frac{\partial V_t(x)}{\partial x^2} + A_{1t} \frac{\partial V_t(x)}{\partial x} + A_{0t} V_t(x) \right. \]  

\[ \left. - \omega^2 M_t V_t(x) \right] \]  

(16)

where \( A_{0t}, A_{1t}, \) and \( A_{2t} \) are the coefficients matrix for the element assembly in the solving domain; \( M_t \) is the mass assembly matrix; \( \overline{F}_t \) is the external mechanical load; \( V_t(x) \) is the displacement function in the solving domain.

Assume that the displacement function form is

\[ V_t(x) = d_t \exp(i\omega x) \]  

(17)

Assume that external mechanical load form is

\[ \overline{F}_t = P_t \exp(i\omega x) \]  

(18)

Substituting (17) and (18) into (16), we obtain

\[ \overline{F}_t = \left[ k^2 A_{2t} + ikA_{1t} + A_{0t} - \omega^2 M_t \right] d_t = 0 \]  

(19)

\( P_t \) represents the load acting on the nodal line, while \( P_t = 0 \) (19) is transformed into a homogeneous equation and the eigenvalue equation for the \( k \) is obtained.

\[ \left[ k^2 A_{2t} + ikA_{1t} + A_{0t} - \omega^2 M_t \right] d_t = 0 \]  

(20)

Equation (20) can be written

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \omega^2 M_t - A_{0t} & -iA_{1t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_t \\ k d_t \end{bmatrix} = 0 \]  

(21)

The \( 2M (M = 6N - 2(N - 1) = 4N - 2) \) eigenvalue is obtained by solving (21), \( N \) is the element number, and the eigenvectors corresponding to the \( j \) eigenvalues are represented by \( \phi_j \)

\[ \phi_j = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1j} & \phi_{2j} & \cdots & \phi_{Mj} \end{bmatrix} \]  

(22)
By means of the modal superposition method, the solution of the equation can be expressed as

\[ V_t = \sum_{j=1}^{2M} C_j \phi_j \exp \left( ik_j x \right) = G(x) C \]  

(23)

where

\[ G(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 
\phi_{1,1} X_1 & \phi_{1,2} X_2 & \cdots & \phi_{1,2M} X_j \\
\phi_{2,1} X_1 & \phi_{2,2} X_2 & \cdots & \phi_{2,2M} X_j \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\phi_{M,1} X_1 & \phi_{M,2} X_2 & \cdots & \phi_{M,2M} X_j 
\end{bmatrix} \]  

(24)

\[ X_j = \exp \left( ik_j x \right) \]  

(25)

Equation (23) is a fundamental solution of the equation. There are 2M constants \( C \) in this fundamental solution, so it is necessary to determine the unknown coefficients by the boundary conditions.

Since (23) is the fundamental solution in the problem domain, the displacement at any point satisfies (23) and the boundary conditions.

By means of the modal superposition method, the solution of Equation (23) is obtained as follows:

\[ V_{bt} = \sum_{j=1}^{2M} C_j \phi_j \exp \left( ik_j x \right) = G(x) C \]  

(26)

That can be written as

\[ G(x) C = V_{bt} \]  

(27)

In the same way, on the left side

\[ G(x^L) C = V_{bt}^L \]  

(28)

Equations (27) and (28) are assembled and sorted, and the constant \( C \) expression can be obtained

\[ C = G^{-1} V_{bt} = \begin{bmatrix} G(x^R) \\ G(x^L) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} V_{bt} \]  

(29)

where \( V_{bt} \) is the displacement at the boundary

\[ V_{bt} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{bt}^R \\ V_{bt}^L \end{bmatrix} \]  

(30)

By (24), \( G(x^R) \) can be expressed as

\[ G(x^R) = \begin{bmatrix} 
\phi_{1,1} X_1^R & \phi_{1,2} X_2^R & \cdots & \phi_{1,2M} X_j^R \\
\phi_{2,1} X_1^R & \phi_{2,2} X_2^R & \cdots & \phi_{2,2M} X_j^R \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\phi_{M,1} X_1^R & \phi_{M,2} X_2^R & \cdots & \phi_{M,2M} X_j^R 
\end{bmatrix} \]  

(31)

\[ X_j^R = \exp \left( ik_j x^R \right) \]  

(32)

The superscript \( R \) represents the right boundary.

Similarly, the left boundary condition has the same form as the displacement matrix at the right boundary. The difference between the two forms is that the \( x \) coordinate value at the right boundary is changed into the \( x \) coordinate value at the left boundary.

\[ X_j^L = \exp \left( ik_j x^L \right) \]  

(33)

### 3. Application of the Boundary Conditions

The stress vector of the internal element can be expressed as

\[ R_e = D_{xx} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + D_{xy} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \]  

(34)

Substituting (1) into (34), we have

\[ R = R_1 V(x) + R_2 \frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x} \]  

(35)

where \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are element coefficient matrices. \( R_1 \) is

\[ R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 
D_{yy} & -4D_{yy} & 3D_{yy} \\
-D_{yy} & 0 & D_{xy} \\
-3D_{yy} & 4D_{yy} & -D_{yy} \end{bmatrix} \]  

(36)

\[ R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 
D_{yx} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & D_{yx} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & D_{yx} \end{bmatrix} \]  

(37)

Calculate \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) for all elements, and obtain the overall stress vector \( R_t \) as follows:

\[ R_t = R_{bt} V_t(x) + R_{bt} \frac{\partial V_t(x)}{\partial x} \]  

(38)

where \( R_{bt} \) and \( R_{bt}^L \) are the assemble matrix of the element coefficient matrix.

The displacement is simplified by using the left and right boundaries

\[ \frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x} = \sum_{j=1}^{2M} i k_j \exp \left( ik_j x \right) \cdot c_j = G' \cdot C \]  

(39)

The stress vector of the inner nodal line is equal to the average value of the stress vector of the adjacent left and right elements. We obtain

\[ R_{bt} = K V_{bt} \]  

(40)

In (40), \( R_{bt} = [R_{bt}^R \ R_{bt}^L] \) is an external load acting on the left and right boundaries, where the stiffness matrix \( K \) is

\[ K = \begin{bmatrix} 
R_{bt} & 0 \\
0 & R_{bt} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 
R_{bt} G_{1}^{-1} \ G_{1}^{-1} \\
R_{bt} G_{1}^{-1} \ G_{1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \]  

(41)
Table 1: Physical properties of 1Cr_{18}Ni_{11}Nb and Si_{3}N_{4} materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Modulus of elasticity/MPa</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1Cr_{18}Ni_{11}Nb</td>
<td>2.38 x 10^{5}</td>
<td>0.3177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si_{3}N_{4}</td>
<td>3.22 x 10^{5}</td>
<td>0.2400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In (41), $G^{Ri}$ and $G^{Li}$ have the same expressions as $G^R$ and $G^L$. The difference is that $G^{Ri}$ and $G^R$ in $X^i$ and $X^l$ are replaced by $X^{Ri} = ik_j \exp(ik_x x^R)$ and $X^l_j = ik_j \exp(ik_x x^l)$, respectively.

Equation (40) reflects the relationship between the stress and displacement boundary conditions by (40); a constant $C$ can be obtained by substituting the boundary displacement into (29); from (23) and (1) the displacement can be obtained in the solving problem.

4. Establishment of Gradient Parameter Model

The functional gradient materials studied in this paper and the model is composite by metal and ceramic materials. The metal material is 1Cr_{18}Ni_{11}Nb and the ceramic material is Si_{3}N_{4}. The physical properties of the two materials are shown in Table 1.

Because functionally graded materials are continuously changed by different materials according to the design requirements, the physical performance parameters of the materials are expressed as a function of the volume fraction of the material, the physical properties of the materials, and the content of components; it denotes

$$E(y) = (E_C - E_M) Q_C + E_M$$
$$\nu(y) = (\nu_C - \nu_M) Q_C + \nu_M$$
$$\rho(y) = (\rho_C - \rho_M) Q_C + \rho_M$$

(42)

where $E(y)$, $\nu(y)$, and $\rho(y)$ are the elastic modulus, Poisson’s ratio, and density of FGM plates, respectively. $E_C$, $\nu_C$, and $\rho_C$ are the elastic modulus, Poisson’s ratio, and density of ceramic materials, respectively. $E_M$, $\nu_M$, and $\rho_M$ are the elastic modulus, Poisson’s ratio, and density of metal materials, respectively. $Q_C$ is the volume fraction of the ceramic.

To ensure the continuity of the material, the sum of the metal volume fraction and the ceramic volume fraction at any location of the material is 1.

$$Q_C + Q_M = 1$$

(43)

where $Q_M$ is the volume fraction of metals.

The volume fraction change function of the functionally graded metal is

$$Q_M = \left(1 - a \left(1 - \frac{y}{H}\right) + b \left(1 - \frac{y}{H}\right)^p \right)$$

(44)

where $y$ is the vertical position and $0 \leq y \leq H$; $H$ is FGM plate thickness; $p \geq 0$ is the gradient parameters.

By (44), it can be seen that when $p = 0$, $Q_M = 1$ and $Q_C = 0$. Substituting that into (42) we have $E(y) = E_M$, $\nu(y) = \nu_M$, and $\rho(y) = \rho_M$ and functionally graded material degenerates into pure metal homogeneous material. When $p$ tends to infinity, $Q_C$ tends to 1 and (42) can be obtained $E(y) = E_C$, $\nu(y) = \nu_C$, and $\rho(y) = \rho_C$ and the material is reduced to a pure ceramic homogeneous material.

When $a = 1$ and $b = 0$ are functionally graded materials, the volume fraction of metals varies as shown in Figure 2. Figure 2 can be shown: when $y = 0$, metal volume fraction is 0 and this position is pure ceramic material; when $y = H$, metal volume fraction is equal to 1 and this position is the pure metal homogeneous material. So when $a = 1$ and $b = 0$, the transition of materials is a continuous transition of ceramic to metal. When the gradient parameter $p = 1$, the change of the volume fraction of the functionally graded material is continuous linear change. When the gradient parameter is lesser than 1, the change of the metal volume fraction decreases. When the gradient parameter is greater than 1, the metal volume fraction of the functional gradient material is slower in the early and then the volume fraction is accelerated with the thickness increased.

5. Computational Model

5.1. Validation of Strip Element Method. Based on the finite element (FEM) theory, we used ANSYS to verify the results of SEM solution. The model of Figure 3 is solved by using the strip element method and finite element method. In Figure 3, $L = 100$ mm, $H = 100$ mm, the lower boundary is fixed, $q = 100$ N/mm, and uniform load is applied on the upper boundary. The solution domain is divided into 20 elements and the material properties in each element are metal material. The metallic material property is shown in Table 1. The displacement of $x$-direction and $y$-direction at $y = 10$ mm, $y = 50$ mm, and $y = 90$ mm is shown in Figures 4 and 5 by strip element method and finite element method (FEM).

From Figures 4 and 5 we can see that the displacement obtained by strip element method and finite element method in $x$-direction and $y$-direction at different position is almost
the same. From $y = 10$ mm and $y = 50$ mm to $y = 90$ mm, the displacement in $y$-direction is increased. The accuracy of the strip element method is verified.

5.2. The Influence of Element Number for the Results. In the calculation process, because of the discrete of the solving domain, the size of the element has an influence on the accuracy of the displacement solution. Figures 6 and 7 are the $x$-direction displacement and the $y$-direction displacement at $y = 10$ mm using 10 elements, 20 elements, and 50 elements, respectively. It can be known that displacement results have small difference when the element numbers are 10 and 20, while when the element numbers are 20 and 50, the result difference is not obvious, so in this calculation the element number is set to 20 for ensuring that precision and calculation speed.

5.3. Structural Response Analysis of Functionally Graded Materials. The displacements with different gradient parameters are shown in Figure 8 at $y = 10$ mm in the $x$ direction. It can be seen from Figure 8, with the increase of gradient coefficient, that the displacement response decreases, and this is due to the fact that metal volume fraction decreases in the functionally graded materials and ceramic volume fraction increases, causing the structure stiffness to increase. In addition, the displacement in the $x$ direction at $y = 10$ mm has nonlinear characteristics.

The displacements with different gradient parameters are shown in Figure 9 at $y = 10$ mm in the $y$ direction. It can be seen from Figure 9 that the displacement in $y$ direction at $y = 10$ mm with the increase of $x$ coordinate has the characteristics of first increasing, then decreasing, and then increasing. As the gradient parameter increases, the

---

**Figure 3:** Physical model of plane problem.

**Figure 4:** The displacement in $x$-direction in different position by FEM and SEM.

**Figure 5:** The displacement in $y$-direction in different position by FEM and SEM.

**Figure 6:** Calculation results of $x$ direction displacement at $y = 10$ mm.

**Figure 7:** Calculation results of $y$ direction displacement at $y = 10$ mm.

**Figure 8:** The displacement with different gradient parameters at $y = 10$ mm in the $x$ direction.

**Figure 9:** The displacement with different gradient parameters at $y = 10$ mm in the $y$ direction.
displacement of the same position decreases. As the gradient coefficient increases, the influence of the gradient coefficient for the displacement response decreases gradually. 

\textbf{x} direction of displacement is shown in Figures 10 and 11 at \( y = 50 \) mm and \( y = 90 \) mm. They have the same law at \( y = 50 \) mm and \( y = 90 \) mm. The displacement absolute value of \textbf{x} direction with respect to \( x = 50 \) mm is symmetrical and, with the increase of gradient coefficient, \textbf{x} direction displacement is reduced; this is due to the increase of the gradient coefficient; the ceramics content of the material keeps increasing causing the structure stiffness to increase.

\textbf{y} direction of displacement is shown in Figures 12 and 13 at \( y = 50 \) mm and \( y = 90 \) mm. It can be seen that the displacement in \textbf{y} direction has class parabolic distribution, and as the change of spatial coordinates, the curve is approximately changed to straight with the increase of gradient coefficient, structural stiffness is gradually increased and the displacement response under external loading is reduced.

\textbf{6. Conclusion}

This paper presents the variable gradient parameters model of the functional gradient materials. The displacement response of considering different gradient parameters model is analyzed in mechanics loading using the strip element method; there are some useful results.
(1) The displacement response result is almost the same by using finite element method and strip element method, so as to verify the validation of the strip element method.

(2) With the ceramic volume fraction increasing, the metal volume fraction decreases in the functionally graded materials, the structure stiffness increases, and displacement response decreases.

(3) As the gradient coefficient increases, the influence of the gradient coefficient on the structure displacement response decreases gradually.
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