Research Article

Research on Hydrodynamics with Water Temperature Characteristics and Spring Algal Blooms in a Typical Tributary Bay of Three Gorges Reservoir

Yun Lang,1,2 LingLing Wang,1,2 Xin Cai,3 and Zijun Hu4

1 State Key Laboratory of Hydrology-Water Resources and Hydraulic Engineering, Nanjing, China
2 College of water Conservancy and Hydropower Engineering, Hohai University, Nanjing, China
3 College of Mechanics and Materials, Hohai University, Nanjing, China
4 Power China Huadong Engineering Corporation Limited, China

Correspondence should be addressed to LingLing Wang; 706584934@qq.com

Received 4 April 2018; Revised 3 September 2018; Accepted 19 September 2018; Published 3 January 2019

Abstract

After the impoundment of the Three Gorges Reservoir (TGR) in China, water environment problems induced by water temperature stratification in the Xiangxi Bay (XXB, a typical tributary bay of TGR) received wide attention. In this study, a 3-dimensional (3D) hydrodynamic and water temperature coupled model with the z-coordinate in the vertical direction was established with Delft3D software to simulate the continuous hydrodynamic and water temperature process of XXB in 2009, and the static stability and mixing depth were also analyzed. The results show that the upstream inflow is prevented from entering TGR with nutrients enriching and mixing in the upper and middle reach of XXB from winter to early spring, which is the primary cause of spring algal blooms in XXB. Therefore, measures such as improving the upstream hydrodynamic conditions and forcing the nutrients to flow into TGR in winter should be more effective in alleviating spring algal blooms than the artificial tide operation of TGR proposed by previous studies.

1. Introduction

Large-scale hydropower projects often cause environmental and ecological problems, such as harmful algal blooms [1, 2]. The completion of the Three Gorges Reservoir (TGR, China) on the Yangtze River in 2006 significantly elevated the water level and formed many reservoir bays in the upper tributaries. Xiangxi Bay (XXB), 32 km from the Three Gorges Dam, is the nearest and largest tributary bay in the upper reach of TGR, and spring algal blooms have been observed for many years [3, 4]. Long-term field monitoring concluded that specific water temperature stratification and hydrodynamic conditions were the important driving forces of spring algal blooms in the backwater region of TGR [5, 6]. Researchers suggested that a tide-type operation of TGR would be beneficial in reducing bloom frequencies in the tributary bays [7–9], but the operation was restricted by many factors such as flood control, water supply, and power supply of TGR. Moreover, transferring the hydrodynamic impact of the tide-type operation to the tributary bays of TGR is difficult, and therefore more operable measures are needed to alleviate spring algal blooms in the tributary bays.

To propose measures for spring algal blooms alleviation in XXB, a hydrodynamic-water temperature coupled numerical model was built to analyze the continuous hydrodynamic and water temperature process. Previous numerical studies of XXB were often based on vertical 2-dimensional (2D) [10] or 3-dimensional (3D) models with the $\sigma$-coordinate in the vertical direction [11, 12]. However, 2D models lack the ability to accurately simulate the secondary flow effects caused by the meandering reach of XXB. 3D models with the $\sigma$-coordinate would also cause large truncation error of the baroclinic pressure gradient force and the false water temperature stratification along the coordinate planes [13, 14]. Thus, a 3D model with the z-coordinate in the vertical
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area. The Xiangxi River (110°25′–111°06′ E, 30°57′–31°34′ N; Figure 1) is the nearest tributary in the upstream of the Three Gorges Dam (32 km), drains a 3095 km² watershed, and has a length of 94 km. After the initial water storage of TGR in June 2003, the Xiangxi River became a deep reservoir bay, and the backwater region extended to 40 km when the water level of TGR reached its designed level of 175 m. The peak discharge generally occurs from July to August and can reach 400 m³·s⁻¹, while the rainfall in December to February is relatively less. The hydrologic characteristic in the Xiangxi River shows no obvious difference before and after the impoundment of TGR.

2.2. Model Description and Application. The Delft3D numerical model with the z-coordinate in the vertical direction is adopted to solve the incompressible shallow water equations, employing the Boussinesq assumption and approximation. The source and sink terms are used to represent the interlayer water exchange, specifically the quasi-3D approximation. The details of the governing equations and numerical methods can be found in the technical manual of the software [18]. The vertical water temperature distribution is simulated with the heat transfer conservation between incoming (solar shortwave and atmospheric long-wave radiation) and outgoing (convection, evaporation, and back radiation) sources. The heat exchange between water and river bed is assumed to be zero. The net heat increase in the study area is therefore equal to the increase of water temperature. The partial differential equations, in combination with an appropriate set of both initial and boundary conditions, are solved on finite difference orthogonal curved mesh. Since the maximum sediment concentration in XXB is only 0.8 kg·m⁻³, its effect on water density can be negligible. The UNESCO formulation [19] is chosen to construct for the equation of state:

\[
\rho = 999.842594 + 6.793952 \cdot 10^{-2} T - 9.095290 \cdot 10^{-3} T^2 + 1.001685 \cdot 10^{-4} T^3 - 1.120083 \cdot 10^{-6} T^4 + 6.536332 \cdot 10^{-9} T^5,
\]

where \( \rho \) is water density (kg·m⁻³) and \( T \) is water temperature (°C).

The number of grids in the horizontal direction is 25 × 192, with an average grid length of 100 m. The maximum number of layers in the vertical direction was 92, and the thicknesses of vertical layers is 0.5~2 m. The time step is set to 1 min according to the convergence criteria of Delft3D software.

2.3. Definite Conditions. The modeling period was from 1 January to 31 December in 2009. The initial conditions of hydrodynamics and water temperature were calculated by reiterating the process in January 1, 2009, until the temperature difference between the two periods was less than 0.1°C. The monthly averaged meteorological data for air temperature, relative humidity, sky cloudiness, and solar radiation were adopted. The upstream inflow boundary condition included the monitored discharge and water temperature distribution at Xingshan Station, and the water level and water temperature at Baqian Station were adopted as the boundary condition of the Xiangxi Estuary (Figure 2).

The important parameters calibrated in the XXB model included the bed roughness height \( (Z_b) \), drag coefficient \( (C_d) \), background horizontal and vertical eddy viscosity \( (v^H, v^V) \),

\[
\rho = 999.842594 + 6.793952 \cdot 10^{-2} T - 9.095290 \cdot 10^{-3} T^2 + 1.001685 \cdot 10^{-4} T^3 - 1.120083 \cdot 10^{-6} T^4 + 6.536332 \cdot 10^{-9} T^5,
\]
Table 1: Calibrated parameters in Delft3D for the XXB model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>$Z_0$ (m)</th>
<th>$C_d$ (-)</th>
<th>$\nu_{H}^{\text{back}}$ (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\nu_{V}^{\text{back}}$ (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D_H^{\text{back}}$ (m$^2$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D_V^{\text{back}}$ (m$^2$s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value used</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.255$x$$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0$x$$10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0$x$$10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Model boundary conditions: (a) discharge at Xingshan Station; (b) water level of Xiangxi Estuary; (c) water temperature of Xingshan Station and Xiangxi Estuary.

2.4 Model Calibration. To evaluate the established hydrodynamic and water temperature coupled model, RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) is used to quantify the errors between the simulated data and the observed data.

The simulated water level is in close agreement with the observed value at Xingshan Station (Figure 3), and the RMSE value is 0.219. The water level fluctuation in different days has been accurately captured, indicating good performance of the present model in simulating the hydrodynamic process in the study area.

Three observation points (OP1 to OP3, Figure 1) which represent the downstream, midstream, and upstream of XXB, respectively, are selected to monitor the water temperature variation. "Figure 4" shows that the simulated vertical water temperature distribution of the 3 observation points is consistent with the observed data. The water temperature RMSE values of the 3 observation points in XXB are displayed in "Table 2". The RMSE value of OP3 is larger than that of the other observation points, possibly because OP1 and
OP2 are in the deep backwater region of TGR with higher hydrodynamic stability than OP3. In addition, the heat transport model used in the present model assumed that all of the solar radiation is absorbed by the surface layer and the bed heat transport is zero, which would cause water temperature error in the shallow water region larger than reported [20]. In general, the simulated results can be regarded as an acceptable approximation of the actual hydrodynamics and water temperature in the study area.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Water Temperature Distribution. According to the simulated results, the water temperature stratification in X XB can be divided into 3 typical periods: the uniform distribution period (from February to March), the strong stratification period (from April to September), and the bottom stratification period (from October to December and January). February, August, and December are selected to represent each typical period, respectively.

The thalweg water temperature profiles of the 3 typical months are shown in “Figure 5”. In February, there is no thermocline because the water temperature of the upstream inflow is similar to that in TGR, with a maximum water temperature difference of only 1.1° C. In August, thermocline depth did not show strong mixing in X XB. The maximum water temperature was about 22.6° C lower than that of TGR. The intrusion flow from TGR entered X XB in the upper layer, while the upstream inflow was along the river bed (Figure 6(b)). Because of the strong water temperature stratification at the interface, the two flows in opposite directions did not show strong mixing in X XB. The maximum velocity of the upstream inflow and the intrusion flow from TGR reached 0.12 and 0.06 m s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The horizontal water exchange shows that the intrusion flow from TGR is transported to the upstream of the Xiangxi River, while the upstream inflow is transported to TGR.

In December, the water level of TGR slightly decreased from 170 m to 168 m. The upstream discharge was only 6 m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\), and the water temperature was reduced to 13.4° C (3.5° C lower than that of TGR). The upstream discharge was 5 m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\), and the water temperature was about 22.6° C (2° C lower than that of TGR). The intrusion flow from TGR to X XB was in the upper layer, while the upstream inflow was along the river bed (Figure 6(c)). It suggests that the intrusion flow from TGR is prevented from reaching to TGR, resulting in the nutrients enrichment in the river bed of X XB.

3.2. Hydrodynamic Characteristics. The simulated velocity vector fields of the 3 typical months (Figure 6) show that the hydrodynamic characteristics in X XB are influenced by water temperature distribution.

In February, the water level of TGR was maintained at 168 m. The upstream discharge was 5 m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\), and the water temperature was about 22.6° C (2° C lower than that of TGR). The water temperature was slightly higher than that of TGR. The water temperature stratification occurred in the lower layer of the water (around 15 m above the river bed), since the temperature of the upstream inflow was lower than that in X XB.

3.3. The Static Stability. The static stability can be generally utilized to evaluate the strength of density stratification:

\[
E = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\sigma}{dz}
\]  

\((2)\)
Figure 4: Observed and simulated vertical water temperature distribution of the 3 observation points in XXB (locations of the observation points are shown in “Figure 1”).

where \( E \) is static stability \((m^{-1})\), \( z \) is water depth (m), and \( \rho \) is water density at depth \( z \) \((kg \cdot m^{-3})\).

According to Lawrence et al. [21], water temperature stratification can be negligible when the water temperature gradient is less than 0.2 \(^\circ\)C \(m^{-1}\). Therefore, the static stability in the condition that the water temperature gradient is 0.2 \(^\circ\)C \(m^{-1}\) can be a critical value to judge stratification stability of XXB. The relationship between critical static stability and water temperature (from 10 \(^\circ\)C and 30 \(^\circ\)C) when the water temperature gradient is fixed at 0.2 \(^\circ\)C \(m^{-1}\) (Figure 7) shows that the critical static stability increases with higher water temperature. In February, August, and December, the average water temperature of XXB was about 12, 26, and 16 \(^\circ\)C, respectively. Thus, the critical static stability in the 3 typical months was about 2.258 \(\times 10^{-5}\), 5.312 \(\times 10^{-5}\), and 3.338 \(\times 10^{-5}\) \(m^{-1}\), respectively.

The vertical variation of the static stability at OP2 in the 3 typical months is shown in “Figure 8”. The maximum value of the static stability in February was only 1.0 \(\times 10^{-5}\) \(m^{-1}\) at a depth of 32 m, less than the critical value of this month, indicating that the water temperature was almost uniformly distributed. Water temperature stratification in February was negligible, which was consistent with the results of water temperature and flow velocity distribution. In August, 2 peak values of static stability can be observed along the vertical direction, consistent with the simulated water temperature distribution. The greatest static stability reached 3.0 \(\times 10^{-4}\) \(m^{-1}\) and the second greatest was 0.9 \(\times 10^{-4}\) \(m^{-1}\), both greater than the critical value of this month. Two stable thermoclines existed at a depth of 1 and 20 m, respectively, which restricted water and materials exchange effectively in the vertical direction. In December, the highest static stability
Figure 5: Thalweg water temperature profiles of the 3 typical months in XXB.

Figure 6: Thalweg profiles of velocity vectors (vectors are thinned in the vertical direction) and magnitude contours of the 3 typical months in XXB: (a) February represented the uniform distributed period; (b) August represented the strong stratification period; (c) December represented the bottom stratification period.
was \(0.9 \times 10^{-4}\ \text{m}^{-1}\) at a depth of 38 m, larger than the critical value, providing a stable thermocline. The analysis of the static stability combined with the velocity vectors in December (Figure 6(c)) indicates that the thermocline near the river bed can prevent water exchange between upstream inflow and TGR.

### 3.4. The Mixing Depth

The mixing depth (\(Z_{\text{mix}}\)), defined as the depth where the vertical water temperature gradient is less than or equal to \(0.2\,\text{C}^{-1}\) [21], is a critical parameter to evaluate the probability of spring algal blooms in X XB [5].

The annual variation of \(Z_{\text{mix}}\) at the 3 observation points (Figure 9) shows that \(Z_{\text{mix}}\) is deep in winter but is close to the water surface in summer. In spring, \(Z_{\text{mix}}\) changed from lower layer to upper layer. \(Z_{\text{mix}}\) of OP1 started to decrease after mid-April and stabilized at the beginning of May. However, \(Z_{\text{mix}}\) of OP2 and OP3 both started to decrease at the beginning of March and also stabilized at the beginning of May. Contrary to that in spring, \(Z_{\text{mix}}\) of all the 3 observation points began to increase in autumn.

Oliver et al. [22] suggested that the cumulative algal amount can be less than the lost amount when the value of \(Z_{\text{eup}}/Z_{\text{mix}}\) (\(Z_{\text{eup}}\) is euphotic depth) is less than 0.35 in spring. Reynolds et al. [23] considered that \(Z_{\text{eup}}/Z_{\text{mix}} = 1\) is the most suitable condition for spring algal blooms. \(Z_{\text{eup}}\), generally related to water turbidity, is less than 10 m year-round in X XB [24]. In spring, \(Z_{\text{mix}}\) changed from deep region to near the surface and, as a result, \(Z_{\text{eup}}/Z_{\text{mix}}\) can reach the most favorable condition for spring algal blooms. For the 3 observation points, the duration time of \(Z_{\text{mix}}\) decrease at OP2 and OP3 was longer than that at OP1 (Figure 9), indicating that the spring algal blooms tended to occur in the upper and middle reach of X XB, which was consistent with the field observation conducted by Liu et al. [5].

### 3.5. Cause of Spring Algal Blooms

In general, sufficient nitrogen and phosphorus nutrients are the material basis for algae growth, and seasonal fluctuation of water temperature and sunlight condition are the main environmental conditions for algal blooms [25].

In-site monitoring has been applied to analyze the spatial and temporal distribution of nutrients in X XB [26–31]. From the space, the concentration of nitrogen nutrient fluctuated in X XB with higher concentration in the midstream, and the phosphorus nutrient gradually increased from the Xiangxi estuary to the upstream. From the time, the concentration of nitrogen nutrient decreased in spring, fluctuated in summer, decreased in autumn, and increased in winter. The phosphorus nutrient increased in spring, decreased in summer, fluctuated in autumn, and increased in winter.

The general process of spring algal blooms in X XB can be inferred based on the analysis of hydrodynamic characteristics and mixing depth variation combined with monitoring results. In December and January, the upstream inflow transported nutrients and pollutants concentrated in the river bed among the upper and middle reach of X XB (consistent with the nutrients distribution and sources), which provided the material basis for spring algal blooms [37]. In February and March, the water temperature in X XB was almost uniformly distributed, and the strong water exchange in the vertical direction can transport the nutrients and pollutants in the river bed to the water surface. With increasing air temperature in spring, the water temperature and solar radiation would become favorable for algal growth (shown as the value of \(Z_{\text{eup}}/Z_{\text{mix}}\); then algal blooms occurred. This process completely explained the cause of spring algal blooms in X XB.

### 3.6. Measures to Alleviate Spring Algal Blooms

Basically, forcing the concentration of nutrients lower than the critical concentration of the dominant algae proliferation by controlling nutrient sources is the most important measure to alleviate spring algal blooms in X XB. According to the analysis of spring algal blooms formation process, the enriched nutrients in the upper and middle reach of X XB are mainly supplied by the upstream inflow of the Xiangxi River Basin in winter. The direct measure to reduce the upstream nutrients is to control the agricultural nonpoint sources and point pollution sources (urban domestic and industrial sewage) in the Xiangxi River Basin, which requires a long period of time and a large amount of capital investment [38].

Comparing before and after impoundment of TGR, the main difference of X XB is the significant change in hydrodynamic conditions. Before the impoundment of TGR, the nutrients in the Xiangxi River were frequently transported to the Yangtze River due to strong water exchange, and there were no algal blooms. After the impoundment of TGR, the hydrodynamic conditions have been changed greatly, and algal blooms are observed in spring. This is because the specific hydrodynamic conditions cause the redistribution of nutrients in X XB, which provides the material basis...
for spring algal blooms. Therefore, the reasonable measure to alleviate spring algal blooms is considered to improve the upstream hydrodynamic conditions and enhance the nutrients transport from XXB to TGR in winter.

The measure to improve the upstream hydrodynamic conditions can be realized through the operation of the hydraulic projects in the upstream of Xiangxi River Basin [39]. Considering there are more than 40 hydraulic projects in the Xiangxi River Basin which control the discharge flow, the ecological operation of the hydraulic projects needs further studies in the future research.

4. Conclusions

A 3D hydrodynamic and water temperature coupled model with the z-coordinate in the vertical direction was established to study the hydrodynamics, water temperature characteristics, and spring algal blooms in X XB, a typical tributary bay of TGR. The main conclusions are as follows.

(a) There are three types of water temperature distribution in X XB: the uniform distribution (from February to March), the strong stratification (from April to September), and the bottom stratification (from October to January).

(b) The upstream inflow is confined in X XB in either the no-stratification or bottom stratification period. However, during the strong stratification period, the upstream inflow generally flows into TGR along the river bed.

(c) The enriched nutrients in the upper and middle reach of X XB in winter offer the material basis for spring
algal blooms. Water temperature stratification that blocks nutrients transport in spring provides a suitable environment condition for algae growth, resulting in a possible reason for spring algal blooms in X XB.

(d) Improving the upstream hydrodynamic conditions and enhancing the nutrients transport from X XB to TGR in winter should be an effective measure to alleviate spring algal blooms in X XB.
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