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The development of power energy structures and information communication technology has promoted the renewal of smart grid information-physical structures. At the same time, the changes in the smart grid energy structure and the vulnerability of the information network threaten the stability of the power system and uses multiagent control theory to improve the transient stability of the power grid which has strong practicability. In this paper, an optimized distributed control scheme is proposed for application to the smart grid model so that the grid system can flexibly adapt to the external operating conditions and recover to stable operating conditions after being disturbed. In this paper, an intelligent power grid information-physical network simulation system is established. According to the information exchange within the multiagent system, groups of coherent generators in the disturbed power grid in different regions are identified and controlled. Distributed control is applied to maintain the exponential frequency synchronization and phase angle aggregation of the synchronous generators to achieve transient stability. Finally, the effectiveness and rapidity of the proposed distributed optimal control scheme are verified by simulation analysis of the IEEE 39 node model.

1. Introduction

With the reorganization and renewal of the energy structure in recent years, the standards of smart grid systems in terms of their transient stability have been raised. A smart grid itself is based on the ultra-high-voltage UHV backbone transmission network and deeply integrates more stable information network technology to establish a strong power system network, realizing close connection and integration of the power system and information system [1]. The development of CPSs promotes the deep integration of physical power systems and power information systems. It provides a new way to realize the goal of power grid intelligence [2]. In the smart grid model, the information network dispatching centre node collects and processes the information of each physical power station and sends corresponding control instructions. Each physical power station supplies power to the information node to ensure its normal operation. Through this connection, the mutual dependent relationship between the physical network and the information network is formed (Figure 1) [3]. With the intelligent development of smart grids, there is a dynamic interaction among the five "generation, transmission, supply, distribution, and use" terminals of smart grids with the two-way flow of energy and information [4], new energy, and other distributed energy grid connections and huge data processing between the information network and the physical network. Additionally, the basic characteristics of smart grid informatization, automation, and interaction are the operational belt of the great smart grid challenge [5, 6]. Information systems and information networks play an important role in enhancing the safe operation of smart grids. In actual operation, the dependence of the physical system on the information system will significantly affect the stable operation of the physical system in the case of information system failure. In actual operation, the cost of communication and information processing should also be considered. The use of redundant information and weakly
correlated information in computing will cause a too high computational burden, resulting in poor performance of the system state. The stability and flexibility of smart grids can be effectively improved by determining an appropriate dependency on the information network and information flow. For phasor measurement units (PMUs), which are synchronous phasor measurement devices, a reasonable number of them at suitable locations can synchronously collect the corresponding parameters of the power system at the installation site and achieve the overall observability, economic and reliability goals of the system online state calculation, and system state prediction [7–9]. In this paper, PMUs are introduced into the optimal configuration of the power system network structure to measure the voltage vectors of some nodes, which can improve the dependence on information, providing strong initial conditions and a discrimination basis for system stability analysis, protection, and reconstruction. This is of great significance for system online state calculation and system state prediction.

The traditional power grid control strategy mostly adopts a centralized scheduling method, and the dispatching centre needs to communicate with all generators and load nodes through the information network, with a high degree of communication dependence [10]. The "plug and play" technology required by the grid connection and independent operation of new energy and power components make the topological structure of the information network and physical network of the smart grid changeable [11]. The centralized control strategy has difficulty avoiding the high cost of communication facility construction. Distributed control technology can meet the flexibility requirements and provide high-efficiency control constraints [12]. In [13], a smart grid scheduling strategy considering flexible load is designed, which have better convergence and application prospect compared with the centralized scheduling strategy.

In [14, 15], distributed control is used to reduce the cost of the communication network, and power distribution and frequency stability are achieved. Energy storage technology provides a new technology choice for the safe and economic operation of power grids, stabilization of power fluctuations, and distributed control [16–19]. In [16–18], the influence of flywheel energy storage on the power balance and stability of the power grid is studied. In [19], a fast control method for a battery energy storage system is adopted to improve the transient stability of the power grid. In [20], a distributed control method of energy storage system based on real-time wind power output regulation of energy sharing is proposed. In [21], a distributed intelligent power grid controller based on the Robust Consistency Algorithm of the second-order multiagent system is proposed. The controller is composed of a physical topology model of the network. The delay characteristics and anti-interference ability of the controller are verified by the IEEE39 node system.

In [22], the problem of cluster behavior and target consistent tracking of heterogeneous multi-inertial bodies with limited communication distance is studied. A distributed control protocol is designed to enable agents to achieve stable group behavior. In [23], a distributed observer is designed to estimate the relatively complete state of a general linear multiagent system that does not directly enter the real-time state, and it is used to track the consistency protocol, so as to achieve the overall consistency. In [24], a distributed multicenter method based on partial information exchange is designed by studying the multiobjective consistency pursuit of the multiagent system, and sufficient conditions are given to realize the dynamic goal consistency.
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**Figure 1: Model of a cyber-physical smart grid.**
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**Figure 1: Model of a cyber-physical smart grid.**
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To ensure that the power grid can quickly respond after transient operation of the generators and realize synchronous operation, the local and associated node information to inject power into or absorb power from generator nodes through an external energy storage device (such as a flywheel) to ensure the phase angle (rotor angle) aggregation and frequency consistency and group concentration of a multiagent system, heterogeneous interdependent group systems. In this paper, based on the rules of speed and time-varying delay in nonlinear dynamics and communication networks, in the information network, the PMU optimization method is adopted, and the model is defined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{max}\left( f = \sum_{k} f_k \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( x_k \) is 1 or 0, which indicates whether physical grid node \( k \) has a PMU installed; \( A = (a_{ij}) \) is the node correlation matrix, where if the two nodes \( i, j \) are adjacent or the same, then \( a_{ij} = 1 \) and otherwise, \( a_{ij} = 0 \); 1 is the column vector whose elements are all 1; \( f_k = x_k + \sum_{i\in G} x_i \); and \( G \) indicates the set of all nodes connected to node \( k \). Considering the 39-node grid model, the node correlation matrix is as follows:

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
A_1 \\
A_2 \\
A_3 \\
\vdots \\
A_{38} \\
A_{39}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} & \cdots & A_{1,38} & A_{1,39} \\
A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & A_{2,3} & \cdots & A_{2,38} & A_{2,39} \\
A_{3,1} & A_{3,2} & A_{3,3} & \cdots & A_{3,38} & A_{3,39} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
A_{38,1} & A_{38,2} & A_{38,3} & \cdots & A_{38,38} & A_{38,39} \\
A_{39,1} & A_{39,2} & A_{39,3} & \cdots & A_{39,38} & A_{39,39}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The cost of installing PMU equipment at node \( i \) is as follows:

\[
y_i = 1 + 0.1n_{ch}. \tag{3}
\]

The cost of equipment installation is the sum of a fixed cost and a variable cost, \( n_{ch} \) is the number of PMU channels, indicating the number of observable adjacent lines, generally 1–5, and the variable cost is increased by 0.1 for each additional line.

According to the above constraint rules, the following formula can be obtained to realize the fully observable IEEE 39 node power network topology:
PL,i in addition to the generator. Only when external energy equipment also includes external energy storage equipment can the physical controller, both of which perform calculations and applications related to information and data. The physical network and ensure timely control of the main generation equipment and external energy storage system in the smart grid. In this framework, the corresponding information and physical network selection coefficient $h_i$ is set as follows:

$$h_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{the } i\text{-th agent contains the leading generator,} \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

(9)

To further explain the impact of the number of channels, the following variables are introduced:

$$w_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{PMU was installed on node } i \text{ and made node } j \text{ observable,} \\ 0 & \text{Other.} \end{cases}$$

(5)

Then, the above formula can be rewritten to obtain the following inequalities:

$$\begin{align*}
  f_1 & = x_1 + x_2 + x_{39} \geq 1, \\
  f_2 & = x_1 + x_3 + x_2 + x_{30} \geq 1, \\
  f_3 & = x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_{39} \geq 1, \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  f_{38} & = x_{24} + x_{38} \geq 1, \\
  f_{39} & = x_1 + x_9 + x_{39} \geq 1.
\end{align*}$$

(4)

In the case of a single line fault in the physical network, the number of channels on the right side of the fault point at the end of the node line is accordingly changed (i.e., multiplied by 2 to ensure that each node is observed by at least two PMUs). The configuration with the lowest cost is 2, 6, 8, 15, 16, 17, 20, 23, 25, 26, 29, and 35. In the distributed control framework, the sensitivity of the information nodes is considered to select the dominant generator in the area.

2.2. Smart Grid Distributed Framework. According to the information network reliability optimization results and the multiagent system model, a distributed control framework is designed for cooperation between the generator and the external energy storage system in the smart grid. In this framework, the corresponding information and physical equipment at each generator node are combined to form an agent. Each agent represents its main properties by the physical quantities of the generator. The information equipment includes a measurement unit (PMU) and a controller, both of which perform calculations and applications related to information and data. The physical equipment also includes external energy storage equipment in addition to the generator. Only when external energy storage is needed to inject power or absorb a certain power, $P_{Li}$ will the corresponding controller of the generator agent be activated.

The agents that describe the information-physical network interaction and the system model (Figure 2). The generator participating in stability control of the physical power grid and frequency adjustment without difference is

the leading generator, which is realized by the information network through selective control; the power regulation of other generators in the same zone is controlled by the information network to proportionally change with the power of the leading generator to assist in frequency regulation of the leading generator.

The synchronous generators play a leading role in the physical power grid, and thus the stability of the power grid is largely a problem of keeping the interconnected generators synchronized [19]. A smart grid maintains synchronous generator frequency synchronization and phase-angle aggregation by using a distributed control framework based on the multiagent model. According to the requirements of frequency synchronization, the normalized speed corresponding to the grid frequency is selected and denoted by $\omega$, and the generator label in the physical grid is $i \in V, V = \{1, 2, \ldots, Z\}$, with

$$\omega_i(t) \longrightarrow 1 (t \longrightarrow \infty).$$

(7)

To avoid the rapid drop of the node voltage caused by the phase angle difference of the generator terminal voltage exceeding a certain range, thus affecting the safe operation of the unit, the phase angle aggregation requires that generators $i$ and $j$ satisfy the following:

$$|\theta_i - \theta_j| \leq \gamma,$$

(8)

where $\gamma$ is a predefined threshold, usually $5\pi/9$.

2.3. Smart Grid Control Target Model. The information network selection coefficient $h_i$ is set as follows:

$$h_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{the } i\text{-th agent contains the leading generator,} \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

(9)

This coefficient is used to determine the selective control behaviour of the information network with respect to the physical network and ensure timely control of the main generator agent contained in each partition.

If there is a controller that connects the physical power grid with the information network, then the oscillating equation between motors can be obtained under the constant voltage condition [29]:

$$M_i \dot{\omega}_i = -D_i \omega_i + P_{m,i} - |E_j|^2 G_{ij} - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{Z} P_{ij} \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j + \varphi_{ij}) + h_i u_i.$$  

(10)

The transient stability problem of a smart grid is described as the control task of multiagent swarm concentration with consistent speed, and then the second-order dynamics model of multiagents is used to describe each agent as follows [30]:

$$\begin{align*}
  \dot{\theta}_i &= \omega_i, \\
  D_i \dot{\omega}_i &= -M_i \omega_i - l_{ij} \omega_i + h_i u_i.
\end{align*}$$

(11)
The controller signal is defined as \( u_i = P_{L,i} \) for agent \( i = 1, \ldots, F \), which corresponds to the agent containing the main generator. Since only one main generator is selected for each partition, \( F \) also represents the number of physical power grid zones. Generally, \( h_i = 1 \) is set for \( i \leq F \); otherwise, \( h_i = 1 \) corresponds to other agents in the partition that assist in the adjustment. The auxiliary regulated power of the corresponding generator is \( P_{F,i} = a_{F,i} \cdot P_{L,i} \), where \( a \) is a proportional coefficient that varies with the power of the leading generator and \( l_{ij} \) is an element of the physical relation matrix \( L \), which is represented as

\[
l_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{\infty} p_{ij} \cos(\theta_j - \theta_i + \phi_{ij}) & i = j, \\ -p_{ji} \cos(\theta_j - \theta_i + \phi_{ij}) & i \neq j. \end{cases}
\]  

In this case, a new control quantity \( \tilde{u}_i \) is introduced to define the controller signal \( u_i \) as

\[
\tilde{u}_i = \tilde{u}_i + l_{ij} \omega_i - B_i \omega_i,
\]

where \( B_i \geq (100 \cdot D_i) \) is an element in information relation matrix \( B \).

3. Distributed Area Optimal Control for the Transient Stability of a Smart Grid

3.1. Smart Grid Area Division. The main properties of each agent in the smart grid are described by the physical quantities of the generators in the physical grid. Let the state information carried by agent \( i \) at time \( t = k \) be

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_i(k) &= \theta_i(k), \\
v_i(k) &= \omega_i(k).
\end{align*}
\]  

When the time step is \( t = k \), \( \theta_i(k) \) and \( \omega_i(k) \) are the rotor angle and speed of the \( i \)-th generator, which are physical quantities directly obtained from the PMU. \( S_i(k) = [\xi_i(k), v_i(k)]^T \) describes the status information carried by individuals, where \( \xi_i(k) \) and \( v_i(k) \) correspond to the position and speed of the individual at time step \( t = k \). If the distance between two individuals is less than the threshold \( r \), then they are considered as being in each other’s neighbourhood. The neighbourhood set for the \( i \)-th individual is defined as follows:
\[ N_i(k) = \left\{ j \in V \middle| \| x_i(k) - x_j(k) \| < r \right\}. \] (15)

According to the similarity of the individual dynamic state quantities, the region is divided; for \( j \in N_i(k) \), the relationship between individuals \( i \) and \( j \) in the same group meets the following requirement:
\[ S_i(k) - S_j(k) < S_{th}(k), \] (16)
where \( S_{th}(k) \) is the set threshold value.

The following dynamic model is used:
\[ x_i(k + 1) = x_i(k) + \Delta t v_i(k). \] (17)

The physical dynamic quantity of the agent has been transformed into the individual state quantity in the information space, and multiple groups containing different individuals are simultaneously obtained, which are composed of agents with close physical coupling in the actual smart grid corresponding to the partition.

### 3.2. Smart Grid Distributed Controller

According to formula (13), letting \( M_i = D_i + B_i \), the second-order system of the set containing the leading generator agent is determined as:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\theta}_i &= \omega_i, \\
M_i \dot{\omega}_i &= \ddot{\theta}_i.
\end{align*}
\] (18)

To achieve transient stability of the smart grid, the distributed control signal applied to the agent (label \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, F \)) with the leading generator in each partition is as follows:
\[ \ddot{\theta}_i = -VV(\theta_{ij}) - \tilde{L}_{ij} \omega_i - c(\omega_i - \omega^*). \] (19)

where \( c \) is a constant representing the coefficient of the feedback term; \( \omega \) is the expected normalized speed value. \( \tilde{L}_{ij} \) is an element in the velocity matching matrix \( \tilde{L} \), and the first term is the \( V(\theta_{ij}) \) gradient, where the potential function \( V(\theta_{ij}) \) is defined as follows:
\[ V(\theta_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{F} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{F} \chi(\theta_i - \theta_j). \] (20)

The above functions ensure that the rotor angle difference between agents is bounded.

### 3.3. Analysis of the Distributed Control Stability of a Smart Grid

**Theorem 1.** Consider a second-order system with expected values of the guidance feedback terms in kinetic equations (18) and (19). Suppose that the initial velocity does not match the expected value and that the initial energy \( H_1 \) is finite; under the action of control protocol equation (19), all agents asymptotically and uniformly converge to the corresponding reference speed, corresponding to the expected speed of the generator of \( \omega^* \), and the group behaviour is consistent with global stability.

**Proof.** Let \( \ddot{\theta}_i = \dot{\theta}_i - \dot{\theta}_i^* \) and \( \omega_i = \omega_i - \omega^* \) represent the differences between the physical quantities of the agent and the expected values, respectively, then:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\omega}_i &= \omega_i - \omega^* - \omega_i^* \\
M_i \dot{\omega}_i &= \ddot{\theta}_i - \dot{\theta}_i^*.
\end{align*}
\] (21)

According to equations (18), (19), and (21), the control function can be obtained as follows:
\[ \ddot{\theta}_i = -VV(\tilde{\theta}_i) - \tilde{L}_i \omega_i - c \omega_i. \] (22)

The Lyapunov function is defined as the total energy of the system, that is, the sum of the total potential energy between agents and the relative potential energy and kinetic energy between the physical quantities of the agents and the expected reference quantities:
\[ H(\ddot{\theta}_i, \omega_i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{F} V(\ddot{\theta}_{ij}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{F} \omega_i^T M_i \omega_i. \] (23)

Since the potential function \( V(\ddot{\theta}_{ij}) \) is symmetric with respect to \( \ddot{\theta}_{ij} \) \( (i, j = 1, \ldots, F) \),
\[ \frac{\partial V(\ddot{\theta})}{\partial \ddot{\theta}_{ij}} = \frac{\partial V(\ddot{\theta})}{\partial \ddot{\theta}_i} = \frac{\partial V(\ddot{\theta})}{\partial \ddot{\theta}_j}. \] (24)

The derivative of equation (23) can be obtained:
\[ \dot{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{F} \frac{\partial V(\ddot{\theta}_{ij})}{\partial \ddot{\theta}_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{F} \omega_i^T M_i \ddot{\omega}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{F} \omega_i^T VV(\ddot{\theta}_{ij}) + \sum_{i=1}^{F} \omega_i^T \ddot{\omega}_i. \] (25)

Equation (22) can be substituted into equation (25) to obtain
\[ \dot{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{F} \omega_i^T VV(\ddot{\theta}_{ij}) - \sum_{i=1}^{F} \omega_i^T VV(\ddot{\theta}_{ij}) - \sum_{i=1}^{F} \omega_i^T \ddot{\omega}_i - \sum_{i=1}^{F} \omega_i^T c \omega_i \\
= - \sum_{i=1}^{F} \omega_i^T \ddot{\omega}_i - \sum_{i=1}^{F} \omega_i^T c \omega_i = - \sum_{i=1}^{F} \omega_i^T [(\tilde{L}_i + c)] \omega_i \\
= -\omega^T [(\tilde{L} + c \tilde{I})] \omega. \] (26)

Since \( \tilde{L} \) is a semipositive definite Laplace matrix and \( c > 0, \tilde{L} + c \tilde{I} \) is a positive definite matrix, and thus, \( \omega^T [(\tilde{L} + c \tilde{I})] \omega > 0 \) and \( \dot{H} < 0 \). Therefore, the agents asymptotically stably converge to their expected reference values under control input (19).

### 4. Simulation Example

In this paper, the IEEE 39 node smart grid model is analysed as an example, which includes 19 loads and 46 lines, which are simulated by the MATLAB/Simulink platform. According to the classification of a short-circuit fault, centralized control after a fault and swarm control after a fault, the examples are divided into four scenarios.
Scenario 1. Suppose at $t = 0$ s, a three-phase short-circuit fault occurs on disturbed line 21–22 of the power grid. Disconnect the fault line and set $h_i = 0$ for $i \in V$, $V = \{1, 2, \cdots, Z\}$, that is, the controller connecting the information and physical networks is not activated and the information network traffic and calculation amount are minimal. The relationships between the speeds of each generator and the rotor angles are given in Figure 3. The system operation is obviously unstable, the rotor angle has an increasing difference trend, and the power network has a serious fault.

Scenario 2. Under centralized control, each node of the power grid needs to transmit information to the dispatching centre. After centralized processing, a large number of PMUs and communication lines are needed to ensure information collection and transmission. In the period before centralized control after fault removal, it is necessary to collect the state information of the whole power grid, assuming that the data can be collected and processed within the preset critical time after fault treatment under ideal conditions. When $t = 0.15$ s, set $h_i = 0$ for $i \in V$, $V = \{1, 2, \cdots, Z\}$, so that all generator nodes are controlled by no difference regulation. Under ideal conditions (Figure 4), the rotor angles and speeds of the generators change with time and the system achieves a stable state in a short time. However, the system is in the state of maximum information transmission and processing. The control equipment of each generator node frequently uses external energy devices to adjust the power of the corresponding nodes. The overall energy consumption of the whole regulation process is large, and the network topology and performance of the related equipment are not fully utilized.

Scenario 3. The flocking control method leads to a stable performance (Figure 5). Distributed flocking control can reduce the equipment cost and improve the centralized control of information processing, the energy consumption, and the critical stability range in the 5 s of the observation period, but the fluctuation range is larger. The smart grid after using each agent to facilitate control tends to be stable for a long time.
Figure 4: Relative rotor angles and rotor speeds of generators under centralized control after the fault.

Figure 5: Continued.
Scenario 4. The distributed optimal control method in this paper is used to control the performance (Figure 6). The smart grid partition identification scheme should be enabled within the preset critical time point after fault treatment to avoid more damage and improve the ability of the smart grid to restore transient stability. The distributed optimal control is applied to the agents containing the main generators, that is, setting \( h_1 = 1 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, F \).

First, determine the smart grid partition based on the similarity of the intelligent agent dynamic characteristics; that is, the smart grid agent quantity changes corresponding to the movement trend of individuals in the information space. Next, obtain the state information of individual trajectories, described as individual motion curves in a two-dimensional space. From the trend of separation of individuals in the information space, that is, the change of the
physical quantities of each agent after the failure, it can be
found which individuals are obviously far from other in-
dividuals and which movement trends are the closest.
The following partitions can be obtained from the partitioning
scheme of dynamic recognition of each agent: \{G1, 
G2 G3 G8 G9 G10\}, and \{G4 G5 G6 G7\}.

Second, on the basis of information network reliability
optimization combined with the actual active power output
for each agent and sensitivity weight arrangement of the
node data, reasonable leading generators G1, G7, and G9 are
selected for each partition, and distributed optimal control is
applied to the intelligent agent with the leading generator to
achieve the goal of system transient stability.

Within the critical time after the fault is cut off, partition
identification and leading generator selection are completed. At
t = 0.15 s, the distributed control scheme combining the in-
formation and physical networks is applied to the smart grid.
The state quantities of each agent change with time (Figure 6).
The control objective is achieved for each agent in each par-
tition, and the corresponding physical quantity converges to a
certain value according to the partition. Since external energy
injection could impact actual operation and lead to certain
fluctuations, we quickly recover to the stable operation of the
steady-state power system. The generator speeds are stable and
gradually converge, and the rotor angles satisfy the require-
ments of the constraint and stable state. Under the conditions
of information use and smaller external energy injection, the
good effect of a shorter stable system recovery time, a smaller
physical quantity fluctuation range, and an increased stability
margin of the system are achieved.

Under the action of centralized control method, the system
can resume stable operation in a short time, but the disad-
vantage is that the system is in the state of maximum
information transmission and processing and the overall
energy consumption is large. Compared with centralized
control, distributed swarm control improves the disad-
vantages of large amount of information processing and energy
consumption, but the time of system stability is prolonged.
The distributed optimal control method proposed in this
paper can quickly restore the stability of the system, reduce
the energy consumption, and improve the stability margin of
the smart grid.

5. Conclusions

In this paper on transient stability control of the smart grid
model, the distributed multiagent control method adopted in
the power grid model after reliability optimization of the information
network is described. In the distributed control framework, the
dynamic similarity of the physical parameters of the generators is
used to form each zone, and external energy storage is used to
adjust the agents in each zone. The leading generator realizes no
difference adjustment, and other generators in the same zone
adjust their power proportional to the adjusted power of the
leading generator to achieve asymptotic stability of each zone.
The simulation results in this paper verify the effectiveness of
the proposed control scheme, which finally achieves transient sta-
bility and control of the smart grid model, and it has fast and
stable performance compared with swarm control. The idea
presented in this paper has a certain reference value for im-
proving the transient stability of smart grids in practical projects.
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