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Under the premise of the theory of surface elasticity, the scattering of plane compressional waves (P-waves) in the surface of a semicylindrical core-shell structure within the nanoscale elastic confinement half-space is studied by using the method of eigenfunction expansion. The generalized Y-L equation is used to give the nanoscale boundary conditions, and the dynamic stress concentration factor (DSCF) along the interface of core-shell structure induced by the plane elastic wave are derived and numerically evaluated. Under different incident wave frequencies, surface energy, and shear modulus, when the radius of the core-shell structure is reduced to the nanometer level, their influence on the DSCF is very significant. These have been confirmed by numerical calculations.

1. Introduction

The scattering of elastic waves by using a single scatterer with uniform curvature (e.g., cylindrical and spherical) embedded in an elastic matrix becomes an important topic in wave motion, due to the significant role of scattering in understanding various wave propagation phenomena in engineering materials and structures [1]. In particular, the scattering of an elastic wave by a semicylindrical structure is used as a simple model to investigate the wave motion properties of a tunnel or sedimentary valley. Twerksy [2] first studied the multiple scattering of waves by multiple spheres and cylinders in a fluid and laid the foundation for multiple scattering, such as multiple scattering of dense objects [3, 4], grating scattering [5], and propagation of P-waves in fiber-reinforced composites [6–8]. Pao and Mow [9] and Mow and Mente [10] discussed the dynamic stress concentration around cylindrical inclusions and used the wave function expansion method to comprehensively study the scattering of elastic waves by cylindrical inclusions and sphere inclusions. Roumeliotis and Fikioris [11] analyzed the cutoff wavenumbers and the field of surface wave modes of a circular cylindrical conductor eccentrically coated by a dielectric. Trifunac [12] used the separation variable method to obtain the solution of the scattered waves of the plane SH-waves on the semicircular sedimentary valley in the half-space, the closed-form solution of the problem shows that the surface topography can influence on incident waves only when the wavelengths of incident motion are short compared to the radius of a canyon. Weber et al. [13] derived the scattering behavior of a single multilayered inclusion in a homogeneous isotropic matrix under the influence of nonplane elastic SH-waves. Liang et al. [14] studied the analytic solution of scattering of incident plane P-waves by circular-shaped layered sedimentary valleys, and the solution was utilized to analyze the effects of alluvial sequence and their relative stiffness on the scattering of incident waves. Bo et al. [15] analyzed three kinds of resonant modes of a single layered circular elastic cylinder embedded in the elastic medium. Li et al. [16] gave an analytical solution for the scattering of P-waves by cylindrical inclusions in a half-space at the macroscopic scale, and it was illustrated that there was great difference of the diffraction characteristics between the hard inclusion and soft inclusion, and the displacement response depended strongly on the incident angle and frequency. Shindo and Niwa [17] dealt with the scattering of antiplane shear waves in a metal matrix composite reinforced by fibers with interracial layers. Lee
and Liu [18] studied the two-dimensional scattering and diffraction of P-waves and SV-waves around a semicircular canyon in an elastic half space by using the analytical solution of the stress-free wave function. Xu et al. [19] and Cao et al. [20] used the complex variable function method to study the SH-waves scattering problem of various models in the elastic half-space.

However, in the aforementioned studies, the effect of the interface stress was not taken into account. Wang [21, 22] studied the scattering of cylindrical pores by nanoscale plane compression waves under the interface effect and considered the diffraction problem of P-waves with two circular holes and inclusions in a semi-infinite plane under the surface/interface effect, and the results show that surface/interface had a significant effect on the diffractions of elastic waves as the radius of the inclusion shrinks to nanoscale. Ou and Lee [23] studied the scattering of planar elastic waves by layer fibers with surface/interface effects at the nanoscale, using the displacement potential method. Ru et al. [24] researched the diffraction of elastic waves and the stress concentration near cylindrical nanoinclusions with surface/interface effects, indicating that the influence of the surface/interface on the elastic wave diffraction becomes remarkable when the radius of the inclusion or cavity is reduced to the nanometer level.

In addition, nanocomposites with a core-shell structure have become hotspots in composite nanomaterials and other fields in recent years. The core-shell nanocomposites (CSNC) generally consists of a central core and an outer shell layer. The inner core and outer shell in CSNC are connected to each other through physical and chemical interactions, and the core and the shell are different substances [25]. The core-shell composite nanoparticles formed by the combination of two or more materials at the nanoscale are novel composite nanostructures. This structure can produce many new properties such as photoelectric conversion, nonlinear optics, electromagnetic conversion, solar cells, and high-density information storage [26–29]. Therefore, nanocomposites with a core-shell structure have wider research prospects which have expanded into the interdisciplinary fields of chemistry, physics, biology, materials, and other disciplines, in biomedicine, health care products, cosmetics, environmental protection and other fields, having broad application potential [30–32]. However, in the process of material preparation, nanometer core-shell structures of different shapes are often formed like cylindrical, elliptical, spherical, and ellipsoidal. These geometric shapes are bound to bring certain difficulties to study the elastic wave scattering of nanometer materials [33, 34]. In summary, the study on the scattering of elastic waves by a core-shell structure with surface effect has theoretical guidance for the design and processing of nanomaterials and nanometer components. However, the existing literature shows that research on the scattering of elastic waves by macroscopic heterogeneous media and nanoinclusions and holes with surface/interface effects has achieved certain results, but there is a lack of research on elastic waves by surface/interface effects core-shell structures. In this paper, the scattering of plane compressional waves by a semicylindrical core-shell structure is studied with consideration of surface effects.

In Section 2, the semicylindrical core-shell structure model used in this study is described. The boundary conditions and governing equations for the elastic wave diffraction problem of the semicylindrical core-shell structure are given. The solution of the elastic field caused by the incident P-waves on the surface of the semicylindrical core-shell structure is obtained. In Section 3, the effects of low-frequency and high-frequency incident P-waves and different shear modulus ratios on stress concentration are discussed. Section 4 gives conclusions.

2. Statement of the Problem

Based on the theory of surface elasticity, we consider elastic waves scattered by a semicylindrical core-shell structure. As shown in Figure 1, the superscripts 1 and 2 indicate the quantities associated with the matrix and the shell, respectively. For convenience, the Cartesian coordinate system \((x, y, z)\) with origin \(o\) at the center of the semicylindrical core-shell structure and the corresponding cylindrical coordinate system \((r, \theta, z)\) are adopted, where the \(z\)-axis is along the central line of the semicylindrical core-shell structure. The center of the inner and outer semicircles of the core-shell structure are at the point \(o\), the inner diameter is \(b\), and the outer diameter is \(a\). The curve is \(r = a\) recorded as \(L_1\), and the curve is \(r = b\) recorded as \(L_2\). The material properties of the half space are given by the Lame constant \(\lambda_1\), \(\mu_1\), and the mass density \(\rho_1\), respectively, and the material properties of the shell are given by the Lame constant \(\lambda_2\), \(\mu_2\), and the mass density \(\rho_2\), respectively. It is assumed that the P-waves propagate in the medium at the incident angle \(\alpha\) and interact with the semispace straight boundary and the semicircular core-shell structure, thereby generating reflected P-waves and SV-waves on the straight boundary and scattered P-waves and SV-waves on \(L_1\) and standing waves (including refracted waves on \(L_1\) and scattered waves on \(L_1\)) in the shell.

In bulk, the classical theory of elasticity is still applicable, but the presence of interface/surface stresses results in nonclassical boundary conditions. The surface stress \(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^s\) is connected with the surface/interface energy density \(\Gamma\) by

\[
\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^s = \Gamma \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}}
\]

where \(\delta_{\alpha\beta}\) is the Kronecker delta and \(\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\) is the component of the second order tensor of surface strains.

Usually, a crystal surface is anisotropic. It would be quite difficult to obtain analytical formulas for the difference between the surface and volume properties of materials. Therefore, isotropic surfaces are usually simplified. This simplified method cannot only obtain the main characteristics of the problem under consideration but also obtain some analytical results of isotropic surface stress \(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^s\) given by

\[
\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^s = t^s \delta_{\alpha\beta} + 2(\mu^s - t^s) \delta_{\alpha\beta} + (\lambda^s + t^s) \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta},
\]

where \(\mu^s\) and \(\lambda^s\) are the surface Lame coefficients which characterize surface properties of the material. We notice
that the residual surface tension $\tau^d$ will induce an additional static deformation field, and this will be neglected in our dynamic analysis.

Assume that the interface is attached to the bulk material without slipping and then obtains the equilibrium conditions on the interface

$$t_a + \sigma_{a\beta}^d = 0,$$
$$\langle \sigma_{ij} \rangle n_i n_j = \sigma_{a\beta}^d k_{a\beta},$$

where $n_i$ represent the normal vector of the interface direction toward the outside of the shell, $t_a$ is the tangential component of the traction $t = \langle \sigma_{ij} \rangle n_i$ in the $x_a$ direction, $\langle \sigma_{ij} \rangle$ is the jump in the component of the bulk stress tensor across the interface, and $k_{a\beta}$ is the curvature of the interface. In the dynamic problem, the interface inertial forces are ignored in equation (3).

On the whole, the classical elasticity theory holds, and the equilibrium equations and the isotropic constitutive relations are

$$\sigma_{ij,j} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial t^2},$$
$$\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu \left( \epsilon_{ij} + \nu \frac{1}{1 - 2\nu} \epsilon_{kk} a_{ij} \right),$$

where $\rho$ is the mass density of the material, $t$ is the time, $\mu$ is the shear modulus, $\nu$ is Poisson’s ratio, $\sigma_{ij}$ is the components of the stress tensor, $\epsilon_{ij}$ is the strain tensor in the bulk material, and $u_i$ is the component of displacement. The strain tensor is related to the displacement vector $u$ by

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}).$$

For the current plane strain problem, the interfacial strain components can be obtained by using the bulk stress

$$\epsilon_{\theta\theta} = \frac{1}{2\mu} [(1 - \nu)\sigma_{\theta\theta} - \nu \sigma_{rr}],$$

and the interface stress $\sigma_{\theta\theta}^d$ can be obtained from equation (5).

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta}^d = (2\mu + \lambda') \epsilon_{\theta\theta}^d,$$

and the boundary conditions satisfied on the straight boundary are

$$\sigma_{r\theta} \big|_{\theta = 0, \pi} = 0, \quad r > b, \quad (9a)$$

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} \big|_{\theta = 0, \pi} = 0, \quad r > b, \quad (9b)$$
at interface $L_1 (r = a)$, and the continuity of the displacement needs to be

$$u_{r1} = u_{r2},$$
$$u_{\theta1} = u_{\theta2},$$
$$r = a. \quad (10)$$

The equilibrium equations with interface effect are

$$\sigma_{rr1} - \sigma_{rr2} = \frac{\sigma_{\theta\theta}^d}{a},$$
$$\sigma_{r\theta1} - \sigma_{r\theta2} = -\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta\theta}^d}{\partial \theta},$$

$$r = a,$$
$$\sigma_{rr2} = -\frac{\sigma_{\theta\theta}^d}{b},$$
$$\sigma_{r\theta2} = \frac{1}{b} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta\theta}^d}{\partial \theta},$$

and substituting equation (7) into equation (8) and then equations (11) and (12), we get

$$\sigma_{rr1} - \sigma_{rr2} = s_2 \left( (1 + \nu_1) \sigma_{\theta\theta1} - \nu_1 \sigma_{rr1} \right), \quad r = a, \quad (13)$$

$$\sigma_{r\theta1} - \sigma_{r\theta2} = -s_2 \left( (1 - \nu_1) \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta\theta1}}{\partial \theta} - \nu_1 \frac{\partial \sigma_{rr1}}{\partial \theta} \right), \quad r = a, \quad (14)$$

$$\sigma_{rr2} = s_1 \left( (1 - \nu_2) \sigma_{\theta\theta2} - \nu_2 \sigma_{rr2} \right), \quad r = b, \quad (15)$$

$$\sigma_{r\theta2} = s_1 \left( (1 + \nu_2) \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta\theta2}}{\partial \theta} - \nu_2 \frac{\partial \sigma_{rr2}}{\partial \theta} \right), \quad r = b, \quad (16)$$

where

$$s_1 = \frac{2\mu + \lambda'}{2b\mu_s} = \eta s_2,$$
$$s_2 = \frac{2\mu + \lambda'}{2a\mu_s},$$
$$\eta = \frac{a}{b}. \quad (17)$$

Equation (17) show that for macroscopic structures with large $a$ and $b$ values, $s_1, s_2 \ll 1$, the influence of the interface can be ignored [23]. However, when the radius of the core-shell structure shrinks to the nanometer level, $s_1$ and $s_2$ become apparent, and the influence of the interface on the P-waves scattering should be considered.

In the cylindrical coordinate system, the relative displacement represented by the compressive potential
function $\phi$ and the shear potential function $\psi$ can be abbreviated as

$$u_r = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta},$$

$$u_\theta = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r},$$

$$u_z = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(18a)} \hspace{1cm} \text{(18b)} \hspace{1cm} \text{(18c)}$$

Therefore, the displacement in the matrix and the shell layer can be determined by equations (18a)–(18c), respectively.

The related stresses can be expressed by the compression potential function and the shear potential function as

$$\sigma_{rr} = \lambda \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2} \right] + 2\mu \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta^2} \right],$$

$$\sigma_{r\theta} = \lambda \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2} \right] + 2\mu \left[ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\partial \psi}{r^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(19a)} \hspace{1cm} \text{(19b)} \hspace{1cm} \text{(19c)}$$

Therefore, the stresses in the matrix and the shell layer can be determined by equations (19a)–(19c), respectively.

\section*{2.1. Wave Equation on Straight Boundaries.} Assume a simple harmonic plane P-wave propagating in a direction at an angle of $\alpha$ degrees to the vertical direction. The following displacement potential function can be used to represent the incident P-waves:

$$\phi^{(i)}(x, y) = \exp \left[ i \alpha (x \sin \alpha - y \cos \alpha) - i \omega t \right], \hspace{1cm} \text{(20)}$$

where $i^2 = -1$, $t$ is the time, $\alpha = \omega / c_{p1}$ is the compression wave number of the P-waves, and $c_{p1} = \sqrt{\left(\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1\right) / \rho_1}$ is the compression waves velocity in the matrix material.

When there is no semicylindrical core-shell structure, the reflected waves on the straight boundary are

$$\phi^{(r)}(x, y) = K_1 \exp \left[ i \alpha (x \sin \alpha + y \cos \alpha) - i \omega t \right],$$

$$\psi^{(r)}(x, y) = K_2 \exp \left[ i \beta \left( x \sin \beta + y \cos \beta \right) - i \omega t \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(21)}$$

where $\beta_1 = \omega / c_{s1}$ is the wave number of the SV-waves, $c_{s1} = \sqrt{\mu_1 / \rho_1}$ is the shear waves velocity in the matrix material, and the time factor $e^{-i \omega t}$ is negligible [23]. $K_1$ and $K_2$ are the reflection coefficients of the reflected P-waves and the reflected SV-waves, respectively.

\section*{2.2. Wave Equation on the Core-shell Structure.} The general form of the scattered wave function is

$$\phi(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n H_n^{(1)} \sin n \theta,$$

or

$$\phi(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n H_n^{(1)} \cos n \theta,$$

or

$$\phi(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n^{(1)} (A_n \sin n \theta + B_n \cos n \theta).$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(29)}$$

$$K_1 = \frac{\sin 2 \alpha \sin 2 \beta - k^2 \cos^2 2\beta}{\sin 2 \alpha \sin 2 \beta + k^2 \cos^2 2\beta},$$

$$K_2 = \frac{-\sin 2 \alpha \sin 2 \beta}{\sin 2 \alpha \sin 2 \beta + k^2 \cos^2 2\beta},$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(22)} \hspace{1cm} \text{(23)}$$

where $k^2 = c_{s1}^2 / c_{p1}^2.$
The scattered waves here are either a cosine function, a sine function, or both. In the full-space problem, when \(0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\), usually the cosine and sine terms are included in the solution because they together form a complete set of orthogonal functions. But, in the half-space problem, when \(0 \leq \theta \leq \pi\), only the sine function or only the cosine function is orthogonal. As pointed out by Lee and Liu [18], a given sine function can be represented by a cosine function in the half-space range (where \(m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\)).

\[
\sin m\theta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\pi} \frac{2m}{m^2 + n^2} \cos n\theta,
\]

where

\[
s_{nm} = \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin m\theta \cos n\theta \, d\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{2m}{m^2 - n^2}, & n + m = \text{odd}, \\ 0, & n + m = \text{even}, \end{cases}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ 2, & n \geq 1. \end{cases}
\]

(31)

Therefore, a completely independent solution in half-space should contain only cosine functions or only sine functions, not both.

When the incident waves strike the semicylindrical core-shell structure, the P-waves are scattered back from the interface \(L_1\), and the corresponding displacement potential of the scattered waves are given by

\[
\phi_{1s}^{(1)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{1n} H_n^{(1)}(\alpha_1 r) \sin n\theta,
\]

(32a)

\[
\psi_{1s}^{(1)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{1n} H_n^{(1)}(\beta_1 r) \sin n\theta,
\]

(32b)

where \(A_{1n}\) and \(C_{1n}\) are the coefficients to be determined, and note that the summation will be changed from \(n = 1\) to \(n = \infty\) because \(A_{10} = 0, C_{10} = 0\).

Using equations (30) and (31), equations (32a) and (32b) can be expressed as a cosine function:

\[
\phi_{1s}^{(1)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H_m^{(1)}(\alpha_1 r) A_{1m} \sin m\theta = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H_m^{(1)}(\alpha_1 r) A_{1m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\pi} \frac{2m}{m^2 + n^2} \cos n\theta,
\]

(33a)

and then the normal stress \(\sigma_{\theta\theta}\) has only the term about \(\sin n\theta\), so at \(\theta = 0\) and \(\theta = \pi\), and \(\sigma_{\theta\theta} = 0\) satisfies the stress-free condition on the straight boundary.

The next step is to test how these wave functions satisfy the zero-stress boundary condition of the straight boundary.

2.2.1. Zero Normal Surface Stress in the Straight Boundary. Formula for zero normal surface stress in the straight boundary is

\[
\sigma_{yy} \big|_{y=0} = \sigma_{\theta\theta} \big|_{\theta=0, \pi} = 0.
\]

(34)

Using equations (32a)–(33b), the longitudinal wave potential function is represented by a sine series, and the transverse wave potential function is represented by a cosine series

\[
\phi_{1s}^{(1)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{1n} H_n^{(1)}(\alpha_1 r) \sin n\theta,
\]

(35a)

\[
\psi_{1s}^{(1)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{1m} H_m^{(1)}(\beta_1 r) \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\pi} \frac{2m}{m^2 + n^2} \cos n\theta,
\]

(35b)

2.2.2. Zero Shear Stress on the Straight Boundary. Formula for zero shear stress in the straight boundary is

\[
\sigma_{yz} \big|_{y=0} = \sigma_{\theta\phi} \big|_{\theta=0, \pi} = 0.
\]

(36)
Using equations (32a)–(32b), the longitudinal wave potential function is represented by a cosine series, and the transverse wave potential function is represented by a sine series
\[
\phi_1^{(r)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} A_{1n} H_n^{(1)}(\alpha_1 r) \frac{e^{im\theta}}{\pi} \sin n\theta, \tag{37a}
\]
\[
\psi_1^{(r)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{1n} H_n^{(1)}(\beta_1 r) \sin n\theta, \tag{37b}
\]
and then the tangential stress \(\sigma_{tr}\) has only the term about \(\sin n\theta\), so at \(\theta = 0\) and \(\theta = \pi\), and \(\sigma_{tr} = 0\) satisfies the free boundary condition of the straight boundary.

The total waves in the matrix material 1 can be obtained by the superposition principle
\[
\phi_1 = \phi^{(r)}(r, \theta) + \phi^{(i)}(r, \theta)
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n(\alpha_1 r)(a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{1n} H_n^{(1)}(\alpha_1 r) \sin n\theta, \tag{38a}
\]
\[
\psi_1 = \psi^{(r)}(r, \theta) + \psi^{(i)}(r, \theta)
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n(\beta_1 r)(c_n \cos n\theta + d_n \sin n\theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{1n} H_n^{(1)}(\beta_1 r) \sin n\theta. \tag{38b}
\]

The refracted waves on boundary \(L_1\) are
\[
\phi^{(f)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{2n} J_n(\alpha_2 r) \sin n\theta, \tag{39a}
\]
\[
\psi^{(f)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{2n} J_n(\beta_2 r) \sin n\theta. \tag{39b}
\]
The scattered waves generated by the refracted waves at interface \(L_1\) are
\[
\phi_2^{(s)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{3n} H_n^{(2)}(\alpha_2 r) \sin n\theta, \tag{40a}
\]
\[
\psi_2^{(s)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{3n} H_n^{(2)}(\beta_2 r) \sin n\theta. \tag{40b}
\]
The total waves in the shell 2 are obtained by the superposition principle
\[
\phi_2 = \phi^{(f)}(r, \theta) + \psi^{(f)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ A_{2n} J_n(\alpha_2 r) + A_{3n} H_n^{(2)} \right] \sin n\theta, \tag{41a}
\]
\[
\psi_2 = \psi^{(f)}(r, \theta) + \psi^{(f)}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ C_{2n} J_n(\beta_2 r) + C_{3n} H_n^{(2)} \right] \sin n\theta. \tag{41b}
\]
where \(A_{1n}, A_{2n}, A_{3n}\) and \(C_{1n}, C_{2n}, C_{3n}\) are unknown coefficients, determined by the boundary conditions at \(L_1\) and \(L_2\). \(H_n^{(2)}(\cdot)\) is the first kind \(n\)-order Hankel function, \(H_n^{(2)}(\cdot)\) is the second kind \(n\)-order Hankel function, \(\alpha_2 = \omega/c_{p2}\) and \(\beta_2 = \omega/c_{s2}\) are the wave numbers of the compression waves and shear waves in the shell, the compression waves velocity is \(c_{p2} = \sqrt{\lambda_2 + 2\mu_2}/\rho_2\), and the shear waves velocity is \(c_{s2} = \sqrt{\mu_2/\rho_2}\).

2.3. Boundary Conditions. Substituting equations (32a) and (32b) into (18a)–(19c) for the displacements in the matrix, we get
\[
u_{r1} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ (a_n M_{11}^{(1)} + d_n M_{12}^{(1)} + C_{1n} M_{12}^{(3)}) \cos n\theta + (b_n M_{11}^{(1)} - c_n M_{12}^{(1)} + A_{1n} M_{12}^{(3)}) \sin n\theta \right], \tag{42a}
\]
\[
u_{\theta1} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ (d_n M_{12}^{(2)} + C_{1n} M_{12}^{(3)} - a_n M_{11}^{(1)}) \sin n\theta + (b_n M_{12}^{(1)} + c_n M_{12}^{(1)} + A_{1n} M_{12}^{(3)}) \cos n\theta \right]. \tag{42b}
\]
The stresses in the matrix are
\[
\sigma_{r1} = \frac{2\mu_1}{r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ (a_n E_{11}^{(1)} + d_n E_{12}^{(1)} + C_{1n} E_{12}^{(3)}) \cos n\theta + (b_n E_{11}^{(1)} + c_n E_{12}^{(1)} + A_{1n} E_{12}^{(3)}) \sin n\theta \right], \tag{43a}
\]
\[
\sigma_{\theta1} = \frac{2\mu_1}{r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ (a_n E_{21}^{(1)} + d_n E_{22}^{(1)} + C_{1n} E_{22}^{(3)}) \cos n\theta + (b_n E_{21}^{(1)} - c_n E_{22}^{(1)} + A_{1n} E_{22}^{(3)}) \sin n\theta \right], \tag{43b}
\]
\[
\sigma_{r\theta1} = \frac{2\mu_1}{r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ (d_n E_{11}^{(2)} + C_{1n} E_{12}^{(3)} - a_n E_{11}^{(1)}) \sin n\theta + (b_n E_{11}^{(1)} + c_n E_{11}^{(1)} + A_{1n} E_{11}^{(3)}) \cos n\theta \right]. \tag{43c}
\]
Substituting equations (41a) and (41b) into equations (18a)–(19c), the displacements of the shell are

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{r2} &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{2m} \bar{M}_{11}^{(1)} + A_{3m} \bar{M}_{12}^{(1)} \right] \sin n\theta + \left( C_{2m} \bar{M}_{12}^{(1)} + C_{3m} \bar{M}_{12}^{(3)} \right) \cos n\theta, \\
\delta_{\theta2} &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ C_{2m} \bar{M}_{12}^{(1)} + C_{3m} \bar{M}_{12}^{(3)} \right] \sin n\theta + \left( A_{2m} \bar{M}_{12}^{(1)} + A_{3m} \bar{M}_{12}^{(3)} \right) \cos n\theta.
\end{align*}
\]

(44a) (44b)

The stresses in the shell are

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{rr2} &= \frac{2\mu_2}{r^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{2m} E_{11}^{(1)} + A_{3m} E_{11}^{(3)} \right] \sin n\theta + \left( C_{2m} E_{12}^{(1)} + C_{3m} E_{12}^{(3)} \right) \cos n\theta, \\
\sigma_{\theta\theta2} &= \frac{2\mu_2}{r^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{2m} E_{21}^{(1)} + A_{3m} E_{21}^{(3)} \right] \sin n\theta + \left( C_{2m} E_{22}^{(1)} + C_{3m} E_{22}^{(3)} \right) \cos n\theta, \\
\sigma_{r\theta2} &= \frac{2\mu_2}{r^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{2m} E_{41}^{(1)} + A_{3m} E_{41}^{(3)} \right] \cos n\theta + \left( C_{2m} E_{42}^{(1)} + C_{3m} E_{42}^{(3)} \right) \sin n\theta.
\end{align*}
\]

(45a) (45b) (45c)

Substituting equations (42a)–(45c) into the boundary conditional equations (10) and (13)–(16), using the orthogonality of \(e_{m\theta}^{\pm}\), multiplying \(\sin n\theta\) at both ends of the question, and integrating \(\theta\) in \([0, \pi]\), the specific form of the boundary conditional that needs to be satisfied by the abovementioned six unknown coefficients is

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( a_m \bar{M}_{11}^{(1)} + b_m \bar{M}_{12}^{(1)} + c_m \bar{M}_{12}^{(3)} \right) s_{nm} + \frac{\pi}{2} \left( b_n \bar{M}_{11}^{(1)} - c_n \bar{M}_{12}^{(1)} + a_n \bar{M}_{12}^{(3)} \right) - \frac{\pi}{2} \left( A_{2m} \bar{M}_{11}^{(1)} + A_{3m} \bar{M}_{12}^{(3)} \right) &= 0, \\
- \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( C_{2m} \bar{M}_{12}^{(1)} + C_{3m} \bar{M}_{12}^{(3)} \right) s_{nm} &= 0, \\
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( b_m \bar{M}_{81}^{(1)} + c_m \bar{M}_{82}^{(1)} + A_{1m} \bar{M}_{82}^{(3)} \right) s_{nm} + \frac{\pi}{2} \left( -a_m \bar{M}_{81}^{(1)} + d_m \bar{M}_{82}^{(1)} + C_{1m} \bar{M}_{82}^{(3)} \right) - \frac{\pi}{2} \left( C_{2m} \bar{M}_{81}^{(1)} + C_{3m} \bar{M}_{82}^{(3)} \right) &= 0, \\
- \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( A_{2m} \bar{M}_{81}^{(1)} + A_{3m} \bar{M}_{81}^{(3)} \right) s_{nm} &= 0, \\
\left[ \left( 1 - \nu_2 \right) E_{12}^{(1)} - \nu_2 E_{12}^{(1)} \right] C_{2m} + \left( 1 - \nu_2 \right) E_{22}^{(3)} - \nu_2 E_{22}^{(3)} \right] C_{3m} ] s_{nm} + s_1 \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \nu_2 \right) E_{11}^{(3)} - \nu_2 E_{11}^{(1)} \right) A_{2m} \\
+ \left( 1 - \nu_2 \right) E_{21}^{(3)} - \nu_2 E_{21}^{(3)} \right) A_{3m} ] + \frac{\pi}{2} \left( A_{2m} E_{11}^{(1)} + A_{3m} E_{11}^{(3)} \right) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( C_{2m} E_{12}^{(1)} + C_{3m} E_{12}^{(3)} \right) s_{nm} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
\[ s_1 \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \cdot \left[ ((1 - \nu_2)E_{21}^{(1)} - \nu_2E_{11}^{(1)})A_{2m} + \left( (1 - \nu_2)E_{21}^{(3)} - \nu_2E_{11}^{(3)} \right)A_{3m} \right] s_{mn} - s_1 \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot n \cdot \left| \left( (1 - \nu_2)E_{21}^{(1)} - \nu_2E_{11}^{(1)} \right)C_{2n} \right| \\
+ \left( (1 - \nu_2)E_{22}^{(3)} - \nu_2E_{12}^{(3)} \right)C_{3n} \right] \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \left( C_{2m}E_{42}^{(3)} + C_{3m}E_{42}^{(3)} \right) - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( A_{2m}E_{41}^{(1)} + A_{3m}E_{41}^{(3)} \right) s_{mn} = 0, \]

\[ s_2 \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \cdot \left[ (1 - \nu_1)E_{21}^{(1)} - \nu_1E_{11}^{(1)} \right] a_m + \left( (1 - \nu_1)E_{21}^{(3)} - \nu_1E_{11}^{(3)} \right) c_m + \left( (1 - \nu_1)E_{22}^{(3)} - \nu_1E_{12}^{(3)} \right) A_{1m} \right] s_{mn} - s_2 \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot n \cdot \left| \left( (1 - \nu_1)E_{21}^{(1)} - \nu_1E_{11}^{(1)} \right) b_m \right| \\
- \nu_1E_{41}^{(1)} b_m - \left( (1 - \nu_1)E_{22}^{(1)} + \nu_1E_{12}^{(1)} \right) c_n - \left( (1 - \nu_1)E_{21}^{(3)} - \nu_1E_{11}^{(3)} \right) A_{1n} \right] \right] \frac{\pi}{2} \left( b_mE_{11}^{(1)} + c_mE_{12}^{(1)} + A_{1n}E_{11}^{(1)} \right) - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( a_mE_{11}^{(1)} + d_mE_{12}^{(1)} + C_{1m}E_{11}^{(3)} \right) s_{nm} + \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( C_{2m}E_{42}^{(1)} + C_{3m}E_{42}^{(3)} \right) s_{mn} \]

\[ \frac{\pi}{2} \left( A_{2n}E_{41}^{(1)} + A_{3n}E_{41}^{(3)} \right) \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} = 0, \]

\[ s_2 \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \cdot \left[ (1 - \nu_1)E_{21}^{(1)} - \nu_1E_{11}^{(1)} \right] b_m + \left( (1 - \nu_1)E_{22}^{(3)} - \nu_1E_{12}^{(3)} \right) c_m + \left( (1 - \nu_1)E_{21}^{(3)} - \nu_1E_{11}^{(3)} \right) A_{1m} \right] s_{mn} - s_2 \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot n \cdot \left| \left( (1 - \nu_1)E_{21}^{(1)} - \nu_1E_{11}^{(1)} \right) b_m \right| \\
- \nu_1E_{41}^{(1)} b_m - \left( (1 - \nu_1)E_{22}^{(1)} + \nu_1E_{12}^{(1)} \right) c_n - \left( (1 - \nu_1)E_{21}^{(3)} - \nu_1E_{11}^{(3)} \right) A_{1n} \right] \right] \frac{\pi}{2} \left( a_mE_{41}^{(1)} + d_mE_{41}^{(3)} + C_{1n}E_{42}^{(3)} \right) s_{mn} + \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( A_{2m}E_{41}^{(1)} + A_{3m}E_{41}^{(3)} \right) s_{mn} \]

\[ - \frac{\pi}{2} \left( C_{2n}E_{42}^{(1)} + C_{3n}E_{42}^{(3)} \right) \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} = 0, \]

\[ (46) \]

where

\[ M_{71}^{(k)} = -nC_n(\alpha r) + \alpha rC_{n-1}(\alpha r), \quad M_{72}^{(k)} = nC_n(\beta r), \]

\[ M_{81}^{(k)} = nC_n(\alpha r), \quad M_{82}^{(k)} = nC_n(\beta r) - \beta rC_{n-1}(\beta r), \]

\[ E_{11}^{(k)} = \left( n^2 + n - \frac{1}{2} \beta_1^2 r^2 \right) C_n(\alpha r) - \alpha rC_{n-1}(\alpha r), \quad E_{12}^{(k)} = \left( n^2 + n \right) C_n(\beta r) + n\beta_1 rC_{n-1}(\beta r), \]

\[ E_{21}^{(k)} = \left( n^2 + n - \frac{1}{2} \beta_1^2 r^2 - \alpha_1^2 r^2 \right) C_n(\alpha r) + \alpha rC_{n-1}(\alpha r), \quad E_{22}^{(k)} = \left( n^2 + n \right) C_n(\beta r) - n\beta_1 rC_{n-1}(\beta r), \]

\[ E_{41}^{(k)} = \left( n^2 + n \right) C_n(\alpha r) + n\alpha rC_{n-1}(\alpha r), \quad E_{42}^{(k)} = \left( n^2 + n - \frac{1}{2} \beta_1^2 r^2 \right) C_n(\beta r) - \beta rC_{n-1}(\beta r), \]

\[ k = 1, \quad C_n(\cdot) = J_n(\cdot), \quad k = 3, \]
\[ C_n(\cdot) = H_n^{(1)}(\cdot), \]
\[ M_{11}^{(k)} = -nC_n(\alpha_2 r) + \alpha_2 r C_{n-1}(\alpha_2 r), M_{22}^{(k)} = nC_n(\beta_2 r), \]
\[ M_{44}^{(k)} = nC_n(\alpha_2 r), M_{42}^{(k)} = nC_n(\beta_2 r) - \beta_2 r C_{n-1}(\beta_2 r), \]
\[ E_{11}^{(k)} = \left( n^2 + n - \frac{1}{2} \beta_2^2 r^2 \right) C_n(\alpha_2 r) - \alpha_2 r C_{n-1}(\alpha_2 r), E_{12}^{(k)} = \left( n^2 + n \right) C_n(\beta_2 r) + n \beta_2 r C_{n-1}(\beta_2 r), \]
\[ E_{21}^{(k)} = \left( n^2 + n - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2^2 r^2 \right) C_n(\alpha_2 r) + \alpha_2 r C_{n-1}(\alpha_2 r), E_{22}^{(k)} = \left( n^2 + n \right) C_n(\beta_2 r) - n \beta_2 r C_{n-1}(\beta_2 r), \]
\[ k = 1, C_n(\cdot) = J_n(\cdot), k = 3, C_n(\cdot) = H_n^{(2)}(\cdot). \]

**Figure 2:** Distribution of DSCF along the interface \((r_1 = a)\) with various values of \(s_2\) under \(\alpha_1 a = 0.2, \eta = 1.1, \mu_2/\mu_1 = 0.2, \alpha_2/\alpha_1 = 1.5\).

**Figure 3:** Distribution of DSCF along the interface \((r_1 = a)\) with various values of \(\mu_2/\mu_1\) under \(\alpha_1 a = 0.2, s_2 = 0.1, \eta = 1.1, \alpha_2/\alpha_1 = 1.5\).

**Figure 4:** Distribution of DSCF along the interface \((r_1 = a)\) with various values of \(s_2\) under \(\alpha_1 a = \pi, \eta = 1.1, \mu_2/\mu_1 = 0.2, \alpha_2/\alpha_1 = 1.5\).

**Figure 5:** Distribution of DSCF along the interface \((r_1 = a)\) with various values of \(\mu_2/\mu_1\) under \(\alpha_1 a = \pi, s_2 = 0.1, \eta = 1.1, \alpha_2/\alpha_1 = 1.5\).
3. Results and Discussion

The following is an analysis of the variation of the DSCF under the different conditions. The DSCF at the interface of a semicylindrical core-shell structure is usually defined as

\[
DSCF = \left| \frac{\sigma_{\text{in}}}{\sigma_{\text{out}}} \right|, \tag{48}
\]

where \(\sigma_{\text{in}}\) is the bulk stress of the matrix material at interface \(r = a\), and \(\sigma_{\text{out}} = -\mu_2 \beta^2 \phi_0\) is the stress intensity in the propagation direction of \(P\) waves.

It is seen that when the surface/interface effect is taken into account, the dynamic stress depends not only on the wave number and Poisson’s ratio but also on the surface elasticity parameter \(s\). After considering the surface effect and the semicylindrical core-shell structure model, DSCF is related not only to the wave number of the incident waves but also to factors such as surface parameters and angle of incidence. The following is a study of the variation of the dynamic stress concentration factor by taking different material constants, and we keep \(\nu_1 = \nu_2 = 0.25\) and \(\eta = 1.1\) in the calculations.

3.1. The Effects of a Low-Frequency Incident Wave on DSCF, That as \(\alpha/a = 0.2\). In this case, the wavelength \(\lambda = 40\alpha\) of the incident waves is much larger than the radius of the shell and the core layer. Figures 2 and 3 show the distributions of DSCF near the surface \((r = a)\) of the shell for different values of \(s_2\) and \(\mu_2/\mu_1\). It can be seen from Figure 2 that the effect factor \(s_2\) of surface/interface has a significant influence on the DSCF on the surface of the shell. As \(s_2\) increases, the DSCF decreases significantly around \(\theta = -\pi/2\) and \(\theta = \pi/8\), with minor change at \(\theta = \pi/5\) and \(\theta = 3\pi/8\).

To detect the overall performance impact, Figure 3 shows the DSCF near the semicylindrical core-shell structure for various ratios of shear modulus \(\mu_2/\mu_1\), when the shear modulus increased, the DSCF significantly increased around \(\theta = \pm \pi/4\), and slightly decreased around \(\theta = 0\). For softer inclusions \((\mu_2/\mu_1 < 1)\), the peak of DSCF appears near \(\theta = 0\) and \(\theta = \pi/8\), and for harder inclusions \((\mu_2/\mu_1 > 1)\), the maximum value of DSCF appears at \(\theta = -\pi/4\).

3.2. The Effects of a High-Frequency Incident Wave on DSCF, That as \(\alpha/a = 3.14\). In this case, the wavelength \(\lambda = 2\) of the incident waves equals to the diameter of the core-shell inclusions. Figures 4 and 5 show the distribution of DSCF near the surface \((r = a)\) of the shell for different values of \(s_2\) and \(\mu_2/\mu_1\), and it was generally lower than that of a low frequency incident waves. Figure 4 shows that the effect factor \(s_2\) of surface/interface has a significant influence on the DSCF on the surface of the shell. As \(s_2\) increases, the DSCF decreases significantly around \(\theta = \pi/2\), with little change at \(\theta = \pi/5\). As can be seen from Figure 4, when \(s_2 = 2\), the amplitude of DSCF drops faster than \(s_2 < 2\).

In Figure 5 when the \(\mu_2/\mu_1\) increased, the DSCF decreased significantly around \(\theta = \pm \pi/4\), with minor change at \(\theta = \pi/8\). For softer inclusions \((\mu_2/\mu_1 < 1)\), the amplitude of DSCF does not change substantially with the value of \(\mu_2/\mu_1\), and for harder inclusions \((\mu_2/\mu_1 > 1)\), the magnitude of DSCF varies significantly with the value of \(\mu_2/\mu_1\).

4. Conclusions

In this paper, the wave function expansion method and the conversion formula of the sine function and cosine function are used to theoretically study the scattering characteristics of plane compression waves of a semicylindrical core-shell structure, solutions for the elastic fields induced by \(P\)-waves near the surface of the semicylindrical core-shell structure is obtained, and the effects of interface properties on the dynamic stress concentration factors near the surface of the semicylindrical core-shell nanostructures are discussed in detail. The results show that as the shell radius is reduced to the nanometer level, the incident frequency of the incident wave has a significant effect on DSCF. Hard inclusions are more easily affected than soft inclusions, and the dynamic stress concentration factor changes with the interface effect, significantly.
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