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A very new technique, coupled fractional reduced differential transform, has been implemented to obtain the numerical approximate solution of (2 + 1)-dimensional coupled time fractional burger equations. The fractional derivatives are described in the Caputo sense. By using the present method we can solve many linear and nonlinear coupled fractional differential equations. The obtained results are compared with the exact solutions. Numerical solutions are presented graphically to show the reliability and efficiency of the method.

1. Introduction

In the past decades, the fractional differential equations have been widely used in various fields of applied science and engineering [1–10]. Fractional calculus has been used to model physical and engineering processes that are found to be best described by fractional differential equations. For that reason we need a reliable and efficient technique for the solution of fractional differential equations. An immense effort has been expended over the last many years to find robust and efficient numerical and analytical methods for solving such fractional differential equations. In the present analysis, a new approximate numerical technique, coupled fractional reduced differential transform method (CFRDTM), has been proposed which is applicable for coupled fractional differential equations. The proposed method is a very powerful solver for linear and nonlinear coupled fractional differential equations. It is relatively a new approach to provide the solution very efficiently and accurately.

The Burgers model of turbulence is a very important fluid dynamic model and the study of this model and the theory of shock waves have been considered by many authors both to obtain conceptual understanding of a class of physical flows and for testing various numerical methods. The study of coupled Burgers equations is very significant for the system is a simple model of sedimentation or evolution of scaled volume concentrations of two kinds of particles in fluid suspensions or colloids, under the effect of gravity [11].

In this paper, (2 + 1)-dimensional coupled time fractional burger equations have been considered. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a brief review of the theory of fractional calculus has been provided for precise purpose of this paper. In Section 3, the coupled fractional reduced differential transform method has been analyzed in detail. In Section 4, CFRDTM has been applied to determine the approximate solutions for the coupled time fractional burger equations. The obtained results show the efficiency and simplicity of the proposed method. Finally, conclusions are presented.

2. Mathematical Preliminaries of Fractional Calculus

The fractional calculus was first anticipated by Leibnitz, one of the founders of standard calculus, in a letter written in 1695. This calculus involves different definitions of the fractional operators as well as the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, Caputo derivative, Riesz derivative, and Grunwald-Letnikov fractional derivative [1]. The fractional calculus
has gained considerable importance during the past decades mainly due to its applications in diverse fields of science and engineering. For the purpose of this paper Caputo's definition of fractional derivative will be used, taking the advantage of Caputo's approach that the initial conditions for fractional differential equations with Caputo's derivatives take on the traditional form as for integer-order differential equations.

2.1. Definition of Riemann-Liouville Integral. The most frequently encountered definition of an integral of fractional order is the Riemann-Liouville integral [1], in which the fractional integral of order \( \alpha (>0) \) is defined as

\[
J^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau) d\tau, \quad t > 0, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ , \tag{1}
\]

where \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) is the set of positive real numbers.

2.2. Definition of Caputo Fractional Derivative. The fractional derivative, introduced by Caputo [12, 13] in the late sixties, is called Caputo Fractional Derivative. The fractional derivative of \( f(t) \) in the Caputo sense is defined by

\[
D^\alpha_a f(t) = J^{m-\alpha} D^m f(t) \tag{2}
\]

where \( m-1 < \alpha < m \), \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), the parameter \( \alpha \) is the order of the derivative and is allowed to be real or even complex. In this paper only real and positive \( \alpha \) will be considered.

For the Caputo’s derivative we have

\[
D^\alpha C = 0, \quad (C \text{ is a constant})
\]

\[
D^\alpha t^\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+1-\alpha)} t^{\beta-\alpha}, & \beta > \alpha-1, \\ 0, & \beta \leq \alpha-1 \end{cases} \tag{3}
\]

Being similar to integer-order differentiation, Caputo's derivative is linear:

\[
D^\alpha (y(t) + \delta g(t)) = yD^\alpha f(t) + \delta D^\alpha g(t), \tag{4}
\]

where \( y \) and \( \delta \) are constants and satisfy so called Leibnitz's rule:

\[
D^\alpha (g(t) f(t)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{\alpha}{k} g^{(k)}(t) D^{\alpha-k} f(t), \tag{5}
\]

where \( f(t) \) is continuous in \([0,t]\) and \( g(t) \) has \( n+1 \) continuous derivatives in \([0, t] \).

Lemma 1. If \( m-1 < \alpha < m, m \in \mathbb{N} \), then

\[
D^\alpha J^\alpha f(t) = f(t), \quad J^\alpha D^\alpha f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(0+)}{k!} t^k, \quad t > 0. \tag{6}
\]

Theorem 2 (generalized Taylor’s formula; see [14]). Suppose that \( D^\alpha_a f(t) \in C[a,b] \) for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, n+1 \), where \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \); we have

\[
f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(t-a)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1+k)} D^{\alpha+k} f(t)_{t=a} + \mathfrak{R}^n_a (t; a), \tag{8}
\]

with \( \mathfrak{R}^n_a (t ; a) = ((t-a)^{(n+1)} \Gamma((n+1)\alpha+1))\{D^\alpha_a f(t) \}_{t=a} \), \( a \leq \xi \leq t, \forall t \in (a,b], \) where \( D^\alpha_a = D^\alpha_a \cdot D^\alpha_a \cdot D^\alpha_a \cdots D^\alpha_a \) (\( k \) times).

3. Coupled Fractional Reduced Differential Transform Method (CFRDTM)

In order to introduce coupled fractional reduced differential transform, \( U(h,k-h) \) is considered as the coupled fractional reduced differential transform of \( u(x,y,t) \). If function \( u(x,y,t) \) is analytic and differentiated continuously with respect to time \( t \), then we define the fractional coupled reduced differential transform of \( u(x,y,t) \) as

\[
U(h,k-h) = \frac{1}{\Gamma((\alpha+1)\beta+1)} \left[ D^\alpha_a (\alpha+1) \beta + 1 \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ D^\alpha_a (\alpha+1) \beta \right] u(x,y,t)_{t=0},
\]

whereas the inverse transform of \( U(h,k-h) \) is

\[
u(x,y,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{k} U(h,k-h) t^{\alpha+1} \beta \), \tag{10}

which is one of the solutions of coupled fractional differential equations.

Theorem 3. Suppose that \( U(h,k-h), V(h,k-h), \) and \( W(h,k-h) \) are the coupled fractional reduced differential transform of the functions \( u(x,y,t), v(x,y,t), \) and \( w(x,y,t) \), respectively.

(i) If \( u(x,y,t) = f(x,y,t) + g(x,y,t) \), then \( U(h,k-h) = F(h,k-h) + G(h,k-h) \).

(ii) If \( u(x,y,t) = af(x,y,t) \), where \( a \in \mathbb{R} \), then \( U(h,k-h) = aF(h,k-h) \).

(iii) If \( f(x,y,t) = u(x,y,t) v(x,y,t) \), then \( F(h,k-h) = \sum_{l=0}^{h} \sum_{s=0}^{k-h} U(h-l,s) V(l,k-h-s) \).

(iv) If \( f(x,y,t) = D^\alpha_a u(x,y,t) \), then

\[
F(h,k-h) = \frac{\Gamma((h+1)\alpha+1) \beta + 1}{\Gamma((h+1)\alpha+1+1)} U(h+1,k-h). \tag{11}
\]

(v) If \( f(x,y,t) = D^\alpha_a v(x,y,t) \), then

\[
F(h,k-h) = \frac{\Gamma((h+1)\alpha+1) \beta + 1}{\Gamma((h+1)\alpha+1+1)} V(h,k-h+1). \tag{12}
\]
4. Application of CFRDTM for the Solutions for (2 + 1)-Dimensional Time Fractional Coupled Burgers Equations

Example 4. Consider the following (2 + 1)-dimensional time fractional coupled Burgers equations [15]:

\[
\begin{align*}
D_\alpha^t u + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} &= \frac{1}{R} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right), \quad (13) \\
D_\beta^t v + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} &= \frac{1}{R} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right), \quad (14)
\end{align*}
\]

subject to the initial conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
u(x, y, 0) &= \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{4 \left(1 + \exp \left(\frac{R}{32}(-4x + 4y)\right)\right)}, \quad (15) \\
\nu(x, y, 0) &= \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4 \left(1 + \exp \left(\frac{R}{32}(-4x + 4y)\right)\right)}, \quad (16)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(0 \leq x, y \leq 1, t > 0, 0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1, \) and \(R\) is the Reynolds number.

The exact solutions of (13) and (14), for the special case where \(\alpha = \beta = 1\), are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
u(x, y, t) &= \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{4 (1 + \exp ((R/32)(-4x + 4y - t)))}, \quad (17) \\
\nu(x, y, t) &= \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4 (1 + \exp ((R/32)(-4x + 4y - t)))}.
\end{align*}
\]

In order to assess the advantages and the accuracy of the CFRDTM, we consider the (2 + 1)-dimensional time fractional coupled Burgers equations. Firstly, we derive the recursive formula from (13) and (14). Now, \(U(h, k - h)\) and \(V(h, k - h)\) are considered as the coupled fractional reduced differential transform of \(u(x, y, t)\) and \(v(x, y, t)\), respectively, where \(u(x, y, t)\) and \(v(x, y, t)\) are the solutions of coupled fractional differential equations. Here, \(U(0, 0) = u(x, y, 0), V(0, 0) = v(x, y, 0)\) are given initial conditions. Without loss of generality, the following assumptions have been taken:

\[
\begin{align*}
U(0, j) &= 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \\
V(i, 0) &= 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

Applying CFRDTM to (13), we obtain the following recursive formula:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma (h + 1) \alpha + (k - h) \beta + 1) \Gamma (h \alpha + (k - h) \beta + 1) \\
&= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} U(h, k - h) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
\end{align*}
\]

subject to the initial condition of (15), we have

\[
U(0, 0) = u(x, y, 0).
\]

In the same manner, we can obtain the following recursive formula from (14):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Gamma (h \alpha + (k - h + 1) \beta + 1) \Gamma (h \alpha + (k - h) \beta + 1)}{\Gamma (h \alpha + (k - h + 1) \beta + 1) \Gamma (h \alpha + (k - h) \beta + 1)} \\
&= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} V(h, k - h) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
\end{align*}
\]

subject to the initial condition of (16), we have

\[
V(0, 0) = v(x, y, 0).
\]

According to CFRDTM, using recursive equation (19) with initial condition (20) and also using recursive scheme (21) with initial condition (22) simultaneously, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
U(1, 0) &= -\frac{\exp ((R/8)(x - y)) R}{128(1 + \exp ((R/8)(x - y)))^2 \Gamma (1 + \alpha)}, \\
V(0, 1) &= -\frac{\exp ((R/8)(x - y)) R}{128(1 + \exp ((R/8)(x - y)))^2 \Gamma (1 + \beta)}, \\
U(1, 1) &= -\frac{\exp ((R/4)(x - y)) R^2}{4096(1 + \exp ((R/8)(x - y)))^4 \Gamma (1 + \alpha + \beta)}, \\
V(0, 2) &= -\left(\exp \left(\frac{R}{8}(x - y)\right) \right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ U(2,0) = \left( \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right. \\
\left. \times \left( -1 + \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right) + \exp \left( \frac{R}{4} (x-y) \right) \right) R^2 \\
\times \left( 4096 \left[ 1 + \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right]^4 \Gamma (1 + 2\alpha) \right)^{-1}, \]

\[ V(1,1) = \frac{\exp \left( ((R/4)(x-y))^2 \right)}{4096(1 + \exp((R/8)(x-y))^4 \Gamma (1 + \alpha + \beta)}, \]

\[ U(1,2) = \left( \exp \left( \frac{R}{4} (x-y) \right) \right. \\
\left. \times \left( -1 + \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right) + \exp \left( \frac{R}{4} (x-y) \right) \right) R^3 \\
\times \left( 131072 \left[ 1 + \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right]^6 \Gamma (1 + \alpha + 2\beta) \right)^{-1}, \]

and so on.

The approximate solutions, obtained in the series form, are given by

\[ u(x, y, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{k} U(h, k-h) t^{(\alpha h + (k-h)\beta)} \]

\[ = U(0,0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{h=1}^{k} U(h, k-h) t^{(\alpha h + (k-h)\beta)} \]

\[ = 3 - \frac{1}{4} \left( -1 + \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right) \exp \left( ((R/32)(-4x+4y)) \right) \\
\left. \times \frac{\exp ((R/8)(x-y)) \Gamma (1 + \alpha)}{128(1 + \exp((R/8)(x-y))^2 \Gamma (1 + \alpha)} + \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right) \exp \left( ((R/4)(x-y))^2 \right) \\
\times \left( 4096 \left[ 1 + \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right]^4 \Gamma (1 + 2\alpha) \right)^{-1} \]

and

\[ v(x, y, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} V(h, k-h) t^{(\alpha h + (k-h)\beta)} \]

In special case for \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( \beta = 1 \), the solutions in (24) coincide exactly with the Taylor series expansion of the exact solutions in (17)

\[ u(x, y, t) = \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \left( -1 + \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right) \exp \left( ((R/32)(-4x+4y)) \right) \\
\left. \times \frac{\exp ((R/8)(x+y)) \Gamma (1 + \beta)}{128(\exp(Rx/8) + \exp(Ry/8))^2} + \exp ((R/8)(x+y)) \exp ((R/8)(x-y)) \exp ((R/8)^2) \\
\times \left( 4096 \left[ 1 + \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right]^4 \Gamma (1 + 2\beta) \right)^{-1} \]

and

\[ v(x, y, t) = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \left( -1 + \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right) \exp \left( ((R/32)(-4x+4y)) \right) \\
\left. \times \frac{\exp ((R/8)(x+y)) \Gamma (1 + \beta)}{128(\exp(Rx/8) + \exp(Ry/8))^2} + \exp ((R/8)(x+y)) \exp ((R/8)(x-y)) \exp ((R/8)^2) \\
\times \left( 4096 \left[ 1 + \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x-y) \right) \right]^4 \Gamma (1 + 2\beta) \right)^{-1} \]
\[
\frac{\exp \left( \left( \frac{R}{8} \right) x + y \right) R t}{128 (\exp (R x / 8) + \exp (R y / 8))^2} + \left( \exp \left( \frac{R}{8} (x + y) \right) \right) \times \left( - \exp \left( \frac{R x}{8} \right) + \exp \left( \frac{R y}{8} \right) \right) R_t^2 \times \left( 8192 \left( \exp \left( \frac{R x}{8} \right) + \exp \left( \frac{R y}{8} \right) \right)^3 \right)^{-1} + \cdots .
\]

(25)

In case of \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( \beta = 1 \), Table 1 cites the comparison of results obtained in proposed CRFDTM with variational iteration method (VIM) when \( y = 1 \). From these results we can certainly conclude that the proposed method CRFDTM provides remarkable accuracy in comparison to VIM. In the computation of Table 1, the value of \( R \) has been taken as 100 and the numerical approximate solutions for \( u(x, y, t) \) and \( V(x, y, t) \) have been evaluated with four terms in CRFDTM and consequently compared with third approximations in VIM.

**Example 5.** Consider the following \((2 + 1)\)-dimensional time fractional coupled Burgers equations [16]:

\[
D^\alpha_t u - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - 2u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - 2v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0, \tag{26}
\]

\[
D^\beta_t v - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} - 2u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - 2v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0, \tag{27}
\]

subject to the initial conditions

\[
u(x, y, 0) = 1 + \tanh (-x + 2y + 1), \tag{28}
\]

\[
\nu(x, y, 0) = 1 + 2 \tanh (-x + 2y + 1). \tag{29}
\]

First, we derive the recursive formula from (26) and (27). Now, \( U(h, k - h) \) and \( V(h, k - h) \) are considered as the coupled fractional reduced differential transform of \( u(x, t) \) and \( v(x, t) \), respectively, where \( u(x, t) \) and \( v(x, t) \) are the solutions of coupled fractional differential equations. Here, \( U(0, 0) = u(x, 0) \), \( V(0, 0) = v(x, 0) \) are the given initial conditions. Without loss of generality, the following assumptions have been taken:

\[
U(j, 0) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots ,
\]

\[
V(i, 0) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots . \tag{30}
\]

Applying CFRDTM to (26), we obtain the following recursive formula:

\[
\frac{\Gamma ((h + 1) \alpha + (k - h) \beta + 1)}{\Gamma (h \alpha + (k - h) \beta + 1)} U(h + 1, k - h)
\]

\[
= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} U(h, k - h)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{h} \sum_{s=0}^{k-h} U(h-l, s) U(l, k-h-s) \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{5}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{h} \sum_{s=0}^{k-h} U(h-l, s) V(l, k-h-s) \right) . \tag{31}
\]

From the initial condition of (28), we have

\[
U(0, 0) = u(x, y, 0). \tag{32}
\]

In the same manner, we can obtain the following recursive formula from (27):

\[
\frac{\Gamma (h \alpha + (k - h + 1) \beta + 1)}{\Gamma (h \alpha + (k - h) \beta + 1)} V(h, k - h + 1)
\]

\[
= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} V(h, k - h)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{h} \sum_{s=0}^{k-h} V(l, k-h-s) V(h-l, s) \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{5}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{h} \sum_{s=0}^{k-h} U(h-l, s) V(l, k-h-s) \right) . \tag{33}
\]

From the initial condition of (29), we have

\[
V(0, 0) = v(x, y, 0). \tag{34}
\]

According to CFRDTM, using recursive equation (31) with initial condition (32) and also using recursive scheme (33) with initial condition (34) simultaneously, we obtain successively

\[
U(1, 0) = \frac{-2 \sech^2 (1 - x + 2y)}{\Gamma (1 + \alpha)} \tag{35}
\]

\[
V(0, 1) = \frac{4 \sech^2 (1 - x + 2y)}{\Gamma (1 + \beta)} \tag{36}
\]

\[
U(1, 1) = \frac{-16 \sech^4 (1 - x + 2y)}{\Gamma (1 + (\alpha + \beta)} \tag{37}
\]
Table 1: The comparison of results obtained in proposed method with VIM when \( y = 1, \alpha = 1, \) and \( \beta = 1. \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t = 0.1 )</th>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{VIM}} )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
<th>( U_{\text{Exact}} - U_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8E-9</td>
<td>2.1E-9</td>
<td>8.51241E-11</td>
<td>1.37701E-9</td>
<td>2.35485E-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.3E-9</td>
<td>6.9E-9</td>
<td>2.96787E-10</td>
<td>4.80359E-9</td>
<td>8.21431E-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.6E-8</td>
<td>1.69E-8</td>
<td>1.03193E-8</td>
<td>1.67338E-8</td>
<td>5.80129E-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.4E-8</td>
<td>5.97E-8</td>
<td>3.55374E-7</td>
<td>5.91365E-7</td>
<td>3.32721E-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.866E-7</td>
<td>1.886E-7</td>
<td>1.18268E-7</td>
<td>1.97734E-7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t = 0.2 )</th>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{VIM}} )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
<th>( U_{\text{Exact}} - U_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.25E-8</td>
<td>2.44E-8</td>
<td>2.87822E-9</td>
<td>2.35485E-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>8.0E-8</td>
<td>8.31E-8</td>
<td>1.00343E-8</td>
<td>2.8611E-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.858E-7</td>
<td>2.858E-7</td>
<td>3.48807E-8</td>
<td>8.21431E-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9.745E-7</td>
<td>9.794E-7</td>
<td>1.20019E-7</td>
<td>9.91365E-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.2026E-6</td>
<td>3.2012E-6</td>
<td>3.98184E-7</td>
<td>3.32721E-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t = 0.3 )</th>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{VIM}} )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
<th>( U_{\text{Exact}} - U_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.276E-7</td>
<td>1.288E-7</td>
<td>2.31437E-8</td>
<td>1.27787E-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.41E-7</td>
<td>4.470E-7</td>
<td>8.06788E-8</td>
<td>4.4573E-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.5464E-6</td>
<td>1.5458E-6</td>
<td>2.8037E-7</td>
<td>1.5522E-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.2976E-6</td>
<td>5.3028E-6</td>
<td>9.63714E-7</td>
<td>5.3749E-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.7355E-5</td>
<td>1.73542E-5</td>
<td>3.18549E-6</td>
<td>0.000018244</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t = 0.4 )</th>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{VIM}} )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
<th>( U_{\text{Exact}} - U_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{CRFDTM}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1422E-6</td>
<td>1.1438E-6</td>
<td>3.36022E-7</td>
<td>1.14345E-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.9824E-6</td>
<td>3.9847E-6</td>
<td>1.17111E-6</td>
<td>3.98786E-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.38317E-5</td>
<td>1.38315E-5</td>
<td>4.06661E-6</td>
<td>0.0000138803</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.73753E-5</td>
<td>4.73792E-5</td>
<td>0.0000139399</td>
<td>0.0000479769</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.54602E-4</td>
<td>1.546009E-4</td>
<td>0.000045625</td>
<td>0.000161817</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
V (0, 2) = - \left( 8 \left( \frac{\text{sech}^4 (1 - x + 2 y)}{\Gamma (1 + \alpha + \beta)} \right) \right)
\]

\[
U (2, 0) = \left( 8 \left( \frac{\text{sech}^2 (1 - x + 2 y)}{\Gamma (1 + \alpha + \beta)} \right) \right)
\]

\[
V (1, 1) = \frac{8 \text{sech}^4 (1 - x + 2 y)}{\Gamma (1 + \alpha + \beta)}
\]

and so on.

The approximate solutions, obtained in the series form, are given by

\[
u (x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} V (h, k) t^{(\alpha + (k-h)\beta)}
\]

\[
u (x, y) = V (0, 0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} V (h, k) t^{(\alpha + (k-h)\beta)}
\]

\[
u (x, y) = 1 + 2 \tanh (1 - x + 2 y) + \frac{4t^\alpha \text{sech}^2 (1 - x + 2 y)}{\Gamma (1 + \beta)}
\]
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$$- (8t^{2\beta} \left( \tanh^4 (1 - x + 2y) + 2 \tanh^2 (1 - x + 2y) \right) \times \tanh (1 - x + 2y) ) \times (\Gamma (1 + 2\beta)^{-1}) + \cdots.$$  \hfill (37)

When $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$, the solution in (36) becomes

$$u(x, y, t) = 1 - \tanh (-x + 2y + 2t + 1) - 2t \tanh (1 - x + 2y) + 4t^2 \tanh (1 - x + 2y) \times \tanh (1 - x + 2y) + \cdots.$$  \hfill (38)

When $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$, the solution in (37) becomes

$$v(x, y, t) = 1 + 2 \tanh (1 - x + 2y + 1) + 4t \tanh (1 - x + 2y) - 8t^2 \tanh (1 - x + 2y) \tanh (1 - x + 2y) + \cdots.$$  \hfill (39)

The solutions in (38) and (39) are exactly same as the Taylor series expansions of the exact solutions

$$u(x, y, t) = 1 - \tanh (-x + 2y + 2t + 1)$$

$$= 1 - \tanh (-x + 2y + 1) - 2t \tanh (1 - x + 2y) + 4t^2 \tanh (1 - x + 2y) \tanh (1 - x + 2y) + \cdots.$$  \hfill (40)

$$v(x, y, t) = 1 + 2 \tanh (1 - x + 2y + 1)$$

$$= 1 + 2 \tanh (1 - x + 2y) + 4t \tanh (1 - x + 2y) - 8t^2 \tanh (1 - x + 2y) \tanh (1 - x + 2y) + \cdots.$$  \hfill (41)

In order to verify whether the proposed methodology lead to higher accuracy, the numerical solutions have been evaluated with four terms for both CRFDTM and homotopy perturbation method (HPM). In case of $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$, Table 2 cites the comparison of results obtained in proposed CRFDTM with HPM when $y = 0.3$. From these results we can certainly conclude that the proposed method CRFDTM provides remarkable accuracy in comparison to HPM.

5. Convergence Analysis and Error Estimate

Theorem 6. Suppose that $D^{ha}_{x}u(x, t) \in C([0, L] \times [0, T])$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n + 1$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$; then

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{h=0}^{k} U(h, k-h) t^{ha+(k-h)\beta}.$$  \hfill (42)

Moreover, there exist values $\xi_1, \xi_2$ where $0 \leq \xi_1, \xi_2 \leq t$ so that the error term $E_n(x, t)$ has the form

$$\|E_n(x, t)\| = \sup_{0 \leq x \leq L, 0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{D^{(n+1)\beta}u(x, 0+)}{\Gamma((n+1)\beta+1)} t^{(n+1)\beta} \right|,$$  \hfill (43)

$$\text{if } \xi_1, \xi_2 \rightarrow 0^+.$$  \hfill (44)

Proof. From Lemma 1, we have

$$f^{\alpha}D^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^k}{\Gamma(k+1)} f^{(k)}(0^+),$$  \hfill (45)

where

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{k} \frac{D^{ha+(k-h)\beta}u(x, 0)}{\Gamma(ha + \beta(k-h) + 1)} t^{ha+(k-h)\beta},$$  \hfill (46)

$$u^*(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{h=0}^{k} \frac{D^{ha+(k-h)\beta}u(x, 0)}{\Gamma(ha + \beta(k-h) + 1)} t^{ha+(k-h)\beta}.$$  \hfill (47)

Now, for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$f^{ha+\beta(k-h)} D^{ha+\beta(k-h)} u(x, t) - f^{ha+\beta(k-h)} D^{ha+\beta(k-h)} u(x, t)$$

$$= f^{ha+\beta(k-h)} D^{ha+\beta(k-h)} u(x, t)$$

$$\times (D^{ha+\beta(k-h)} u(x, t) - f^{ha+\beta(k-h)} D^{ha+\beta(k-h)} u(x, t))$$

$$= f^{ha+\beta(k-h)} D^{ha+\beta(k-h)} u(x, 0),$$

since $0 < \alpha < 1$, using (7)

$$= \frac{D^{ha+\beta(k-h)} u(x, 0)}{\Gamma(ha + \beta(k-h) + 1)} t^{ha+\beta(k-h)}.$$  \hfill (47)
Table 2: The comparison of results obtained in proposed method with HPM when $y = 0.3$, $\alpha = 1$, and $\beta = 1$.

| $x$ | $t$ | $|u_{\text{Exact}} - u_{\text{HPM}}|$ | $|V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{HPM}}|$ | $|u_{\text{Exact}} - u_{\text{CRFPDTM}}|$ | $|V_{\text{Exact}} - V_{\text{CRFPDTM}}|$ |
|-----|-----|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.00388488                   | 0.000409157                  | 0.0000403885                 | 0.000080777                  |
|     | 0.2 | 0.0205864                     | 0.00179639                   | 0.00064308                   | 0.00128616                   |
|     | 0.3 | 0.0830004                     | 0.00575008                   | 0.00320473                   | 0.00649047                   |
|     | 0.4 | 0.192169                      | 0.0154079                    | 0.00989663                   | 0.0197933                    |
|     | 0.5 | 0.356304                      | 0.0351083                    | 0.0234905                    | 0.046981                     |
| 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.00340343                    | 0.000358137                  | 0.000039013                  | 0.000755724                  |
|     | 0.2 | 0.0254938                     | 0.00618968                   | 0.000619573                  | 0.00123915                   |
|     | 0.3 | 0.0946681                     | 0.00097818                   | 0.00031603                   | 0.00631207                   |
|     | 0.4 | 0.211242                      | 0.00333882                   | 0.00991152                   | 0.019823                     |
|     | 0.5 | 0.381651                      | 0.0109793                    | 0.0238381                    | 0.0476761                    |
| 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.00290734                    | 0.000169508                  | 0.000030913                  | 0.0000618207                 |
|     | 0.2 | 0.0273013                     | 0.00190188                   | 0.000536002                  | 0.0001072                    |
|     | 0.3 | 0.0924439                     | 0.00086713                   | 0.000284027                  | 0.00568053                   |
|     | 0.4 | 0.193425                      | 0.0207692                    | 0.00918199                   | 0.018364                     |
|     | 0.5 | 0.330375                      | 0.0122694                    | 0.0225741                    | 0.045482                     |
| 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.00271456                    | 0.000255194                  | 0.000081808                  | 0.0000363616                 |
|     | 0.2 | 0.0220717                     | 0.00656152                   | 0.000368845                  | 0.00073769                   |
|     | 0.3 | 0.0620409                     | 0.0259301                    | 0.00214616                   | 0.00429232                   |
|     | 0.4 | 0.103938                      | 0.0634979                    | 0.00738142                   | 0.0147628                    |
|     | 0.5 | 0.136564                      | 0.122694                     | 0.0189596                    | 0.037992                     |
| 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0033497                     | 0.00104781                   | 1.95074E−6                   | 3.90148E−6                   |
|     | 0.2 | 0.00408341                    | 0.0143686                    | 0.000931361                  | 0.00086263                   |
|     | 0.3 | 0.0167561                     | 0.0541981                    | 0.000951695                  | 0.00190339                   |
|     | 0.4 | 0.0158277                     | 0.132966                     | 0.00414311                   | 0.00828621                   |
|     | 0.5 | 0.304                         | 0.261277                     | 0.0121501                    | 0.0243001                    |

The $n$th order approximation for $u(x,t)$ is

$$u^*(x,t) = \sum_{h=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{h} D^{h+\beta(k-h)} u(x,0) \Gamma(h+\beta(k-h)+1) - \sum_{h=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{h} f^{h+\beta(k-h)} D^{h+\beta(k-h)} u(x,t),$$

using (46)

$$\begin{align*}
&\sum_{k=0}^{n} f^{k\beta} D^{k\beta} u(x,t) \\
&- \sum_{h=0}^{n} f^{h+\beta(n-h)} D^{h+\beta(n-h)} u(x,t) \\
&= u(x,t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f^{k+1\beta} D^{k+1\beta} u(x,t) \\
&- \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} f^{h+1\beta} D^{h+1\beta} u(x,t). \\
&\text{(48)}
\end{align*}$$

Therefore, from (48), the error term becomes

$$E_n(x,t) = u(x,t) - u^*(x,t)$$

$$\begin{align*}
&= \sum_{h=0}^{n} f^{h+1\alpha+\beta(n-h)} D^{h+1\alpha+\beta(n-h)} u(x,t) \\
&- \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} f^{h+1\beta} D^{h+1\beta} u(x,t) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n} f^{(i+1)\alpha+\beta(n-i)} D^{(i+1)\alpha+\beta(n-i)} u(x,t) \\
&- \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f^{(i+1)\beta} D^{(i+1)\beta} u(x,t) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\Gamma((i+1)\alpha + \beta(n-i))} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{(i+1)\alpha+\beta(n-i)-1} D^{(i+1)\alpha+\beta(n-i)} u(x,\tau) d\tau \\
&- \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma((i+1)\beta)}
\end{align*}$$
\begin{align*}
&\times \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{(i+1)\beta - 1} D^{(i+1)\beta} u(x, \tau) \, d\tau \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{D^{(i+1)\alpha + \beta(n-i)} u(x, \xi_1)}{\Gamma((i+1)\alpha + \beta(n-i) + 1)} t^{(i+1)\beta - 1} \\
&- \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^{(i+1)\beta} u(x, \xi_2)}{\Gamma((i+1)\beta + 1)} t^{(i+1)\beta},
\end{align*}

applying integral mean value theorem

\begin{align*}
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^{(i+1)\alpha + \beta(n-i)} u(x, \xi_1)}{\Gamma((i+1)\alpha + \beta(n-i) + 1)} t^{(i+1)\beta - 1} \\
&+ \frac{D^{(n+1)\alpha} u(x, \xi_1)}{\Gamma((n+1)\alpha + 1)} t^{n\alpha} \\
&- \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^{(i+1)\beta} u(x, \xi_2)}{\Gamma((i+1)\beta + 1)} t^{(i+1)\beta} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^{(i+1)\alpha + \beta(n-i)} u(x, \xi_1)}{\Gamma((i+1)\alpha + \beta(n-i) + 1)} t^{(i+1)\beta - 1} \\
&+ \frac{D^{(n+1)\alpha} u(x, \xi_1)}{\Gamma((n+1)\alpha + 1)} t^{n\alpha} \\
&- \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^{(i+1)\beta} u(x, \xi_2)}{\Gamma((i+1)\beta + 1)} t^{(i+1)\beta}.
\end{align*}

Using generalized Taylor’s series formula (8), (49) becomes

\begin{align*}
E_n(x,t) &= u(x,t) - \frac{D^{(n+1)\alpha} u(x, \xi_1)}{\Gamma((n+1)\alpha + 1)} t^{(n+1)\alpha} - u(x,t) \\
&+ \frac{D^{(n+1)\beta} u(x, \xi_2)}{\Gamma((n+1)\beta + 1)} t^{(n+1)\beta} \\
&+ \frac{D^{(n+1)\alpha} u(x, \xi_1)}{\Gamma((n+1)\alpha + 1)} t^{(n+1)\alpha},
\end{align*}

(50)

where \(0 \leq \xi_1, \xi_2 \leq \max\{\xi_1,\xi_2\}, \xi_1, \xi_2 \rightarrow 0+\).
This implies
\[
\| E_n \| = \left\| u(x, t) - u^*(x, t) \right\|
= \sup_{0 < i < L} \sup_{0 < t < T} \frac{D^{(n+1)\beta} u(x, \xi_2)}{\Gamma((n+1)\beta + 1)} t^{(n+1)\beta} \\
- \frac{D^{(n+1)\alpha} u(x, \xi_1)}{\Gamma((n+1)\alpha + 1)} t^{(n+1)\alpha} < \infty
\]
of the proposed method for the solutions of time fractional coupled $(2 + 1)$ Burger equations satisfactorily justifies its simplicity and efficiency.

### Appendix

**Proof of Theorem 3 (iii).** One has

\[
\begin{aligned}
 f(x, y, t) &= u(x, y, t) v(x, y, t) \\
 &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{k} U(h, k-h) t^{\lambda (k-h)\beta} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{k} V(h, k-h) t^{\lambda (k-h)\beta} \right) \\
 &= U(0, 0) V(0, 0) \\
 &\quad + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{k} U(h, k-h) \right) t^{\lambda (k-h)\beta} \\
 &\quad + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{k} V(h, k-h) \right) t^{\lambda (k-h)\beta} \\
 &\quad + \cdots \\
 &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{h} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{h-l} U(h-l, s) V(l, k-h-s) \right) t^{\lambda (h-k)\beta}. \\
\end{aligned}
\]

Hence, $F(h, k-h) = \sum_{l=0}^{h} \sum_{s=0}^{k-h} U(h-l, s)V(l, k-h-s)$.
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