Review Article

Translational Approach to Behavioral Learning: Lessons from Cerebellar Plasticity

Guy Cheron,^{1,2} Bernard Dan,^{2,3} and Javier Márquez-Ruiz⁴

¹ *Laboratory of Electrophysiology, Université de Mons, 7000 Mons, Belgium*

² *Laboratory of Neurophysiology and Movement Biomechanics, CP640, ULB Neuroscience Institut, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1070 Brussels, Belgium*

³ *Department of Neurology, Hôpital Universitaire des Enfants Reine Fabiola, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1020 Brussels, Belgium*

⁴ *División de Neurociencias, Universidad Pablo de Olavide, 41013 Sevilla, Spain*

Correspondence should be addressed to Javier Márquez-Ruiz; jmarquez@upo.es

Received 31 July 2013; Accepted 18 September 2013

Academic Editor: Małgorzata Kossut

Copyright © 2013 Guy Cheron et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The role of cerebellar plasticity has been increasingly recognized in learning. The privileged relationship between the cerebellum and the inferior olive offers an ideal circuit for attempting to integrate the numerous evidences of neuronal plasticity into a translational perspective. The high learning capacity of the Purkinje cells specifically controlled by the climbing fiber represents a major element within the feed-forward and feedback loops of the cerebellar cortex. Reciprocally connected with the basal ganglia and multimodal cerebral domains, this cerebellar network may realize fundamental functions in a wide range of behaviors. This review will outline the current understanding of three main experimental paradigms largely used for revealing cerebellar functions in behavioral learning: (1) the vestibuloocular reflex and smooth pursuit control, (2) the eyeblink conditioning, and (3) the sensory envelope plasticity. For each of these experimental conditions, we have critically revisited the chain of causalities linking together neural circuits, neural signals, and plasticity mechanisms, giving preference to behaving or alert animal physiology. Namely, recent experimental approaches mixing neural units and local field potentials recordings have demonstrated a spike timing dependent plasticity by which the cerebellum remains at a strategic crossroad for deciphering fundamental and translational mechanisms from cellular to network levels.

1. Introduction

Recent evidences show that the cerebellum plays a key role in motor and nonmotor domains through a great number of cerebro-cerebellar closed loops [1] (Figure 1) that sustain different forms of learning [2–9]. In this context, it is widely admitted that synaptic plasticity underlies learning and memory [10–15] and that the Purkinje cell (PC), which is the sole output neuron of the cerebellar cortex, can learn up to 5 kilobytes of information corresponding to 40,000 input-output associations [16]. This high learning capacity of the PC promotes this type of neuron at the first place for revisiting the different approaches already performed in studying plasticity in cerebellum.

The crucial position of the cerebellum in brain circuitry and its involvement in sensorimotor and cognitive processing

make it an ideal structure for studying the possible role of neuronal plasticity in a translational perspective on learning. The attractiveness of the cerebellum in the context of learning results is enhanced because it can be modeled as a neuronal network in terms of a “wiring diagram” [17], in which input-output signaling can be identified [18–25]. The PC can thus be seen as a microcosm within the cerebellum with multiple short-scale feed-forward and feedback loops inside of the cerebellar cortex itself (see [26] for a review). This contributes to the extreme complexity that makes accurate determination of their final function and their implication in learning and memory difficult.

In order to establish a comprehensive theory of learning, we need to determine a chain of causalities linking together neural signals, plasticity mechanisms, neural circuits, and behavioral learning [27]. To usefully test hypotheses within

FIGURE 1: Schematic diagram of the circuits interconnecting the olivocerebellum, the thalamus, the basal ganglia, the pontine nuclei, the cerebral cortex, and the spinal cord. The part of the circuit showing anatomical links between the basal-ganglia and the cerebellum is adapted from recent anatomical experiments using retrograde transneuronal transport of rabies virus from injections into the cerebellar cortex and in nuclei of basal ganglia, establishing evidence for disynaptic pathways that directly link the cerebellum with the basal ganglia (see [1] for a review). Injection of the rabies virus into the cerebellar cortex induced two stages of transport: retrograde transport to first-order neurons in the pontine nuclei (PN) that innervate the injection site and then retrograde transneuronal transport to second-order neurons in the subthalamic nucleus (STN) that innervate the first-order neurons [28]. Injection of rabies virus into the striatum (STR) induced retrograde transport to first-order neurons in the thalamus (TH) that innervate the injection site and then retrograde transneuronal transport to second-order neurons in the dentate nucleus (DN) that innervate the first-order neurons [29]. In addition, the striatal neurons that receive cerebellar inputs include neurons in the “indirect” pathway that send projections to the external globus pallidus (Gpe). The classical network of the basal ganglia (*adapted from* [30]) represented by the parallel “direct” and “indirect” pathways from the STR to the basal output nuclei. The “direct” path sent inhibitory input from the STR to the internal part of the globus pallidus (Gpi). The basal ganglia circuit is completed by the dopaminergic pathway and represented the projection of the substantia nigra pars compacta (Snp) to the STR. Inhibitory neurons are shown as filled symbols, excitatory neurons by open symbols. Grey and white arrows represent inhibitory or excitatory pathways, respectively. Abbreviations: PC, Purkinje cell; GO, Golgi cell; DCN, deep cerebellar nuclei; PF, parallel fiber; GC granule cell; IO, inferior olive; CF, climbing fiber.

the framework of such a theory, this chain of interactions needs to be studied in the physiology of behaving or alert animals. Here, we critically review this translational approach in three well-characterized forms of learning: (1) the adaptation of the vestibuloocular reflex (VOR) and the smooth pursuit control, (2) the classical conditioning of the eyeblink, and (3) the plasticity of the sensory cutaneous envelope.

2. Cerebellar Cortical State Determined by Purkinje Cell Signaling

Whatever the type of the involved paradigms, the output of the cerebellar cortex is always determined by the PC firing. This major signaling can be electrophysiologically identified by two types of firing patterns, namely, complex spikes (CS) [31] and simple spikes (SS). CS are fired in response

to climbing fiber (CF) activity in an all-or-none mode of production [32, 33]. They are characterized by a first fast sodium spike followed by a high-frequency burst of spikelets and occur at a low frequency rate around 1 Hz [34] but can reach higher frequencies, for example, during nociceptive stimulation (~11 Hz, [35]) or learning (~5 Hz, [36, 37]). CFs are also able to impose a rhythmic template throughout the PC population [38–40]. In addition, CF activity produces large, widespread calcium transients in PC dendrites [41]. This has been proposed to be a prerequisite for the induction of long-term depression (LTD) [42].

SS firing presents a resting state at about 50 Hz in alert animal [34, 43–48]. It results from the conjugated action of (1) excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) produced by the synapse between the ascending portion of a granular cell (GC) axon and a PC dendrite [49, 50], (2) graded but less efficient EPSP from parallel fibers (PFs) [51], (3) strong

modulation by the CF [44, 52, 53], (4) inhibitory postsynaptic potential (IPSP) from the inhibitory interneurons such as stellate, basket, and Lugaro cells [54], and (5) intrinsic pacemaker activity [55–58].

The specific discharge frequencies of CS and SS are reciprocally organized, that is, an increase in CS is accompanied with a decrease in SS, and *vice versa* [59–62]. This has been suggested to be essential for motor coordination. Recent experimental results support this suggestion. *Ptf1a::cre;Robo3lox/lox* mice showed a selective CF rerouting from a contralateral to an ipsilateral projection [63]. Three main effects are produced by this CF rerouting experiment in addition to reversion of CS modulation: (1) it also produces reversion of SS modulation, (2) it converts the phase of the inhibitory interneurons, and (3) it produces severe ataxia.

3. The VOR and Smooth Pursuit Control: The First Cerebellar Lesson

Since Ito's original proposal [64] that the cerebellar flocculus was implicated in the calibration of the VOR, the adaptation of this reflex unambiguously linked to cerebellar function [65] *in fine* implies one site of plasticity in the cerebellum and another one in the brainstem. This conclusion has been accepted following a protracted controversy between cerebellum [66] and brainstem [67] "supporters" and has now opened an ideal field for the study of the bidirectional dialogue between the brainstem and cerebellum circuits.

The VOR stabilizes retinal images during self-induced and artificially induced rotational head movement by generating smooth eye movements that are opposite in direction and nearly equal in amplitude to head movement. When the compensatory eye movement is not adequately adjusted a retinal-slip error signal is generated (Figure 2). These errors are signaled by the CF input to the cerebellum. These inputs indexed by the occurrence of CSs cause LTD at the PF-PC synapses for the PFs that were active at or just before the arrival of the CF excitation. In order to contribute to adaptation of a reflex, a neural structure must receive information about (1) the sensory input which initiated the reflex, (2) the copy of the efferent commands, and (3) the resulting behavior.

Since the pioneering recordings of the PC in the floccular region in the behaving monkey by Lisberger and Fuchs [18, 68], the study of the horizontal zone of the flocculus in the cat and monkey has permitted to better understand the function of a cerebellar-microzone in the VOR adaptation in the horizontal plane of head rotation. First, the effectiveness of the flocculus in this process is supported by the fact that the electrical stimulation of this part of the cerebellum produces a smooth ipsilateral movement of the eye [23, 68, 69]. By such electrical stimulation, it has been possible to identify by antidromic invasion and collision technique the brainstem neurons projecting to the cerebellum. The cerebellar input signals of the median vestibular, prepositus and incertus nuclei were thus identified [22, 23, 25]. Pure head velocity and eye movement neurons (velocity plus position signals of the eye, so-called burst-tonic neurons) were recruited

in the median vestibular nucleus [23], while burst neurons (eye-velocity) were identified in the prepositus and burst-tonic neurons in the prepositus [25] and incertus nuclei [22]. These inputs, thus, inform the flocculus about head triggering movement and the efferent copy of eye movement. The resulting behavior is given by the retinal-image slip signal relayed via the accessory optic tract to the direction-selective cells of the contralateral nucleus of the optic tract [70, 71], from which visual signals travel via the central tegmental tract to the dorsal cap of the inferior olive (IO). The IO is considered a crucial pathway in VOR adaptation [72–74] and also in the motor plasticity that compensates for vestibular damages [75]. As the majority of the mossy fibers (MFs) reaching the horizontal zone of the flocculus originated in the contralateral vestibular [23], the prepositus [25], the incertus nuclei [22], and the paramedian tract region [76], the section of the vestibular commissure in the cat resulted in the absence of VOR adaptation [77], and that in spite of that fact, the right and left flocculus were preserved. This demonstrates that VOR adaptation by the cerebellum necessitated the preservation of the contralateral MF inputs, contrasting with the classical wiring diagram of the VOR adaptation where only the ipsilateral vestibular input was represented. Diversity of firing related to eye-movement (acceleration, velocity, and position) was also recently reported in the brainstem neurons directly inhibited by the cerebellum [78]. These data corroborate the recent model of a feed-forward and feedback integrator [79] and shed a new light on the existence of neuronal plasticity inside the brainstem and cerebellum integrator network.

After the determination of the different inputs reaching a microzone, the output signals elaborated by the PC must still be identified in order to discover the input-output transformation realized by the cerebellar cortex. This approach is complicated by the marked complexity of PC behaviors of the same microzone compared to input signals, despite similarity of the various MF input signals conveying head velocity and eye velocity-position signals [24, 80] (Figure 2). Although sensitivity of PC to eye velocity prevails over other PC sensitivities [81–83], PC firing rate is far from being a mere reflection of a simple summation or combination of its inputs (with the notable exception of pure position PC, which can emerge from straightforward mathematical integration performed on the basis of the eye velocity input signals). For example, some PCs increase their firing when the eyes approach the central position of the gaze and linearly decrease their firing in both sides [24]. In this context, the definition of the adaptive parameters or the adoption of bioengineering function such as in the case of a neural integrator [84, 85] remains an arduous task. The fact that different sets of coefficients for eye position, velocity, and acceleration must be used to represent the SS firing during different visual-vestibular paradigm is not in accordance with a pure inverse dynamic model of the oculomotor plan, which should remain invariant across the different behaviors [86]. It is the reason why numerous signals such as head, retinal slip, and efference copy, have been linearly summed in order to model the PC during the VOR [87, 88]. Another difficulty arises from the observation that *in vitro* or in anesthetized preparation

FIGURE 2: VOR adaptation and Purkinje cell behavior. (a) Schematic diagram of the circuits connecting the VOR pathway including the medial vestibular nucleus (MVN) and the neural integrator located in the prepositus hypoglossa nucleus (PH) and the horizontal microzone of the flocculus (Floc). The error signal initiated by the retinal slip is conveyed via the inferior olive (IO) by the climbing fiber (CF). The asterisk points to possible sites of VOR plasticity (see text for details). (b) Peristimulus time histograms of the complex spike (CS) and the simple spike (SS) responses of a representative Purkinje cell in the horizontal zone of the rabbit flocculus to sinusoidal rotation at 0.05 Hz in the light. Note the reciprocity of the CS and SS firing (*adapted from Figure 2 of [80] with permission*). (c) Behavior of head velocity plus eye position sensitivity (HVplusP-P cell) recorded in the horizontal zone of the cat (*adapted from Figure 3 of [24] with permission*). (d) Time course of VOR adaptation corresponding to an out-of-phase VOR-OKN stimulation in 5 cats before (closed circles) and after (open circles) brainstem commissural incision. (e) Example of the VOR adaptation procedure used for increasing the VOR gain. Before the adaptation, the VOR is measured in the dark during table rotation (h) of 40° peak-to-peak at 0.10 Hz; the position of the eye (e) is recorded by means of the search coil technique. The VOR gain is the ratio between the peak-to-peak of the slow phase of the eye velocity and the peak-to-peak of the head velocity. During the training a random pattern of light circles was projected on the drum (d) surrounding the cat and oscillated out of phase of the head rotation, inducing an increase in the amplitude of the eye movements. After 4 hours of such training, the VOR was recorded in the dark and the VOR normalized gain increased by a mean gain of 1.41 ± 0.08 (*adapted from Figures 1 and 2 of [77] with permission*). Abbreviations: CsC, caudal semicircular canal; Floc, flocculus; Mn, motoneuron; OKN, optokinetic; LR, lateral rectus; VOR, vestibuloocular reflex.

about 50% of PCs present three-mode state of firing (tonic, bursting, and quiescent) [89–91], switching from depolarized state (up-state), where simple spikes fire spontaneously, to hyperpolarized state (down-state), where only the complex spikes are present. This multimode of PC firing was also present in awake animals [44, 92–94] and is also complicated by the emergence of episodes of 600 Hz firing (so-called 600 Hz-buzz up-state) in about 15% of PCs in alert mice [95].

Lisberger [96] proposed to extend the cerebellar learning theory to cerebellar internal models in which the LTD at the PF-PC synapses corrects the internal model stored in the cerebellum so that the next instance of a given movement became closer to perfection. According to Medina and Lisberger [83], three main hypotheses in the framework of the cerebellar motor learning theory need to be tested in the same awake animal preparation, namely, (1) when a movement is inaccurate the CF inputs are activated, (2) this input triggers synaptic plasticity and modifies the SS responses of the PC, and (3) these firing changes in the cerebellar cortex participate in the final adaptation of the motor behavior. The first study that paved the way for such an experimental view can be traced back to the pioneering work of Gilbert and Thach [36], demonstrating a clear increase of the CF inputs when the task performance (maintain a stable arm position in face of a mechanical perturbation) was inadequate, leading to a huge increase in the CS frequency until the performance was close to perfection (Figure 3). During this learning, SS firing progressively decreased to a stable state of lower frequency, considered as resulting from LTD. This first evidence of a dialogue functionally linking CS and SS during motor learning was confirmed by Ojakangas and Ebner [97].

By adapting this motor perturbation paradigm to the eye pursuit system, Medina and Lisberger [83] were the first to study trial-over-trial the neural changes occurring in the PC of behaving monkeys (Figure 4). In this original paradigm, the learning task consisted of tracking a visual target moving horizontally (toward to right), and then suddenly shifting to an oblique direction following an “instructional” stimulus in the form of a vertical (upward) velocity step occurring 250 ms after the horizontal one. The presence of a learned behavior was indexed by the occurrence of an upward movement of the eye before the onset of the upward movement of the target. For the majority of PCs, the learned change in SS firing (as indicated in Figure 4) showed the same shape and direction as the changes in eye velocity. Moreover, this PC spiking preceded the learned velocity component of the eye movement by about 30 ms, reinforcing the clear cause-effect relationship between the SS firing and the learned movement [99–102]. The situation of the CS firing in this process is more complicated because the instructed cellular changes related to the CF input produce their effect on the SS firing of the subsequent trial [83]. Moreover, these authors also reported that a learned SS response could occur when the CS firing remained at baseline levels and thus could not signal “errors.” They concluded that these data strongly support the cerebellar learning theory, although the CS-instructed PC plasticity is not the only mechanism for pursuit learning. This conclusion does not exclude other cellular mechanisms

FIGURE 3: Gilbert and Thach (1977) experiment in monkey. (a) Task consist to control a handle horizontally by flexing or extending the wrist to a central position and to hold it there despite flexor and extensor loads applied to the handle. (b) CS and SS frequencies change for a PC after a change in load (horizontal arrow). Each grey bar represents an SS and the red dots a CS. Each row of bars represents the discharge during a trial successively represented from top to bottom. Each flexor trace on the left, for which the monkey performance was good, is followed by an extensor trace on the right. At the arrow, the known extensor load of 300 g was modified to a novel 450 g inducing a strong decrease in the performance. (c) Idealized representation of the SS and CS firing a long time and the number of trials before load perturbation (vertical arrow), during the transition period from bad to good performance, and after. (Adapted from [36, 98] with permission).

and other sites of plasticity in the different neuronal structures implicated in the final behavior.

As different levels of plasticity are possibly involved in the final operation exerted by the cerebellar cortex, it is difficult to identify the contribution of each level. As regards the granular layer, all GCs are required for the acquisition of new memories, whereas only a minority of GC is sufficient for the maintenance of basic motor performance [103–105]. Yet, the question about the contribution of the granular layer to the final learning processing remains open. Nevertheless, many slice studies converge to support a bidirectional NMDA-receptor-dependent plasticity at the synapse between MF and GCs [106–112]. Recently, Huang et al. [113] elegantly demonstrated that a same GC receives convergent input relaying proprioceptive sensory information coming from the external cuneate nucleus, and efferent motor copies coming from the cortex via the pontine nucleus. This sensory-motor convergence onto GC confirms the prediction of Marr [114] and Albus [115] about the associative faculty of this neuronal population, which is the most numerous in the central nervous system. These convergent entities are not uniformly distributed, but are organized in hotspots that are functionally linked to a same part of the body [113]. Before this important discovery, the multimodal nature of MF input [22, 23, 25, 116, 117] was interpreted as suggesting that the sensori-motor integration of these signals was realized at the level of the PC, where learning was encoded as the strength of the PF-PC synapse. On the contrary, the consequence of GC convergence is that the input of the PC already contains prefabricated signaling about efferent motor copies and proprioceptive state. In line with hierarchical network organizations, Huang et al. [113] propose that this GC sensory-motor integration may allow the PC to accomplish more complex learning tasks. Another view endorsed by Hatten and Lisberger [118] is that this early sensori-motor convergence at the GC may indicate a limited ability of the cerebellum to adjust the gains of the sensory and motor signals in an independent way. This stresses the importance of plasticity at the level of the MF-GC synapses.

4. Plasticity in the Eyeblink Conditioning Reflex: The Second Cerebellum Lesson

Classical eyeblink conditioning constitutes one of the most used experimental models for investigating the neural mechanisms underlying motor learning. The conditioning of eyelid/nictitating membrane response was first studied in humans ([119, 120], for a review) and popularized later in animals by Gormezano's group along 60's [121, 122]. Animal findings are of particular importance because both cerebellar lesion and functional brain imaging data obtained in humans are in good agreement with those coming from animal models [123, 124].

Classical eyeblink conditioning protocol consists of pairing a conditioned stimulus (CSt) (e.g., a neutral stimulus such as a tone) and an unconditioned stimulus (USt) (e.g., an airpuff to the eye that induces a reflexive blink) (Figure 5(a)). Two principal paradigms have been classically

used depending on the temporal relationship between CSt and USt. Thus, in the delay paradigm the CSt and USt coterminate, whereas in the trace paradigm there is a constant time interval between both stimuli (Figure 5(b)). Along conditioning sessions, the initial unconditioned response (UR), consisting of a reflexive eyelid response just after the USt, leads to a timed eyeblink response which precedes the USt (named the conditioned response, CR) (Figure 5(c)). It has been proposed that each one of these paradigms can engage different neuronal circuitry, in such a way that only brainstem and cerebellum are required for delay conditioning [125], and hippocampus and other forebrain structures are required for the more cognitively complex trace conditioning [126, 127], where the CR occurs in the absence of any sensory stimulus. Nevertheless, taken into account that sensory receptors are activated by changes in the presented stimulus (and not by its sustained presence), some authors considered the delay conditioning as a particular case of trace conditioning [124].

One of the factors that have contributed to considering eyeblink conditioning as one of the most important experimental models for cerebellar motor learning studies partially relies on the knowledge of the anatomical and functional pathways conveying CSt and USt information to the cerebellum [128, for a review]. Focusing on the cortical cerebellar level, CSt information reaches PC through PFs, whereas US information is conveyed by CFs. As the PC axons are the sole output of the cerebellar cortex, the convergence of both stimuli on the PC seems to be crucial for new motor skills learning. This idea was first proposed by Marr [114], who associated CF inputs with an “error” signal able to modify the contextual information coming from PF-PC synapse. According to the inhibitory nature of PC output, Albus proposed [115] that the conjunction of these two signals could induce a decrease in the PF-PC synaptic strength (long-term depression, LTD), leading to a disinhibition of the deep cerebellar nuclei (DCN), giving rise to a CR. Beyond the understanding of the pathways and their physiological meaning, eyeblink conditioning allows for simultaneous neural recording, lesion of the neural tissue, and local pharmacological manipulation of the implicated areas in the behaving animal [129]. In addition, the learning process can be properly indexed by an accurate recording of eye and eyelid movements or eyelid associated muscle activity [130].

During the last decade, the cerebellum has been considered the principal structure associated to eyeblink conditioning containing, together with the brainstem, the entire essential circuitry involved in classical conditioning [128]. According to this brainstem-cerebellar model, the pontine nucleus receive projections from both cortical and subcortical structures implicated in conveying CSt information (auditory, somatosensory, visual and association systems) [131–134] and sending axons (MFs) directly to the cerebellar cortex and the DCN, particularly to the interpositus nucleus (IN) [135–137]. On the other hand, USt information is conveyed by somatosensory inputs relaying in cranial nuclei and projecting to dorsal accessory olive. Neurons in the dorsal accessory olive send CF projections to the cerebellar cortex giving collaterals to IN [138–140]. According to this

FIGURE 4: Directional learning during pursuit eye movements. (a) Representative eye movement during pursuit of a target moving first toward the right and then in oblique upward direction, the inset indicates the target motion in polar coordinates. (b) Eye velocity trace of the movement illustrated in (a). Gray and black traces indicate data from representative trials before learning and after at least 100 learning trials, respectively. The dashed traces indicate the velocity step signal of the target motion. Note that the vertical step (lower part) delayed the horizontal one (upper part) by 250 ms. The arrow pointing down and right indicates the learned response. The arrow pointing up and left indicates the hardwired visual response to the change in target direction, (*adapted from [83] with permission*).

circuitry, there are two major cerebellar structures where CST and USt signals seem to convey the IN and the cerebellar cortex at PC layer level.

Several evidences point to the importance of the IN in classical eyeblink conditioning. Thus, it has been early demonstrated that ablation of the lateral cerebellum and the electrolytic lesions of the dentate and interpositus cerebellar nuclei caused a near-complete abolition of the CR with no effect on UR [141, 142]. In addition, pharmacological manipulation inactivating IN by using the local anesthetic lidocaine [143] and the GABA_A receptor agonist muscimol [144] in naive animals prevented eyeblink conditioning. Nevertheless, local injections of muscimol in the IN in half-conditioned animals decreased the amplitude of CRs with no effect on the percentage of CRs, suggesting a major role of the IN in the performance of eyelid responses rather than in the learning process [145]. Electrophysiological recording

of neuronal activity in the IN during eyeblink conditioning shows a strong correlation with the conditioned eyeblink response [2, 146–149]. Nevertheless, there are important discrepancies about the precise latency of the IN neuronal discharge in relation to the CR onset. Whereas some authors report IN activity from discharges prior to the execution of the learned eyeblink response [146, 147], other authors show that identified cerebellar IN neurons start firing after the beginning of the CR when eyelid movement is accurately recorded by using magnetic search coil technique [2, 148, 149]. These discrepancies have led some authors to propose the participation of this nucleus in the timing and performance of ongoing CRs rather than the generation and initiation of the CRs [124, 150–152].

As mentioned before, the second cerebellar structure, where CST and USt signals seem to convey, is the cerebellar cortex. PC constitutes the only output of the cerebellar cortex,

FIGURE 5: Eyeblink conditioning protocols and electrophysiological recording of the conditioned eyelid response. (a) Experimental design for eyeblink conditioning in behaving rabbits illustrating the location of the bipolar hook electrodes for the recording of orbicularis oculi muscle activity (O.O. EMG). Classical eyeblink conditioning protocol consists of pairing a conditioned stimulus (CSt) (e.g., a neutral stimulus such as a tone) and an unconditioned stimulus (USt) (e.g., an airpuff to the eye that induces a reflexive blink). (b) Two principal paradigms have been classically used depending on the temporal relationship between CSt and USt. Thus, in the delay paradigm (top) the CSt and USt coterminate. In the trace paradigm there is a constant time interval between both stimuli (bottom). (c) The figure illustrates the eyeblink conditioning process using a delay paradigm. The conditioning paradigm (CS and US presentations) and representative orbicularis oculi electromyographic (O.O. EMG) recordings from the same animals along seven conditioning sessions (C1–C7) are presented. Along conditioning sessions the initial unconditioned response (UR), consisting of a reflexive eyelid response just after the US, leads to a timed eyelid response which precedes the USt named the conditioned response, CR (arrows).

modulating through its inhibitory synapse the activity of DCN [153]. Although the CFs make excitatory synapses in the DCN, they mainly project to PCs in such a way that each PC receives input from only one climbing fiber [154]. Major experimental evidence for the implication of the cerebellar cortex in eyeblink conditioning comes from selective lesion experiments [155–159], electrophysiological unitary recording [160–162], pharmacological manipulations [145, 163–165] and loss of PC type in mutant and knock out mice [166–170]. Regarding the selective lesion of the cerebellar cortex, two main regions have been involved in CR production and timing, Larsell's lobule HVI [155–157] and the

cerebellar anterior lobe (lobules I–V) [158, 159]. Interestingly, the effects of lobule HVI lesion consisted of an initial loss of CRs percentage with partial relearning with retraining [157], whereas the lesion of the anterior lobe shortened the onset and peak latency of CRs with no change in CR percentage [158], suggesting that both cerebellar regions are implicated in different aspects of conditioning. The recording of PC activity in these two regions shows patterns of activity (excitatory and inhibitory) that seem to be related with the CR execution, in addition to CSt and USt presentation [160–162]. In PC, prenatally exposed to ethanol (fetal alcohol syndrome, FAS mice), presenting decreased voltage-gated calcium currents

because of a decreased expression of the γ -isoform of protein kinase C, the PF-PC LTD was converted into long-term potentiation (LTP) [47]. This alteration was accompanied by a deficit in eyelid conditioning in the early phase of the learning (day 1 and 2), but FAS mice finally reach a same score as wild type mice (80% of CR) at day 5 (Figures 1(e) and 1(f) of [47]), demonstrating that absence of LTD in slice preparation does not compromise the final acquisition of eyelid conditioning but only invalidated the early phase of the process.

Because DCN constitutes the only target for cerebellar cortex output, the pharmacological disconnection of these two structures seems to be an important approach for understanding their respective roles [171]. In particular, because of the GABAergic nature of synaptic transmission between PC and DCN, the use of GABA_A receptor antagonist gabazine and GABA_A chloride channel blocker picrotoxin has been explored [163–165]. Picrotoxin and gabazine infusions disrupt the timing and the amplitude of the CR [163, 164]. In addition, the effects induced by the injection of GABA agonist muscimol differ from the abolishment of the CRs [163] to a decrease in the amplitude of the CR [145], respectively. Finally, one of the most convincing demonstrations of the cerebellar cortex role in eyeblink conditioning comes from the use of mutant mice with PC degeneration [168, 169] and gene knock out mice [166, 167, 170]. Mutant mice with PC degeneration exhibited a significant reduction in the CR percentage and shorter peak latencies in comparison to CRs in wild-type mice [168]. This reduction in eyeblink conditioning has been confirmed in knock out mice with specific inactivation of metabotropic glutamate receptor type 1 (mGlu1) gene or glial fibrillary acid protein (GFAP) gene; in the latter, where impairment of PF-PC synapse LTD has also been reported [166, 167, 170]. Putting together all this evidence it is clear that the cerebellar cortex plays an important role in eyeblink conditioning. Nevertheless, experimental results evidenced that animals may learn “slowly” without the cerebellar cortex suggesting that the relative contribution of the cerebellar cortex and deep nuclei depend on the amount and type of training [153].

As commented before, the cerebellum and its relation with the pontine nuclei have classically constituted the focus of attention in eyeblink conditioning studies even when a large number of brain regions are implicated in the performance of eyeblink [124]. Thus, the injection of retrograde trans-synaptic tracers (attenuated rabies virus) in the orbicularis oculi muscle of the rat [172] shows that beyond brainstem and cerebellum, other brain regions like the cerebral cortex, the limbic system, or visual related structures are related with this apparently simple motor system. Due to its functional and anatomical relation with eyeblink, two different structures are potentially relevant for eyeblink conditioning, that is, the motor cortex and the red nucleus. Regarding the motor cortex, although early lesion studies reported contradictory results [173, 174], different studies suggest a revision of its possible implication in eyeblink conditioning. Thus, recent anatomical results demonstrate the existence of a monosynaptic pathway from vibrissa motor cortex to facial motor neurons in the rat [175]. Moreover, electrophysiological recordings show the

activation of neurons in the motor cortex preceding the onset of CR [176, 177], and interestingly enough, recent results coming from local reversible inactivation of the motor cortex [178] point to an underestimated role of this neuronal region in eyeblink conditioning. Otherwise, the red nucleus, that receives inputs from sensorimotor cortex and cerebellar nuclei and projects to facial and accessory abducens nuclei [179], has been classically considered as a mere relay center [171]. Nevertheless, some studies propose a more active role of red nucleus in motor learning processes [178, 180, 181], particularly when afferent inputs, as the motor cortex, are transiently removed during the acquisition process [178]. Previous evidences on motor cortex and red nucleus support the distributed idea of motor learning leading some authors to consider that the cerebellum is involved in the performance of CR more than in its acquisition [124, 150–152].

5. Plasticity of the Cutaneous “Envelope” Representation: The Third Cerebellum Lesson

Comprehensive sensory information from the skin collected from the numerous specific types of sensors can be represented as a cutaneous envelope, which is the physical site of interaction between the body and the environment. This envelope is well represented in the cerebellar mantle, where sensory information conveyed both by the CF and MF is integrated. There is a functional relationship between this sensory envelope and the proprioceptive afferents from the underlying muscles. In turn, it has been hypothesized that the cutaneous envelope is functionally linked to the control of the related joint movements [182], and this may constitute another field of action for cerebellar plasticity.

As in the case of the control of eye position by the flocculus described above, elemental movements allowing the optimization of joint position are assumed by distinct cerebellar areas. One of the most studied circuits concerns the forelimb movement control in the cat. The functional organization of cerebellar regions contributing to this control has been studied with regard to input-output anatomical connections. Each cerebellar module has thus been shown to receive CF afferents from a specific IO region and projects the PC axons to a specific part of the DCN. Each cerebellar module [183] can be further divided into different microzones, considered as the operational units of the cerebellum [182, 184, 185]. The existence of microzones is reinforced by the presence of regular patterns of mediolaterally disposed bundles of medium-sized myelinated PC axons separated by darker staining slits of smaller fibers [186]. The microzone organization assumes a functional convergence between a CF cutaneous receptive field and a specific movement to be controlled. For example, the C1/C3 zones of the anterior lobe in the cat present 30 to 40 microzones that project to the nucleus interpositus and control movements via the rubrospinal and corticospinal tracts [182, 187]. The information transmitted by the CF is multimodal and originates from cutaneous A beta (tactile), A delta, and C (nociceptive) fibers, and from muscle afferents [188].

The cutaneous receptive fields of the CF projecting onto the C3 zone possess a detailed spatial organization divided into eight functional classes [189]. The cutaneous and proprioceptive modalities are transmitted by single CF [190]. Although the stimulation of the muscle afferent would tend to move the cutaneous receptive field of the CF toward the skin stimulus, the movement initiated by the cerebellar module tends to move the cutaneous field away from the stimulus. This is interpreted as a braking action performed in order to escape the stimulus considered as an “error” signaling transmitted by the CF [182].

Cerebellar LTD was first demonstrated in response to conjunctive stimulation of the vestibular nerve and the IO in decerebrated rabbit by Ito et al. [191], and in response to conjunctive PF and IO stimulation by Ito and Kano [192]. Subsequently, Ekerot and Kano [193] reproduced the same LTD effect in the anesthetized and decerebrated rat. Later, the same authors specified that the maximal effectiveness for inducing LTD was obtained for a time interval between the CF and the PF stimulation of 125 to 250 ms, and that the conjunctive stimulation given at 4 Hz induced a stronger LTD than those obtained at 1–2 Hz [194].

The same plasticity paradigm was reactivated more recently by Jörntell and Ekerot [195] and enriched by the outstanding experience that this group had acquired on the receptive fields of the CF and MF integrated in the C3 zone of the anterior lobe [196]. These experiments were realized in anesthetized and decerebrated cats. The cutaneous receptive field of the CF and PF inputs to the PC and molecular interneurons was first identified by tactile stimulation of the skin over several body areas. Then, the authors used 5 minutes of burst microstimulations (15 pulses at 100 Hz repeated every third second), paired or unpaired, with CF activity applied on the superficial layer of the cerebellar cortex in order to induce plasticity of PF input.

The main result was that unpaired burst stimulation of the PF beam durably transformed (~2 hours) the original restricted SS receptive field to a wide receptive field resembling the extended field described for the MF input [197]. In contrast, when the same stimulation was applied on the PF 2–5 ms after the spontaneous occurrence of a CS, the receptive field of the PC was strongly and durably (~1 hour) reduced, and the peripheral excitation of the PC was abolished for about 5 minutes. As this plasticity paradigm uses mixed natural (peripheral skin touch) stimulation and artificial cerebellar microstimulation, it is important to note that the same extension of the receptive field was reproduced by using only electrical stimulation of the skin area (333 Hz trains lasting 150 ms, repeated at 1 Hz for 10 min) [195]. In contrast, when the PF burst was triggered by a spontaneous CS, an opposite effect occurred, that is, restriction of the field. Moreover, this conjunctive stimulation produced a depression of the excitatory input and an increase of the inhibitory input of the PC and an increased activity of the interneurons [195]. The most dramatic but complex phenomenon in these experiments is receptive field plasticity. Different hypotheses have been advanced by these authors to explain the gating mechanism induced by electrical stimulation of the cerebellar surface. In this context, we here propose to consider the

PC firing behavior and its peripheral SS receptive field as a somatotopic representation of the body skin envelope. From the input standpoint, the expansion or reduction of the peripheral territory would then mean that the peripheral cutaneous receptors that are potentially active for providing sensory signal to the MFs occupy a territory that goes well beyond the actual representation of the PC [197]. This is consistent with Jörntell's and Ekerot's [195] findings, that would thus suggest that PF beam burst stimulation is able to induce plastic change of this representation by changing the SS firing of the PC and those of the molecular interneurons in a reciprocal way, the sign of the modification depending on the presence of CF input. Jörntell's and Ekerot's (Figure 7 of this paper) [195] thus suggested that gating modulation may occur at the level of the PF-PC synapses. The cutaneous receptors situated outside the PC receptive field but which anatomically project on PC via the GC are not able to activate the PF-PC synapse before the burst stimulation of the PF beam but are potentiated after the stimulation. As the Golgi cell can receive an excitatory input from the burst stimulation of the PF beam, it could therefore exert plasticity at the level of the granular layer. In addition, the modified PC output could also be actively involved in the control of the MF-GC synapse via increased inhibition of the Golgi cell, which can in turn facilitate the activation of the GC, increasing *in fine* the PC receptive field. A reversed effect could be produced at the same level when an LTD is induced at the PC reducing its inhibition on the Golgi cell, which can in turn inhibit the GC decreasing the PC receptive field.

In line with the functional proposal of the Swedish group, the possibility that the PC output could modulate the muscle tension (via the IN, the red nucleus, and the motor cortex) and the proprioceptive input to the MFs also needs to be revisited. Two main points of this experimental approach need to be discussed: (1) the unphysiological character of the PF stimulation paradigm and (2) the decerebrate preparation. Concerning the first point, Jörntell and Ekerot [198] have introduced a new plasticity paradigm, where the skin itself was stimulated with electrical burst (333 Hz for 150 ms) delivered at 1 Hz for 5 min. These stimulations were paired or not with IO stimulation and reproduced the same type of receptive field plasticity: increasing of the PC receptive field (skin-LTP protocol) when the 333 Hz bursts were applied alone on the skin and decreasing of the PC receptive field (skin-LTD protocol) when 333 Hz bursts were associated to the IO stimulation [198]. When the whisker pad is naturally stimulated by airpuff [199, 200] or by electrical pulses delivered in the control situation at random rate (~0.1 Hz) or at 8 Hz (for inducing LTD) [37], the PC of the Crus II zone presents a highly reproducible firing comprising an early SS response shortly followed by a CS (Figure 6). This indicates that a single skin area of the face conveys significant signal to both the MF (via the trigeminal nucleus) and CF (via IO). Moreover, after selecting the appropriate frequency rate for producing a significant effect on the N3 local field potential (LFP) of the PC layer, it was demonstrated that the electrical stimulation of the whisker pad at 8 Hz during 10 minutes induced an LTD effect at the PF-PC synapses [37]. While the effect of this plasticity recorded in alert mice was not tested

FIGURE 6: Experimental design and electrophysiological response to electrical stimulation of mouse whiskers. (a) Animals were prepared for chronic recordings of local field potentials (LFP) and unitary extracellular activity in the Purkinje cell layer of the Crus I/II area. Facial dermatomes of the whisker region were electrically or tactily stimulated with a pair of needles under the skin (Stim.) pulse, respectively. Sensory information comes into the Crus I/II area from the trigeminal nucleus (Tn) in the brainstem, which receives afferent signals from the trigeminal ganglion (Tg). (b) Schematic diagram of the circuits linking the trigeminal input and the olivo cerebellar system. (c) Photography of a single PC injected by Lucifer yellow. (d) Recording of spontaneous firing behavior of a Purkinje cell (PC) shows the presence of single spikes (SS) and complex spikes (CS). The presence of a CS followed by a pause in the SS firing (asterisk) identifies this neuron as a PC. Single trials, superimposed ($n = 11$), show spontaneous firing before the whisker electrical stimulation (Stim) and the temporal reorganization of the firing after the stimulus. SS firing occurred at the low points of the N2 and N3 components and later. The evoked CS occurred at a latency of 9–13 ms after the stimulus onset (arrowhead). (e) Long-term depression on the LFP is evident after the 8 Hz stimulation protocol (red line), when the latency of the N2 components increased and the amplitude of the N3 component strongly decreased. These effects were maximal just after the 8 Hz stimulation and persisted for at least 30 min. Single traces selected to compare latencies and amplitudes of LFP components; (top) before 8 Hz stimulation protocol, (middle) during 8 Hz stimulation, and (bottom) just after 8 Hz stimulation protocol. The red asterisk indicates the LTD effect on N3 postsynaptic components after 8 Hz stimulation, (adapted from [37] with permission).

on the receptive field configuration plasticity as it was done in Jörntell and Ekerot's work [198], it would be interesting to know if this PC-LTD is also evoked by 8 Hz stimulation when applied on the skin over the rest of the body.

Still, the recent studies of our group [37, 201] showing that N2 and N3 LFP components related to the PC spiking are delayed during at least 30 minutes after 8 Hz conditioning stimulation of the whiskers pad reinforce the timing

hypothesis and the existence of a specific mechanism of plasticity acting on the time constraint. Interestingly, this timing plasticity is absent in all the mouse presenting a PC-specific ablation of the large-conductance voltage- and Ca^{2+} -activated K^+ (BK) channels [201], while the decrease in N3 amplitude is inconsistent. This indicates the implication of BK channels in the timing plasticity of the PC. This is in accordance with the crucial role played by these channels on the rhythmic imprint of the PC firing [199] and on the final control of movement [202]. This timing plasticity is conserved in the mdx mouse model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy, while the amplitude depression (LTD) of the N3 component is absent (C. Prigogine, J. Márquez-Ruiz, B. Dan, and G. Cheron, personal communication). Interestingly, the PC of the mdx mice is concerned with the deletion of the dystrophin gene, resulting in disorganization of the GABA_A receptors stabilization and clustering at postsynaptic densities of their inhibitory synapses [203]. This clustering disruption impairs the function of these inhibitory synapses and leads to a decreased inhibitory input of the PC and increased SS firing rate in alert mdx mice [204]. The absence of LTD on N3 amplitude may be explained by imbalance in PC excitatory-inhibitory input altering the LTD process but conserving N2-N3 timing plasticity. Experimental data from the two latter mouse models (BK-cer and mdx) show that the two types of plastic changes of the LFP, namely, N2-N3 time shift and N3 amplitude decrease, involve different mechanisms.

Concerning the use of decerebrate preparation, the recent data of Huang et al., [113] demonstrating the important contribution of cortico-pontine information intricately mixed with peripheral information at the level of the single GC, call in favor of the preservation of these cerebral input when studying cerebellar plasticity. Moreover, the presence of long-term plasticity in the mouse sensorimotor cortex induced by passive whisker stimulation at 8 Hz shows that the cortico-pontine input may transmit direct or learned signals to the cerebellum [205]. The imbrication of cerebral and cerebellar plasticity loops can be viewed as a new challenge when deciphering the physiological mechanism of sensori-motor gating and learning.

The next step will be to fill the gap between animal and human studies about gating and learning of the central representation of the cutaneous envelope. For example, in human the N30 component of the somatosensory evoked potential following electrical stimulation of the median nerve can be suppressed when the stimulated hand is moving [206, 207] or when the subjects only imagine moving their fingers [208]. Based on the above, it will now be important to study the involvement of the cerebral cortex and the cerebellum and bidirectional communicating loops in both situations. This approach should be extended to other human plasticity paradigms, such as the learning effect of 10 minutes of finger movement repetition [209] or action observation [210] on the directional tuning of movement induced by transcranial magnetic stimulation of the cerebral cortex. In addition to the contribution of LTP in this cortical plasticity, [209, 211] the cerebellum is probably involved in this cerebral plasticity [212, 213]. As suggested by these latter authors,

this could be accomplished by bidirectional spike-timing dependent plasticity through the cerebello-dentato-thalamo-cortical pathway and the primary motor cortex. As suggested by Censor et al., [214], similarities in temporal dynamics occurring in different parts of the brain could be a key element to the existence of a general mechanism for learning in humans. In this context, spike-timing dependent plasticity may occupy a central position in learning mechanism [215], by which the cerebellum will be on the pole position, at least for deciphering fundamental and translational mechanisms from cellular to network levels in behaving humans.

Abbreviations

BK:	Large-conductance voltage- and Ca^{2+} -activated K^+ channel
CF:	Climbing fiber
CR:	Conditioned response
CS:	Complex spike
CSt:	Conditioned stimulus
DCN:	Deep cerebellar nuclei
EPSP:	Excitatory postsynaptic potential
FAS:	Fetal alcohol syndrome
GABA_A :	γ -aminobutyric acid receptor type A
GC:	Granular cell
GFAP:	Glial fibrillary acid protein
IN:	Interpositus nucleus
IO:	Inferior olive
IPSP:	Inhibitory postsynaptic potential
LFP:	Local field potential
LTD:	Long-term depression
LTP:	Long-term potentiation
MF:	Mossy fiber
PC:	Purkinje Cell
PF:	Parallel fiber
SS:	Simple spike
UR:	Unconditioned response
USt:	Unconditioned stimulus
VOR:	Vestibulo-ocular reflex.

Conflict of Interests

The authors indicated no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank T. D'Angelo, M. Dufief, E. Hortmanns, M. Petieau, E. Toussaint, and R. Sánchez-Campusano for expert technical assistance. This work was funded by the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office, the European Space Agency (AO-2004, 118), the Belgian National Fund for Scientific Research (FNRS), and the Research Funds of the Université Libre de Bruxelles and the Université de Mons (Belgium). This work was also supported by the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia Programa Nacional de Movilidad de Recursos Humanos del Plan Nacional de I-D+I 2008–2011 of the Spanish Government.

References

- [1] A. C. Bostan, R. P. Dum, and P. L. Strick, "Cerebellar networks with the cerebral cortex and basal ganglia," *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 241–254, 2013.
- [2] J. M. Delgado-García and A. Gruart, "The role of interpositus nucleus in eyelid conditioned responses," *Cerebellum*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 289–308, 2002.
- [3] J. M. Delgado-García and A. Gruart, "Building new motor responses: eyelid conditioning revisited," *Trends in Neurosciences*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 330–338, 2006.
- [4] J. F. Medina, W. L. Nores, and M. D. Mauk, "Inhibition of climbing fibres is a signal for the extinction of conditioned eyelid responses," *Nature*, vol. 416, no. 6878, pp. 330–333, 2002.
- [5] O. Hikosaka, K. Nakamura, K. Sakai, and H. Nakahara, "Central mechanisms of motor skill learning," *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 217–222, 2002.
- [6] C. I. De Zeeuw and C. H. Yeo, "Time and tide in cerebellar memory formation," *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 667–674, 2005.
- [7] J. Doyon and H. Benali, "Reorganization and plasticity in the adult brain during learning of motor skills," *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 161–167, 2005.
- [8] E. Dayan and L. G. Cohen, "Neuroplasticity subserving motor skill learning," *Neuron*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 443–454, 2011.
- [9] J. X. Li, J. F. Medina, L. M. Frank, and S. G. Lisberger, "Acquisition of neural learning in cerebellum and cerebral cortex for smooth pursuit eye movements," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 31, no. 36, pp. 12716–12726, 2011.
- [10] J. L. Raymond, S. G. Lisberger, and M. D. Mauk, "The cerebellum: a neuronal learning machine?" *Science*, vol. 272, no. 5265, pp. 1126–1131, 1996.
- [11] E. S. Boyden, A. Katoh, and J. L. Raymond, "Cerebellum-dependent learning: the role of multiple plasticity mechanisms," *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, vol. 27, pp. 581–609, 2004.
- [12] G. J. O. Evans, "Synaptic signalling in cerebellar plasticity," *Biology of the Cell*, vol. 99, no. 7, pp. 363–378, 2007.
- [13] D.-A. Jirenhed, F. Bengtsson, and G. Hesslow, "Acquisition, extinction, and reacquisition of a cerebellar cortical memory trace," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 27, no. 10, pp. 2493–2502, 2007.
- [14] M. Schonewille, Z. Gao, H.-J. Boele et al., "Reevaluating the role of LTD in cerebellar motor learning," *Neuron*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 43–50, 2011.
- [15] Z. Gao, B. J. van Beugen, and C. I. De Zeeuw, "Distributed synergistic plasticity and cerebellar learning," *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 619–635, 2012.
- [16] N. Brunel, V. Hakim, P. Isope, J.-P. Nadal, and B. Barbour, "Optimal information storage and the distribution of synaptic weights: perception versus Purkinje cell," *Neuron*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 745–757, 2004.
- [17] C. Hansel and D. J. Linden, "Long-term depression of the cerebellar climbing fiber-Purkinje neuron synapse," *Neuron*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 473–482, 2000.
- [18] S. G. Lisberger and A. F. Fuchs, "Role of primate flocculus during rapid behavioral modification of vestibuloocular reflex. I. Purkinje cell activity during visually guided horizontal smooth-pursuit eye movements and passive head rotation," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 733–763, 1978.
- [19] S. G. Lisberger and A. F. Fuchs, "Role of primate flocculus during rapid behavioral modification of vestibuloocular reflex. II. Mossy fiber firing patterns during horizontal head rotation and eye movement," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 764–777, 1978.
- [20] P. L. E. Van Kan, A. R. Gibson, and J. C. Houk, "Movement-related inputs to intermediate cerebellum of the monkey," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 74–94, 1993.
- [21] P. L. E. Van Kan, J. C. Houk, and A. R. Gibson, "Output organization of intermediate cerebellum of the monkey," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 57–73, 1993.
- [22] G. Cheron, S. Saussez, N. Gerrits, and E. Godaux, "Existence in the nucleus incertus of the cat of horizontal-eye-movement-related neurons projecting to the cerebellar flocculus," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 1367–1372, 1995.
- [23] G. Cheron, M. Escudero, and E. Godaux, "Discharge properties of brain stem neurons projecting to the flocculus in the alert cat. I. Medial vestibular nucleus," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 1759–1774, 1996.
- [24] G. Cheron, M. P. Dufief, N. M. Gerrits, J. P. Draye, and E. Godaux, "Behavioural analysis of Purkinje cell output from the horizontal zone of the cat flocculus," *Progress in Brain Research*, vol. 114, pp. 347–358, 1997.
- [25] M. Escudero, G. Cheron, and E. Godaux, "Discharge properties of brain stem neurons projecting to the flocculus in the alert cat. II. Prepositus hypoglossal nucleus," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 1775–1785, 1996.
- [26] C. I. De Zeeuw, F. E. Hoebeek, L. W. J. Bosman, M. Schonewille, L. Witter, and S. K. Koekkoek, "Spatiotemporal firing patterns in the cerebellum," *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 327–344, 2011.
- [27] M. R. Carey and S. G. Lisberger, "Embarrassed, but not depressed: eye opening lessons for cerebellar learning," *Neuron*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 223–226, 2002.
- [28] A. C. Bostan, R. P. Dum, and P. L. Strick, "The basal ganglia communicate with the cerebellum," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 18, pp. 8452–8456, 2010.
- [29] E. Hoshi, L. Tremblay, J. Féger, P. L. Carras, and P. L. Strick, "The cerebellum communicates with the basal ganglia," *Nature Neuroscience*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 1491–1493, 2005.
- [30] G. E. Alexander and M. D. Crutcher, "Functional architecture of basal ganglia circuits: neural substrates of parallel processing," *Trends in Neurosciences*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 266–271, 1990.
- [31] C. C. Bell and R. J. Grimm, "Discharge properties of Purkinje cells recorded on single and double microelectrodes," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1044–1055, 1969.
- [32] J. Eccles, R. Llinás, and K. Sasaki, "Golgi cell inhibition in the cerebellar cortex," *Nature*, vol. 204, no. 4965, pp. 1265–1266, 1964.
- [33] J. C. Eccles, R. Llinás, K. Sasaki, and P. E. Voorhoeve, "Interaction experiments on the responses evoked in Purkinje cells by climbing fibres," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 182, no. 2, pp. 297–315, 1966.
- [34] L. Servais and G. Cheron, "Purkinje cell rhythmicity and synchronicity during modulation of fast cerebellar oscillation," *Neuroscience*, vol. 134, no. 4, pp. 1247–1259, 2005.
- [35] C.-F. Ekerot, O. Oscarsson, and J. Schouenborg, "Stimulation of cat cutaneous nociceptive C fibres causing tonic and synchronous activity in climbing fibres," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 386, pp. 539–546, 1987.
- [36] P. F. C. Gilbert and W. T. Thach, "Purkinje cell activity during motor learning," *Brain Research*, vol. 128, no. 2, pp. 309–328, 1977.

- [37] J. Márquez-Ruiz and G. Cheron, "Sensory stimulation-dependent plasticity in the cerebellar cortex of alert mice," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 7, no. 4, Article ID e36184, 2012.
- [38] J. P. Welsh, E. J. Lang, I. Sugihara, and R. Llinás, "Dynamic organization of motor control within the olivocerebellar system," *Nature*, vol. 374, no. 6521, pp. 453–457, 1995.
- [39] E. J. Lang, I. Sugihara, J. P. Welsh, and R. Llinás, "Patterns of spontaneous purkinje cell complex spike activity in the awake rat," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 2728–2739, 1999.
- [40] F. Bengtsson and H. Jörntell, "Climbing fiber coupling between adjacent PC dendrites in vivo," *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, vol. 3, no. 7, 2009.
- [41] H. Miyakawa, V. Lev-Ram, N. Lasser-Ross, and W. N. Ross, "Calcium transients evoked by climbing fiber and parallel fiber synaptic inputs in guinea pig cerebellar Purkinje neurons," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 1178–1189, 1992.
- [42] A. Konnerth, J. Dreessen, and G. J. Augustine, "Brief dendritic calcium signals initiate long-lasting synaptic depression in cerebellar Purkinje cells," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 89, no. 15, pp. 7051–7055, 1992.
- [43] G. Cheron, D. Gall, L. Servais, B. Dan, R. Maex, and S. N. Schiffmann, "Inactivation of Calcium-Binding Protein Genes Induces 160 Hz Oscillations in the Cerebellar Cortex of Alert Mice," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 434–441, 2004.
- [44] L. Servais, B. Bearzatto, R. Hourez, B. Dan, S. N. Schiffmann, and G. Cheron, "Effect of simple spike firing mode on complex spike firing rate and waveform in cerebellar Purkinje cells in non-anesthetized mice," *Neuroscience Letters*, vol. 367, no. 2, pp. 171–176, 2004.
- [45] L. Servais, B. Bearzatto, B. Schwaller et al., "Mono- and dual-frequency fast cerebellar oscillation in mice lacking parvalbumin and/or calbindin D-28k," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 861–870, 2005.
- [46] B. Bearzatto, L. Servais, C. Roussel et al., "Targeted calretinin expression in granule cells of calretinin-null mice restores normal cerebellar functions," *FASEB Journal*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 380–382, 2006.
- [47] L. Servais, R. Hourez, B. Bearzatto, D. Gall, S. N. Schiffmann, and G. Cheron, "Purkinje cell dysfunction and alteration of long-term synaptic plasticity in fetal alcohol syndrome," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 104, no. 23, pp. 9858–9863, 2007.
- [48] M. Schonewille, A. Belmeguenai, S. K. Koekkoek et al., "Purkinje cell-specific knockout of the protein phosphatase PP2B impairs potentiation and cerebellar motor learning," *Neuron*, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 618–628, 2010.
- [49] R. R. Llinás, "General discussion: radial connectivity in the cerebellar cortex: a novel view regarding the functional organization of the molecular layer," in *The Cerebellum: New Vistas*, S. L. Palay and V. Chan-Palay, Eds., vol. 6, pp. 189–194, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1982.
- [50] H. Lu, A. V. Esquivel, and J. M. Bower, "3D electron microscopic reconstruction of segments of rat cerebellar Purkinje cell dendrites receiving ascending and parallel fiber granule cell synaptic inputs," *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, vol. 514, no. 6, pp. 583–594, 2009.
- [51] P. Isope and B. Barbour, "Properties of unitary granule cell → Purkinje cell synapses in adult rat cerebellar slices," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 22, no. 22, pp. 9668–9678, 2002.
- [52] B. E. McKay, J. D. T. Engbers, W. H. Mehaffey et al., "Climbing fiber discharge regulates cerebellar functions by controlling the intrinsic characteristics of Purkinje cell output," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 97, no. 4, pp. 2590–2604, 2007.
- [53] W. Mittmann and M. Häusser, "Linking synaptic plasticity and spike output at excitatory and inhibitory synapses onto cerebellar Purkinje cells," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 27, no. 21, pp. 5559–5570, 2007.
- [54] K. J. Suter and D. Jaeger, "Reliable control of spike rate and spike timing by rapid input transients in cerebellar stellate cells," *Neuroscience*, vol. 124, no. 2, pp. 305–317, 2004.
- [55] M. Häusser and B. A. Clark, "Tonic synaptic inhibition modulates neuronal output pattern and spatiotemporal synaptic integration," *Neuron*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 665–678, 1997.
- [56] I. M. Raman and B. P. Bean, "Resurgent sodium current and action potential formation in dissociated cerebellar Purkinje neurons," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 4517–4526, 1997.
- [57] I. M. Raman and B. P. Bean, "Properties of sodium currents and action potential firing in isolated cerebellar Purkinje neurons," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 868, pp. 93–96, 1999.
- [58] M. Häusser, I. M. Raman, T. Otis et al., "The beat goes on: spontaneous firing in mammalian neuronal microcircuits," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 24, no. 42, pp. 9215–9219, 2004.
- [59] W. Graf, J. I. Simpson, and C. S. Leonard, "Spatial organization of visual messages of the rabbit's cerebellar flocculus. II. Complex and simple spike responses of Purkinje cells," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2091–2121, 1988.
- [60] C. I. De Zeeuw, D. R. Wylie, J. S. Stahl, and J. I. Simpson, "Phase relations of Purkinje cells in the rabbit flocculus during compensatory eye movements," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 2051–2064, 1995.
- [61] J. I. Simpson, D. R. Wylie, and C. I. De Zeeuw, "On climbing fiber signals and their consequence(s)," *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 384–398, 1996.
- [62] V. Yakhnitsa and N. H. Barmack, "Antiphasic Purkinje cell responses in mouse uvula-nodulus are sensitive to static roll-tilt and topographically organized," *Neuroscience*, vol. 143, no. 2, pp. 615–626, 2006.
- [63] A. Badura, M. Schonewille, K. Voges et al., "Climbing fiber input shapes reciprocity of purkinje cell firing," *Neuron*, vol. 78, no. 4, pp. 700–713, 2013.
- [64] M. Ito, "Neurophysiological aspects of the cerebellar motor control system," *International journal of neurology*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 162–176, 1970.
- [65] D. A. Robinson, "Adaptive gain control of vestibuloocular reflex by the cerebellum," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 954–969, 1976.
- [66] M. Ito, "Cerebellar learning in the vestibulo-ocular reflex," *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 9, pp. 313–321, 1998.
- [67] F. A. Miles and S. G. Lisberger, "Plasticity in the vestibulo-ocular reflex: a new hypothesis," *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, vol. 4, pp. 273–299, 1981.
- [68] S. Ron and D. A. Robinson, "Eye movements evoked by cerebellar stimulation in the alert monkey," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1004–1022, 1973.
- [69] S. G. Lisberger, "Neural basis for motor learning in the vestibuloocular reflex of primates. III. Computational and behavioral analysis of the sites of learning," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 974–998, 1994.
- [70] K. P. Hoffmann, K. Behrend, and A. Schoppmann, "A direct afferent visual pathway from the nucleus of the optic tract to

- the inferior olive in the cat," *Brain Research*, vol. 115, no. 1, pp. 150–153, 1976.
- [71] J. I. Simpson, "The accessory optic system," *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, vol. 7, pp. 13–41, 1984.
 - [72] M. Ito and Y. Miyashita, "The effect of chronic destruction of the inferior olive upon visual modification of the horizontal vestibulo-ocular reflex of rabbits," *Proceedings of the Japan Academy*, vol. 51, pp. 716–720, 1975.
 - [73] J. L. Demer and D. A. Robinson, "Effects of reversible lesions and stimulation of olivocerebellar system on vestibuloocular reflex plasticity," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 1084–1107, 1982.
 - [74] M. Ito, "Error detection and representation in the olivocerebellar system," *Frontiers in Neural Circuits*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2013.
 - [75] R. Llinas, K. Walton, D. E. Hillman, and C. Sotelo, "Inferior olive: its role in motor learning," *Science*, vol. 190, no. 4220, pp. 1230–1231, 1975.
 - [76] K. Nakamagoe, Y. Iwamoto, and K. Yoshida, "Evidence for brainstem structures participating in oculomotor integration," *Science*, vol. 288, no. 5467, pp. 857–859, 2000.
 - [77] G. Cheron, "Effect of incisions in the brainstem commissural network on the short-term vestibulo-ocular adaptation of the cat," *Journal of Vestibular Research*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 223–239, 1990.
 - [78] M. Joshua, J. F. Medina, and S. G. Lisberger, "Diversity of neural responses in the brainstem during smooth pursuit eye movements constrains the circuit mechanisms of neural integration," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 33, no. 15, pp. 6633–6647, 2013.
 - [79] A. Miri, K. Daie, A. B. Arrenberg, H. Baier, E. Aksay, and D. W. Tank, "Spatial gradients and multidimensional dynamics in a neural integrator circuit," *Nature Neuroscience*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 1150–1161, 2011.
 - [80] C. I. De Zeeuw, D. R. Wylie, J. S. Stahl, and J. I. Simpson, "Phase relations of Purkinje cells in the rabbit flocculus during compensatory eye movements," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 2051–2064, 1995.
 - [81] L. S. Stone and S. G. Lisberger, "Visual responses of Purkinje cells in the cerebellar flocculus during smooth-pursuit eye movements in monkeys. I. Simple spikes," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 1241–1261, 1990.
 - [82] L. S. Stone and S. G. Lisberger, "Visual responses of Purkinje cells in the cerebellar flocculus during smooth-pursuit eye movements in monkeys. II. Complex spikes," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 1262–1275, 1990.
 - [83] J. F. Medina and S. G. Lisberger, "Links from complex spikes to local plasticity and motor learning in the cerebellum of awake-behaving monkeys," *Nature Neuroscience*, vol. 11, no. 10, pp. 1185–1192, 2008.
 - [84] G. Cheron, E. Godaux, J. M. Laune, and B. Vanderkelen, "Lesions in the cat prepositus complex: effects on the vestibulo-ocular reflex and saccades," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 372, pp. 75–94, 1986.
 - [85] D. A. Robinson, "Integrating with neurons," *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, vol. 12, pp. 33–45, 1989.
 - [86] P. M. Blázquez, Y. Hirata, and S. M. Highstein, "The vestibulo-ocular reflex as a model system for motor learning: what is the role of the cerebellum?" *Cerebellum*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 188–192, 2004.
 - [87] Y. Hirata and S. M. Highstein, "Acute adaptation of the vestibuloocular reflex: signal processing by floccular and ventral parafloccular purkinje cells," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 85, no. 5, pp. 2267–2288, 2001.
 - [88] P. M. Blázquez, Y. Hirata, S. A. Heiney, A. M. Green, and S. M. Highstein, "Cerebellar Signatures of Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex Motor Learning," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 23, no. 30, pp. 9742–9751, 2003.
 - [89] S. R. Williams, S. R. Christensen, G. J. Stuart, and M. Häusser, "Membrane potential bistability is controlled by the hyperpolarization-activated current/H in rat cerebellar Purkinje neurons in vitro," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 539, no. 2, pp. 469–483, 2002.
 - [90] Y. Loewenstein, S. Mahon, P. Chadderton et al., "Bistability of cerebellar Purkinje cells modulated by sensory stimulation," *Nature Neuroscience*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 202–211, 2005.
 - [91] F. R. Fernandez, J. D. T. Engbers, and R. W. Turner, "Firing dynamics of cerebellar Purkinje cells," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 98, no. 1, pp. 278–294, 2007.
 - [92] I. Lev, G. Jacobson, Y. Yarom, and D. Cohen, "Bistable behavior of cerebellar neurons revealed by chronic recordings of neural activity in freely moving rats," *Society For Neuroscience Abstract* 740.8/R12, 2006.
 - [93] M. Schonewille, S. Khosrovani, B. H. J. Winkelmann et al., "Purkinje cells in awake behaving animals operate at the upstate membrane potential," *Nature Neuroscience*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 459–461, 2006.
 - [94] M. M. Yartsev, R. Givon-Mayo, M. Maller, and O. Donchin, "Pausing purkinje cells in the cerebellum of the awake cat," *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2009.
 - [95] G. Cheron, C. Prigogine, J. Cheron, J. Márquez-Ruiz, R. Traub, and B. Dan, "Emergence of a 600 Hz up-state Purkinje cell firing in alert mice," *Society For Neuroscience Abstract* 469. 01, 2013.
 - [96] S. G. Lisberger, "Internal models of eye movement in the floccular complex of the monkey cerebellum," *Neuroscience*, vol. 162, no. 3, pp. 763–776, 2009.
 - [97] C. L. Ojakangas and T. J. Ebner, "Purkinje cell complex and simple spike changes during a voluntary arm movement learning task in the monkey," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 2222–2236, 1992.
 - [98] W. T. Thach, *In Inferior Olivary Nucleus: Anatomy and Physiology*, Raven Press, New York, NY, USA, 1980.
 - [99] M. Shidara, K. Kawano, H. Gomi, and M. Kawato, "Inverse-dynamics model eye movement control by Purkinje cells in the cerebellum," *Nature*, vol. 365, no. 6441, pp. 50–52, 1993.
 - [100] R. J. Krauzlis and S. G. Lisberger, "Simple spike responses of gaze velocity Purkinje cells in the floccular lobe of the monkey during the onset and offset of pursuit eye movements," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 72, no. 4, pp. 2045–2049, 1994.
 - [101] M. Kahlon and S. G. Lisberger, "Changes in the responses of Purkinje cells in the floccular complex of monkeys after motor learning in smooth pursuit eye movements," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 84, no. 6, pp. 2945–2960, 2000.
 - [102] R. J. Krauzlis, "Population coding of movement dynamics by cerebellar Purkinje cells," *NeuroReport*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 1045–1050, 2000.
 - [103] E. Galliano, M. Baratella, M. Sgritta et al., "Anatomical investigation of potential contacts between climbing fibers and cerebellar Golgi cells in the mouse," *Frontiers in Neural Circuits*, vol. 7, no. 59, 2013.
 - [104] P. Seja, M. Schonewille, G. Spitzmaul et al., "Raising cytosolic Cl^- in cerebellar granule cells affects their excitability and vestibulo-ocular learning," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 1217–1230, 2012.

- [105] E. Galliano, Z. Gao, M. Schonewille et al., "Silencing the majority of cerebellar granule cells uncovers their essential role in motor learning and consolidation," *Cell Reports*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 1239–1251, 2013.
- [106] E. D'Angelo, P. Rossi, S. Armano, and V. Taglietti, "Evidence for NMDA and mGlu receptor-dependent long-term potentiation of mossy fiber-granule cell transmission in rat cerebellum," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 277–287, 1999.
- [107] S. Armano, P. Rossi, V. Taglietti, and E. D'Angelo, "Long-term potentiation of intrinsic excitability at the mossy fibergranule cell synapse of rat cerebellum," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 20, no. 14, pp. 5208–5216, 2000.
- [108] A. Maffei, F. Prestori, P. Rossi, V. Taglietti, and E. D'Angelo, "Presynaptic current changes at the mossy fiber-granule cell synapse of cerebellum during LTP," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 627–638, 2002.
- [109] P. Rossi, E. Sola, V. Taglietti et al., "NMDA receptor 2 (NR2) C-terminal control of NR open probability regulates synaptic transmission and plasticity at a cerebellar synapse," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 22, no. 22, pp. 9687–9697, 2002.
- [110] E. Sola, F. Prestori, P. Rossi, V. Taglietti, and E. D'Angelo, "Increased neurotransmitter release during long-term potentiation at mossy fibre-granule cell synapses in rat cerebellum," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 557, no. 3, pp. 843–861, 2004.
- [111] D. Gall, F. Prestori, E. Sola et al., "Intracellular calcium regulation by burst discharge determines bidirectional long-term synaptic plasticity at the cerebellum input stage," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 25, no. 19, pp. 4813–4822, 2005.
- [112] J. Mapelli and E. D'Angelo, "The spatial organization of long-term synaptic plasticity at the input stage of cerebellum," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1285–1296, 2007.
- [113] C. C. Huang, K. Sugino, Y. Shima et al., "Convergence of pontine and proprioceptive streams onto multimodal cerebellar granule cells," *ELife*, vol. 2, 2013.
- [114] D. Marr, "A theory of cerebellar cortex," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 202, no. 2, pp. 437–470, 1969.
- [115] J. S. Albus, "A theory of cerebellar function," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 10, no. 1–2, pp. 25–61, 1971.
- [116] H. Noda and D. A. Suzuki, "Processing of eye movement signals in the flocculus of the monkey," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 294, pp. 349–364, 1979.
- [117] H. Noda, "Visual mossy fiber inputs to the flocculus of the monkey," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 374, pp. 465–475, 1981.
- [118] M. E. Hatten and S. G. Lisberger, "Multitasking on the run," *ELife*, vol. 2, 2013.
- [119] A. L. Bernstein, "Temporal factors in the formation of conditioned eyelid reactions in human subjects," *Journal of General Psychology*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 173–197, 1934.
- [120] D. S. Woodruff-Pak and J. E. Steinmetz, "Past, present, and future of human eyeblink classical conditioning," in *Eyeblink Classical Conditioning: Volume I. Applications in Humans*, Kluwer Academic, Norwell, Mass, USA, 2000.
- [121] I. Gormezano, N. Schneidman, E. Deaux, and I. Fuentes, "Nictitating membrane: classical conditioning and extinction in the albino rabbit," *Science*, vol. 138, no. 3536, pp. 33–34, 1962.
- [122] I. Gormezano, E. J. Kehoe, and B. S. Marshall, "Twenty years of classical conditioning research with the rabbit," *Progress in Physiological Psychology*, vol. 10, pp. 197–275, 1983.
- [123] M. Gerwig, F. P. Kolb, and D. Timmann, "The involvement of the human cerebellum in eyeblink conditioning," *Cerebellum*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 38–57, 2007.
- [124] M. Manto, J. M. Bower, A. B. Conforto et al., "Consensus paper: roles of the cerebellum in motor control: the diversity of ideas on cerebellar involvement in movement," *Cerebellum*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 457–487, 2011.
- [125] M. D. Mauk and R. F. Thompson, "Retention of classically conditioned eyelid responses following acute decerebration," *Brain Research*, vol. 403, no. 1, pp. 89–95, 1987.
- [126] P. R. Soloman, E. R. Vander Schaaf, R. F. Thompson, and D. J. Weisz, "Hippocampus and trace conditioning of the rabbit's classically conditioned nictitating membrane response," *Behavioral Neuroscience*, vol. 100, no. 5, pp. 729–744, 1986.
- [127] A. P. Weible, M. D. McEchron, and J. F. Disterhoft, "Cortical involvement in acquisition and extinction of trace eyeblink conditioning," *Behavioral Neuroscience*, vol. 114, no. 6, pp. 1058–1067, 2000.
- [128] R. F. Thompson and J. E. Steinmetz, "The role of the cerebellum in classical conditioning of discrete behavioral responses," *Neuroscience*, vol. 162, no. 3, pp. 732–755, 2009.
- [129] R. F. Thompson, "The neurobiology of learning and memory," *Science*, vol. 233, no. 4767, pp. 941–947, 1986.
- [130] A. Gruart, P. Blázquez, and J. M. Delgado-García, "Kinematics of spontaneous, reflex, and conditioned eyelid movements in the alert cat," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 226–248, 1995.
- [131] M. Glickstein, J. Lee Cohen, and B. Dixon, "Corticopontine visual projections in Macaque monkeys," *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, vol. 190, no. 2, pp. 209–229, 1980.
- [132] J. D. Schmahmann and D. N. Pandya, "Anatomical investigation of projections to the basis pontis from posterior parietal association cortices in rhesus monkey," *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, vol. 289, no. 1, pp. 53–73, 1989.
- [133] J. D. Schmahmann and D. N. Pandya, "Projections to the basis pontis from the superior temporal sulcus and superior temporal region in the rhesus monkey," *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, vol. 308, no. 2, pp. 224–248, 1991.
- [134] J. D. Schmahmann and D. N. Pandya, "Prelunate, occipitotemporal, and parahippocampal projections to the basis pontis in rhesus monkey," *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, vol. 337, no. 1, pp. 94–112, 1993.
- [135] Y. Shinoda, Y. Sugiuchi, T. Futami, and R. Izawa, "Axon collaterals of mossy fibers from the pontine nucleus in the cerebellar dentate nucleus," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 547–560, 1992.
- [136] J. E. Steinmetz and D. R. Sengelaub, "Possible conditioned stimulus pathway for classical eyelid conditioning in rabbits. I. Anatomical evidence for direct projections from the pontine nuclei to the cerebellar interpositus nucleus," *Behavioral and Neural Biology*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 103–115, 1992.
- [137] G. A. Mihailoff, "Cerebellar nuclear projections from the basilar pontine nuclei and nucleus reticularis tegmenti pontis as demonstrated with PHA-L tracing in the rat," *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, vol. 330, no. 1, pp. 130–146, 1993.
- [138] H. J. Groenewegen, J. Voogd, and S. L. Freedman, "The parasagittal zonation within the olivocerebellar projection. II. Climbing fiber distribution in the intermediate and hemispheric parts of cat cerebellum," *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, vol. 183, no. 3, pp. 551–601, 1979.
- [139] M. Ito, *The Cerebellum and Neural Control*, Raven Publishing, New York, NY, USA, 1984.
- [140] I. Sugihara, H.-S. Wu, and Y. Shinoda, "The entire trajectories of single olivocerebellar axons in the cerebellar cortex and their

- contribution to cerebellar compartmentalization," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 21, no. 19, pp. 7715–7723, 2001.
- [141] D. A. McCormick, G. A. Clark, D. G. Lavond, and R. F. Thompson, "Initial localization of the memory trace for a basic form of learning," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 79, no. 8, pp. 2731–2735, 1982.
- [142] G. A. Clark, D. A. McCormick, D. G. Lavond, and R. F. Thompson, "Effects of lesions of cerebellar nuclei on conditioned behavioral and hippocampal neuronal responses," *Brain Research*, vol. 291, no. 1, pp. 125–136, 1984.
- [143] A. F. Nordholm, J. K. Thompson, C. Dersarkissian, and R. F. Thompson, "Lidocaine infusion in a critical region of cerebellum completely prevents learning of the conditioned eyeblink response," *Behavioral Neuroscience*, vol. 107, no. 5, pp. 882–886, 1993.
- [144] J. H. Freeman Jr., H. E. Halverson, and A. Poremba, "Differential effects of cerebellar inactivation on eyeblink conditioned excitation and inhibition," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 889–895, 2005.
- [145] L. Jiménez-Díaz, J. De Dios Navarro-López, A. Gruart, and J. M. Delgado-García, "Role of cerebellar interpositus nucleus in the genesis and control of reflex and conditioned eyelid responses," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 24, no. 41, pp. 9138–9145, 2004.
- [146] D. A. McCormick and R. F. Thompson, "Neuronal responses of the rabbit cerebellum during acquisition and performance of a classically conditioned nictitating membrane-eyelid response," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 4, no. 11, pp. 2811–2822, 1984.
- [147] N. E. Berthier and J. W. Moore, "Activity of deep cerebellar nuclear cells during classical conditioning of nictitating membrane extension in rabbits," *Experimental Brain Research*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 44–54, 1990.
- [148] A. Gruart and J. M. Delgado-García, "Discharge of identified deep cerebellar nuclei neurons related to eye blinks in the alert cat," *Neuroscience*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 665–681, 1994.
- [149] A. Gruart, G. Guillazo-Blanch, R. Fernández-Mas, L. Jiménez-Díaz, and J. M. Delgado-García, "Cerebellar posterior interpositus nucleus as an enhancer of classically conditioned eyelid responses in alert cats," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 84, no. 5, pp. 2680–2690, 2000.
- [150] R. Sánchez-Campusano, A. Gruart, and J. M. Delgado-García, "The cerebellar interpositus nucleus and the dynamic control of learned motor responses," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 27, no. 25, pp. 6620–6632, 2007.
- [151] R. Sánchez-Campusano, A. Gruart, and J. M. Delgado-García, "Timing and causality in the generation of learned eyelid responses," *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, vol. 5, no. 39, 2011.
- [152] V. Perciavalle, R. Apps, V. Bracha et al., "Consensus paper: current views on the role of cerebellar interpositus nucleus in movement control and emotion," *Cerebellum*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 738–757, 2013.
- [153] M. D. Mauk, "Roles of cerebellar cortex and nuclei in motor learning: contradictions or clues?" *Neuron*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 343–346, 1997.
- [154] D. W. Tank, M. Sugimori, J. A. Connor, and R. R. Llinas, "Spatially resolved calcium dynamics of mammalian Purkinje cells in cerebellar slice," *Science*, vol. 242, no. 4879, pp. 773–777, 1988.
- [155] C. H. Yeo, M. J. Hardiman, and M. Glickstein, "Classical conditioning of the nictitating membrane response of the rabbit. II. Lesions of the cerebellar cortex," *Experimental Brain Research*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 99–113, 1985.
- [156] D. G. Lavond and J. E. Steinmetz, "Acquisition of classical conditioning without cerebellar cortex," *Behavioural Brain Research*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 113–164, 1989.
- [157] J. A. Harvey, J. P. Welsh, C. H. Yeo, and A. G. Romano, "Recoverable and nonrecoverable deficits in conditioned responses after cerebellar cortical lesions," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1624–1635, 1993.
- [158] S. P. Perrett, B. P. Ruiz, and M. D. Mauk, "Cerebellar cortex lesions disrupt learning-dependent timing of conditioned eyelid responses," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1708–1718, 1993.
- [159] K. S. Garcia, P. M. Steele, and M. D. Mauk, "Cerebellar cortex lesions prevent acquisition of conditioned eyelid responses," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 19, no. 24, pp. 10940–10947, 1999.
- [160] N. E. Berthier and J. W. Moore, "Cerebellar Purkinje cell activity related to the classically conditioned nictitating membrane response," *Experimental Brain Research*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 341–350, 1986.
- [161] D. B. Katz and J. E. Steinmetz, "Single-unit evidence for eyeblink conditioning in cerebellar cortex is altered, but not eliminated, by interpositus nucleus lesions," *Learning and Memory*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 88–104, 1997.
- [162] J. T. Green and J. E. Steinmetz, "Purkinje cell activity in the cerebellar anterior lobe after rabbit eyeblink conditioning," *Learning and Memory*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 260–269, 2005.
- [163] K. S. Garcia and M. D. Mauk, "Pharmacological analysis of cerebellar contributions to the timing and expression of conditioned eyelid responses," *Neuropharmacology*, vol. 37, no. 4–5, pp. 471–480, 1998.
- [164] K. L. Parker, S. Zbarska, A. J. Carrel, and V. Bracha, "Blocking GABAA neurotransmission in the interposed nuclei: effects on conditioned and unconditioned eyeblinks," *Brain Research*, vol. 1292, pp. 25–37, 2009.
- [165] R. W. Vogel, J. C. Amundson, D. H. Lindquist, and J. E. Steinmetz, "Eyeblink conditioning during an interstimulus interval switch in rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) using picrotoxin to disrupt cerebellar cortical input to the interpositus nucleus," *Behavioral Neuroscience*, vol. 123, no. 1, pp. 62–74, 2009.
- [166] A. Aiba, M. Kano, C. Chen et al., "Deficient cerebellar long-term depression and impaired motor learning in mGluR1 mutant mice," *Cell*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 377–388, 1994.
- [167] F. Conquet, Z. I. Bashir, C. H. Davies et al., "Motor deficit and impairment of synaptic plasticity in mice lacking mGluR1," *Nature*, vol. 372, no. 6503, pp. 237–243, 1994.
- [168] L. Chen, S. Bao, J. M. Lockard, J. J. Kim, and R. F. Thompson, "Impaired classical eyeblink conditioning in cerebellar-lesioned and Purkinje cell degeneration (pcd) mutant mice," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 2829–2838, 1996.
- [169] L. Chen, S. Bao, and R. F. Thompson, "Bilateral lesions of the interpositus nucleus completely prevent eyeblink conditioning in purkinje cell-degeneration mutant mice," *Behavioral Neuroscience*, vol. 113, no. 1, pp. 204–210, 1999.
- [170] K. Shibuki, H. Gomi, L. Chen et al., "Deficient cerebellar long-term depression, impaired eyeblink conditioning, and normal motor coordination in GFAP mutant mice," *Neuron*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 587–599, 1996.
- [171] J. H. Freeman and A. B. Steinmetz, "Neural circuitry and plasticity mechanisms underlying delay eyeblink conditioning," *Learning & Memory*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 666–677, 2011.

- [172] S. Morcuende, J.-M. Delgado-García, and G. Ugolini, "Neuronal premotor networks involved in eyelid responses: retrograde transneuronal tracing with rabies virus from the orbicularis oculi muscle in the rat," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 22, no. 20, pp. 8808–8818, 2002.
- [173] C. Woody, P. Varowsky, and J. Owens, "Effect of lesions of cortical motor areas on acquisition of conditioned eye blink in the cat," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 385–394, 1974.
- [174] D. Ivkovich and R. F. Thompson, "Motor cortex lesions do not affect learning or performance of the eyeblink response in rabbits," *Behavioral Neuroscience*, vol. 111, no. 4, pp. 727–738, 1997.
- [175] V. Grinevich, M. Brecht, and P. Osten, "Monosynaptic pathway from rat vibrissa motor cortex to facial motor neurons revealed by lentivirus-based axonal tracing," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 25, no. 36, pp. 8250–8258, 2005.
- [176] S. Aou, C. D. Woody, and D. Birt, "Changes in the activity of units of the cat motor cortex with rapid conditioning and extinction of a compound eye blink movement," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 549–559, 1992.
- [177] D. Birt, S. Aou, and C. D. Woody, "Intracellularly recorded responses of neurons of the motor cortex of awake cats to presentations of Pavlovian conditioned and unconditioned stimuli," *Brain Research*, vol. 969, no. 1–2, pp. 205–216, 2003.
- [178] R. Pacheco-Calderón, A. Carretero-Guillén, J. M. Delgado-García, and A. Gruart, "Red nucleus neurons actively contribute to the acquisition of classically conditioned eyelid responses in rabbits," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 32, no. 35, pp. 12129–12143, 2012.
- [179] G. Paul and D. J. Gould, "The red nucleus: past, present, and future," *Neuroanatomy*, vol. 9, pp. 1–3, 2010.
- [180] M. C. Cartford, E. B. Gohl, M. Singson, and D. G. Lavond, "The effects of reversible inactivation of the red nucleus on learning-related and auditory-evoked unit activity in the pontine nuclei of classically conditioned rabbits," *Learning and Memory*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 519–531, 1997.
- [181] L. E. Miller and A. R. Gibson, "Red nucleus," in *Encyclopedia of Neuroscience*, Academic Press, Oxford, UK, 2009.
- [182] C.-F. Ekerot, M. Garwicz, and H. Jörntell, "The control of forelimb movements by intermediate cerebellum," *Progress in Brain Research*, vol. 114, pp. 423–430, 1997.
- [183] J. Voogd and M. Glickstein, "The anatomy of the cerebellum," *Trends in Neurosciences*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 370–375, 1998.
- [184] O. Oscarsson, "Functional units of the cerebellum: sagittal zones and microzones," *Trends in Neurosciences*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 143–145, 1979.
- [185] M. Schonwille, C. Luo, T. J. H. Ruigrok et al., "Zonal organization of the mouse flocculus: physiology, input, and output," *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, vol. 497, no. 4, pp. 670–682, 2006.
- [186] J. Voogd, "A note on the definition and the development of cerebellar purkinje cell zones," *Cerebellum*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 422–425, 2012.
- [187] C.-F. Ekerot, "Climbing fibres: a key to cerebellar function," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 516, no. 3, p. 629, 1999.
- [188] C.-F. Ekerot, P. Gustavsson, O. Oscarsson, and J. Schouenborg, "Climbing fibres projecting to cat cerebellar anterior lobe activated by cutaneous A and C fibres," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 386, pp. 529–538, 1987.
- [189] C.-F. Ekerot, M. Garwicz, and J. Schouenborg, "Topography and nociceptive receptive fields climbing fibres projecting to the cerebellar anterior lobe in the cat," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 441, pp. 257–274, 1991.
- [190] H. Jörntell, M. Garwicz, and C.-F. Ekerot, "Relation between cutaneous receptive fields and muscle afferent input to climbing fibres projecting to the cerebellar C3 zone in the cat," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 1769–1779, 1996.
- [191] M. Ito, M. Sakurai, and P. Tongroach, "Climbing fibre induced depression of both mossy fibre responsiveness and glutamate sensitivity of cerebellar Purkinje cells," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 324, pp. 113–134, 1982.
- [192] M. Ito and M. Kano, "Long-lasting depression of parallel fiber: purkinje cell transmission induced by conjunctive stimulation of parallel fibers and climbing fibers in the cerebellar cortex," *Neuroscience Letters*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 253–258, 1982.
- [193] C. F. Ekerot and M. Kano, "Long-term depression of parallel fibre synapses following stimulation of climbing fibres," *Brain Research*, vol. 342, no. 2, pp. 357–360, 1985.
- [194] C.-F. Ekerot and M. Kano, "Stimulation parameters influencing climbing fibre induced long-term depression of parallel fibre synapses," *Neuroscience Research*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 264–268, 1989.
- [195] H. Jörntell and C.-F. Ekerot, "Reciprocal bidirectional plasticity of parallel fiber receptive fields in cerebellar Purkinje cells and their afferent interneurons," *Neuron*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 797–806, 2002.
- [196] C.-F. Ekerot and H. Jörntell, "Parallel fibre receptive fields of purkinje cells and interneurons are climbing fibre-specific," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 1303–1310, 2001.
- [197] M. Garwicz, H. Jörntell, and C.-F. Ekerot, "Cutaneous receptive fields and topography of mossy fibres and climbing fibres projecting to cat cerebellar C3 zone," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 512, no. 1, pp. 277–293, 1998.
- [198] H. Jörntell and C. F. Ekerot, "Receptive field remodeling Induced by skin stimulation in cerebellar neurons in vivo," *Frontiers in Neural Circuits*, vol. 5, no. 3, 2011.
- [199] G. Cheron, M. Sausbier, U. Sausbier et al., "BK channels control cerebellar Purkinje and Golgi cell rhythmicity in vivo," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 4, no. 11, Article ID e7991, 2009.
- [200] L. W. J. Bosman, S. K. E. Koekkoek, J. Shapiro et al., "Encoding of whisker input by cerebellar Purkinje cells," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 588, no. 19, pp. 3757–3783, 2010.
- [201] J. Márquez-Ruiz, C. Prigogine, J. Cheron et al., "Ablation of BK channels in Purkinje cell impairs LTD timing plasticity in awake animals," *Society For Neuroscience Abstract* 469. 02, 2013.
- [202] X. Chena, Y. Kovalchuka, H. Adelsberger et al., "Disruption of the olivo-cerebellar circuit by Purkinje neuron-specific ablation of BK channels," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 27, pp. 12323–12328, 2010.
- [203] I. Knuesel, M. Mastrocola, R. A. Zuellig, B. Bornhauser, M. C. Schaub, and J.-M. Fritschy, "Altered synaptic clustering of GABAA receptors in mice lacking dystrophin (mdx mice)," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 4457–4462, 1999.
- [204] C. Prigogine, B. Dan, and G. Cheron, "Purkinje cell firing alteration in mdx mice," *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, vol. 52, no. 4, 2011.

- [205] P. Mégevand, E. Troncoso, C. Quairiaux, D. Muller, C. M. Michel, and J. Z. Kiss, "Long-term plasticity in mouse sensorimotor circuits after rhythmic whisker stimulation," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 29, no. 16, pp. 5326–5335, 2009.
- [206] G. Cheron and S. Borenstein, "Specific gating of the early somatosensory evoked potentials during active movement," *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 537–548, 1987.
- [207] A. M. Cebolla, C. De Saedeleer, A. Bengoetxea et al., "Movement gating of beta/gamma oscillations involved in the N30 somatosensory evoked potential," *Human Brain Mapping*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 1568–1579, 2009.
- [208] G. Cheron and S. Borenstein, "Mental movement simulation affects the N30 frontal component of the somatosensory evoked potential," *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, vol. 84, no. 3, pp. 288–292, 1992.
- [209] J. Classen, J. Liepert, S. P. Wise, M. Hallett, and L. G. Cohen, "Rapid plasticity of human cortical movement representation induced by practice," *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 1117–1123, 1998.
- [210] K. Stefan, J. Classen, P. Celnik, and L. G. Cohen, "Concurrent action observation modulates practice-induced motor memory formation," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 730–738, 2008.
- [211] U. Ziemann, T. V. Iliać, C. Pauli, F. Meintzschel, and D. Ruge, "Learning modifies subsequent induction of long-term potentiation-like and long-term depression-like plasticity in human motor cortex," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 1666–1672, 2004.
- [212] J. N. Sanes and J. P. Donoghue, "Plasticity and primary motor cortex," *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, vol. 23, pp. 393–415, 2000.
- [213] M. K. Lu, C. H. Tsai, and U. Ziemann, "Cerebellum to motor cortex paired associative stimulation induces bidirectional STDP-like plasticity in human motor cortex," *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, vol. 6, no. 260, 2012.
- [214] N. Censor, D. Sagi, and L. G. Cohen, "Common mechanisms of human perceptual and motor learning," *Nature Reviews*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 658–664, 2012.
- [215] H. Markram, W. Gerstner, and P. J. Sjöström, "A history of spike-timing-dependent plasticity," *Frontiers in Synaptic Neuroscience*, vol. 3, no. 4, 2011.