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Phytoagents for Cancer Management: Regulation of Nucleic Acid Oxidation, ROS, and Related Mechanisms
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Accumulation of oxidized nucleic acids causes genomic instability leading to senescence, apoptosis, and tumorigenesis. Phytoagents are known to reduce the risk of cancer development; whether such effects are through regulating the extent of nucleic acid oxidation remains unclear. Here, we outlined the role of reactive oxygen species in nucleic acid oxidation as a driving force in cancer progression. The consequential relationship between genome instability and cancer progression highlights the importance of modulation of cellular redox level in cancer management. Current epidemiological and experimental evidence demonstrate the effects and modes of action of phytoagents in nucleic acid oxidation and provide rationales for the use of phytoagents as chemopreventive or therapeutic agents. Vitamins and various phytoagents antagonize carcinogen-triggered oxidative stress by scavenging free radicals and/or activating endogenous defence systems such as Nrf2-regulated antioxidant genes or pathways. Moreover, metal ion chelation by phytoagents helps to attenuate oxidative DNA damage caused by transition metal ions. Besides, the prooxidant effects of some phytoagents pose selective cytotoxicity on cancer cells and shed light on a new strategy of cancer therapy. The “double-edged sword” role of phytoagents as redox regulators in nucleic acid oxidation and their possible roles in cancer prevention or therapy are discussed in this review.

1. Nucleic Acid Oxidation as a Marker of Oxidative Insult by Reactive Oxygen Species and the Driving Force in Cancer Progression

The integrity of the genome is of crucial importance for proper gene expression and DNA replication. Loss of genome integrity jeopardizes normal cellular physiological activities and leads to cellular pathological events such as senescence, apoptosis, and tumorigenesis [1]. Under oxidative stress, the level of genotoxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) is abnormally elevated. ROS interact with and modify the chemical properties of biomolecules inside the cell, which causes oxidative insults such as oxidation of nucleic acids, peroxidation of lipids [2], and denaturation of proteins [3]. Oxidative modification to DNA structure mainly occurs in the form of base oxidation. Guanine, which possesses the lowest oxidation potential of the DNA bases, is the most frequent target of ROS. ROS-elicited changes in biomolecules can be used as biomarkers to indicate the presence and extent of oxidative insult. 8-Oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine (8-oxoG), the oxidation product of the DNA base guanine, is a well-characterized marker for oxidative stress-induced DNA damage [4]. Following the oxidation of a DNA base, genome integrity is at increased risk because the DNA repair process, base excision repair (BER), can increase the level of interrupted DNA strands resulting in indirect single-strand break (SSB) [5], subsequently leading to introduction of mismatched base pairing during translesion DNA repair [6]. As a consequence, genome instability and accumulation of mutations lead to genetic heterogeneity in cancer cells that drive the adaptive evolution of cancer colonies with survival/expansion advantages [7]. Figure 1 shows the genetic
instability and heterogeneity caused by nucleic acid oxidation in cancer cells which lead to carcinogenesis and cancer evolution. During BER, indirect SSB are produced as intermediates after the removal of oxidized bases and their corresponding nucleotides. If SSB takes place at adjacent regions on both strands of the same chromosome, genome instability can ensue. Meanwhile, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) is activated after binding to SSB and consumes NAD+ to synthesize polyA chains which then recruit important DNA repair enzymes, such as DNA polymerase β and DNA ligase III. PARP also induces apoptosis through increased poly (ADP-ribose) (PAR) levels that facilitate the release of apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF) from mitochondria and elicit apoptosis. Otherwise, depletion of NAD due to excessive PARP activity will further deplete the ATP pool and lead to cell lysis (necrosis). Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) promotes the switch to a specialized DNA polymerase with a larger active site that tolerates damaged bases at the expense of sacrificing fidelity during translesion synthesis/repair. Lower fidelity increases the chance of mismatch which gives rise to point mutations. The accumulation of genome instability and point mutations results in genome heterogeneity among cells and, chronologically, within cells. Tumor initiation is triggered by mutations that can activate oncogenes or silence tumor suppressor genes. Further mutations that give rise to gain/loss of function genes then grant tumor cells the ability to resist growth control. Further gain/loss of function continues to drive cancer progression enabling tumor cells to escape layers of control and become capable of invasion and metastasis. Elevated levels of oxidative DNA lesions (8-oxoG) have been noted in various tumors, supporting the argument that such damage contributes to the etiology of cancer. Therefore, 8-oxoG has been established as an important biomarker which is widely used to measure oxidative stress and assess risk of tumor initiation after exposure to various carcinogenic substances and pollutants [8]. In a cohort study involving esophageal cancer patients, more extensive oxidative damage to DNA as indicated by 8-oxoG levels was detected in cancer patients, in comparison to a healthy control group. Smoking habits and alcohol consumption, risk factors for esophageal cancer, were also correlated with the observed levels of oxidative DNA damage [9].

Oxidative stress-induced lipid peroxidation is also associated with the early stages of carcinogenesis [10]. Malondialdehyde (MDA), the product of lipid peroxidation, can induce the formation of DNA adducts which leads to mutagenesis. In an epidemiological study of breast cancer, the level of the malondialdehyde-DNA adduct, 3-(2-deoxy-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl) pyrimido [1,2-α]purin-10(3H) one (M1dG), was significantly higher in breast tissue specimens from cancer patients than in those from healthy individuals [11]. Therefore, other than 8-oxoG, the level of M1dG has been employed as an indicator of cancer-associated oxidative DNA damage.
Elevated levels of oxidative DNA damage markers in cancer patients. ROS causes oxidative damage to biomolecules such as DNA, lipids, and proteins, and the resulting end products are often detrimental to normal cell physiological functions. As the result of DNA base oxidation, 8-oxo-guanine (8-oxoG) can serve as a biomarker of primary oxidative DNA damage. When lipids are attacked by ROS, secondary DNA damage arises due to malondialdehyde (MDA), the end product of lipid peroxidation that can covalently bind to guanine and form MDA-DNA adduct (M1dG). In human cancer patients, both 8-oxoG and M1dG are found to be elevated, suggesting a correlation between higher oxidative stress and cancer.

These markers are used as measures of antioxidant activity in studies that assess the chemopreventive efficacy of anticancer agents including phytochemicals [9, 12, 13] (Figure 2).

2. Sources of ROS and Cellular Antioxidant Defense

ROS are genotoxic and ubiquitous. They include the superoxide anion radical \(O_2^{−}\), hydrogen peroxide \(H_2O_2\), the hydroxyl radical \(OH^+\), and the nitric oxide radical \(NO^+\) [14]. For maintenance of genome integrity and normal cell physiological function, cells have developed strategies to control ROS levels. Such control is known as antioxidant defense [14]. Cellular redox status, the level of ROS, is the net result of ROS arising from various origins and the capacity of the cell to remove it by antioxidant defense. Many preventive/therapeutic regimens, including those phytoagent-based, intervene in disease progression by fine-tuning the level of ROS and the corresponding antioxidant responses in the cell [15], and thus shifting the redox balance in favor of human health. Introductions of the various origins of ROS and cellular antioxidant defense mechanisms are outlined below.

2.1. Origins of ROS. Sources of ROS can be divided into three major categories: exogenous, endogenous, metal-catalyzed (Figure 3(a)). Exogenously, ROS levels are mainly increased by environmental and dietary factors. These factors may serve as prooxidants that elicit ROS directly through chemical reactions or through the inhibition of cellular antioxidant defense or as substrates or stimulators of ROS-producing enzymes. Environmental factors that increase ROS production include ultraviolet light, ionizing radiation, air pollutants, cigarette smoke, pesticides, and industrial solvents or chemicals. Dietary factors that induce ROS include food containing peroxidized lipids (from rotten oil), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH, from high-temperature processed hydrocarbon-based food), and food additives (preservatives).
Endogenously, ROS are generated during metabolic processes, such as mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, peroxisomal fatty acid beta-oxidation [16], catabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450 monoxygenase (CYP) [17], purine by xanthine oxidase (XO) [18, 19], and lipid/fatty acid by cyclooxygenase (COX) [20, 21] or lipoxygenase (LOX) [22, 23]. Inflammation is another important endogenous source of ROS. During inflammation, ROS are generated via NADPH oxidase and myeloperoxidase which can protect against microbe or virus invasion; however, they might also be injurious to adjacent cells [24–27]. The positive feedback loop between oxidative insult, inflammation, and carcinogenesis is well recognized and appreciated as one of the hallmarks of cancer [28]. In metal-catalyzed generation of ROS, transition metal ions such as iron, copper, and chromium catalyze Fenton or Fenton-like reactions [29] that donate electrons and thus promote the production of hydroxyl radicals from hydrogen peroxide [30].
2.2. Cellular Antioxidant Defense Mechanisms: Control of ROS Levels and Repair of Oxidized DNA Bases. Proper control of ROS is critical for the maintenance of redox balance and genome integrity. Otherwise, excessive levels of ROS would overwrite the roles of ROS as signaling mediators and jeopardize the normal physiological processes inside the cell. Several layers of antioxidant defense have been proposed as preventive strategies against nucleic acid oxidation, including nonenzymatic removal of ROS by scavenger molecules, chelation of metals that catalyze ROS formation, inducible enzymatic removal of ROS, and the DNA repair system responsible for oxidative DNA lesion. Cellular molecules that can serve as radical scavengers form a first line of defense in the control of ROS levels (Figure 3(b)). These molecules include metabolites such as vitamin C, vitamin E, ubiquinol-10, and urate, as well as the tripeptide glutathione (GSH) and the thioredoxin (TRX) system [31]. Meanwhile, cellular metal-chelating proteins play key roles in controlling the level of free metal ions and thus enhance or prevent ROS generation by metal-catalyzed Fenton of Fenton-like reactions. These proteins include ferritin [32, 33], transferrin [34], coeruloplasmin [35], and metallothionein [36].

ROS scavengers and metal-binding proteins do not provide complete protection from ROS damage. Therefore, another layer of protection is provided in the form of enzymatic removal of ROS. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) is responsible for the transformation of superoxide anions into hydrogen peroxide, which is subsequently transformed into oxygen and water by catalase (CAT) or into water by glutathione peroxidase (GPx) [14]. The removal of hydrogen peroxide by GPx consumes the reduced form of glutathione (GSH) and generates the oxidized form (GSSG). GSSG can later be recycled by glutathione reductase (GR) and so replenish the GSH pool. Notably, metabolic enzymes responsible for NADPH production are critical factors in maintaining cellular redox balance, because NADPH is an indispensable factor responsible for the recycling of GSH and TRX by GR and thioredoxin reductase (TRR). Defects in NADPH supplying enzymes, such as glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency in humans, compromise recycling of glutathione and thioredoxin and so weaken antioxidant capacity and confer susceptibility toward oxidative insult [37]. SOD, CAT, GPx, GR, TRR, and NADPH producing enzymes together, therefore, increase the capacity of the cell to remove ROS through enzymatic means (Figure 3(b)).

Cellular antioxidant defense is inducible and often up-regulated in response to oxidative stress or plant antioxidants. Cells sense and respond to changes in redox status by nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 (Nrf2)/kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1) complex [38, 39], which when dissociated allows Nrf2 nuclear translation and binding to the antioxidant response element (ARE) to transactivate antioxidant enzymes and thus further elevate antioxidant capacity [40] (Figure 4). Under normal physiological conditions, transcription factor Nrf2 is sequestered in the cytosol by Keap1, which recruits ubiquitin ligase E3 that ubiquitinites
Figure 5: Repair of oxidative DNA damage introduces genome heterogeneity and instability. ROS causes oxidation of DNA bases which then elicit base excision repair machineries. First, the oxidized base is cleaved by glycosylase leaving an apurinic/apyrimidinic site (AP site). Second, the AP site is recognized by AP endonuclease that cleaves the phosphodiester bonds to remove the AP nucleotide and create the single-strand break (SSB) intermediate. DNA polymerase then resynthesizes the missing part of the DNA and later DNA ligase seals the nick. The low fidelity of the translesion DNA polymerase increases the chance of mismatched base-pairing and thus, leads to accumulation of point mutations which creates genome heterogeneity.
introduced during translesion DNA repair lead to genome heterogeneity between different cells and, chronologically, within the same cell (Figure 5).

3. “Double-Edged Sword” Role of Phytoagents as Redox Regulators in Cancer Management

3.1. Phytoagents in Cancer Management. Plants produce a remarkably diverse array of secondary metabolites (phytochemicals), many of which have evolved to combat microbial attack, resist environmental stress, or function as signaling molecules in interplant communication [48]. Human civilizations have used botanical preparations for treating and preventing various human diseases throughout history. Today, more than half of the anticancer drugs in clinical use are natural products or their derivatives and many are plant-derived phytochemicals [49, 50]. As cancer remains a major threat to health worldwide, there is global demand for more affordable and effective therapeutic alternatives. Moreover, concerns about drug resistance and the side effects of conventional therapeutic regimens currently used for cancer have renewed interest in phytochemicals derived from dietary foods and traditional medicines [51–55].

The US National Cancer Institute (NCI) has identified more than 1,000 different phytoextracts or phytochemicals that possess cancer-preventive activity [15] and the components responsible for many of the cancer chemopreventive effects of various edible plants have been determined. For example, the cancer preventive effects of allium species (e.g., garlic) and cruciferous vegetables (e.g., broccoli and watercress) are attributed to organosulfur compounds (e.g., diallyl trisulfide) and isothiocyanates (e.g., sulforaphane (SFN) and phenethyl isothiocyanate (PEITC)), respectively [56]. Other naturally occurring phytochemicals found in fruits, vegetables, spices, herbs, beverages, and medicinal plants, such as resveratrol [57], genistein [58], curcumin [59], (−)-epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) [60], and sesquiterpene lactones (e.g., deoxyelephantopin [61–63], artemisinin [64], and parthenolide) [65–67] have been reported to modulate multiple signaling cascades that are known to deregulate cancer cell activities [68]. Interestingly, these representative phytochemicals (Figure 6) exert their anticancer cell effects through modulating ROS activity and oxidative stress in cancer cells by antioxidant, pro-oxidant, or a dual as antioxidant and prooxidant under certain physiological or pathological conditions. The important dual, seemingly oppositional role of phytoagents as redox regulators involved in nucleic acid oxidation in cancer cells, is discussed below.

3.2. Phytoagents as Antioxidants for Cancer Prevention. In general, phytoagents with antioxidant properties are potentially useful in cancer prevention because they can protect healthy cells from oxidative DNA damage through direct radical scavenging, upregulation of antioxidant defense system, metal ion chelation, and/or additional anti-inflammatory activity. The latest developments in the evaluation of the antioxidant effects and related defense systems or molecular mechanisms of phytochemicals, with focus on oxidative DNA damage as a biomarker in cancer prevention, are discussed below.

3.2.1. Major Antioxidant Mechanisms of Action of Phytoagents

(a) Direct ROS Scavenging. Phytoagents can attenuate ROS insults on biomolecules through direct scavenging of ROS. “Scavenging” refers to direct chemical modification of ROS and their stabilization by chemical reduction or electron donation. In this way, the reduced form of a phytoagent molecule is consumed to buffer injurious ROS that might otherwise cause DNA damage. Phytoagents might have different scavenging capacity for different ROS and free radical species. For example, vitamin C and the carotenoids have long polyunsaturated fatty acid chains, while vitamin E, flavonoids, and polyphenols have ring structures. They all share one structural commonality: conjugated systems, characterized by intermittent single bonds and double bonds which together form aligned p orbitals where pi electrons can move freely. The conjugated system can, therefore, donate electrons more easily and thus have high reducing capacity. This property gives these phytoagents ROS buffering capacity that protects important biomolecules from ROS attack.

(b) Attenuation of the Fenton(-Like) Reaction by Direct Metal Ion Chelation. Oxidative damage is one of the main forms of toxicity conferred by transition metal ions. In the Fenton(-like) reaction, the reduced form of a transition metal ion catalyzes the generation of the highly reactive hydroxyl free radical from hydrogen peroxide. Therefore, the more free transition metal ions there are, the more hydroxyl free radical formation occurs by the Fenton(-like) reaction, and the more serious the oxidative damage to biomolecules including DNA. Will be Phytoagents can attenuate Fenton(-like) reaction by reducing the level of transition metal ion. Through direct chelation by phytocompounds containing a catechol or galloyl structure, transition metal ions are sequestered from solution and therefore prevented from participating the Fenton(-like) reaction [69, 70]. This is another indirect way by which phytoagents exert antioxidant effects.

(c) Induction of Antioxidant Response Element-Controlled Genes through Nrf2 Activation. Dietary levels of phytochemicals have been suggested to trigger induction of low levels of oxidative stress that may “prime” cellular antioxidant defense systems to resist higher levels of oxidative insults thus offering protection against carcinogenic insult [60]. These types of phytochemicals might have little antioxidant effect in vitro in terms of ROS scavenging capacity; nonetheless, in some cases, they activate the master transcription factor Nrf2 which governs the expression of a set of antioxidant-related genes. Therefore, through activation of Nrf2 and the subsequent up-regulation of endogenous antioxidant defense, these phytochemicals confer antioxidant effects in an indirect way.

Phytoagents from various structural categories have been shown to activate Nrf2 with varied potency [71]. In general, phytoagents with electrophilic groups that are thiol-reactive induce the most potent Nrf2 activation when compared based on fold of induction of Nrf2-regulated...
(A) Phenolics
(a) Flavonoids
Quercetin
(b) Catechins
Catechin
Epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG)
(c) Curcuminoids
Curcumin
Resveratrol

(B) Terpenes (isoprenoids)
(a) Carotenes
β-carotene
(b) Sesquiterpene lactones
Artemisinin
Parthenolide

(C) Vitamins
Deoxyelephantopin
Tocopherol (Vit. E)
Ascorbic acid (Vit. C)

(D) Organosulfides
(a) Isothiocyanates
Phenethyl isothiocyanate (PEITC)
(b) Sulfides
Diallyl sulfide
Diallyl disulfide
Diallyl trisulfide

**Figure 6:** Representative phytocompounds with redox regulation capability. There are four major types of phytocompounds that can modulate intracellular redox status: (A) phenolics, (B) terpenes, (C) vitamins, and (D) organosulfides. They show free radical scavenging, Nrf2/ARE activation, and/or facilitation of ROS production in cancer cells.

NADPH: quinone reductase [72]. Some phytoagents without electrophilic groups could also induce Nrf2, though to a lesser extent. These types of phytoagents might activate Nrf2 indirectly through modulating signaling pathways whereas thiol-reactive electrophiles can directly modify the redox-sensitive cysteine residues in the Nrf2/Keap1 complex thereby promoting the dissociation of the complex and the nuclear translocation of Nrf2.

(d) *Attenuation of Inflammation through Inactivation.* NF-κB is the master transcription factor that governs the expression of many inflammation-related genes. Notably, the activation
of NF-κB is redox-sensitive. High endogenous ROS level stimulates NF-κB activation, which then leads to a pro-inflammatory response and further exacerbates the intracellular redox status [73–77]. Such a feedback loop mediated by redox-sensitive NF-κB activation often leads to chronic inflammation, one of the hallmarks of cancer. Many phytoagents exhibiting an anti-inflammatory effect have been shown to efficiently suppress NF-κB activation. Suppression of NF-κB can be achieved by either the aforementioned antioxidant actions or through direct chemical modification of NF-κB redox-sensitive cysteine residues by phytoagents with electrophilic groups, such as C=O, N=C=S or organosulfide groups to compromise its ability to translocate to the nucleus and bind DNA.

Recently, in a large cohort study with 356 healthy subjects, dietary intake of vitamins was demonstrated to be associated with reduced levels of markers of DNA damage and oxidation (MldG and 8-oxoG) measured in peripheral white blood cells. Notably, the associations were stronger in nonsmokers than in smokers [89]. It is important to keep in mind that several environmental factors can affect the antioxidant capacity of these vitamins. Environmental factors such as smoking and metal intoxication that causes excessive ROS burden to the body should be avoided, because antioxidant phytoagents can prevent de novo oxidation to nucleic acid but are not able to rescue or reverse oxidized nucleic acid caused by persistent oxidative insults from environmental stimulation. In another study, the protective effects of vitamin C and a natural phenol resveratrol on ethanol-induced oxidative DNA damage in human peripheral lymphocytes were investigated. Resveratrol showed significant DNA protection in a 24 h experiment, while the protective effect of vitamin C was seen in only 1 h. Both compounds were shown to directly scavenge hydroxyl radicals produced during ethanol metabolism. In addition, resveratrol inhibited dehydrogenase gene expression and activated the base excision repair (BER) system, mechanisms which may underlie its substantial effect on DNA protection. Vitamin C, however, showed no effect on the ethanol metabolic pathway or the BER system [90].

The antioxidant properties of vitamins in comparison to whole fruits and vegetables as anticancer agents are also of interest. The effectiveness of kiwifruit in decreasing oxidative DNA damage was assessed using comet assay (single-cell gel electrophoresis) to measure damage to lymphocytes collected from a human trial in which subjects drank kiwifruit juice. It was observed that a simple extract of kiwifruit was more effective than a solution of vitamin C in protecting DNA from damage in vitro [91]. This study demonstrated that the significant antioxidant activity of kiwifruit ex vivo and in vitro is not attributable entirely to vitamin C contained in the fruit. Instead, other components like phenolics and vitamin E may also contribute to the antioxidant effect of kiwi fruit extract [92]. These studies suggest an undetermined role of vitamin C present in fresh fruits, although different vitamin C content present in kiwifruit extract might result in different protective effects.

3.2.2. Antioxidant Effects and Defense Systems of Selected Phytoagents. Vitamins and phenolics (two well-known groups of antioxidants), as well as electrophilic phytocompounds, are used below to exemplify the latest developments in the evaluation of the antioxidant effects and related defense systems of phytocompounds with a focus on oxidative DNA damage as a biomarker in cancer prevention.

(a) Vitamins. The ability of macronutrients and micronutrients present in fruits and vegetables to reduce the risk of cancer is well known. Among these compounds, the antioxidant vitamins and their precursors have been extensively studied [15]. Vitamin C (ascorbic acid), vitamin E, and β-carotene are often referred to as "antioxidant vitamins." Vitamin C cooperates with vitamin E to generate α-tocopherol from α-tocopherol radicals in membranes and lipoproteins. Through working along with other antioxidant enzymes, these antioxidants have been suggested to reduce oxidative damage in humans [78], and thereby minimizing the risk of certain chronic diseases [79–81]. However, early epidemiological studies and clinical trials investigating the efficacy of these vitamins in affecting disease outcome concluded that there was insufficient evidence to link supplementation of humans with vitamin C, vitamin E, or β-carotene with a reduction in in vivo oxidative damage to lipids, proteins, or DNA based on the measurement of oxidative biomarkers [82]. More recent clinical trials also suggest no correlatable effect between individual vitamins and chemoprevention [83, 84]. Further, anticancer properties reported for different vitamins have been discrepant. The history of the most well-known antioxidant, vitamin C, in cancer treatment is controversia while vitamins A and E only showed dispensable effects in tumor elimination [85]. However, the role of vitamin D in cancer treatment and prevention is promising [86, 87]. Interestingly, a large-scale, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in male physicians showed that, compared with placebo, men taking a daily multivitamin had a statistically significant reduction in the incidence of total cancer; however, there was no significant effect on some specific cancer types, such as prostate cancer and colorectal cancer. It was therefore concluded that "daily multivitamin supplementation modestly but significantly reduced the risk of total cancer [88]."

(b) Phenolics. Phenolic compounds are present in high concentrations in many components of the so-called "Mediterranean diet," including fruit and vegetables. These compounds seem to scavenge ROS, resulting in protection against oxidative DNA. This assumption was verified by testing the effect of Mediterranean plant extracts (Crepis vesicularia, Origanum heracleoticum, Scandix australis L, Amaranthus sp., Scylmosus hispanicus L, and Thymus piperella L) on oxidative DNA damage induced in lymphocytes by H2O2 in relation to their polyphenolic content using comet assay [93]. This study revealed that the protection of DNA by phenols present in Mediterranean plants is only partly due to ROS scavenging properties. Phenols can also attenuate Fenton(like) reactions through metal ion chelation and induce endogenous antioxidant defense through Nrf2 activation. Apparently, ROS scavenging only partially contributes to antioxidant
activity of Mediterranean diet-derived phenolics or other phytochemicals. Their protection against oxidative DNA may involve other redox regulation such as upregulation of antioxidant enzymes in cells and attenuation of Fenton(-like) reaction by metal ion chelation.

In the carcinogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), oxidative stress is a major predisposing condition which is relevant to the development and progression of the cancer. In search for a dietary chemopreventive approach for the lethal HCC, pomegranate, an ancient fruit has gained attention owing to its significant antioxidant properties mainly contributed by the anthocyanins and ellagic acid derivatives [94, 95]. Pomegranate emulsion, a proprietary combination contributed by the anthocyanins and ellagic acid derivatives of aqueous phase extract and pomegranate seed oil containing several polyphenolic compounds, mixed with octadecatricenoic acids, sterols, steroids, and α-tocopherol, was found to prevent hepatocarcinogenesis through induction of Nrf2-regulated phase II xenobiotic-metabolizing genes such as several GST isozymes that are involved in antagonizing oxidative stress [96]. A similar Nrf2-mediated antioxidant effect was also observed in HCC rats treated with blackcurrant anthocyanins [97].

Flavonoids are naturally occurring diphenylpropanoids that appear in animal and human cells following consumption of vegetables, fruits, and beverages such as tea and wine. Flavonoids can be classified into six major subgroups: flavonols (e.g., quercetin, kaempferol), flavones (e.g., apigenin, luteolin), flavanones (e.g., hesperidin, naringenin), flavan-3-ols (e.g., catechin, theaflavin, and gallic esters of catechin and theaflavins), anthocyanidins (e.g., pelargonidin, cyanidin), and isoflavones (e.g., genistein, daidzein). Epidemiological studies suggest that dietary intake of flavonoids may reduce the risk of tumors of the breast, colon, lung, prostate, and pancreas. However, the generalizability of these anticancer effects remains a subject of study [98].

(c) Electrophilic Phytochemicals. Electrophilic phytochemicals, such as phenethylisothiocyanate (PEITC), sulforaphane (SFN), turmeric, curcumin, and EGCG, prevent oxidative modification and mutation of genes through activation of the Nrf2/Keap1 complex [45, 99–101]. These phytochemicals modulate Keap1-associated transcriptional regulation which results in up-regulation of ARE-bearing genes encoding phase II detoxifying enzymes and transporters that protect normal cells from ROS, reactive nitrogen species (RNS) or reactive metabolites of carcinogenic species [71]. Such responses are thought to represent a form of cellular adaptation to chemicals and oxidative stress that maintains cellular redox homeostasis [15, 99]. Therefore, the use of dietary phytochemicals to regulate Nrf2-dependent antioxidant response to counter oxidative DNA damage has emerged as a promising strategy for cancer prevention.

Hormonal factors, especially 17ß-estradiol (E2), play a major role in the etiology of breast cancer where the circulating levels of E2 itself are an independent risk factor. E2 can cause both oxidative DNA damage and attenuate DNA repair leading to oncogenic mutagenesis [102]. In the liver, the metabolism of E2 to its various phase I metabolites, such as the carcinogenic 4-hydroxy estradiol (4E2), primarily involves the cytochrome P450 enzymes CYP1A2 and CYP3A4 [103]. Dietary berries and their chemical constituents are known for their cancer preventive potential, which were recently shown to affect the enzymes involved in carcinogen metabolism in mouse liver [104] and significantly reduced hepatic oxidative DNA damage, indicated by the level of 8-oxoG and other polar adducts validated by P32-postlabeling experiments. Compared to crude berry juices, ellagic acid, one of the bioactive components found in berries, showed more elimination of oxidative DNA adducts induced by redox cycling of 4E2 catalyzed by copper chloride in vitro [105].

3.3. Phytochemicals as Prooxidative Agents for Cancer Therapy. Prooxidant phytoagents, on the other hand, are particularly effective in treating aggressive tumors with abnormally radical-reactive cellular environments. They act by tipping the limit of oxidative stress that can be tolerated by tumor cells over a limit, thus triggering apoptosis and cell death [106]. Although pro-oxidant effects are observed after treatment with certain phytoagents, generally, phytoagents do not produce ROS directly. Instead, their prooxidant effect is highly dependent on the original redox status of the cell which determines sensitivity to cytotoxicity mediated by phytoagents. The basal redox levels of cancer cells are different from those of normal cells. Higher levels of free form metal ions and higher levels of endogenous ROS production in cancer cells sensitizes them to phytoagent-mediated prooxidant cytotoxicity [30, 107, 108]. In this section, we elaborate on how phytoagents act as prooxidants to selectively kill cancer cells and their effects in cancer chemotherapy.

3.3.1. Major Prooxidant Mechanisms of Action of Phytoagents

(a) Promotion of Fenton(-Like) Reactions by Catalyzing Redox-Cycling of Metal Ions. Phytoagents with strong reducing capacity can reduce not only ROS but also metal ions. Under normal physiological conditions, most metal ions are complexed with proteins and few exist in free form. However, in the presence of abundant free form metal ions, phytoagents catalyze Fenton(-like) reactions that produce injurious hydroxyl radicals [29, 109]. Notably, cancer cells develop abnormally high concentrations of metal ions due to overexpression of the transferrin receptor [110, 111]. When excessive concentrations of free form metal ions exist, classical antioxidant phytoagents catalyze the redox cycling of metal ions by reducing their oxidized form. As a result, a burst of hydroxyl free radical production ensues and the phytoagents become pro-oxidants.

(b) Basal ROS Generation through Glutathione Depletion by Electrophiles. Phytoagents with electrophilic groups can form covalent bonds with cysteine residues of proteins. Glutathione, the most abundant cysteine-containing peptide, thus can be rapidly depleted due to adduct formation with electrophilic phytoagents [112–115]. Upon glutathione depletion, the buffering capacity of ROS is attenuated so that the basal ROS production is revealed. Therefore, electrophilic
Phytoagents exhibit pronounced pro-oxidant effect in cancer cells with high ROS production and push cancer cells over the tolerable limit of ROS. In contrast, the same dosage of phytoagents produces a negligible pro-oxidant effect in normal cells with low basal ROS production and boosts antioxidant response by Nrf2 activation [71, 100, 116–121].

3.3.2. Prooxidant Effects and Defense Systems of Selected Phytoagents. ROS and cellular oxidative stress have long been associated with cancer [122]. Hypoxic condition, that is, low ambient oxygen pressure, is well described in cancer cells, particularly in the central area of the tumor nodule or mass [123]. These cancer cells act more like anaerobic bacteria, showing low levels of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, and generally survive through the generation of ATP in an oxygen-independent manner [124]. Many conventional anticancer drugs including vinblastine, doxorubicin, camptothecin, cisplatin, and inostamycin have been reported to activate a caspase-3(-like) protease causing generation of H$_2$O$_2$ presumably through the activation of NADPH oxidase that subsequently induces apoptosis in cancer cells [125]. Intriguingly, cancer cells are frequently deficient in crucial antioxidative enzymes, such as catalase, GPx, and SOD, and therefore demonstrate a high vulnerability to ROS. One antitumor strategy is to deliver excessive oxidative stress into tumor cells or to target the disruption of the antioxidative defense systems of tumor cells. This strategy has been termed “oxidation therapy” in cancer treatment [126]. Several studies have reported that certain dietary anticancer/cancer preventive agents cause generation of ROS specifically in tumor cells, not in normal cells [56, 127, 128]. Through adaptation, normal cells that are exposed to pro-oxidant chemopreventive agents which generate oxidative stress can acquire resistance to transformation via adjusting the normal redox tone of these cells. In contrast, transformed cells, which typically endure an oxidizing intracellular environment, would ultimately succumb due to an excess of ROS caused by the same agent. ROS and cellular redox tone are exploitable targets in cancer chemoprevention via the stimulation of cytoprotection in normal cells and/or the induction of apoptosis in malignant cells [129]. Dietary intake of such chemopreventive agents could be a prefect strategy to achieve this purpose.

(a) Sulfur-Containing Compounds. Diallyl disulfide (DADS) and diallyltriisulfide (DATS) which are found in abundance in garlic are among the dietary factors studied extensively for their anticancer action involving induction of oxidative stress in the human body, as reviewed elsewhere [130]. The pro-oxidant and thiol-adducting activities of these electrophilic organosulfur compounds are attributed to their reactive isothiocyanate (R–N=C=S) pharmacophore. Dietary isothiocyanates include sulforaphane, phenethyl isothiocyanate (PEITC), benzyl-isothiocyanate, and 6-methylsulfinlyhexyl-isothiocyanate (Figure 6). Originally, copper-mediated oxidative DNA damage induced by these isothiocyanates was considered to be carcinogenic [131]; however, later studies demonstrated that these phytochemicals exhibit preferential cytostaticity in premalignant and tumor cells via their pleiotropic pro-oxidant activities as reviewed elsewhere [106].

(b) Curcumin. Curcumin (diferuloylmethane) from turmeric, like isothiocyanates, is a pleiotropic redox modulator that is involved in multiple cellular activities as a pro/antioxidant and metal chelator, as recently reviewed [59]. Curcumin, which contains an electrophilic Michael acceptor as an active moiety, can also mediate strand scission of DNA in the presence of Cu(II) [132]. The compelling anticancer activities of curcumin have been widely demonstrated across different cancer cell lines and animal systems, as a function of above-mentioned reactive pharmacophores targeting various cellular molecules. Currently, the cancer preventive/therapeutic potential of curcumin, as single or combinatorial agent, is under evaluation in various clinical trials, including multiple myeloma, rectal cancer, metastatic colon cancer, advanced osteosarcoma, and pancreatic cancer [59].

(c) Sesquiterpene Lactones. The sesquiterpene lactones (SLs) have also gained considerable attention for their effectiveness in treating inflammation, headaches, infections, and other human diseases. SLs contain Michael acceptors that act as electrophiles that can increase cellular ROS and modulate specific redox sensitive targets in cancer cells. Artemisinin and parthenolide (Figure 6) are SL-derived drugs now being evaluated in cancer clinical trials [133–138]. Artemisinin, isolated from Artemisia annua (qinhao, sweet wormwood), possesses an endoperoxide bridge in the reactive pharmacophore that can be activated and cleaved by endogenous ions, leading to the generation of radical species and ROS through the Fenton reaction, which was observed to be a common mechanism underlying both the antimalarial and anticancer activities of the compound [139]. Parthenolide, identified from feverfew (Tanacetum parthenium), contains an electrophilic α-methylene-γ-lactone as the active moiety underlying its anticancer activity related to the Michael acceptor electrophile [66, 67]. Phytochemicals with prooxidant properties such as the SLs with Michael acceptor electrophiles have the potential to sensitize tumors in cancer treatment. For example, concurrent delivery of the SL parthenolide and the clinical drug paclitaxel in mixed micelles greatly improved the therapeutic response of resistant lung cancer cell lines to paclitaxel treatment [140]. In a mouse peritoneal dissemination model, parthenolide also improved the chemosensitivity of paclitaxel against gastric cancer through deregulation of the NF-κB signalling pathway [141]. Nevertheless, parthenolide and dehydrocostus lactone can also suppress cancer cell activity through downregulating other molecular targets, such as mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and protein kinase C, and induction of c-Jun-N-terminal kinase (JNK) [142].

In our laboratory, we identified a germacranoilide SL deoxyelephantopin (DET) from a medicinal plant Elephantopus scaber (Asteraceae) which contains an α-methylene-γ-lactone, an α,β-unsaturated lactone and a methacrylate ester side chain [62]. DET could induce ROS in breast cancer cells which became the upstream stimulus for the formation of centrosomal ubiquitinated protein aggregates and the
induction of protein carbonylation that might subsequently restrict cancer cell motility [63]. DET was also observed to activate ER stress- and JNK pathway-mediated apoptosis in mammary carcinoma cells triggered by ROS [62]. However, it is not yet clear whether DET caused oxidative DNA damage through the involvement of transition metals. Illustration that the anticancer activity of DET, the same as artemisinin, is through its role as a pro-oxidant suggests that pro-oxidant intervention using SLs may constitute a promising anticancer strategy.

3.4. Cancer-Associated Transition Metals in Phytochemical-Mediated Redox Regulation. Several essential transition metals, such as zinc, iron, copper, cobalt, and manganese, are known to regulate various metabolic and signaling pathways. For example, iron is an essential element in oxygen transportation [143] while copper is an essential component of several antioxidant enzymes [144]. In cancer cells, high metal ion concentration is one factor that contributes to the observed high base level of oxidative stress, which raises the possibility of killing cancer cells by dosing with metal supplements [145]. However, the prooxidant effect of metal ions is also known to initiate carcinogenesis [30], which raises concerns about applying metal supplementation as a therapeutic strategy against cancer. However, some studies indicated that cancer cells are prone to proliferate in environments with high levels of copper and iron and therefore suggested that these ions maybe functionally involved in carcinogenesis [146, 147]. In a national cohort of the United States adults, serum concentrations of iron and copper were shown to correlate with mortality rate in cancer patients [148]. Due to the significant role of these metal ions in cancer epidemiology, their levels in different cancers were reviewed by Gupta and Mumper [145]. In comparison to normal individuals, the Cu (Zn, Se, Fe) ratios are usually higher in patients suffering from breast [149], cervical [150], ovarian [150], lung [151], prostate [152], bladder [153], and stomach cancer [154], and leukemia [155]. Increased levels of copper have also recently been correlated with poor survival in breast cancer patients [156]. The major metal ion contained in chromatin, copper is closely associated with the DNA bases, especially guanine [157]. As one of the redox active metals, copper can directly catalyze the formation of ROS via the Fenton reaction and cause oxidative stress in the cells [158]. The intracellular level of transition metal ions can determine whether phytoantioxidants act as cytoprotective antioxidants or cytotoxic prooxidants. Figure 7 summarizes the current understanding of the interplay between phytoagents and transition metal ions and the antioxidant/pro-oxidant role switch of phytoagents in response to the level of metal ions. The level of transition metal ions determines whether a phytoagent ultimately functions as cytoprotective antioxidant or cytotoxic pro-oxidant. Under normal level of transition metal ions, phytoantioxidants serve as radical scavengers and Nrf2/ARE activators that confer a cytoprotective effect that can be applied in chemoprevention. When the level of intracellular transition metal ion is high, such as in cancer cells, phytoagents recycle the metal ions and thus facilitate ROS production through the Fenton or Fenton-like reactions. Otherwise, metal ions catalyze the cleavage of phytoagents and generate radical cleavage products that can cause ROS. Such a prooxidant effect further drives the redox-sensitive cancer cells to their antioxidant limit and leads to cytotoxicity that can be applied as a chemotherapeutic strategy. On the other hand, metal-chelating phytoagents reduce metal ion levels and thus block the ROS producing Fenton(-like) reaction and provide a cytoprotective effect.

3.4.1. Ion Chelation by Phytoagents. Increasing numbers of studies are evaluating the antioxidant properties of phytochemicals through assessment of their ability to chelate metal ions that lead to attenuated reactivity of free radicals. Water extracts of pine needles inhibited oxidative DNA damage probably due to their strong hydroxyl radical and intracellular ROS scavenging activity and the chelating action of the iron (Fe2+) ion [159]. Antioxidant activity was reported for lunasin, a novel preventive peptide purified from Solanum nigrum L., which is also found in soy, barley, and wheat. The peptide did not scavenge endogenous hydroxyl radicals but inhibited the Fenton reaction by chelating iron ions, thus protecting DNA from oxidative damage [160]. The antioxidant properties of phenolic compounds are clear; however, the contribution of metal ion chelation to the antioxidative effect of these compounds is not yet conclusive. One study showed that the orthodihydroxy polyphenol compounds, phytochemicals in pine needle extracts, or by the peptide lunasin, which subsequently inhibited DNA oxidation, may deserve further exploration for their potential in cancer prevention.

The reactivity of metal ions can be attenuated indirectly through inhibition of their transportation. Dihydroartemisinin was reported to decrease iron uptake and disturb iron homeostasis in cancer cells through down regulating cell-surface transferrin receptor-1, which may be a novel mechanism of dihydroartemisinin independent of oxidative damage that has been previously mentioned as anticancer property of artemisinin [163]. The disturbance of iron homeostasis in cancer cells via iron depletion by natural or synthetic iron chelators has recently been shown to inhibit tumor growth by therapeutically manipulating iron level [164]. The effect of phytochemicals in regulating reactive iron metabolism in tumor cells is worth further exploration.

It is interesting to note that a prokaryotic glutathione analog, namely, ergothioneine, can protect cells from oxidative damage as measured by 4-HNE and partially rescue cell death caused by irradiation [165]. Another report showed that ergothioneine forms a chelation complex with copper and therefore protects cells from copper-induced DNA damage [166].
3.4.2. Transition Metal-Mediated Prooxidant Properties of Phytochemicals in Anticancer Activity. Under certain conditions, antioxidants can act as prooxidants [167]. Caffeic acid produces hydrogen peroxide which is activated by transition metals to cause oxidative DNA damage in vitro and in cultured human cells in the presence of Mn(II) or Cu(II) [168]. In another study using DNA fragments isolated from the human p53 gene, quercetin increased 8-oxoG levels significantly in the presence of copper ions (Cu^{2+}), whereas 8-oxoG formation by kaempferol or luteolin was insignificant [169]. These early studies raised concern about whether ingestion of these phytochemicals may lead to increased risk of cancer. Lately, rats treated with 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene (DMBA) have become a widely used model for mammary carcinogenesis and in recent study, dietary supplementation with copper alone or together with the grape polyphenol resveratrol was found to promote carcinogenesis through increased frequency of microsatellite instability [170]. Later, a similar result was observed in the DMBA-model treated with combined supplementation with zinc ions and resveratrol [171]. However, a different mechanism was reported for resveratrol action in another cancer model with different stage of carcinogenesis. Resveratrol and its derivatives increase copper-mediated oxidative DNA damage by their pro-oxidant properties coupled with higher apoptosis induction in human leukemia cell lines [172].

The well-known antioxidant vitamin C, for example, was also found to act as a pro-oxidant in vitro when mixed with transition metal ions [173]. In healthy humans, Rehman and colleagues observed an increased level of oxidative DNA damage after 6-week supplementation of a mixture of ferrous sulphate and vitamin C, suggesting that this combination acts as a pro-oxidant; however, a longer period of supplementation by 12 weeks did not show significant effect [174]. Intriguingly, catalytic therapy that involves hydroxyl radical induction through a redox active mixture of vitamin C/medicinal herbal extracts and copper has been employed to improve the treatment of cancer patients [175, 176]. The Bhat group that established a model that involves human peripheral lymphocytes and comet assay carried out a series of studies on plant-derived polyphenolic antioxidants and proved that the mechanism is not restricted to vitamin C [177–179]. The most recent finding from the group is that the polyphenolic compound gossypol from the cotton plant and its derivative apogossypolone also cause oxidative damage to DNA by mobilizing endogenous copper in lymphocytes [180]. Although the reported mechanism was mainly the result obtained from lymphocytes; nevertheless, it could
imply the anticancer property of polyphenols based on the abundant copper detected in different types of tumors [145, 153]. The enhanced electron transfer between transition metals and phytochemicals probably occurs in cancer cells with higher levels of copper ions, which may induce ROS generation subsequently leading to DNA damage [178, 180].

However, the mixture of a polyphenol and a transition metal was shown to promote tumor growth in mice with carcinogen induction that mimics the process of cancer initiation [170, 171]. These studies raise concerns about the potential carcinogenic activities of phytoagents. It is not clear whether the mixture of antioxidant phytochemical and transition metal resembles the oxidative stress that could possibly initiate tumorigenesis in normal cells, but that such a prooxidant effect drives the redox-sensitive cancer cells to their antioxidant limit and leads to cytotoxicity that has been applied in catalytic therapy. More studies are required to clarify the interaction of phytoagents and redox active metals as their oxidative potential may initiate tumors in a healthy individual.

4. Future Prospects

This review provides updated and integrative information about the regulation of nucleic acid oxidation by phytoagents in cancer. Animal models and human epidemiological studies have revealed that phytochemicals prevent carcinogenesis through direct ROS scavenging or induction of cellular antioxidant defense systems that consist of detoxifying enzymes, defense machinery mediated by Nrf2-antioxidative stress, and inhibiting inflammatory signaling pathways that together protect cells from DNA damage by ROS and reactive metabolites of carcinogens [42, 57, 58] (Figure 8). Investigation of oxidative modulation of proteins and lipids as well as DNA by phytochemicals provides a comprehensive picture of their functions as redox regulators in cancer. In general, antioxidant phytoagents are potentially useful in cancer prevention because they can protect healthy cells from oxidative DNA damage through radical scavenging, antioxidant defense system stimulation, and metal ion chelation; prooxidant phytoagents, on the other hand, are particularly effective in treating aggressive tumors with abnormally...
radical-reactive cellular environments by exceeding the limit of oxidative stress that can be tolerated by tumor cells. Cancer cells, in general, have a higher basal redox level due to either defects in antioxidant defense or increased production of ROS during oncogenic transformation [122, 126]. Therefore, when challenged with similar quantities of ROS, cancer cells fail to buffer/clear excessive ROS and cell death ensues. In contrast, normal cells with lower redox levels are capable of buffering/clearing ROS by inducible antioxidant defense regulated by Nrf2/ARE signaling and are thus protected from cell death. Recently, dietary levels of phytochemicals have been suggested to trigger induction of low levels of oxidative stress that may “prime” cellular antioxidant defense systems to resist higher level of oxidative insults, thus offering greater protection against carcinogenic insult [60].

However, several studies have also hinted at a “dark” side of these cell-protective mechanisms. For example, the cytotoxicity of the anticancer drug platinum was attenuated by base excision repair of ROS-induced formation of 8-oxoG, indicating that repairing genotoxic damage could contribute to multidrug resistance of cancer cells [181]. Restoration of glutathione level by overexpression of γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase was found to prevent DNA damage and subsequent apoptosis caused by genotoxic agents in a resistant human ovarian carcinoma cell line [182]. Overexpression of catalase was found to cause drug resistance in breast cancer cell lines in chemotherapy [183]. These findings imply that alteration of the expression of antioxidant enzymes could be a mechanism through which cancer-cell resistance to redox-based chemotherapeutic agents is promoted. On the other hand, several phytochemicals have been indicated to upregulate the Nrf2 pathway which stimulates the defense system and leads to cancer prevention. However, overexpression of Nrf2 and its downstream genes was also observed in several cancer cell lines and human tumors, rendering cancer cells at an advantage for survival and unlimited proliferation. In addition, increased Nrf2 activity was found in some resistant cancer cells; in other words, to overcome chemoresistance in tumors, the Nrf2 pathway has to be deregulated [184]. Therefore, sophisticated design is necessary and caution has to be taken when administrating and handling Nrf2-dependent (as discussed above) phytochemicals in cancer patients, given that transformed cancer cells that are “overprotected” by antioxidants could possibly develop drug resistance.

Nrf2 is one of the key players in phytoagent-mediated antioxidant defense whose activation confers a chemopreventive effect. However, recent studies indicate that Nrf2 itself also plays a double-edged-sword role in cancer management [185]. On one hand, Nrf2 orchestrates gene expression that protects cells from oxidative damage and detoxifies xenobiotics; on the other hand, the same effects confer chemoresistance to cancer cells. It is important to discern when and how to manipulate Nrf2 and so we can make use of its advantages while minimizing potential disadvantages. The major negative sides of Nrf2 activation include promoting bioactivation of xenobiotics whose glucuronide conjugation form is genotoxic and forms adducts with DNA [186–188], neutralizing the chemotherapeutic effects in which oxidative stress plays a significant role in mediating cytotoxicity to cancer cells, and facilitating drug excretion from cell through increasing the expression levels of multidrug resistant pumps. Thereby, to minimize potential disadvantages, the use of phytoagents as Nrf2 activators for chemoprevention should carefully avoid coadministration of drugs that are bioactivated by Nrf2-regulated phase II enzyme processing. On the other hand, for pro-oxidant cancer chemotherapy, Nrf2 activation is deemed as a side-effect and should be suppressed by coadministration of Nrf2 inhibitor [185]. Still, more future studies are required to confirm these points and thus provide a more accurate prediction, and therefore application, of phytoagents in cancer management.

For phytochemicals that function as both antioxidants and prooxidants, further characterization of the factors that determine the transition from antioxidative to prooxidative effects in the biosystem is essential. One contributing factor is the presence of transition metals. In addition, the doses of phytochemicals used in each treatment at different times may be crucial. In this regard, we propose some considerations on context-dependent, dual function of phytoagents that may help to understand and to predict the chemotherapeutic role of phytoagents. By comparing normal and cancer-bearing individuals, we know that the oxidative DNA marker 8-oxoG correlates well with basal redox level [8, 189]. Cancer cells with higher basal redox level demonstrated elevated levels of 8-oxoG, whereas normal cells had lower levels of basal redox level and 8-oxoG. The overexpression of transferrin receptor in cancer cells increased intracellular level of ferrous ions and, presumably, increased the rate of the Fenton reaction. It can be assumed that high levels of ferrous ions in cancer cells switch the functions of phyto-antioxidants to those of pro-oxidants resulting in further elevation of ROS level in cancer cells but not in normal cells, and the selective killing of cancer cells. More studies are required to determine the concentration threshold of metal ions that switch phytoagents to their prooxidant roles, so that potential chemotherapeutic applications can be better characterized. In summary, two main points form the base of the concept of the context-dependent dual role of phytoagents. One is the level of intracellular level of transition metal ions and the other is the basal redox level. The higher the two, the more likely the agent to produce a pro-oxidant effect, whereas the lower the two, the more likely the agent to produce an antioxidant effect.

Continued rigorous research to identify molecular targets and conduct human studies with bioactive phytochemicals are important to provide potential alternatives or novel approaches for plant-based cancer prevention or therapy. It is likely that the anticancer properties of phytochemicals result from modulation of a number of molecular mechanisms that regulate different stages of carcinogenesis. In this regard, increased antioxidant strength may be important prior to dysregulation of signaling pathways during tumorigenesis, whereas prooxidant cytotoxicity may be critical in eliminating transformed tumor cells that exhibit dysregulated redox balance and metal ion absorption. In conclusion, careful dose-response and stage-dependent studies that compare enhancement of antioxidant capacity and induction of oxidative stress by phytochemicals are essential to clarify when
and to what extent these phytoagents can be used in cancer prevention or therapy.
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