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Hepatoprotective Effects of *Morchella esculenta* against Alcohol-Induced Acute Liver Injury in the C57BL/6 Mouse Related to Nrf-2 and NF-κB Signaling
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This study investigated the hepatoprotective effects of *Morchella esculenta* fruit body (ME) and the underlying mechanisms in mice with alcohol-induced acute liver injury. Systematic analysis revealed that ME contained 21 types of fatty acid, 17 types of amino acid, and 12 types of mineral. Subsequently, a mouse model of acute alcohol-induced liver injury was established by oral administration of alcohol for 14 days. Fourteen-day administration of ME prevented alcohol-induced increases in alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase levels and reduced the activity of acetaldehyde dehydrogenase in blood serum and liver tissue. ME appears to regulate lipid metabolism by suppressing triglycerides, total cholesterol, and high-density lipoprotein in the liver. ME inhibited the production of inflammatory factors including chitinase-3-like protein 1 (YKL 40), interleukin-7 (IL-7), plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1 (PAI-1), and retinol-binding protein 4 (RBP4) in blood serum and/or liver tissue. ME treatment relieved the alcohol-induced imbalance in prooxidative and antioxidative signaling via nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf-2), as indicated by upregulation of superoxide dismutase-1, superoxide dismutase-2, catalase, heme oxygenase-1, and heme oxygenase-2 expression and downregulation of kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap-1) in the liver. Moreover, ME reduced the levels of phosphorylated nuclear factor kappa-B kinase α/β, inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa-B α and nuclear factor kappa-B p65 (NF-κB p65) in the liver. The hepatoprotective effects of ME against alcohol-induced acute liver injury were thus confirmed. The mechanism of action may be related to modulation of antioxidative and anti-inflammatory signaling pathways, partially via regulation of Nrf-2 and NF-κB signaling.

1. Introduction

Alcoholic liver disease caused by alcohol abuse is a risk factor for chronic liver disease, leading to significant mortality worldwide [1]. Most patients are initially diagnosed with alcoholic fatty liver (AFL), which then develops further into alcoholic steatohepatitis (ASH). Chronic ASH eventually leads to liver fibrosis and cirrhosis and, in some cases, even acute hepatic failure (AHF) or death [2].

Much of the damage inflicted by alcohol abuse occurs in the liver. Approximately 90% of alcohol is oxidized in the liver, with only a small proportion being metabolized by alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and acetaldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) to form carbon dioxide and water for excretion [3]. Large amounts of alcohol can induce high activity of cytochrome P450 enzymes in the liver, leading to the overaccumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), resulting in lipid peroxidation [4]. Acetaldehyde, an intermediate of
alcohol metabolism, is converted into superoxide and oxygen free radicals by xanthine oxidase, which destroys the structure and function of hepatocytes [5, 6]. During this process, oxidative stress-related liver damage occurs rapidly.

Nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor-2 (Nrf-2), one of the key intracellular antioxidant factors, regulates oxidative stress [7]. Nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB), a transcription factor, is a crucial regulator of inflammation, immunity, and cell survival [8]. During ROS-mediated oxidative stress, activated NF-κB is responsible for the transcription of various genes that mediate inflammatory cell recruitment, triggering a series of inflammatory reactions [9]. Promoting the activation of Nrf-2 signaling can regulate the redox balance in the body, inhibiting the activation of NF-κB signaling and alleviating the oxidative stress-mediated inflammatory response.

Naltrexone, an opioid receptor antagonist commonly used for alcohol abuse treatment, has been shown to reduce hepato-cellular damage in fibrotic animal models [10]; however, adverse effects, including anxiety, irritability, abdominal pain, nausea, and/or vomiting, have been reported [11]. Some edible cellular damage in for alcohol abuse treatment, has been shown to reduce hepato-

2.1. M. esculenta Composition Analysis. The M. esculenta fruit bodies (ME) were collected from Dali, Yunnan, China, from April to June 2017. ME was dried at 60°C, crushed with a pulverizer, sieved through a 60-mesh sieve, and stored in a dryer for subsequent experiments.

2.1.1. Main Component Analysis. The main components of ME including total sugar, reducing sugar, protein, total ash, crude fat, total flavonoids, total triterpenes, mannitol, and crude fiber were determined by the phenol sulfuric acid method [19], 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) reducing sugar assay [20], Kjeldahl method [21], combustion method [22], Soxhlet extraction [23], UV spectrophotometric detection, vanillin-glacial acetic acid and perchloric acid colorimetric method [24], high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [25], and acid-base treatment, respectively.

2.1.2. Fatty Acid Analysis. A 5% KOH-methanol solution was added to the ME powder, placed in a 60°C water bath for 30 min, and then mixed with 14% BF3-methanol solution at 60°C for 3 min. The samples were then mixed with hexane, and the levels of fatty acids were analyzed using a gas chromatography-mass spectrometer (QP2010, Shimadzu, Japan).

2.1.3. Amino Acid Analysis. The ME was hydrolyzed using 6 mol/L HCl at 110°C ± 1°C for 22 h. After vacuum drying, the sample was dissolved in 1 mL of a pH 2.2 buffer. The amino acid content was quantified using an automatic amino acid analyzer (L-8900, Hitachi, Japan).

2.1.4. Mineral Analysis. The ME was pretreated with hydrogen nitrate at a temperature of 110°C and an atmospheric pressure of 30 atm for 30 min. The levels of mercury (Hg), lead (Pb), selenium (Se), arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), chromium (Cr), calcium (Ca), copper (Cu), sodium (Na), and potassium (K) were detected by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry [26].

2.2. Animal Models and Treatment. Sixty healthy male C57BL/6 mice (8 weeks old, 18–22 g) (SCXK (LIAO) 2015-0001) were purchased from Liaoning Changsheng Biotechnology Co. Ltd. (Liaoning, China). Animal care and experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of Jilin University (20170106). The mice were acclimatized for 7 days before the experiments under a controlled environment at a temperature of 23°C ± 1°C with 50% ± 10% humidity and a 12 h light-dark cycle and ad libitum access to water and food.

The methodology for establishing the mice model with alcohol-induced acute liver injury was modified according to previous studies [27, 28]. The mice were randomly divided into six groups (n = 10/group). Ten control mice were administered 0.9% physiological saline intragastrically twice per day. The other 50 mice were administered alcohol intragastrically at a dose of 13 g/kg (Beijing Shunxin Agricultural Co. Ltd., China) at 09:00 once per day for 14 days. On the same days, at 16:00, the alcohol only treated mice (the model group) were administered physiological saline intragastrically at a dose of 0.1 mL/10 g. The positive control mice were administered silybin (Sil), a clinical used liver protectant, which can reduce oxidative stress in patients with alcoholic liver disease and prevent the lipid peroxidation [29], intragastrically at a dose of 80 mg/kg (Tianjin Tasy Sants Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., China). The ME-treated mice were administered ME orally at a dose of 200 mg/kg, 400 mg/kg, or 800 mg/kg, respectively. The ME was pulverized using an ultrafine grinder (XDW-6A, Jinan Tatsu Micro Machinery Co. Ltd., Jinan, China) and suspended in physiological saline. Before administration, the mixture was shaken. During the experimental period, the mice were weighed daily. Following the last administration,
all mice were fasted overnight, and blood samples were collected from the caudal vein of each mouse. All mice were then euthanized by CO\textsubscript{2} inhalation, and their livers, kidneys, and spleens were removed for further analysis.

2.3. Biochemical Parameter Assays. The collected liver and spleen samples from experimental mice were homogenized in physiological saline on ice using a hand-held homogenizer (LEOPARD Scientific Instruments Co. Ltd., Beijing, China), and then the supernatant was taken by centrifugation at 3500 rpm for 10 minutes. The levels of gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) (MM-43976M), 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) (MM-02211M) (jiangsu FEIYA Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Jiangsu, China), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (CK-E9036M), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (CK-E90314M), aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) (CK-E92649M), chitinase-3-like protein 1 (YKL40) (CK-E95772M), interleukin-7 (IL-7) (CK-E20125M), plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1 (PAI-1) (CK-E93562M), and retinol-binding protein 4 (RPB4) (CK-E20170M) in the serum and liver; the levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (CK-E92636M), and catalase (CAT) (MDA) (CK-E20347M), nitric oxide (NO) (CK-E20293M), horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 4h at 4°C. The target proteins were visualized using Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (WBKLS0500, Millipore, Germany) and a gel imaging system (UVP, USA). Images were quantified with ImageJ analysis software version 1.46 (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

2.6. Statistical Analysis. All data were expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M.). Differences were determined by one-way analysis of variance followed by post hoc multiple comparisons (Holm-Sidak test) using SPSS 23.0 software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, USA). Statistical significance was declared for p values under 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Composition of ME. Table 1 shows the details of the components of the ME. It was found to contain 8.1% total sugar, 2.8% reducing sugar, 34.8% protein, 8.0% total ash, 9.0% crude fat, 1.3% total tripterpenes, 1.8% mannitol, 0.4% crude fiber, and 0.5% total flavonoids. Twenty-one fatty acids and 17 amino acids were detected, with the content of aspartic acid, glutamic acid, and arginine being higher than that of other amino acids. Thirteen minerals were detected, with the levels of Hg, Pb, As, and Cd falling below the detection limit.

3.2. The Hepatoprotective Effects and Regulation of Lipid Metabolism by ME in Mice with Alcohol-Induced Acute Liver Injury. The reduction in body weight seen in the mice treated with alcohol was strongly prevented by ME but not by Sil (p < 0.05, Table 1S). ME-only treatment had no effects on the body weight of healthy mice (Fig. 1S A).

The serum and liver tissue levels of AST, ALT, and GGT, which are important indexes of injury, were increased by alcohol treatment, and ME treatment prevented these increases (p < 0.05, Table 2). Sil reduced the levels of GGT in the serum and liver (p < 0.05, Table 2). ME alone had no effect on the serum levels of AST (Fig. 1S B) and ALT (Fig. 1S C).

In alcohol metabolism, ALDH helps to convert harmful acetaldehyde into harmless acetic acid [30]. Both ME and Sil prevented the decline in levels of ALDH in the serum and liver of acute alcohol-exposed mice (p < 0.05, Table 2). ME alone had no effect on the serum levels of ALDH (Fig. 1S D).
Table 1: Composition of *Morchella esculenta* (ME).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main components</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sugar (%)</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>Reducing sugar (g/100 g)</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>Protein (g/100 g)</td>
<td>34.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ash (%)</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>Crude fat (g/100 g)</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>Total flavonoids (mg/kg)</td>
<td>53.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total triterpenes (g/100 g)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>Mannitol (g/100 g)</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>Crude fiber (%)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fatty acid (g/100 g)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capric acid (C10:0)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Stearic acid (C18:0)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Arachidonic acid (C20:4n6)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecanoic acid (C11:0)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Oleic acid (C18:1n9)</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>Eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5n3)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauric acid (C12:0)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Elaidic acid (C18:1n9t)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Heneicosanoic acid (C21:0)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tridecanoic acid (C13:0)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Linoleic acid (C18:2n6c)</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>Docosanoic acid (C22:0)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristic acid (C14:0)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Translinoleic acid (C18:2n6t)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Erucic acid (C22:1n9)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristoleic acid (C14:1n5)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>α-Linolenic acid (C18:3n3)</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>cis-13,16-Docosadienoic acid methyl ester (C22:2)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentadecanoic acid (C15:0)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>γ-Linolenic acid (C18:3n6)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>Docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6n3)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentadecenoic acid (C15:1n5)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Arachidic acid (C20:0)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>Tricosanoic acid (C23:0)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexadecanoic acid (C16:0)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>Palmitic acid (C16:0)</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>Tetracosanoic acid (C24:0)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitoleic acid (C16:1n7)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>Eicosadienoic acid (C20:2)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>Nervonic acid (C24:1n9)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptadecanoic acid (C17:0)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Eicosatrienoic acid (C20:3n3)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>Octanoic acid (C8:0)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptadecenoic acid (C17:1n7)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Dihomo-gamma-linolenic acid (C20:3n6)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amino acid (g/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartic acid (Asp)</td>
<td>101.33</td>
<td>Cystine (Cys)</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>Phenylalanine (Phe)</td>
<td>8.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Threonine (Thr)</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>Valine (Val)</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>Lysine (Lys)</td>
<td>11.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serine (Ser)</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>Methionine (Met)</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>Histidine (His)</td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic acid (Glu)</td>
<td>55.98</td>
<td>Isoleucine (Iso)</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>Arginine (Arg)</td>
<td>16.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine (Gly)</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>Leucine (Leu)</td>
<td>14.79</td>
<td>Proline (Pro)</td>
<td>9.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine (Ala)</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>Tyrosine (Tyr)</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minerals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury (Hg)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)</td>
<td>33.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (Pb) (μg/kg)</td>
<td>24.04</td>
<td>Iron (Fe) (mg/kg)</td>
<td>1019</td>
<td>Sodium (Na) (mg/kg)</td>
<td>308.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium (Se) (μg/kg)</td>
<td>159.8</td>
<td>Manganese (Mn) (μg/kg)</td>
<td>27010</td>
<td>Potassium (K) (μg/kg)</td>
<td>24240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (As) (μg/kg)</td>
<td>61.33</td>
<td>Chromium (Cr) (μg/kg)</td>
<td>3616</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium (Cd) (μg/kg)</td>
<td>267.4</td>
<td>Calcium (Ca) (mg/kg)</td>
<td>1206</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: nondetected.
ME and Sil strongly alleviated the loose arrangement of hepatocytes and prevented inflammatory cell infiltration and the formation of fat droplets in liver tissues of acute alcohol-exposed mice (Figure 1(a)). In the kidney, the inflammation infiltration and swelling of the glomerulus caused by alcohol were significantly relieved by ME and Sil treatment (Figure 1(b)).

Lipid metabolism, especially the levels of TG, TC, and HDL, can serve as a metric indicating the extent of liver injury [31]. Alcohol caused extremely high levels of TG and TC and low levels of HDL in the livers of the mice (p < 0.05, Figures 1(c)–1(e)). Compared with alcohol only treated mice, ME reduced the levels of TG and TC by 51.9% (p < 0.001, Figure 1(c)) and >15% (p < 0.01, Figure 1(d)), respectively, and enhanced the levels of HDL by >11.1% (p < 0.05, Figure 1(e)). Sil only enhanced the hepatic levels of HDL (p < 0.001, Figure 1(e)) but not TG or TC (Figures 1(c) and 1(d)).

### 3.3. The Anti-inflammatory Effects of ME in Mice with Alcohol-Induced Acute Liver Injury

In comparison with the alcohol only treated mice, ME prevented the increase in the serum and liver tissue levels of IL-7, YKL 40, and PAI-1 (p < 0.05, Table 3) and prevented the reduction in the levels of RBP4 in the liver (p < 0.01, Table 3), but had no effect on the levels of RBP4 in the serum (Table 3). Sil increased the levels of RBP4 and reduced the levels of IL-7, YKL 40, and PAI-1 in the liver (p < 0.05, Table 3), but only reduced the levels of YKL 40 and PAI-1 in the serum of mice with alcohol-induced acute liver injury (p < 0.05, Table 3).

### 3.4. Antioxidative Effects Are Involved in ME-Mediated Hepatoprotection

Oxidative stress is central to the development and progression of liver disease resulting from alcohol consumption. Excessive ROS can oxidize carbohydrates, proteins, and DNA molecules, resulting in damage to hepatocytes [32]. ME prevented an elevation in the levels of ROS, MDA, NO, and 8-OHdG and prevented a reduction in the SOD, GSH-Px, and CAT levels in the serum (p < 0.05, Table 4), livers (p < 0.05, Table 4), and spleens (p < 0.05, Table 2S) of mice with alcohol-induced acute liver injury. However, Sil failed to influence the levels of ROS, MDA, and NO in the serum and livers of alcohol-exposed mice (Table 4). In the spleen, Sil suppressed the levels of ROS and MDA and enhanced the levels of SOD and GSH-Px (p < 0.05, Table 2S).

### 3.5. ME Regulates the Activation of Nrf-2 and NF-κB Signaling in the Livers of Alcohol-Treated Mice

High expression levels of Keap-1 and low expression levels of Nrf-2 and the downstream antioxidative stress factors were found in the livers of alcohol only treated mice (p < 0.05, Figure 2). Comparatively, ME administration strongly prevented these reactions to alcohol treatment, as indicated by the reduced expression levels of Keap-1 and increased levels of Nrf-2, SOD-1, SOD-2, CAT, HO-1, and HO-2 (p < 0.05, Figure 2). Sil exerted similar effects on the expression levels of Nrf-2 and its downstream proteins (p < 0.05, Figure 2) except for SOD-2 (Figure 2).

NF-κB, a key regulator involved in inflammation, controls the expression of proinflammatory genes and regulates the production of inflammatory factors, processes which are closely related to the pathogenesis of alcoholic liver disease [33]. Compared with the alcohol-treated mice, Sil and ME significantly reduced the phosphorylated levels of downstream factors IKKα/β, IκBα, and NF-κB p65 in liver tissues (p < 0.05, Figure 3).

### 4. Discussion

*M. esculenta* fruit bodies were found to contain 21 fatty acids, 17 amino acids, and 13 minerals, indicating the nutritive value of this fungus. The trace levels of heavy metals found suggest that it is safe for consumption. As previously reported, ME polysaccharides and proteins can increase antioxidant enzyme activity and improve immune system function [14, 34]. *M. esculenta* polysaccharide protects NR8383 cells from PM2.5-induced inflammation by inhibiting NF-κB activation [16], and total flavonoids of *M. esculenta* have anti-inflammatory effects through...
Figure 1: Continued.
lipopolysaccharide-stimulated RAW264.7 macrophages [17]. These nutritional components provide a foundation for understanding the hepatoprotective effects of ME in the treatment of alcohol-induced acute liver injury. The multifunctional components may target many key molecules in the signaling pathways of inflammation and oxidative stress. This "systemic targeting" might eliminate inflammation and oxidative stress in a natural way, meaning less adverse side effects would be expected. Additionally, it helps to explain the non-dose-dependent activities of ME observed in most of our experiments, which is in fact a common feature of pharmaceutically active natural products [35].

AST and ALT are frequently elevated after excessive alcohol intake. When liver cells are damaged, cell membrane permeability increases and AST and ALT are released into the blood, increasing serum transaminase content, which is the essential enzyme in metabolic processes [36]. A high level of GGT can be detected in patients with liver disease and has been used as a sensitive indicator of liver injury [37]. Excessive alcohol consumption can result in overaccumulation of acetaldehyde in the liver, which promotes the formation of protein adducts through reactions with various macromolecules in the body, leading to functional impairment of key proteins [38]. ALDH, a key enzyme in the metabolism of alcohol, can catalyze acetaldehyde into acetic acid, allowing its excretion in the form of CO₂ and H₂O [39]. ME strongly prevented the pathologic changes in the levels of AST, ALT, GGT, and ALDH in alcohol-injured mice. However, it failed to influence the ratio of AST/ALT [40], providing a basis for further experiments.

Inflammation in the liver due to excessive alcohol consumption ultimately leads to fibrosis and impaired liver function [41]. RBP4, which possesses a nuclear transcription factor activator protein sequence [42], is mainly synthesized in the liver, and its expression can be reduced in acute liver disease, chronic hepatitis, and cirrhosis [43]. Increased expression of YKL-40 has been reported in fibrotic regions of the liver [44], and inhibitors of PAI-1 can alleviate this fibrosis [45]. The pathological alterations to the expression of these cytokines in mice chronically exposed to alcohol were prevented following 14-day ME administration, suggesting its hepatoprotective and anti-inflammatory properties. Furthermore, NF-κB, serving as a key inflammatory response mediator, regulates multiple aspects of innate and adaptive immune function [46]. Typically, NF-κB binds to the IκB protein to form a complex that blocks the nuclear localization signal of NF-κB. However, when the cells are stimulated by alcohol, the IκB protein is phosphorylated by activated IKK, leading to the nuclear localization signal of NF-κB being exposed, allowing transportation to the nucleus [47]. Nuclear NF-κB mediates transcription of the IL-7 receptor α-subunit (CD127) [48] and YKL-40 [49] and regulates the expression of PAI-1 and RBP4 [42, 50].

Oxidative stress is one of the most important processes in the pathogenesis of alcoholic liver disease [51]. In this study, ME exerted a strong antioxidant effect through increasing the expression levels of antioxidative enzymes and reducing the levels of ROS, MDA, and 8-OHdG in alcohol-exposed mice. ME may regulate aspects of the immune system through modulation of oxidative stress-related factors in the spleen, an important immune organ. ROS, a strong oxidant, can damage cellular macromolecules such as DNA, lipids, and proteins and can also promote lipid peroxidation [52].
Keap-1 and transfers to the nucleus, where it interacts with antioxidant response elements (AREs). Subsequently, Nrf-2 mediates the expression of the downstream genes HO-1 and SOD-1, suggesting that the cytoprotective effect of Nrf-2 may be attributable to the induction of these enzymes [57]. As previously reported, oxidative stress can initiate or amplify inflammation by upregulating the genes involved in the inflammatory response through activation of NF-κB signaling [58], and Nrf-2 can directly inhibit the expression of NF-κB [59]. Our present data suggest that the protective effects of ME on hepatocytes may be related to its regulation of Nrf-2 signaling.

This study had some limitations. Although we found that ME can regulate the activation of Nrf-2 and NF-κB signaling, the specific siRNA and/or related inhibitors were not used in the experimental model. The relationship between Nrf-2 and antioxidant response elements (AREs). Subsequently, Nrf-2 mediates the expression of the downstream genes HO-1 and SOD-1, suggesting that the cytoprotective effect of Nrf-2 may be attributable to the induction of these enzymes [57]. As previously reported, oxidative stress can initiate or amplify inflammation by upregulating the genes involved in the inflammatory response through activation of NF-κB signaling [58], and Nrf-2 can directly inhibit the expression of NF-κB [59]. Our present data suggest that the protective effects of ME on hepatocytes may be related to its regulation of Nrf-2 signaling.

This study had some limitations. Although we found that ME can regulate the activation of Nrf-2 and NF-κB signaling, the specific siRNA and/or related inhibitors were not used in the experimental model. The relationship between Nrf-2 and antioxidants, such as ROS (reactive oxygen species), MDA (malondialdehyde), and GSH-Px (glutathione peroxidase), are affected by ME and Sil. These factors play a crucial role in the regulation of oxidative stress and cellular longevity.

**Table 3: The effects of ME and Sil on the serum and liver cytokine levels in alcohol-treated mice.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum</th>
<th>CTRL</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Alcohol + Sil (60 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Alcohol + ME (200 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Alcohol + ME (400 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Alcohol + ME (800 mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-7 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>88.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>100.7 ± 2.3*</td>
<td>95.2 ± 3.0</td>
<td>89.6 ± 2.7*</td>
<td>95.1 ± 3.8</td>
<td>97.4 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YKL 40 (ng/mL)</td>
<td>52.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>60.4 ± 2.4*</td>
<td>52.9 ± 2.3*</td>
<td>61.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>50.2 ± 2.0*</td>
<td>55.3 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAI-1 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>924.8 ± 14.5</td>
<td>974.5 ± 13.6*</td>
<td>915.0 ± 25.7*</td>
<td>730.0 ± 6.0*</td>
<td>843.2 ± 17.0*</td>
<td>860.1 ± 34.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBP4 (μg/mL)</td>
<td>37.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>34.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>36.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>33.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>36.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>37.2 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: The effects of ME and Sil on oxidative stress-related factors in the serum and liver tissue of mice.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum</th>
<th>CTRL</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Alcohol + Sil (60 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Alcohol + ME (200 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Alcohol + ME (400 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Alcohol + ME (800 mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROS (U/mL)</td>
<td>342.2 ± 5.7</td>
<td>351.3 ± 3.6</td>
<td>330.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>321.8 ± 10.0</td>
<td>302.5 ± 9.7</td>
<td>301.1 ± 3.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA (nmol/mL)</td>
<td>18.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>20.4 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>19.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>17.7 ± 0.6*</td>
<td>18.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>18.2 ± 0.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO (μmol/L)</td>
<td>28.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>32.4 ± 0.9*</td>
<td>29.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>35.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>28.9 ± 0.9*</td>
<td>31.6 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>8-OHdG (ng/mL)</td>
<td>40.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>59.5 ± 1.2*</td>
<td>28.8±0.8**</td>
<td>38.6 ± 2.1*</td>
<td>55.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAI-1 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>214.7 ± 7.4</td>
<td>167.0 ± 4.7**</td>
<td>196.8 ± 5.1*</td>
<td>204.2 ± 6.8*</td>
<td>182.1 ± 3.3</td>
<td>188.9 ± 5.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH-Px (U/mL)</td>
<td>264.6 ± 5.7</td>
<td>239.6 ± 4.7*</td>
<td>268.7 ± 5.7*</td>
<td>234.1 ± 7.9</td>
<td>226.3 ± 3.4</td>
<td>268.6 ± 6.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT (U/mL)</td>
<td>43.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>37.9 ± 1.0*</td>
<td>44.2 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>53.8 ± 1.2*</td>
<td>42.3 ± 1.5*</td>
<td>42.8 ± 1.0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>CTRL</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Alcohol + Sil (60 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Alcohol + ME (200 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Alcohol + ME (400 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Alcohol + ME (800 mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROS (U/mg)</td>
<td>162.7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>205.4 ± 6.2**</td>
<td>184.3 ± 3.2</td>
<td>196.1 ± 12.0</td>
<td>152.7±5.2**</td>
<td>151.2±4.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA (nmol/mg)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.3*</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO (μmol/g)</td>
<td>14.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.7*</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>12.7±0.6**</td>
<td>12.1±0.6**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>8-OHdG (ng/g)</td>
<td>30.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>47.9 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>27.4 ± 1.1*</td>
<td>30.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>23.3±1.8**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD (U/mg)</td>
<td>91.6 ± 2.0</td>
<td>72.1 ± 2.6**</td>
<td>112.5±3.4**</td>
<td>94.7±5.4**</td>
<td>88.6±3.8**</td>
<td>109.8±7.4***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH-Px (U/mg)</td>
<td>101.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>83.4 ± 1.9*</td>
<td>114.8±2.4**</td>
<td>124.4±7.8**</td>
<td>113.6±4.2**</td>
<td>130.7±10.2***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT (U/mg)</td>
<td>25.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>20.5 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>24.9±0.6**</td>
<td>27.7 ± 1.6*</td>
<td>24.6±1.1*</td>
<td>24.1±0.8*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are presented as mean ± S.E.M. (n = 10). *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 compared with the control group, *p < 0.05 and ***p < 0.001 compared with the alcohol-treated group.

MDA, the end product of lipid peroxidation, can reflect the degree of lipid peroxidation, thus reflecting the extent of the damage of liver cells [53]. 8-OHdG is a widely accepted biomarker for reflecting the oxidative DNA damages [54]. Alcohol significantly destroys the antioxidant defense enzyme activity in the body. Under normal circumstances, SOD can convert superoxide into H2O2, while CAT can convert H2O2 into H2O [55]. When redox is imbalanced, the activity levels of the antioxidant enzymes are impaired. The transcription factor Nrf-2 is a major player in the control and expression of a panel of defense genes encoding antioxidant enzymes [56]. Under normal conditions, Nrf-2 binds to Keap-1 in the cytoplasm in an inactive form. When exposed to oxidative stress caused by alcohol, Nrf-2 dissociates from Keap-1 and transfers to the nucleus, where it interacts with antioxidants, such as ROS (reactive oxygen species), MDA (malondialdehyde), and GSH-Px (glutathione peroxidase), are affected by ME and Sil. These factors play a crucial role in the regulation of oxidative stress and cellular longevity.

This study had some limitations. Although we found that ME can regulate the activation of Nrf-2 and NF-κB signaling, the specific siRNA and/or related inhibitors were not used in the experimental model. The relationship between Nrf-2 and antioxidants, such as ROS (reactive oxygen species), MDA (malondialdehyde), and GSH-Px (glutathione peroxidase), are affected by ME and Sil. These factors play a crucial role in the regulation of oxidative stress and cellular longevity.

This study had some limitations. Although we found that ME can regulate the activation of Nrf-2 and NF-κB signaling, the specific siRNA and/or related inhibitors were not used in the experimental model. The relationship between Nrf-2 and antioxidants, such as ROS (reactive oxygen species), MDA (malondialdehyde), and GSH-Px (glutathione peroxidase), are affected by ME and Sil. These factors play a crucial role in the regulation of oxidative stress and cellular longevity.
NF-κB signaling remains to be elucidated. As previously reported, chronic severe alcohol consumption can increase intestinal permeability, promoting the activation of Kupffer cells, future triggering inflammation in the liver [60], which were failed to investigate in the present study. Furthermore, although ME and Sil displayed similar liver protection against acute alcohol damage in mice, it is hard to conclude which one shows better effects based on our present data. All of these issues, especially the mechanisms of ME-mediated hepatoprotection against alcohol-induced damage, will be further investigated by our group.

We have successfully confirmed the hepatoprotective effects of ME in alcohol-induced acute liver injury and found that this effect may be partially related to its ability to favorably modulate antioxidative anti-inflammatory signaling pathways through regulation of Nrf-2 and NF-κB.
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For comprehensive analysis, see Tables 1S and 2S and Figure 1S. Table 1S: the effects of ME and Sil on the bodyweight of experimental mice. Table 2S: the effects of ME and Sil on cytokines related to oxidative stress in the spleens of mice with alcohol-induced acute liver injury. Figure 1S: ME alone (800 mg/kg) showed no significant effects on bodyweight or biochemical indexes in serum of healthy mice after 14-day administration. (A) ME alone failed to influence the bodyweight of healthy mice. ME alone showed no effects on the serum levels of (B) AST, (C) ALT, and (D) ALDH in healthy mice. Data expressed as mean ± S.E.M. (n = 10) were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA. ME: M. esculenta, Sil: silybin.
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