Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) is important for the differential diagnosis of dyspnoea-fatigue syndromes. The test more typically includes measurements of ventilation ($V_E$), carbon dioxide output ($VCO_2$), and oxygen uptake ($VO_2$) at a progressively increased workload ($W$) until a maximum $VO_2$, called $VO_2\text{max}$ or $VO_2\text{peak}$ to define aerobic exercise capacity, but steady state evaluations have utility in some contexts. The difference in $VO_2\text{max}$ and $VO_2\text{peak}$ can sometimes be made by the identification or not of a $VO_2$ plateau while workload may be still increasing. However, the information content of $VO_2\text{max}$ and $VO_2\text{peak}$ is essentially the same, provided other criteria of maximum exercise are met, with, importantly, a respiratory exchange ratio (RER) $\geq 1.1$. Ventilatory reserve may be calculated at $VO_2\text{peak}$. This is the difference between maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV) and $V_E\text{peak}$, with MVV either directly measured, or predicted from the forced expiratory volume in 1 sec (FEV$_1$) times 35 or 40. The ventilatory reserve normally ranges from 20 to 60 L/min, with an extreme lower limit of normal of 11 L/min, although caution should be applied to this measure given the unreliability of calculated MVV. Other relevant CPET measurements are $VO_2$ at the anaerobic threshold ($VO_2\text{AT}$) (RER = 1), $V_E/VCO_2$ either as a slope over the entire CPET or, preferably, at the AT, maximum heart rate and recovery, and the $VO_2$-work rate relationship ($\Delta VO_2/\Delta W$). Indeed $V_E/VCO_2$ slope has been shown to be a powerful prognostic indicator in heart failure independent of peak $VO_2$.

Cardiac limitation of aerobic exercise capacity is characterized by decreased $VO_2\text{AT}$, $\Delta VO_2/\Delta W$ and increased $V_E/VCO_2$-slope, when heart failure is present. In these patients, resting heart rate is increased, but maximum heart rate and heart rate recovery are decreased (the latter to $<12$ beats per min). A ventilatory limitation to exercise capacity is characterized by a low ventilatory reserve, which is often, but not always, attained with RER $< 1$. The CPET profile of severe deconditioning resembles that of heart failure, but with $VO_2\text{max}$ usually $>20$ mL/kg except in the elderly and an unremarkable $V_E/VCO_2$. The identification of “peripheral factors” is more difficult. Mitochondrial disorders are uncommon causes of early lactic acidosis with very low $VO_2\text{max}$ and $VO_2\text{AT}$—along with a hyperdynamic cardiovascular state. Muscle mass in patients with chronic disease-associated cachexia may become insufficient for a maximal challenge of the cardiovascular system, which is normally achieved with approximately half of the skeletal muscle mass (legs on the bicycle). Peripheral oxygen extraction in heart failure has been shown to be preserved. Therefore, in these patients $VO_2\text{max}$ is essentially maximal cardiac output ($Q$) dependent, as indicated by the Fick equation:

$$VO_2\text{max} = Q\max(CaO_2 - CvO_2),$$

where $CvO_2$ becomes a constant, and thus $VO_2\text{max}$ determined by maximum $O_2$ delivery or $Q \times CaO_2$.

Many studies have reported on marked histological and biological changes in skeletal muscle of patients with chronic diseases, including heart failure, but measurements of maximum $O_2$ extraction are few. Skeletal muscle deterioration in cardiac or lung disease patients may be most relevant to muscle strength or power, which is more appropriately measured by anaerobic exercise capacity tests.

A simple, inexpensive, and safe surrogate of $VO_2\text{max}$ is the distance walked in 6 minutes. The 6-min walk test (6MWT) derives from the linear relationships between $VO_2$, $Q$ or workload,—or speed. Like $VO_2\text{max}$, the 6-min walk distance...
is also decreased by decreases in $\text{CaO}_2$ as a cause of decreased oxygen delivery and breathing reserve. The test is limited in the less severely impaired patients by a ceiling effect, corresponding to the maximum possible speed of walking in patients still be able to further increase $\text{VO}_2$—but for that purpose would have to switch to walk on a slope or running. Demographic factors such as age, height and weight should also be taken in to consideration of the distance walked. The 6MWT is very useful to evaluate the functional impairment of patients, less so for the differential diagnosis of dyspnea-fatigue.

In the present issue of Pulmonary Medicine, these basic exercise physiology principles are further explored by nice series of clinical studies on patients with a variety of cardiac and pulmonary diseases.

In the study entitled “Abnormalities of the ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide in patients with chronic heart failure” L. Ingle et al. investigated the kinetics of the $\text{VE}/\text{VCO}_2$ ratio during an incremental exercise testing following a modified Bruce protocol in a large series of 423 patients with chronic heart failure compared to 78 healthy controls. They showed that the nadir of the $\text{VE}/\text{VCO}_2$ in patients with heart failure occurred earlier, and that this was of prognostic relevance. These results add to previously known prognostic value of increased $\text{VE}/\text{VCO}_2$-slope in heart failure. The authors’ interpretation of the findings is that a shorter time to $\text{VE}/\text{VCO}_2$ nadir reflects earlier onset of the non-$\text{CO}_2$ stimulus to ventilation in patients with chronic heart failure. This is possible. However, the time to $\text{VE}/\text{VCO}_2$ nadir was decreased in proportion to the decreased exercise duration, $\text{VO}_2\text{AT}$ or $\text{VO}_2\text{peak}$, all at around 60% of measured in the controls, indicating an important intrinsic contribution of aerobic exercise capacity, known as another determinant of survival in heart failure.

The study by R. L. Chura et al. “Test-retest reliability and physiological responses associated with the steep ramp anaerobic test in patients with COPD” provides robust data on the reproducibility of a very steep incremental exercise protocol (25 W per 10 s) in 11 COPD patients. The Steep Ramp Anaerobic test (SRAT) aims at a measurement of anaerobic exercise capacity, and, as such, was compared by the authors to the more classically known 30s-Wingate Anaerobic Test (WAT). The patients also performed a CPET. Both SRAT and WAT showed a good reproducibility at 1-2 days intervals. Maximum power output was 157 W on the SRAT, compared to 231 W on the WAT and 66 W on the CPET, but metabolic and ventilatory responses were similar. The FEV$_1$ of the patients was on average of 1 L, allowing to estimate a MVV of 40 L/min. The $\text{VE}_{\text{max}}$ was on average 40 L/min during the three exercise tests, indicating that the three tests exhausted the ventilatory reserve of the patients. Because the peak work output was the highest at the maximum achieved $\text{VO}_2$ with the SRAT, the authors concluded about the superiority of this test over the WAT to determine anaerobic exercise capacity. This makes a lot of sense. Furthermore, the higher power output with the SRAT compared to the WAT at similar $\text{VO}_2$ probably reveals more specific measurement of purely alactic anaerobic exercise capacity. This important study will hopefully draw attention to the importance of anaerobic exercise testing in the evaluation of patients with cardiac and pulmonary diseases.

In the article “Cardiopulmonary exercise testing in lung transplantation: a review” K. A. Dudley and S. El-Chemaly review published data on the role of CPET in the assessment of appropriateness of listing for lung transplantation as well as posttransplant outcome in patients with various forms of lung disease. The lung allocation score does not currently include CPET variables, but exercise capacity solely determined by a 6MWT. The review confirmed the previously known prognostic relevance of the 6MWT for patients awaiting transplantation. In these patients, the 6MWT was superior to spirometry in the prediction of 6-month mortality. However, how pretransplant 6MWT relates to posttransplant functional state and prognosis remains unclear. The reason why CPET variables are currently not components of the lung allocation score is in the insufficient evidence derived from limited size studies evaluating only specific respiratory pathologies and type of transplant, thus with findings that are difficult to extrapolate to other patients waiting for transplantation. Data from posttransplant patients reveal some functional improvement with, however, still significant reduction in exercise tolerance. This does not appear to be limited by the pulmonary function, therefore pointing towards peripheral mechanisms of reduced functional capacity. Despite the need for more systematic studies in this field, the authors conclude that, based on the existing data, CPET carries prognostic information beyond that of pulmonary function tests and 6-minute walk distance suggesting a role of CPET in pretransplant and possibly also posttransplant assessment.

The study “Predicted aerobic exercise capacity of asthmatic children: a research study from clinical origin” by L. Lochte compared longitudinally the predicted aerobic exercise capacity based on submaximal exercise testing in 28 asthmatic children compared to 28 controls during 10 months. There were also physical activity and asthma questionnaires. The predicted aerobic exercise capacity test consisted in 5 min continuous running on a treadmill at a running speed adjusted to maintain a stable submaximal heart rate of 170–180 bpm. The estimation of $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$ was based on previously reported weight-adjusted $\text{VO}_2$, running speed and heart rate, and $\text{VO}_2$ extrapolated from the difference between maximum and submaximum heart rates. This is an adaptation of the Astrand test, based on the same rationale that any further increase in $\text{VO}_2$ (or $Q$) at a submaximum level of exercise is exclusively heart rate determined. Physical activity as evaluated by questionnaires was well correlated to estimated $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$—and age. The results showed lower predicted aerobic exercise capacity in asthmatic children, without this being related to asthma severity or exercise induced asthma, but there was improvement over time. The reasons for decreased fitness in young asthmatics are unclear, but it might be deconditioning rather than insufficient asthma control. The study underscores the importance of monitoring physical activity in addition to lung function in children with asthma.

The study “The impact of pulmonary arterial pressure on exercise capacity in mild-to-moderate cystic fibrosis: a case
control study” by K. Manika et al. explored the impact of systolic pulmonary artery pressure (PASP) measured by echocardiography before and immediately after testing on exercise capacity in 17 patients with mild-to-moderate cystic fibrosis without pulmonary hypertension and in 10 controls. The patients had higher postexercise PASP and lower VO2peak, VO2AT, and peak O2 pulse than the controls. The change in PASP was strongly correlated to parameters of exercise capacity in the patients, not in the controls. The authors conclude that pulmonary vascular disease might contribute to decreased exercise capacity in patients with cystic fibrosis. It may be noted that the postexercise PASP were not higher than normal, and the difference between patients and controls, though significant, was of a few mmHg, thus in the range of the error of measurement. However, the patients had an average ventilatory reserve of around 40 L/min at maximum exercise, the maximum RER was of 1.19, not different from the controls and the patients with the highest PASP also had a higher V̇L/V̇CO2. It is thus possible that pulmonary vascular disease contributes to the limitation of exercise capacity in patients with cystic fibrosis. Further studies on a larger number of patients with more comprehensive measurements of the pulmonary circulation will be needed to prove it.

The study “Validity of reporting oxygen uptake efficiency slope from submaximal exercise using respiratory exchange ratio as secondary criterion” by W. Williamson et al. investigated the VO2 efficiency slope, or rate of change in VO2 per rate of change in V̇E, in 100 healthy volunteers during a ramped treadmill protocol from data truncated to RER levels from 0.85 to 1.2. The slope increased significantly from low-to-moderate intensity exercise and was highest at a RER of 1, decreasing at higher values. The O2 efficiency slope has been previously reported to be a valid and reproducible marker of function and prognosis as VO2peak. The present results show that VO2 slope is not constant during an incremental CPET and probably optimally measured at the RER of 1. How this tighter definition of the VO2 slope compares with VO2AT, V̇L/V̇CO2, ΔVO2/W, and chronotropic incompetence in the evaluation of patients with cardiac or pulmonary diseases will have to be investigated in further studies.

In summary, for those of us interested in a better understanding of the dyspnoea-fatigue symptoms of our patients, CPET is a remarkable tool. It generates lots of variables that need integration into pathophysiological reasoning and pretest clinical probability assessments. More work is needed for the integration of the CPET variables into validated decision trees and recommendations. This is an exciting area, still open for a lot more investigation and progress. The series papers published in this issue of Pulmonary Medicine are altogether an important step in the good direction.
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