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Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death, and five-year survival remains poor, raising the urgency for new treatment strategies. Activation of PPARγ represents a potential target for both the treatment and prevention of lung cancer. Numerous studies have examined the effect of thiazolidinediones such as rosiglitazone and pioglitazone on lung cancer cells in vitro and in xenograft models. These studies indicate that activation of PPARγ inhibits cancer cell proliferation as well as invasiveness and metastasis. While activation of PPARγ can occur by direct binding of pharmacological ligands to the molecule, emerging data indicate that PPARγ activation can occur through engagement of other signal transduction pathways, including Wnt signaling and prostaglandin production. Data, both from preclinical models and retrospective clinical studies, indicate that activation of PPARγ may represent an attractive chemopreventive strategy. This article reviews the existing biological and mechanistic experiments focusing on the role of PPARγ in lung cancer, focusing specifically on nonsmall cell lung cancer.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death for both men and women in the USA. In fact, more deaths will occur this year due to lung cancer than breast, prostate, and colorectal cancers combined [1]. In spite of intensive research, 5-year survival in patients with lung cancer remains dismally low, with overall survival at 15% [2]. A major reason for this problem is the presence of metastasis at the time of diagnosis. While smoking cessation will clearly reduce the risk of lung cancer, a majority of diagnosed cases are being detected in exsmokers [3]. Therefore, in addition to new chemotherapeutic approaches, there appears to be a critical need for chemopreventive strategies which can be administered to patients at risk for developing lung cancer. In this article, we will review recent data, both from basic sciences experiments and from clinical studies indicating that activation of the nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) may represent a novel strategy for the treatment and prevention of lung cancer.

2. BIOLOGY OF LUNG CANCER

Lung cancers are categorized as small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC). As a group, the NSCLC constitute the bulk of lung cancers and are subdivided into squamous, adenocarcinoma, and large cell carcinoma phenotypes. Selective changes in specific oncogenes can be used to distinguish the two types of cancer. Activating mutations in ras are associated with NSCLC, with a mutation at codon 12 of the Ki-Ras gene observed in approximately 30% of adenocarcinomas, and just under 10% of other NSCLC types [4]. These mutations appear to be virtually absent from SCLC [5]. In mice, Ki-ras mutations are found in over 90% of spontaneous and chemically induced lung tumors [6]. Overexpression of the c-myc gene is also frequently observed in NSCLC, but appears to be more prevalent in SCLC [7]. Elevated expression of the HER-2/neu gene, a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor family has also been observed in 35% of adenocarcinomas and a slightly lower percentage of
squamous carcinomas [8]. Alterations in tumor suppressor genes have also been reported. Mutations in p53 have been detected in 90% of SCLC and 50% of NSCLC [7]. Mutations in the retinoblastoma gene are more specific for SCLC, occurring in more than 90%, while only a small fraction of NSCLC have mutations in this gene. Recently, mRNA expression profiling has been used to define subclasses of lung adenocarcinoma, which can be defined by distinct patterns of gene expression [9, 10]. These studies suggest that NSCLC may in fact represent multiple diseases characterized by distinct molecular pathways. In contrast to most NSCLC, SCLC displays neuroendocrine features exemplified by the presence of cytoplasmic neurosecretory granules containing a wide variety of mitogenic neuropeptides including gastrin-releasing peptide, arginine vasopressin, neurotensin, cholecystokinin, and many others [11, 12]. Significantly, SCLC also expresses G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) for these neuropeptides, thereby establishing autocrine-stimulated cell growth. Therapeutic strategies have targeted these neuropeptides using inhibitors of GPCRs. However, the existence of potentially redundant loops mediated by multiple neuropeptides has limited the usefulness of this strategy.

Recently, a great deal of attention has been focused on the EGF receptor, and the use of selective inhibitors of the EGF receptor tyrosine kinase (EGFR-TKI). These agents (gefitinib and erlotinib) have shown therapeutic efficacy in a subset of NSCLC patients which have somatic mutations in this receptor [13, 14]. However, responses have also been observed in patients with wild-type EGFR. Identifying strategies which would sensitize patients to EGFR-TKI therapy is under active investigation (see [15] for review).

3. PPARγ ACTIVATION

PPARγ is a member of nuclear receptor superfamily. Two major isoforms have been described, PPARγ1 and PPARγ2 (see [16] for review). These are splice variants, with PPARγ2 being expressed predominantly in adipose tissue, whereas PPARγ1 has a more widespread distribution, and is expressed in cancer cells, including lung cancer [16]. More recently a number of additional splice variants have been identified [17]. The role of these forms of PPARγ remains to be established. The structure of PPARγ is similar to that of most nuclear receptors; the core of the molecule consists of a DNA-binding region (DBD) and a ligand-binding region (LBD), separated by a hinge region. There are two activation domains, AF-1 at the amino terminal and AF-2 at the carboxyl terminal. The classic pathway of PPARγ activation involves binding as a heterodimer with the retinoic acid X receptor to specific DNA sequences (PPAR-RE). The consensus PPAR site consists of a direct repeat of the sequence AGGTCA, separated by a single nucleotide, designated a DR-1 site. Ligand binding to the LBD causes a conformational change, which results in the release of coresspressors and the binding of coactivators, resulting in increased transcription of target genes.

PPARγ is activated by polyunsaturated fatty acids and eicosanoids. In particular, 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-PGF2α (dPGF2α) has been shown to specifically activate PPARγ with micromolar affinity [18]. Lipoxxygenase products of linoleic acid, 9- and 13-HODE have micromolar affinities for PPARγ [19]. It is not clear whether any of these agents are actual physiologic regulators of PPARγ, and a recent study has found that endogenous levels of dPGF2α do not change during adipocyte differentiation [20]. Synthetic activators of PPARγ include the thiazolidinediones, such as rosiglitazone and pioglitazone [21]. These compounds have insulin-sensitizing and antidiabetic activity, which is likely mediated at least in part through PPARγ activation. Finally, NSAIDs, which inhibit eicosanoid production, activate PPARγ albeit at higher concentrations than required for COX inhibition [22]. While all of these agents can activate PPARγ, it is clear that they also stimulate "off-target" pathways which may impact their therapeutic potency [23]. Finally, it should be noted that PPARγ can directly bind to other transcription factors, including NF-κB and Sp1 [24]. This mechanism of action complicates the spectrum of genes that could be regulated by PPARγ by engaging regulatory elements distinct from classic PPAR-RE sites [25].

4. CLINICAL ASSOCIATIONS WITH PPARγ IN LUNG CANCER

Analysis of human lung tumors has reported that decreased expression of PPARγ is correlated with a poor prognosis [26]. Further work indicated that expression of PPARγ as detected by immunohistochemistry was more frequently detected in well-differentiated adenocarcinomas, compared to poorly differentiated ones. Recently, a retrospective study demonstrated a 33% reduction in lung cancer risk in diabetic patients using the TZD rosiglitazone [27]. An even more dramatic reduction was observed in African-American patients (75%). This decreased risk appeared to be specific for lung cancer, and no protective effect was observed for prostate or colon cancer. Genetic variants in the PPARγ gene have been identified which are associated with a decreased risk for lung cancer [28]. These findings suggest that chemoprevention strategies using PPARγ activators may be an attractive approach in patients at risk for lung cancer, and that polymorphisms in the PPARγ gene may be a way to screen those patients. There are several chemoprevention trials being initiated using TZDs. However, a concern in these studies is the association of higher rates of adverse cardiac events with chronic TZD treatment, especially with rosiglitazone [29]. As discussed below, agents which target PPARγ through alternative pathways may therefore represent novel therapeutic targets.

5. BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF PPARγ IN LUNG CANCER CELLS

A number of studies have examined the effects of TZDs on the growth of lung cancer cells. The majority of these studies have focused on NSCLC. Administration of TZDs has been shown to inhibit growth and induce apoptosis in numerous NSCLC cell lines [30–34]. While the mechanisms for these effects are not completely understood, they appear to be
mediated through both PPARγ-dependent and independent effects. Induction of apoptosis may involve the tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL)-induced apoptosis in some cancer cell lines [35]; these effects appear to be mediated through PPARγ-independent pathways. Recent studies have also demonstrated that PPARγ activation induces proline oxidase, which will result in increased production of cytotoxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) [36]. Growth arrest may be mediated through induction of the cyclin kinase inhibitor p21 [37]. In this case, the mechanism of action involves PPARγ-dependent induction of p21 through interactions with other transcription factors. Several studies, including work from our own laboratory have demonstrated that activation of PPARγ leads to promotion of a more highly differentiated phenotype in NSCLC [32, 38]. This can be assessed by growing cells in 3-dimensional tissue culture, which has been shown to reveal epithelial features. E-cadherin is perhaps to most widely studied marker of epithelial differentiation, and both pharmacological PPARγ activators and molecular overexpression of PPARγ had shown increased protein and mRNA for E-cadherin. Epithelial mesenchymal transition has been associated with cancer progression and metastasis [39]. While this is still somewhat of a controversial area [40], activation of PPARγ in lung cancer cells appears to inhibit invasiveness, at least in part through inhibiting or reversing EMT.

It has become evident during the past several years, that while genetic changes in cancer cells are critical for tumor initiation, progression and metastasis entail a critical contribution from the tumor microenvironment [41]. Specifically, interactions of tumor cells with vascular cells, innate immune cells, and fibroblasts control tumor angiogenesis and promote a more aggressive phenotype. These cell-cell interactions are mediated through cytokines and growth factors initially produced by the tumor cells which recruit stromal cells. Among these cytokines are factors such as MCP-1 and CCL5, critical for macrophage recruitment, and VEGF and other proangiogenic cytokines such as IL-8 which recruit vascular cells [42]. Transcriptional control of these factors is mediated by multiple transcription factors, but specifically, it has been shown that two specific factors, NF-κB and HIF-1, are critical for many of these molecules. Several studies have demonstrated that PPARγ activation can inhibit activation of NF-κB in NSCLC [43, 44]. While effects on HIF-1 have not been documented in lung cancer cells, PPARγ has been shown to inhibit HIF-1 in other systems [45]. These data indicate that activation of PPARγ may disrupt communication between cancer cells and the surrounding tumor microenvironment, thus blocking progression and metastasis, distinct from antiproliferative effects on the tumor cells. In lung cancer, where metastasis has often occurred at the time of diagnosis, agents which specifically target tumor-stromal interactions, represent a novel therapeutic approach.

### 6. **UPSTREAM ACTIVATION OF PPARγ**

While TZDs have received most of the attention as PPARγ activators, it is becoming apparent that activation of PPARγ can occur as a consequence of activation of other signaling pathways (see Figure 1). Phosphorylation by the ERK members of the MAP kinase family has been shown to decrease
PPARγ activity, likely through altering the affinity for ligand binding [46]. Work in endothelial cells has demonstrated that flow-mediated activation of ERK5, a member of the MAP kinase family, results in activation of PPARγ [47], which may mediate anti-inflammatory effects associated with laminar flow. In this case, the mechanism of activation involves direct binding of ERK5 to the hinge region of PPARγ. In lung cancer, our studies have focused on the role of the Wnt signaling pathway. While canonical Wnt signaling has been implicated as promoting colon carcinogenesis, the role of the Wnt pathway in nonsmall cell lung cancer appears to be more complex. Our studies have demonstrated that Wnt7a signaling through its receptor Fzd9 inhibits transformed growth of NSCLC cell lines [48]. Further studies indicated that this pathway leads to increased PPARγ activity through activation of ERK5, and that this increase in PPARγ activity mediated the antitumorigenic effects of Wnt7a/Fzd9 signaling [49].

A connection has also been made between prostacyclin and activation of PPARγ. Prostaglandin I₂ (PGI₂, prostacyclin), produced through the cyclooxygenase pathway via prostacyclin synthase (PGIS), is a bioactive lipid with anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative, and potent antimetastatic properties [50, 51]. Our laboratory has shown that transgenic mice with selective pulmonary PGI₂ synthase (PGIS) overexpression exhibited significantly reduced lung tumor multiplicity and incidence in response to either chemical carcinogens or exposure to tobacco smoke [52, 53], suggesting that manipulation of the arachidonic acid pathway downstream from COX is a target for lung cancer prevention. Iloprost, a long-lasting prostacyclin analog, also inhibits lung tumorigenesis in wild-type mice. PGI₂ can signal through a specific cell surface receptor, designated IP, which is a member of the G-protein coupled receptor family, and signals through increases in cAMP [54]. However, PGI₂ has been shown to signal through activation of PPARs, with reports of both PPARα [55] and PPARγ activation [56, 57]. To define the downstream effector of PGI₂ in the chemoprevention of lung cancer, studies were performed in which mice overexpressing PGIS were crossed with mice deficient in IP (A. M. Meyer et al., unpublished observations). In a chemical carcinogenesis model, lack of IP did not affect protection against lung tumorigenesis mediated by PGIS overexpression, suggesting IP-independent pathways. Further study is required to whether prostacyclin can activate PPARγ in vivo, and whether this effect is mediated through IP or represents a direct, IP-independent activation.

To test the role of PPARγ in chemoprevention of lung cancer, we have developed transgenic mice overexpressing PPARγ under the control of the surfactant protein C promoter, which targets expression to the distal lung epithelium. In a chemical carcinogenesis model, these mice showed a marked protection against developing lung tumors [44]. While the connection between prostacyclin analogs and PPARγ activation needs to be more precisely defined, from a therapeutic standpoint, the ability to activate PPARγ through non-TZD mechanisms represents an attractive strategy that may avoid some of the deleterious effects seen with TZD administration.

7. MECHANISMS OF PPARγ ACTION IN LUNG CANCER CELLS

In spite of intensive study examining the biological effects of PPARγ activation in lung cancer, much less is know regarding the direct targets of PPARγ (see Figure 2). As a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily, PPARγ is a ligand-activated transcription factor. Thus, one assumes that there are direct transcriptional targets, where PPARγ, in combination with the RXR receptor, binds to regulatory elements and induced transcription. These targets have been difficult to identify in cancer cells. In fact, most of the responses that have been demonstrated involve suppression of target genes (e.g., cytokines). While PPARγ has been shown to upregulate E-cadherin in NSCLC, there are no studies demonstrating direct binding of PPARγ to the E-cadherin promoter. A family of transcription factors have been identified which act as suppressors of E-cadherin expression. Members of this family include Snail1, Snail2 (Slug), ZEB1, and Twist [58, 59] are potent inducers of EMT. Both Snail and Twist appear to play critical roles in breast cancer metastasis [60, 61]. Overexpression of ZEB-1 has been implicated in mediating EMT in NSCLC cells [62].

Several studies have reported increased expression of the protein and lipid phosphatase PTEN in response to PPARγ activation [63, 64]. Increased expression/activity of PTEN would be anticipated to inhibit signaling through PI-3 kinase/Akt, and downstream effectors such as mTOR. Decreased activation of Akt could lead to inhibition of NFκB signaling [65–67], although the molecular mechanisms are not well defined.

Elevated expression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) is common in NSCLC, and mediates increased production of PGE₂ [68]. Activation of PPARγ has been shown in inhibit COX-2 expression and decrease PGE₂ production in NSCLC [44, 69]. While the mechanisms whereby PGE₂ contributes to growth and progression of NSCLC are not completely understood, recent data in colon cancer have shown that PGE₂ acting through its cell surface receptor can engage β-catenin signaling, leading to proliferation [70]. Consistent with such a model, TZDs also inhibit expression of the EP2 receptor, which couples to β-catenin signaling [71]. Regulation of PGE₂ production by TZDs can also occur through PPARγ-independent pathways. Both rosiglitazone and pioglitazone can directly activate 15 hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase, promoting breakdown of PGE₂.

8. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Activation of PPARγ appears to inhibit lung tumorigenesis at several different stages. Animal studies indicate that increased PPARγ may be chemopreventive against developing lung tumors, suggesting that it can block the early stages of epithelial transformation. In established lung cancer, activation of PPARγ can inhibit proliferation, induce apoptosis, and promote a less invasive phenotype through promoting epithelial differentiation, and perhaps blocking EMT. Finally, through disruption of tumor-stromal communication via inhibition of chemokine production, PPARγ can negatively
PPARγ can increase either expression of enzymatic activity of PTEN. This results in inhibition of Akt activation (pAkt), which may be involved in the growth inhibitory responses seen with PPARγ activation. Decreased Akt activity also can lead to decreased activity of the transcription factor NF-κB. NF-κB is a critical transcription factor in the production of proangiogenic and proinflammatory cytokines such as VEGF, IL-8. Decreased production of these factors would be expected to inhibit recruitment of inflammatory cells such as macrophages, and block tumor angiogenesis. PPARγ-mediated suppression of members of the Snail family of transcription factors, such as Snail, Zeb, or Twist, would lead to derepression of E-cadherin expression and promote the epithelial phenotype, leading to decreased migration and invasiveness. PPARγ-mediated suppression of COX-2 expression in NSCLC has been shown by several investigators. This would result in decreased PGE2 production, which will impact growth. TZDs can inhibit PGE2 production through a PPARγ-independent pathway involving induction of 15-hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase (PGDH). Pathways indicated in green are increased or activated by PPARγ, while those in red represent pathways that are inhibited or repressed.

**Figure 2: Effector pathways for PPARγ in NSCLC.**

Impact tumor progression and metastasis. These data make PPARγ activators attractive agents for the treatment and prevention of lung cancer. However, a number of significant issues remain to be resolved. In many of the studies described in this article, it is not clear if the biological responses are mediated through “on-target” activation of PPARγ, or through other “off-target” effects. A strategy to address this issue is the use of molecular approaches, either overexpressing or silencing PPARγ in cancer cells to complement studies with pharmacological agents. Genetic mouse models using targeted knockouts of PPARγ in either cancer cells or stromal compartments will also be informative. This strategy also applies to defining the mechanisms mediating the adverse cardiovascular events reported in patients taking TZDs. Defining the molecular targets of TZDs mediating a specific response will be critical in the further development of second-generation PPARγ drugs. If adverse cardiac events are mediated through “off-target” effects, then a more selective PPARγ activator would be therapeutically effective, without leading to adverse cardiac events. Alternatively, if the antitumorigenic effects of TZDs are mediated through “off-target” effectors, then identifying these pathways would lead to novel therapeutic targets. Finally, the majority of studies have focused on NSCLC. Studies defining mechanisms of activation and downstream targets in SCLC are needed to determine if PPARγ represents a therapeutic target for treating these forms of lung cancer.
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