PPARγ, PTEN, and the Fight against Cancer
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Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) is a ligand-activated transcription factor, which belongs to the family of nuclear hormone receptors. Recent in vitro studies have shown that PPARγ can regulate the transcription of phosphatase and tensin homolog on chromosome ten (PTEN), a known tumor suppressor. PTEN is a susceptibility gene for a number of disorders, including breast and thyroid cancer. Activation of PPARγ through agonists increases functional PTEN protein levels that subsequently induces apoptosis and inhibits cellular growth, which suggests that PPARγ may be a tumor suppressor. Indeed, several in vivo studies have demonstrated that genetic alterations of PPARγ can promote tumor progression. These results are supported by observations of the beneficial effects of PPARγ agonists in the in vivo cancer setting. These studies signify the importance of PPARγ and PTEN’s interaction in cancer prevention.

Copyright © 2008 R. E. Teresi and K. A. Waite. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

1.1. PPARγ

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) is a ligand-activated transcription factor, belonging to the nuclear hormone receptor family, whose ligand-binding domain is located at the carboxy-terminus. There are several known natural and synthetic PPARγ agonists with 15-deoxy-delta 12, 14-prostaglandin-J2 (15d-PG-J2) being the most notable natural PPARγ agonist. Additionally, linoleic, linolenic, and arachidonic acids are other commonly recognized natural agonists. Synthetic PPARγ agonists, such as the thiazolidinediones (TZDs), are some of the most commonly prescribed medications for the treatment of type II diabetes mellitus. The four commercially recognized TZDs are ciglitazone (Alexis), pioglitazone (Actos), rosiglitazone (Avandia), and troglitazone (Rezulin).

After ligand-activation, PPARγ forms a heterodimer complex with retinoic acid receptor (RXR). This PPARγ/RXR complex subsequently translocates to the nucleus and binds to a peroxisome proliferator response element (PPRE) within a target gene thereby initiating transcription. The primary, and most studied, targets of PPARγ are involved in metabolic pathways and adipocyte differentiation. However, in recent years it has been suggested that PPARγ has a role in cancer development. Indeed, initial studies demonstrated alterations of cellular differentiation, indicative of apoptosis in a breast cancer setting, after PPARγ agonist stimulation. This indicates that PPARγ and its agonists may play an important role in cancer development, prevention, and treatment.

In 1998, Mueller et al. performed one of the first PPARγ agonist studies in a cancer setting [1]. They demonstrated that both 15d-PG-J2 and rosiglitazone (Rosi) could induce changes in epithelial gene expression associated with a more differentiated, less malignant state. Moreover, they described a reduction in the overall growth rate of breast cancer cells when treated with a PPARγ agonist. These data suggest that PPARγ can contribute to the prevention of breast cancer development and its agonists may be a novel therapy for cancer treatment [1]. These results stimulated further studies investigating PPARγ-mediated tumor suppression. One protein, that may play a role in PPARγ-mediated tumor suppression, is phosphatase and tensin homolog on chromosome ten (PTEN), which has an established role in breast cancer development. Interestingly, Mueller et al. characterization of breast cancer cells after PPARγ activation demonstrated a striking resemblance to cells with active PTEN expression [1]. Taken together, these results suggested
that PTEN and PPARγ, together, may modulate breast cancer progression.

### 1.2. **PTEN**

In 1995, PTEN was identified as the susceptibility gene for Cowden syndrome (CS), which is characterized by breast, thyroid, and endometrial carcinoma as well as macrocephaly [2–8]. Patients diagnosed with CS have a 25–50% lifetime risk of developing female breast cancer, compared to the general population risk of ∼13% [9, 10]. Additionally, patients have ∼10% lifetime risk of developing thyroid cancer, compared to <1% in the general population and have a ∼5–10% lifetime risk of endometrial cancer compared to 2–4% in the general population [9, 11]. Since its identification, research has detected a PTEN mutation in 85% of CS patients [11]. Furthermore, somatic alterations in PTEN, whether by genetic or epigenetic mechanisms, play some role in the pathogenesis of a broad range of solid tumors, including sporadic carcinomas of the breast, thyroid, endometrium, and colon.

PTEN’s protein, PTEN, is a unique phosphatase that has the ability to dephosphorylate both proteins and lipids (Figure 1) [4]. Its lipid phosphatase activity functions as a negative regulator of Akt phosphorylation (P-Akt). PTEN dephosphorylates phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-triphosphate (PIP3) at the D3 position generating phosphatidylinositol 4,5-biphosphate (PIP2), decreasing cellular PIP3 levels. Since PIP3 is required for Akt phosphorylation, active PTEN leads to a decrease in the levels of P-Akt and consequently a decrease in Akt-mediated proliferation pathways. PTEN’s protein phosphatase activity has been shown to inhibit the SHC/SOS/GRB2 and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways. The dephosphorylation of SHC by PTEN indirectly decreases the phosphorylated form of MAPK, reducing MAPK’s activity. Additionally, PTEN’s protein phosphatase activity upregulates p27 with a concomitant downregulation of cyclin D1 which coordinates G1 arrest [12]. By regulating these key-signaling pathways, PTEN downregulates cell division and upregulates apoptosis. Additionally, PTEN’s protein phosphatase activity has been shown to dephosphorylate focal adhesion kinase (FAK), which inhibits cell spreading and migration [4].

Transcriptional regulation of PTEN is only beginning to be elucidated. To date, analysis of PTEN’s promoter suggests that there are at least eight regulatory factors that modulate PTEN’s transcription (Figure 2). In 2001, Stambolic et al. identified a functional p53 binding site, located at nucleotide positions −1190 to −1157 in PTEN’s promoter, which was required for PTEN’s upregulation [13]. Additionally, early growth response-1 (Egr-1) has been shown to bind to the PTEN promoter at −947 to −939 and induce PTEN expression [14]. Recently, our laboratory has identified a USF1 binding site ∼2 kb (−2237 and −2058) upstream of the ATG site [15]. CBF-1, Sp1, and c-Jun have also recently been suggested as PTEN transcription factors [11, 16–18]. The majority of PTEN promoter analyses have been focused on transcription factors that increase PTEN levels. However, recently, suppression of PTEN gene expression has been shown by the tumor necrosis factor-alpha/nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) [19], however the precise mechanism of this inhibition remains unclear.

### 1.3. **PPARγ and PTEN in vitro**

In 2001, Patel et al. first showed that PPARγ can be a PTEN transcription factor [20]. They observed that Rosi induced PTEN protein expression in both MCF-7 breast and CoCa2 colon cancer cell lines. In addition to the increase in PTEN expression, they observed an inhibition of both Akt phosphorylation and cellular proliferation. They also identified two putative PPREs within the PTEN promoter approximately 15 and 13 kb upstream of the ATG site (Figure 2). While this study was significant in demonstrating a potential link between PPARγ and PTEN, it remained correlative.

In 2005, two independent laboratories confirmed Patel’s suspicion that PPARγ induces PTEN transcription in a breast cancer setting [21, 22]. We demonstrated that of the four TZDs, only Rosi had the ability to induce PTEN transcription and subsequently its protein expression in MCF-7 cells [21]. Furthermore, we showed that stimulation with Rosi induces a PTEN protein that is both protein- and lipid-phosphatase active, as evidenced by decreased phosphorylation of Akt and MAPK concomitant with PTEN expression. Additionally, Rosi treatment induced G1 arrest that paralleled with PTEN expression. By using a Rosi analog, Compound 66, that is incapable of activating PPARγ, we confirmed that Rosi induced PTEN expression via a PPARγ-dependent mechanism in several reporter assays [21].

Additionally, in 2005, Bonofoglio et al. also demonstrated that PPARγ could upregulate PTEN’s transcription in a breast cancer setting [22]. After cells were stimulated with
Rosi, an increase in PTEN protein was observed as well as an inhibition of Akt phosphorylation and cellular growth. More importantly, they were able to observe for the first time the specific binding of PPARγ to the PTEN promoter (−15376 to −15364; Figure 2). Interestingly, this interaction was enhanced by Rosi treatment. Further analysis indicated that PPARγ and estrogen receptor (ER) could bind to the PPRE both independently and simultaneously. The ER's association with the PPRE inhibited PPARγ's ability to induce transcription as demonstrated by cotreatment of MCF-7 breast cancer cells with both Rosi and 17β-estradiol. This cotreatment inhibited the induction of PTEN protein that was observed by Rosi stimulation alone [22]. This is an important observation as it is appealing to postulate that this crosstalk, between PPARγ and ER, may significantly affect breast cancer therapeutics as well as lead to the discovery of future novel treatment therapies.

In 2006, Zhang et al. showed that Rosi stimulation of hepatocarcinoma cells results in the upregulation of PTEN and PPARγ-dependent inhibition of cell migration [23]. This is significant because PTEN expression is decreased or absent in approximately half of all primary hepatocarcinoma patients. As similarly demonstrated by others, Rosi treatment of hepatocarcinoma cells resulted in an increase in PTEN mRNA. They further speculated that there may be three other potential PPREs within the PTEN promoter, located at −2874 to −2854, −1615 to −1596, and −1594 to −1574, however, it has not yet been determined if these are functional PPREs. Interestingly, Zhang et al. do not observe an increase in transcriptional activity of the PTEN promoter in response to Rosi treatment [23]. We observed similar results when examining the full-length PTEN promoter, using a luciferase reporter assay and Rosi stimulation (Teresi, Waite, and Eng; unpublished observations). This may suggest that elements beyond the full-length PTEN promoter are required for Rosi-mediated PTEN transcription.

These initial studies concretely demonstrated that PPARγ acts as a tumor suppressor in a cancer setting by upregulating PTEN transcription. However, these studies were performed solely in breast cancer cell lines, leaving the speculation that these observations are cancer-type dependent. To this end, several groups have studied PPARγ’s ability to regulate PTEN levels in other cancer backgrounds. Lee et al. observed an inhibition of cellular proliferation and Akt phosphorylation in accord with an increase in G1 arrest and PTEN protein expression in A549 lung cancer cells [24]. Subsequently, PPARγ has been shown to upregulate PTEN expression in nonsmall cell lung cancer, neuroblastoma, adrenocortical, pancreatic, heptocarcinoma, and thyroid cell lines [23, 25, 26].

Interestingly, the majority of these studies utilized Rosi as the PPARγ agonist. This may be due to the combination of our initial study, which demonstrated that of the TZDs only Rosi was capable of inducing PTEN expression, and the fact that natural ligands can be difficult to work with in vitro [21]. Despite this, Chen et al. demonstrated that both ciglitzone and 15d-PGJ2 could upregulate PTEN expression in W-2 thyroid cells [27], which raises the possibility that of the TZDs, Rosi stimulation is limited to breast cancer. This remains to be determined.

1.4. PPARγ and PTEN in vivo

Despite the growing amount of in vitro data supporting the role of PPARγ as a tumor suppressor, only a small number of cancers have had their PPARγ status characterized in vivo and there are very few studies of clinical PPARγ agonist treatment. Nonetheless, current studies provide some essential and encouraging information. One of the first studies to analyze PPARγ status in an in vivo cancer setting examined 55 unrelated sporadic colon cancer samples and revealed 4 PPARγ mutations [28]. Moreover, these mutations produced an inactive PPARγ protein. This study demonstrated that PPARγ can act as a tumor suppressor in vivo and when its normal activity is altered it can lead to cancer development [29]. Subsequent studies have confirmed these results showing the reduction of PPARγ expression in both acrometaly [30] and ulcerative colitis [31], two predisposing conditions of colon cancer. In contrast to these studies, Ikezoe et al. did not observe any PPARγ alterations in their colon cancer study; however they limited their study to only exons 3 and 5 of PPARγ [32]. These studies indicate that PPARγ is indeed a tumor suppressor in the colon cancer setting; however none of these studies tested if the TZDs could effect the cancer's progression.

To date, the majority of studies correlating PPARγ with PTEN have been performed in vitro and these studies suggest that PPARγ agonists may be beneficial to PTEN in vivo. Moreover, in vitro data suggest that PPARγ agonists have the potential to be highly effective PTEN transcriptional inducers for patients who have one of the following: a hemizygous deletion, a germline nucleotide alteration within

![Figure 2: PTEN promoter and its transcription factors. PTEN's full-length promoter lies between −1344 and −745 (gray bar), while the minimal promoter lies between −958 and −821 (black bar). Four transcription factors are known to directly bind upstream of PTEN: PPARγ (solid gray bar), USF1 (stripped gray bar), p53 (dotted gray bar), and EGR1 (dashed gray bar).](image-url)
the promoter, and potentially in the circumstance, where a PTEN mutation is not identified but a decrease in protein expression is observed.

Despite the potential beneficial effects of TZD treatment, in particular Rosi, one must be aware that the use of these medications may lead to more harm than good. For example, treatment of patients with germline intragenic PTEN mutations or those with neoplasias containing somatic intragenic mutations may see a raise of mutant, inactive protein. Recently, PTEN has been shown to induce gain-of-function p53 protein suggesting that TZD treatment in this setting may subsequently induce mutant, nonbeneficial p53 protein. Additionally, our work and others have suggested that not all of TZDs signal through the same pathways, at least in cell culture conditions [21]. Rosi is the only TZD that is known to increase PTEN in breast cancer lines, which indicates that each TZD may lead to its own individual side effects. Indeed in 2000, troglitazone (Rezulin) was pulled off the market due to liver toxicity. Interestingly, to date, this has not been observed with other TZDs [33]. A recent study demonstrated that Rosi (Avandia) increases the risk of heart complications, specifically heart attacks; however these results have yet to be replicated [34]. This indicates that the significance of Rosi treatment on cardiac function needs to be examined further. Indeed, in this first study, important results, which came to the opposite conclusions, were not included in the meta-analysis. In spite of this, a deeper understanding of the signaling mechanisms behind these side effects should open the door to both new avenues of cancer treatment and personalized health care, allowing physicians to properly weigh the benefits against the known side effects prior to prescribing such a treatment.

Drug-drug interactions are another aspect that physicians will need to be aware of. Bonofiglio’s PPARγ-ER-PTEN results are significant in the context of breast cancer and hormone therapies [22]. Their data suggest that women treated with hormones, either through birth control or hormonal therapies, may not benefit from cotreatment with a PPARγ agonist. This further suggests that hormone treatment may actually be detrimental by inhibiting naturally occurring PTEN transcription.

1.5. The translation of PPARγ and PTEN into the clinic

A recent study has suggested that Rosi treatment could be beneficial to patients with Gefitinib-resistant lung cancer [24], a cancer which is typically correlated with the loss of PTEN protein. Lee et al. have shown that in the human lung cancer cell line, A549, the combined treatment of Rosi and Gefitinib was more beneficial than Gefitinib treatment alone [24]. Taken together, these data provide support that the upregulation of PTEN levels with Rosi treatment may reverse the Gefitinib resistance in these patients. Such a treatment could have the potential to be advantageous to patients with both sporadic and familial cancer.

PPARγ status is only now beginning to be examined in the in vivo cancer setting, however the TZDs have been used in a variety of clinical trials, although not directly related to PPARγ activation. Seemingly, out of the ordinary, polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the most commonly studied syndrome with regards to the effects of TZD treatment [35]. While there is still much debate on what treatment is best for these patients, the majority believe that Rosi treatment would be beneficial. Thirty-eight women with early stage breast cancer were treated with Rosi for 2–6 weeks with tumor growth inhibition or progression as an end point [36]. The data indicate that short-term Rosi therapy in early-stage breast cancer patients has both local and systemic effects on PPARγ signaling. Both of these studies suggest that Rosi may be used clinically to benefit cancer patients.

1.6. PPARγ and PTEN’s future

The culmination of these data strongly suggests that Rosi stimulation may be advantageous to the cancer patient. However, lacking in many of these studies is the role of PTEN. To date, in vitro data has demonstrated a connection between PPARγ and PTEN, yet no in vivo study has concretely confirmed these results. The results obtained from these studies would concretely determine if Rosi treatment is advantageous for cancer patients by upregulating PTEN expression through PPARγ.

While clinical trials are necessary to determine if Rosi treatment is truly beneficial for cancer patients and which patients it is most advantageous for, much remains to be learned at the molecular level. The relevance of the putative PPRE in the PTEN promoter identified by Bonofiglio et al. remains to be determined (Figure 2) [22]. This PPRE is located a long distance from the ATG site, thus making it unclear if this site is functional in regulating PTEN expression. It will be interesting to find out the role of this unique site.

While evidence suggests that TZDs induce PTEN expression through PPARγ, further studies are warranted to determine the exact mechanism of action. Evidence by our group suggests that PPARγ may regulate PTEN expression through both transcription-dependent and -independent mechanisms [37]. While this may add to the complexity of the role of PPARγ, with regards to PTEN, it may also provide other areas for therapeutic advances. Interestingly, while studying the ability of statins to induce PTEN expression, we observed that statins increase PTEN transcription via an unknown PPARγ-mediated mechanism [37]. Retrospectively, we observed a similar response with Rosi stimulation indicating that PPARγ is necessary; however its transcriptional activity is not. These results suggest that PPARγ may induce PTEN transcription through an unknown mechanism and an unrecognized transcription factor; however this remains to be determined.

2. CONCLUSION

In recent years, there has been a growing accumulation of data implicating the importance of both PPARγ and PTEN in
cancer prevention, development, and treatment. In vitro data has demonstrated that PPARγ agonists can induce functional PTEN protein that controls cellular growth. In vivo data has suggested that PPARγ genetic alteration can lead to cancer development, while its agonists can inhibit tumor progression. Despite this progress, we are only beginning to determine the roles of these two proteins and their complex interactions. Undoubtedly, future studies will clarify the PPARγ-PTEN connection providing a variety of targets that may lead to novel therapeutic treatments for cancer patients.
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