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The Role of PPARγ in the Transcriptional Control by Agonists and Antagonists
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In recent years, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) has been reported to be a target for the treatment of type II diabetes. Furthermore, it has received attention for its therapeutic potential in many other human diseases, including atherosclerosis, obesity, and cancers. Recent studies have provided evidence that the endogenously produced PPARγ antagonist, 2,3-cyclic phosphatidic acid (cPA), which is similar in structure to lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), inhibits cancer cell invasion and metastasis in vitro and in vivo. We recently observed that cPA negatively regulates PPARγ function by stabilizing the binding of the corepressor protein, silencing mediator of retinoic acid and thyroid hormone receptor. We also showed that cPA prevents neointima formation, adipocyte differentiation, lipid accumulation, and upregulation of PPARγ target gene transcription. We then analyzed the molecular mechanism of cPA’s action on PPARγ. In this paper, we summarize the current knowledge on the mechanism of PPARγ-mediated transcriptional activity and transcriptional repression in response to novel lipid-derived ligands, such as cPA.

1. Introduction

Nuclear receptors (NRs) bind to small lipophilic molecules, such as steroids [1] thyroid hormones and active forms of retinoids [2]. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) were originally cloned as orphan receptors in 1990 [1, 3]. There are 48 members encoded in the human genome [4]. Subsequently, several clinical studies were performed on clofibric as ligands for PPARα [5, 6]. PPARα is highly expressed in the liver and is considered the key player in the hepatic fasting response [7, 8]. Clofibrics are a pharmaceutical tool for reducing triglyceride levels and increasing high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol [9]. Other closely related receptors encoded by different genes were subsequently cloned and named PPARδ [10] and PPARγ [11].

PPARγ is a member of the nuclear receptor gene family that plays a central role in the regulation of glucose and lipid homeostasis. Activation of PPARγ by thiazolidinediones (TZDs) leads to altered metabolism in adipose tissue, skeletal muscle cells, and liver, resulting in insulin sensitization [12]. PPARγ agonists also promote adipocytic differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells and stimulate the uptake of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) by macrophages, leading to foam cell formation in the arterial wall [13, 14]. There is considerable evidence supporting a deleterious role for oxidized phospholipids and fatty acids as important signaling molecules in the context of atherosclerotic lesions [15]. Rother et al. reported that lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) antagonists abolish platelet aggregation elicited by mild oxidation of LDL (mox-LDL), indicating that LPA plays an essential role in the thrombogenic effects of mox-LDL [16]. When applied topically to the carotid artery wall in rodents, LPA and the TZD drug rosiglitazone induced PPARγ-mediated intimal thickening [13]. Although their functional roles in the PPARγ transcriptional pathway are not well defined, we recently found that production of cyclic phosphatidic acid (cPA), a simple phospholipid, inhibits transcription of PPARγ target genes that normally drive adipocytic differentiation, lipid accumulation in macrophages, and arterial wall remodeling [14]. We also investigated the structure-activity relationship of activation by naturally occurring lysophospholipids. We found that
cPA inhibits PPARγ [14, 17] with high specificity through stabilizing its interaction with the corepressor, silencing mediator of retinoic acid and thyroid hormone receptor (SMRT) [14]. These results suggest that cPA is partly mediated by the PPARγ signaling pathway. In this paper, we focus on recent advances in the understanding of the interaction of PPARγ with lipid-derived ligands, particularly focusing on the regulation of PPARγ in response to the endogenous lysophosphatidic acid analogs LPA, alkyl-LPA, and cPA.

2. Mechanism of PPARγ-Mediated Effects

2.1. Agonist Regulation of PPARγ. PPARγ is most often implicated in lipid metabolism and insulin sensitivity [18, 19]. There are 2 PPARγ isoforms, PPARγ1 and PPARγ2. PPARγ2 has 30 additional amino acids at the N-terminus in humans [20] and is generated from the same gene by mRNA splicing [21]. While PPARγ1 is expressed with a broad tissue distribution, PPARγ2 is highly expressed in adipocytes [22], adipose tissue [19], macrophages [23], stomach [24, 25], and colon [26–28]. The role of PPARγ has been extensively studied, and a variety of synthetic and physiological agonists have been identified. Several lines of study have suggested that the binding of different PPARγ ligands can induce a range of distinct PPARγ conformations [29]. PPARγ contains a DNA-binding domain (DBD) that binds to hormone response elements in the promoter of its target genes. Upon agonist binding, PPARγ forms a heterodimer with retinoid X receptors (RXRs). PPARγ activation induces a conformational change in the ligand-dependent activation domain (AF-2 helix) located in the c-terminal ligand-binding domain (LBD), which allows coactivator recruitment, corepressor release, and formation of the heterodimeric PPARγ-RXR complex. PPARγ-RXR heterodimer binds the peroxisome proliferator response element (PPRE) in the promoter region of the target genes [30, 31]. The PPARγ-LBD is composed of 13 α-helices and a small 4-stranded β-sheet that forms a ~1440-Å hydrophobic ligand-binding pocket of the nuclear receptor, which binds many different ligands [32]. Together, these findings suggest that these domains are involved not only in ligand recognition but also in protein-protein interactions.

2.2. Synthetic and Natural PPARγ Agonists. In the last decade, both synthetic and natural PPARγ agonists have been explored for their biological and physiological functions [33]. Synthetic PPARγ agonists, which include rosiglitazone (Avandia) (Figure 1) [34, 35], troglitazone (Rezulin, withdrawn by the FDA due to causing liver failure) [36, 37], and pioglitazone (Actos; Takeda Pharmaceutical Ltd.) [38, 39], have provided insight into the therapeutic potential of PPARγ. These compounds are specific PPARγ ligands with Kd in the 40–500 nM range [34, 40]. They are effective as insulin-sensitizing agents, reducing insulin resistance and lowering plasma glucose levels in patients with type II diabetes (previously known as noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, NIDDM). Recently, these drugs have also been found to be effective in regulating cell proliferation and differentiation [25]. PPARγ activation by its ligands can induce growth arrest, differentiation, and apoptosis of cancer cells. Similarly, PPARγ heterozygous knockout mice have increased susceptibility to chemical carcinogens [41]. Nevertheless, these reports remain controversial and are not well supported. For instance, low concentrations of PPARγ ligands increase cell proliferation, while high concentrations inhibit cell growth in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells [42]. The effective clinical dose of rosiglitazone used in diabetes is 0.11 mg/kg/day [43]. In contrast, the antitumor activity of rosiglitazone in mice requires 100–150 mg/kg/day [43], which is 1,000-fold higher. Therefore, the dosage of PPARγ agonists for cancer therapy must be carefully defined in clinical trials. A recent report suggested that physiological agonists included polyunsaturated acids, such as eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) [44], linoleic acid [45], and oxidized fatty acid metabolites, cyclopentenone prostaglandin 15-deoxy-Δ12,14 (15d-PGJ2) [46], 8(S)-hydroxyicosatetraenoic acid (8(S)-HETE) [47], and the lipoxygenase product, 9-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid (HODE) [23]. These results were surprising, because these compounds are known to mediate their biological effects through interacting with cell-surface GPCRs, including prostaglandin D2 receptors (DP1, DP2) and G protein-coupled receptor 44 (GPR44), prostaglandin E receptors (EP1, EP2, EP3), prostacyclin receptor (IP), thromboxane receptors (TP). However, in 1995, Forman et al. first reported that the prostaglandin D2 derivative, 15d-PGJ2, was a natural intracellular agonist of PPARγ as well as a factor of adipocyte determination [46]. 15d-PGJ2 is a product of the cyclooxygenase pathway and is the final metabolite of prostaglandin D2 (PGD2). Some J-series prostaglandins have been found to bind to PPARγ in the low micromolar range [48]. Although 15d-PGJ2 was initially identified as a high-affinity endogenous ligand (Kd = 300 nM) [46], the physiological role of 15d-PGJ2 remains unclear. In particular, its concentration in vivo is much lower than that required for its biological functions [49]. Furthermore, apoptosis induced by 15d-PGJ2 occurs independently of PPARγ activation and may result from a loss of mitochondrial membrane potential and the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [50, 51].

2.3. Lipid-Derived PPARγ Agonists. A number of natural ligands for PPARγ have been identified and include 2 main groups of compounds, fatty acids, and phospholipids. More recently, select phospholipids, such as LPA [52], alkyl-glycerophosphate (alkyl-LPA) [53], hexadecyl azelaoyl phosphatidylcholine (azPC) [54], and nitrolinoleic acid and related metabolites [55], have been identified. LPA (Figure 1) has been reported as a bioactive lipid and is derived from hydrolysis of plasma membrane phospholipids [56, 57]. LPA is already well established as a ligand for specific LPA GPCRs belonging to the endothelial cell differentiation gene family [58] and is formed during mox-LDL [13]. Although exogenous LPA can activate PPARγ [52, 59], the reported Kd of PPARγ with acyl-LPA(18:1) is in the high micromolar range, which is at least an order of magnitude higher
termed azPC, as a high-affinity fragmented alkyl phospholipid in oxidized LDL (oxLDL), [60]. However, Davies et al. first reported an oxidatively of nonspecific binding and reduces physiological significance of PPARγ [53]. Alkyl-LPA, but not acyl-LPA, accumulates in mox-LDL with an affinity of approximately 40 nM, which is equivalent to TZD drugs, like rosiglitazone [54]. Shortly after, our group identified a naturally occurring ether analog of LPA, cPA. cPA is a weak agonist of plasma membrane LPA receptors, whereas cPA is an inhibitor of PPARγ. Rosiglitazone is a thiazolidinedione (TZD) class of antidiabetics and is full agonist of PPARγ.

than its physiological concentration [52]. Examining the specificity of lipid-derived ligands, such as LPA, for PPARγ is complicated by their poor water solubility and by the need to physically separate PPARγ-bound and -free ligands for measuring the $K_d$. Poor water solubility leads to a high degree of nonspecific binding and reduces physiological significance [60]. However, Davies et al. first reported an oxidatively fragmented alkyl phospholipid in oxidized LDL (oxLDL), termed azPC, as a high-affinity phospholipid-derived ligand of PPARγ [54]. Radiolabeled azPC was shown to bind PPARγ with an affinity of approximately 40 nM, which is equivalent to TZD drugs, like rosiglitazone [54]. Shortly after, our group identified a naturally occurring ether analog of LPA, alkyl-LPA (Figure 1), a high-affinity partial agonist of PPARγ [53]. Alkyl-LPA, but not acyl-LPA, accumulates in mox-LDL and more potently activates PPARγ-mediated transcription compared to acyl-LPA [53]. Binding studies using γ-globulin and polyethylene glycol 8000 (PEG) precipitation showed that binding of radiolabeled alkyl-LPA was concentration dependent and saturable with an apparent $K_d$ of 60 nM [53]. To determine the molecular basis of the high-affinity binding to PPARγ, we used molecular modeling techniques to computationally dock alkyl-LPA within the PPARγ pocket residues [53]. Ligand-binding specificity was imposed by the size and charge of the amino acids lining the ligand-binding pocket [61]. Alkyl-LPA hydrocarbons did not form hydrogen bonds with the 2 histidines (His-323 and His-449) as rosiglitazone does [53]. In contrast, the phosphate head group of alkyl-LPA is predicted to make a salt bridge with Arg-288, a residue that is not engaged by rosiglitazone [53]. R288A mutants showed reduced alkyl-LPA binding and reduced transcriptional activity in response to 10 μM alkyl-LPA [53]. The Arg-288 residue likely plays a role in distinguishing the interactions of PPARγ with alkyl-LPA versus rosiglitazone [53]. These results highlight distinct interactions between alkyl-LPA and rosiglitazone with select residues within the PPARγ-ligand-binding domain.

3. Synthetic and Natural PPARγ Antagonists

As mentioned above, many studies have investigated the roles of PPARγ agonists in many diseases, such as cardiovascular disease in diabetics [62], autoimmune encephalomyelitis [63], lung disease [64], and Alzheimer’s disease [65]. However, relatively few reports have described the mechanisms of PPARγ antagonists. Wright et al. reported that bisphenol A diglycidyl ether (BADGE), which is a compound used in the manufacture of industrial plastics, is a synthetic antagonist of PPARγ with a $K_d$ of 100 μM [66]. BADGE can antagonize rosiglitazone’s activation of PPARγ transcriptional activity and adiogenic action in 3T3-L1 and 3T3-F442A preadipocyte cells. BADGE also affected the expression of different adipocyte-specific markers, including adipocyte fatty acid-binding protein (aP2), glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GPD), glucose transporter type 4 (GLUT4), and adipin. However, Bishop-Bailey et al. reported that BADGE is a PPARγ agonist in a human urinary bladder carcinoma cell line, ECV304, that stably expresses the rat acyl-CoA PPAR response element (PPRE) linked to drive the expression of luciferase [67]. Furthermore, Nakamura et al. reported that BADGE is a PPARγ agonist in the macrophage-like cell line, RAW 264.7, and suppressed tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) production [68]. These reports suggest that the regulation of PPARγ activation or inhibition may have greater cell-type specificity than previously thought. Riusset et al. reported that dimethyl α-(dimethoxyphosphinyl)-p-chlorobenzyl phosphate (SR-202) is a selective synthetic PPARγ antagonist that blocks adipocyte differentiation induced by troglitazone [69]. SR-202 attenuates agonist-induced PPARγ transcriptional activity (IC50 = 140 μM) and improves insulin sensitivity in diabetic ob/ob mice. It also increases HDL levels in rats, indicating its potential for treating obesity and type II diabetes. PD068235, a reported PPARγ antagonist, inhibited rosiglitazone-dependent PPARγ transcriptional activity with an IC50 of 0.84 μM and prevented association with the agonist-induced coactivator, SRC-1 [70]. PD068235 itself did not significantly change PPARγ transcriptional activity;
however, cotreatment with rosiglitazone dose dependently decreased PPARγ transcriptional activity. 2-chloro-5-nitrobenzamide (GW9662) is a potent, irreversible, and selective PPARγ antagonist (IC₅₀ = 3.3 nM) in both cell-free and cell-based assays, which acts by covalently modifying a cysteine residue (Cys 286) in the PPARγ-LBD [71]. Interestingly, GW9662 enhanced the inhibitory effect of the agonist rosiglitazone on breast cancer cells rather than rescuing tumor growth, suggesting that PPARγ activation may not be involved in inhibition of survival and cell growth caused by agonists [72]. In 2002, a very potent and selective non-TZD-derived PPARγ antagonist, 2-chloro-5-nitro-N-4-pyridinylbenza (T0070907), was newly identified [73]. It was reported to bind PPARγ with a high affinity (IC₅₀ = 1 nM) and block adipocyte differentiation. Furthermore, T0070907 promoted the recruitment of the transcriptional corepressor NCoR [74] as a result of binding to PPARγ and causing conformational changes. In contrast, very few endogenous PPARγ antagonists have been described. Prostaglandin F2α (PGF2α) was first described as naturally occurring PPARγ antagonist; it potently inhibits adipocyte differentiation in 3T3-L1 cells [75]. A main step in the synthesis of PGF2α is the conversion of arachidonic acid into the unstable intermediate prostaglandin H2 (PGH2) through the activity of cyclooxygenase (COX) [76]. PGF2α induces MAP kinase activation, leading to the phosphorylation of PPARγ at Ser 112. This effect suggests that PGF2α indirectly antagonized PPARγ induction and inhibited adipocyte differentiation [75]. Our recent work identified CPA (Figure 1) as a naturally occurring PPARγ antagonist generated by phospholipase D2 (PLD2). CPA is an analog of LPA with a 5-atom ring linking the phosphate to 2 of the glycerol carbons. CPA is found in diverse organisms, from slime mold to humans [77, 78]; however, its functions are largely unknown. The concentration of CPA in human serum is estimated to be ~10 nM, which is ~100-fold lower than that of LPA. Although CPA is structurally similar to LPA, it has several unique actions. CPA inhibits cell proliferation, induces actin stress fiber formation, promotes differentiation and survival of cultured embryonic hippocampal neurons, inhibits LPA-induced platelet aggregation, and suppresses cancer cell invasion and metastasis in vitro and in vivo [79–81].

4. Transcriptional Corepressors and Epigenetic Modifications

4.1. PPARγ Ligands and Epigenetic Control. We showed that CPA negatively regulates PPARγ functions by stabilizing the SMRT-PPARγ complex [14]. Epigenetic mechanisms are often responsible for regulating specific gene activation and repression [82]. DNA methylation and histone modification serve as epigenetic markers for active or inactive chromatin. Gene repression through posttranslational modification is targeted to specific DNA sites through DNA methylation [83]. Epigenesis plays a vital role in the regulation of gene expression; DNA methylation plays an important role in these structural changes [84]. DNA methylation occurs on cytosine bases and is catalyzed by DNA methyltransferases.

In general, DNA methylation is thought to repress gene transcription through either directly preventing the binding of transcription factors or by creating binding sites for methyl-binding proteins [85]. Several studies have reported that epigenetic regulatory mechanisms are involved in the transcriptional activation of PPARγ in 3T3-L1 adipocytes [86]. Fujiki et al. recently reported that the PPARγ gene is regulated by DNA methylation of its promoter region, which reduces expression of PPARγ [87]. These findings suggest that DNA methylation of the PPARγ promoter contributes to its expression during adipocyte differentiation.

Acetylation of core histone proteins occurs on specific lysine residues, creating a neutral charge that loosens DNA-histone interactions and permits the binding of transcription factors [88]. Many proteins have been identified as coregulators that can be recruited by nuclear receptors to affect transcriptional regulation. The corepressor for PPARγ is a protein complex containing histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3) and SMRT or NCoR. A number of PPARγ interacting partners have been identified, many of which are known epigenetic regulators, including HDAC3 [89, 90]. HDACs repress gene expression by deacetylating histones and condensing chromatin. Many nuclear receptors, including PPARγ in the unliganded or antagonist-bound state, repress transcription by recruiting corepressors [91, 92], which bind to the heterodimer to suppress target gene activation. The nuclear receptor corepressor NCoR and SMRT are structurally related and extensively studied corepressors. NCoR and SMRT are encoded by separate loci but share a similar modular structure. The N-terminus contains several repression domains (RDs). The PPARγ AF2 domain is accessible and can interact with the extended LXXXIIXXXL consensus motif of NR corepressors [93]. These corepressor complexes significantly regulate the control of transcription in inactive states [8], NCoR and SMRT nucleate a core co-repressor complex that contains HDAC3, transducin β-like 1 (TBL1), TBL1-related protein (TBLR1), and G protein pathway suppressor 2 (GPS2), forming a functional holocomplex [94]. HDAC3 is found in a tight complex with SMRT and NCoR in diverse repression pathways [95]. These 2 corepressors recruit HDAC3 to specific promoters, where it deacetylates histones and mediates silencing of the corresponding genes. TBL1 is a 6 WD-40 repeat-containing protein (also known as beta-transducin repeat) that was identified as a subunit of the SMRT complex [96]. Both TBL1 and TBLR1 interact directly with SMRT and NCoR but not with HDAC3. They activate PPARγ-dependent transcription in response to rosiglitazone. The transcriptional activity of PPARγ is controlled by DNA-binding activity and nuclear receptor cofactors [97]. These corepressor complexes associate with a variety of factors that mediate transcription repression.

4.2. CPA-Induced Corepressor SMRT and Interaction with Human Diseases. Our recent report used a corepressor 2-hybrid assay to show that CPA negatively regulates PPARγ function by stabilizing the SMRT-PPARγ complex (Figure 2) and blocks rosiglitazone-stimulated adipogenesis and lipid
accumulation in 3T3-L1 and RAW264.7 macrophage-like cells [14]. This ligand-dependent corepressor exchange results in transcriptional repression of genes involved in the control of insulin action as well as a diverse range of other functions [98]. We also demonstrated that activation of PLD2-mediated cPA production by insulin or topical application of cPA together with PPARγ agonists prevents neointima formation, adipocytic differentiation, lipid accumulation, and upregulation of PPARγ target genes [13, 14]. Atherosclerosis is the leading cause of death among cardiovascular diseases. Neointima formation is a common feature of an atherosclerotic artery and is characterized by smooth muscle cell (SMC) proliferation and extracellular matrix deposition in the vascular intimal layer. Yoshida et al. first reported that LPA and species containing unsaturated LPA (16:1, 18:1 and 18:2) induced neointima formation when injected into the rat carotid artery [99]. Furthermore, LPA and alkyl-LPA induced neointima formation through the activation of PPARγ, whereas cPA inhibited PPARγ-mediated arterial wall remodeling in a non-injury infusion model [13, 14]. These results suggest that PPARγ is required for LPA-induced neointima formation. PPARγ antagonists should continue to be developed, as they have the clinical potential for preventing neointimal vascular lesions.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have focused on recent developments elucidating the role of lysophospholipids in intracellular signaling and PPARγ activation and inhibition. Our proposed mechanism of action for the cPA-PPARγ axis is summarized in Figure 2. Lysophospholipids fulfill dual role as mediators, through the activation of cell surface GPCRs, and as intracellular second messengers, through the activation and inhibition of PPARγ. PPARγ-corepressor interactions are physiologically relevant, as reports have demonstrated the involvement of chromatin-modifying cofactors in diseases, such as cancer [100] and metabolic syndrome diseases [101]. However, the physiological context of these compounds in PPARγ signaling is still unclear. Further clarification of the PPARγ-cPA axis could allow the synthesis of novel medicines that modulate PPARγ.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by research grants from the Astellas Foundation for Research on Metabolic Disorders (to T. Tsukahara), Takeda Science Foundation (to T. Tsukahara) and Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 22591482 (to
References


T. Tsukahara) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).


