Electrical nerve stimulation can be used as a tool in fMRI studies of pain- and tingling-evoked activations
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OBJECTIVES/HYPOTHESES: To determine whether transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) provides adequate, inexpensive and simple means to image innocuous and pain-related activations in the thalamus and cortex.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS: High resolution functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) was used to obtain functional data sets on a 1.5T General Electric echospeed scanner (General Electric, Milwaukee) from six axial slices during interleaved periods of rest and TENS at either nonpainful tingling or painful intensities. The volume of brain imaged allowed inspection of stimulation-related activations in the thalamus, insula and second somatosensory cortex (S2).

RESULTS: Tingling TENS activations were identified primarily in the contralateral posterolateral thalamus. Painful TENS activations were found in the contralateral posterolateral thalamus, medial and/or anterior thalamus. The insula and S2 were activated in four of the subjects with tingling TENS and in all subjects with painful TENS. Tingling TENS activations were located in the posterior insula, whereas pain-related activations were located in the anterior insula. Painful TENS activations found in S2 overlapped with tingling TENS activations.

CONCLUSIONS: These findings demonstrate that TENS is a simple mode of stimulation that produces fairly consistent cortical activations, especially at painful levels, and thus may be useful in carefully designed and controlled clinical fMRI studies of pain and touch.
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A scissoring nociceptive and non-nociceptive pathways are classically thought to relay in one or more regions of the thalamus, and then ascend to multiple cortical regions such as the primary (S1) and the secondary (S2) somatosensory cortices, the insula cortex and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (1-3). Advances in functional neuroimaging technologies have facilitated the study of thalamic and cortical representation of touch and pain in normal human subjects (4-11). These technologies offer the potential for the study of patients with altered somatosensory function. However, functional imaging in a clinical setting requires a mode of stimulation that is simple, safe, relatively inexpensive and can produce reliable results. We recently demonstrated that thermal stimuli can activate pain and thermal pathways, but that they require a specially designed MRI-compatible, computer-controlled, thermal stimulator. Furthermore, the ensuing results were hampered by intersubject variability (11,12), in part because of the variable, overall psychophysical experience evoked by noxious heat and cold stimuli. In contrast, our early studies of ACC and S1 function demonstrated that transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) of the median nerve is compatible with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and can be used to study innocuous tingling and pain-related cortical activation in normal human subjects (6,9). Because TENS is a relatively inexpensive, simple mode of activating low and high threshold afferents, it may be a valuable tool to assess touch- and pain-evoked brain activation. Therefore, the present study sought to test the applicability of TENS in studies of innocuous systems and pain systems by expanding our knowledge of individual TENS-evoked pain activations beyond S1 and ACC to other cerebral structures, namely the thalamus, insula and S2 cortex, which are presumed to play a role in touch and pain perception.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects: Six healthy subjects (five male, one female) were recruited from students and staff at the University of Toronto and Toronto Hospital, Toronto, Ontario. Subjects ranged in age from 30 to 37 years and were all right-handed. All subjects gave informed consent to procedures approved by the University of Toronto Human Subjects Review Committee. Each subject was then familiarized with the TENS stimulation equipment and given test stimuli to ensure that the electrodes were adequately placed to evoke tingling and pain in the hand at intensities that were tolerable. The experimental protocol was fully explained to each subject so that they understood that the stimuli would be cycling on and off every 39 s throughout imaging.

TENS stimulation: A clinical Direct Current-powered neuromuscular stimulator (Medtronic respond II, model 3128, Medtronic, Minneapolis) was used to deliver TENS to the right median nerve. Details of the TENS stimulation device and safety precautions for use in an MRI environment have been previously published (6,9). Long leads (approximately 6.1 m), housed in plastic tubing to help prevent looping of the wires, were used briefly to distance the TENS unit far from the bore of the MRI. The device delivered continuous trains of stimuli at 50 Hz that were cycled on and off at 39 s intervals. Before imaging, each subject selected a stimulus intensity that evoked nonpainful tingling sensations and higher intensity that evoked painful sensations. Subjects were instructed to attend to the stimuli and to be prepared to give a verbal rating of the pain intensity following the test.

Imaging sequences: Subjects were positioned supine on the MRI table and their heads stabilized in the head coil with pillows and foam padding. Care was taken to ensure that the subjects were as comfortable as possible to help reduce head movement; lights were dimmed, a support was placed under their knees if desired, and they were told to relax and keep their eyes closed throughout the imaging. Functional images were acquired with a spiral sequence (13,14) on a 1.5T General Electric echospeed MRI system. Slice locations were planned from a sagittal localizer to allow inspection of the thalamus, S2 and insula. Six 4 mm thick contiguous axial slices parallel to the anterior (AC) and posterior (PC) commissures line were selected, the most inferior slice 0 to 2 mm superior to the AC-PC line. Functional activation maps were overlayed onto high resolution images (T2-weighted, fast spin echo or T1-weighted spoiled gradient recalled echo). Functional sequence parameters were: field of view 22 × 22 cm, in plane resolution 1.7 mm × 1.7 mm, TR 40 ms, TE 480 ms, four interleaves, 1.92 s/vol, 140 to 160 images/slice location/task.

Experimental protocol: Each subject underwent a ‘tingling TENS’ experimental session followed by a ‘painful TENS’ experimental session. There was approximately 5 to 10 mins of rest (no stimulation) between these sessions. In each of these experiments, sequences consisted of seven to eight cycles of ‘no stimulation’ (39 s) and ‘TENS stimulation’ (39 s). The first images of each sequence were obtained with the stimulator off. To avoid undue movement artifacts during im-
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TABLE 1
Individual pain ratings and activations across all modalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject #-sex</th>
<th>Tingle TENS activations</th>
<th>Painful TENS activations</th>
<th>Pain intensity rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-M</td>
<td>■■</td>
<td>■■■■</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-M</td>
<td>■■■■</td>
<td>■■■</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-M</td>
<td>■■■■</td>
<td>■■■■</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-F</td>
<td>■■</td>
<td>■■■</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-M</td>
<td>■■■■</td>
<td>■■■■■■</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-M</td>
<td>■■■■</td>
<td>■■■■■■</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3±0.4*  

*Mean ± SE; ■ Activation identified in a particular brain region during a given task. BG Basal ganglia (caudate, putamen or globus pallidus); I Insular cortex; S2 Primary somatosensory cortex; T Thalamus; TENS Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation

Results

TENS stimuli were tolerated well by all subjects at both tingling and painful levels. According to subjective reports obtained after the imaging sessions, the TENS intensity used for the ‘tingling’ sessions evoked only nonpainful sensations. TENS-evoked sensations projected primarily to the peripheral distribution of the median nerve in the lateral hand area, although sometimes prickling was noted also at the electrode sites during painful TENS. The overall rating of pain intensity evoked during each imaging session was 6.3±0.4 (mean ± SE). Individual subject ratings for pain evoked during imaging are shown in Table 1. Further psychophysical testing of the time course of TENS-evoked pain conducted in a separate, nonimaging session revealed that pain was evoked throughout each stimulus block with little or no interstimulus interaction between trial blocks (Figure 1).

The incidence and distribution of thalamic, insula and S2 activations are shown in Tables 1 and 2, and a composite of all activations is represented in Figure 2. These activations represent only pixels that met the statistical criteria and activation profile described in the methods. Thalamic activation was detected in all but one subject during tingling and painful intensities of TENS (Table 1). Tingling TENS activations were concentrated in the contralateral posterolateral part of the thalamus, although some activations also were noted ipsilaterally. The painful TENS activations also were concentrated on the contralateral side with some bilateral activations. Many of the pain activations were located in the posterolateral region but also were identified in the medial thalamus and anterior thalamus. Although there was overlap between tingling- and pain-evoked activations in some subjects, the pain activations typically encompassed an enlarged region or some separate voxels compared with the tingling activations. An example of a subject with non-overlapping...
tingling and pain activations is shown in Figure 3. In this subject, the tingling TENS activated the posterolateral thalamus, whereas the painful TENS activated the medial and anterior thalamus.

The insula and S2 were activated in 67% of subjects during the tingling TENS. S2 activations were located either contralaterally or bilaterally. All tingling-related activations were located in the posterior insula, either contralaterally or bilaterally. There were no tingling-evoked activations in the anterior insula. In contrast, the painful TENS activated the anterior insula and S2 in all subjects; however, one subject had pain-related activations in both the anterior and the posterior insula. An example of the distinction between anterior and posterior insula activations is shown in Figure 3. Furthermore, pain-related S2 activations were typically more extensive, and frequently included multiple activations (Figure 3).

Tingling- and pain-related activations also were noted in the contralateral or ipsilateral basal ganglia in some subjects. The distribution of these activations included the globus pallidus and the putamen (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The study provides further evidence that fMRI and TENS can be used as a tool to investigate the pain and touch pathways in individual subjects. The TENS protocol may be particularly suitable for future clinical studies of pain and sensory loss because of its simplicity, low cost and ability to deliver repeated stimuli without the confounds of tissue damage, habituation or sensitization.

In our previous studies (11,12) of the cortical effects of pain evoked by either noxious heat or noxious cold stimuli, we reported notable intersubject variability in pain-related responses. This may have resulted from variable sensory and
pain experiences evoked by these modes of stimulation. Pain evoked by noxious thermal stimuli or mechanical stimuli is dependent on excitation of cutaneous heat-sensitive or mechanically sensitive nociceptors, and also on subsequent complex, central processing of peripheral inputs. In this study, the TENS stimuli would bypass the cutaneous receptors and directly excite a variety of primary afferent nociceptors along the course of their axons. Furthermore, in contrast to thermal stimuli that would excite only nociceptors subserving a circumscribed region of the skin under the probe (approximately 30 mm × 30 mm), a larger number of nociceptors could presumably be excited by TENS because the stimuli are applied directly to the median nerve. Therefore, the TENS technique is a valuable tool for studies that address general questions concerning central processing of pain. However, a word of caution in the interpretation of fMRI results is warranted because the presence of reported activations is based on statistical criteria. For instance, a poor signal to noise ratio and/or conservative statistical cutoff can affect negative findings. Therefore, the lack of a detectable fMRI response cannot be associated with the lack of neuronal activity with absolute certainty.

This study also identified some overlap in the spatial patterns of activation evoked by nonpainful and painful TENS, particularly in the lateral thalamus and the S2. These data confirm anatomical and electrophysiological primate studies (18-24) and a previous human imaging study (4) that demonstrated both low and high threshold inputs to these regions. Because fMRI is an indirect measure of the neuronal and synaptic activity within a volume of brain tissue (ie, as defined by the slice thickness and in-plane resolution), a more precise distinction in the location of regions subserving pain versus touch in humans requires study at the single neuron level. The relatively small voxel size in this study was advantageous to identify clearly some functional specificity in regions such as the medial thalamus and anterior insula that were activated with painful but not innocuous levels of TENS. These data support our previous fMRI study (12) that demonstrated that pain-specific regions are evoked by noxious thermal stimuli. Pain-responsive neurons have been identified in the anterior insula and the medial thalamus in the monkey (1,25). Therefore, although pain and touch systems clearly share some central pathways, there also are distinct thalamic and cortical sites that subserve only pain functions. The exact nature of these pain functions cannot be extracted from the current data, but future imaging investigations should provide insight into the contribution of specific thalamocortical regions in sensory-discriminative, motivational-affective and reflexive dimensions of the pain experience.

CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that TENS is a simple mode of stimulation that can be used in fMRI studies of pain and touch.
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