Central hyperexcitability in chronic musculoskeletal pain: A conceptual breakthrough with multiple clinical implications
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Recent investigations of dysfunctional pain processing in the central nervous system have contributed much knowledge about the development of chronic musculoskeletal pain. Many common chronic musculoskeletal pain syndromes – including regional myofascial pain syndromes, whiplash pain syndromes, refractory work-related neck-shoulder pain, certain types of chronic low back pain, fibromyalgia and others – may essentially be explained by abnormalities in central pain modulation. The growing awareness of dysfunctional central pain modulation may be a conceptual breakthrough leading to a better understanding of common chronic pain disorders. A new paradigm will have multiple clinical implications, including re-evaluation of clinical practice routines and rehabilitation methods, and will focus on controversial issues of medicolegal concern. The concept of dysfunctional central pain processing will also necessitate a mechanism-based classification of pain for the selection of individual treatment and rehabilitation programs for subgroups of patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain due to different pathophysiological mechanisms.
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Hyperexcitabilité centrale et douleur musculo-squelettique chronique : percée conceptuelle ayant de nombreuses répercussions cliniques

RÉSUMÉ : Les nouvelles recherches sur le processus dysfonctionnel de la douleur dans le système nerveux central ont permis d’en apprendre beaucoup sur l’apparition de la douleur musculo-squelettique chronique. De nombreux syndromes de douleur musculo-squelettique chronique, par exemple les douleurs myofasciales régionales, l’entorse cervicale, les...
Dysfunctional central pain modulation and functional inhibition are the two principal mechanisms. Only a few of the complex central mechanisms are outlined in the present paper. Details of abnormal central pain processing in persistent muscle pain have been described by others (14). It has been proposed that disorders characterized by dysfunctional central pain modulation be given the designation ‘disorders of dysfunctional pain’ (15), which will be used in the present review. The terms associated with dysfunctional pain are defined in Appendix 1.

Central sensitization

Experimental animal studies have shown that repetitive noxious input due to tissue damage or inflammation leads to a progressive increase in the magnitude of C-fibre-evoked responses of the dorsal horn neurons. This phenomenon is usually referred to as ‘windup’ and may lead to a 20-fold increase in neuronal sensitivity (5,8). Although it is reversible, windup is thought to initiate persistent sensitization of the dorsal horn neurons (16). Thus, windup and central sensitization are not quite equivalent. However, they are interrelated in that windup leads to expansion of the receptive fields and enhanced responses to C-fibre stimulation, which are some of the characteristics of central sensitization (17). Sensitization of the dorsal horn neurons is associated with the activation (depolarization) of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors (18).

Central sensitization may be a physiological response that normally reverses when the noxious input is eliminated. Kosek and Ordeberg (19) found widespread sensory abnormalities in patients with painful osteoarthritis of the hip, indicating central sensitization. After surgery, the sensory abnormalities reversed, suggesting that they had been maintained by nociceptive inflow. The reason why central sensitization appears to be irreversible in certain chronic pain disorders, such as fibromyalgia, is unknown. Peripheral nociceptive factors cannot be excluded as playing a significant role in some patients.

Thus, while windup does not persist, the central sensitization may be long lasting, as was exemplified in one animal study of central sensitization by the finding that repetitive noxious stimulation of an inflamed extremity resulted in progressive and persistent tactile hypersensitivity (20). Continuing peripheral nociception led to a decreasing pain threshold that remained low even after the noxious input had been discontinued. Substantial documentation from clinical research supports a similar development of refractory chronic pain in humans (5). From a clinical perspective
Central sensitization is associated with the finding of allodynia, hyperalgesia and delayed pain (Appendix 1), all of which are characteristics of chronic pain.

Central sensitization involves functional (neurochemical) as well as structural (neuroanatomical) abnormalities of the dorsal horn neurons (Figure 1). One functional abnormality is the activation of NMDA receptors, which are assumed to be a cause of allodynia and hyperalgesia. Structural changes include the development of dendrites and activation of latent synapses, which in humans may cause the spreading and referral of pain.

The development of neural structural abnormalities in patients with chronic pain is the result of the plasticity of the central nervous system (21). Modern research in the field of neuropsychology has presented evidence of changes in cortical structures after long-standing peripheral nociception (22). In patients with chronic low back pain (CLBP), for example, the somatosensory cortical representation of the lower back has been shown to change and reorganize (23).

Dysfunctional inhibition

In the normally functioning central nervous system, several mechanisms are involved in the inhibition of nociceptive input. A segmental inhibition by the activation of endorphin-dependent neurons in the dorsal horn has been recognized for a long time. Additionally, recent investigations have focused on the descending inhibition (diffuse noxious inhibitory control) from midbrain and brain stem neurons involving neurotransmitters such as serotonin and noradrenaline. Defective descending pain inhibition (dysfunctional inhibition) with deficiencies in serotonin metabolism has been proposed to be one mechanism for the development of fibromyalgia (24-26). Dysfunctional inhibition likely also has a role in other chronic pain disorders and acts in combination with central sensitization.

Symptoms

Evidence from research (3-5,9,14,18) strongly supports the association of certain clinical features (Table 1) with dysfunctional pain. In the absence of objective findings, such symptoms may be difficult to comprehend for the examining physician. The presence of distally referred pain (18,27), and diffuse and inconsistent dysesthesia that characteristically do not follow segmental or neuroanatomical patterns of distribution (Figure 2), is confusing (28,29). Often the patient’s description of pain and the observed pain reactions are interpreted as ‘nonorganic signs’ (30) or as features of ‘psychogenic’ pain. Easily evoked shoulder pain referring to the arm and the hand is frequently found

| Figure 1 | Simplified model of central sensitization and its consequences associated with some clinical characteristics of chronic pain. NMDA N-methyl-D-aspartate |
| Medical history, pain-drawing characteristics and certain clinical findings indicative of dysfunctional central pain modulation in chronic musculoskeletal pain disorders |

### Medical history
- Long duration of pain
- Spreading of pain, sometimes development of widespread pain
- Easily provoked pain – by a mild to moderate physical workload (household work, physical therapy), even after long periods of absence of demanding, physical work tasks
- Muscular weakness in peripheral extremities (‘plates slip out of hand’, hand-work not possible)
- Reduced power of endurance – discontinuation of occupational testing or dropping out of rehabilitation programs that are too intensive
- Absence of treatment effects – acupuncture is generally ineffective or increases pain, and common (peripherally active) analgesics are usually not effective
- Absence of data supporting the possibility of undiagnosed neuropathic pain of peripheral origin

### Pain-drawing characteristics
- Frequent widespread regional or generalized pain
- Mixed quality of pain – both neuropathic (burning, tingling, pricking) and nociceptive (dull, deep, pulsating, diffuse) pain descriptors
- Neuroanatomically diffuse symptoms in peripheral extremities including referred pain and dysesthesia (numbness, pricking sensations, hyperesthesia)
- Frequent peripheral symptoms of autonomous dysfunction (sensations of cold and/or heat, swelling)

### Clinical findings
- Sensory abnormalities; dysesthesia (as above), generally diffuse and transient
- Regional or generalized allodynia and/or hyperalgesia often with ‘jump-sign’
- Hyperalgesic muscle trigger points characterized by peripheral referral of pain and/or dysesthesia
- Reproducible symptoms, ie, the patient recognizes the symptoms evoked (patient recognition)
- Increasing pain, peripheral dysesthesia and/or sense of heaviness minutes or hours after the physical examination, which may persist for hours or days (delayed pain)
- Absence of specific findings indicating neuropathic pain of peripheral origin

---

**TABLE 1**

Medical history, pain-drawing characteristics and certain clinical findings indicative of dysfunctional central pain modulation in chronic musculoskeletal pain disorders
in chronic neck-shoulder disorders such as work-related cervicobrachial pain and whiplash syndromes. Referred pain is a feature of intense pain and may be associated with the activation of synapses connecting to adjacent dorsal horn neurons (Figure 2). Usually, pain can be provoked long after what is considered to be a normal healing time and even years after the initial trauma. Gentle palpation or mild muscular workload easily evokes pain that increases after minutes or hours (temporal summation) and sometimes does not subside until days later (prolonged after-sensation [31]). Such abnormal delayed pain (Table 1) may be a result of NMDA receptor activation.

Figure 2) A model of a mechanism for pain referral in chronic muscle pain due to central hyperexcitability. Dorsal horn neurons normally receiving peripheral nociceptive input from the arm and the hand engage by the activation of latent synaptic connections from noxious input from the shoulder muscles. Shoulder pain refers distally to the arm and hand, characteristically without segmental or otherwise neuroanatomical distribution.

DYSFUNCTIONAL PAIN IN MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS
Not all patients with long-standing nociception present with dysfunctional pain symptoms. Following whiplash injury, only a small minority develop chronic pain, and those with fibromyalgia comprise only about 2% to 3% of the general population. Genetic predisposition, pain experienced in the neonatal period, the intensity and persistence of initial pain, and emotional factors may be important (32-34). However, long-standing and refractory musculoskeletal pain is very common, and people with this disorder make up the largest patient group in many pain management settings (35). There is considerable support for the assertion that many chronic musculoskeletal pain disorders are caused by dysfunctional pain modulation. Some categories are described below.

Post-traumatic pain and whiplash
Dysfunctional pain as a cause of persistent pain after injury has long been discussed in pain research (36). Seemingly insignificant tissue injury (mild injury) may result in disabling pain disorders such as post-traumatic headache syndrome (37) or whiplash-associated dysfunction syndrome (WAD). Intense pain during injury associated with emotional shock may promote the development of central sensitization in predisposed individuals. Thus, chronic refractory pain is also common in patients with post-traumatic stress disorders (PTSD) after traffic accidents, assault or torture (38,39).

The mechanism underlying long-standing pain following whiplash trauma is not well understood (40); it is probably complex, involving both peripheral and central factors. In 5% to 10% of patients with whiplash trauma an easily evocable and chronic cervicobrachial pain syndrome develops (WAD), sometimes with functional deficits due to muscle shortening (41). The majority characteristically also present with regional allodynia and hyperalgesia, distally referring pain, distal dysesthesia (paresthesia, sensory abnormalities) and delayed pain. There is often a history of pain spreading to adjacent body regions.

The frequent absence of demonstrable major bone or nerve damage has focused research on the possibility of muscle or zygapophysial joint injury (42), with primary hyperalgesia and secondary development of central sensitization. Recent clinical research has even suggested that patients with whiplash have generalized central hyperexcitability. Intramuscular infusion of hypertonic saline into the leg of patients with WAD resulted in local hyperalgesia, abnormal spreading of pain and delayed pain (43). Other similar studies have added further support to the presence of generalized dysfunctional pain in WAD. Moog et al (44) reported that whiplash patients experienced hyperalgesia on vibration stimulation outside areas of pain. Curatolo et al (45) presented evidence of lowered pain thresholds after intramuscular electrical stimulation of the lower limb. Interestingly, the clinical symptoms of WAD and the findings on physical examination are identical to those seen in patients with chronic myofascial pain syndromes (MPS) (trigger point syndrome; see below) localized to the neck-shoulder region. Consequently, MPS can be diagnosed in most patients with WAD (40,46-48), and some patients even develop fibromyalgia (49,50).

Central sensitization has been discussed in textbooks on whiplash injury as a possible explanation for chronic pain (51). Sheater-Reid and Cohen (52) presented a detailed description of dysfunctional pain in patients with chronic neck pain disorders, including WAD. A recent review by Munglani (53) of the neurobiological mechanisms underlying WAD focused on central sensitization. Foreman and
Croft (51) suggested that early and effective analgesia after whiplash injury might prevent the development of dysfunctional pain.

**MPS**

Regional muscle pain, usually localized to the neck-shoulder region, is a frequent pain syndrome in Swedish women who are exposed to monotonous, repetitive work (35). In its chronic form, it is characterized by muscle shortening and stiffness, hyperalgesic trigger points with peripherally referring pain, dysesthesia and weakness. Delayed pain is also common. Regional muscle pain with these specific features has been designated MPS (54). Notably, MPS is identical to ‘trigger point syndrome’ as defined by the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) in its Classification of Chronic Pain (55).

The symptoms of MPS may be similar to those of neuropathic pain on first examination (28). MPS is commonly erroneously diagnosed as cervical rhizopathy, thoracic outlet syndrome, carpal tunnel syndrome or some other peripheral nerve entrapment syndrome (28,35). However, information from the medical history, pain-drawing characteristics and clinical findings usually lead to a correct diagnosis (Table 1). Characteristically, and contrary to neuropathic pain due to rhizopathy (nerve root compression) or nerve entrapment, the distally radiating symptoms of MPS are diffuse and override neuroanatomical borders. Moreover, the peripheral sensory abnormalities are variable or transient, causing confusion (28).

The similarity between the features of long-standing muscle pain and neuropathic pain indicate that they have a common causal central mechanism. Experimental investigation has shown that primary muscle nociception, as nerve injury in peripheral neuropathic pain disorders, leads to functional dorsal horn abnormalities (56) associated with hyperalgesia and the spreading of pain that becomes persistent (57). Within a few hours of experimental myositis, dorsal horn neurons activate latent synapses and connect to adjacent cells, which may explain pain referral (Figure 2) and spreading (58). Muscle inflammation has also been demonstrated to cause central hyperexcitability through NMDA receptor activation (59). A review by Coderre et al (60) of the experimental evidence added further support to the concept that tissue damage, not only of peripheral nerves, may lead to central hyperexcitability that sometimes persists long after the normal healing time. Another major review of central hyperexcitability in muscle pain has been presented by Ursin et al (10).

Recent clinical investigations also support the notion that dysfunctional central pain modulation may explain many chronic musculoskeletal pain disorders. Sheater-Reid and Cohen (52,61) presented evidence of a lowered pain threshold and allodynia in patients with chronic cervicobrachial pain syndromes, which indicates central hyperexcitability. Trigger points in chronic MPS have been suggested as regions of secondary hyperalgesia due to central sensitization (12,62). Additionally, a Danish study of patients with MPS has shown that quantitatively altered nociception is a probable cause of central hyperexcitability (63). An increasing number of reports have added further evidence to the model of dysfunctional pain in regional muscular pain disorders in general (9,11,12,27,64), in myofascial tension-type headache (65) and in temporomandibular pain disorders (14,66,67). The finding of dysfunctional pain in temporomandibular disorders has been proposed to be a conceptual breakthrough to a better understanding of the underlying pain mechanism (14).

**Work-related pain syndromes**

The association of muscle pain syndromes in the neck-shoulder region with repetitive, monotonous work is well established (68). In occupational medicine, such pain syndromes are designated ‘occupational cervicobrachial disorders’ (OCDs). The constellation of chronic symptoms of OCD is identical to that of MPS (61,68). When peripheral nociception continues, and in vulnerable individuals, there is an increased risk of developing central hyperexcitability, causing chronic pain. Such a model is supported by the observation that OCD often persists long after the exposure to noxious work has been discontinued (compare with MPS above [60]) and sometimes even years after retirement. In such cases, there is no demonstrable remaining muscle tissue damage (9).

In the 1980s, an increasing number of workers’ compensation claims were made in Australia for what was then termed ‘repetitive strain injury’ (RSI). RSI was generally a refractory cervicobrachial pain disorder characterized by the absence of objective findings of tissue injury. After legislative restrictions, the number of occupational injury claims declined dramatically. This decline was uncritically assumed to be a result of RSI being caused by social and psychogenic factors (9). The problem of RSI is currently being re-evaluated. The previously unexplained symptoms in refractory RSI have been proposed to be caused by central hyperexcitability (9,61).

**CLBP**

Current pathophysiological concepts of CLBP include centrally dysfunctional pain mechanisms (12,69). Results from a recent Swedish doctoral thesis on pharmacological pain analysis supported the view of CLBP as idiopathic, ie, inexplicable, in one-third of patients (70). The infusion of morphine had no effect on pain in patients with neuropathic or idiopathic CLBP. In idiopathic as well as neurogenic pain, morphine resistance may be due to the involvement of activated NMDA receptors, which do not respond to the administration of morphine (12). Thus, in some individuals with CLBP categorized as idiopathic, central hyperexcitability cannot be excluded as an underlying pain mechanism (71).

Assessment of pain thresholds by the use of a dolorimeter has revealed the presence of generalized allodynia in patients with CLBP, even to the extent that fibromyalgia could be diagnosed in some patients (72). Further, one
recent study of CLBP using magnetic source imaging provided strong evidence of enhanced cortical reactivity, which has been suggested to be a consequence of pain-related dorsal horn hyperexcitability (23). The magnitude of the cortical response to tactile stimulation was positively related to the chronicity of pain. Moreover, Sidhall and Cousins (73) recently described central sensitization as well as dysfunctional inhibition in a focus article in Spine. Dysfunctional pain was presented as an important mechanism, adding to the knowledge of chronic back pain. The finding of the primary sensitization of lumbar nerve roots noxiously exposed to tissue leaking from injured disks and the development of secondary central hyperexcitability as a cause of CLBP and sciatica have also been described (74,75).

Fibromyalgia
Previous investigations of fibromyalgia have concentrated on peripheral muscular factors (disturbed microcirculation, damage to endothelial cells and the presence of 'ragged red fibres') as the cause of pain in fibromyalgia. However, such peripheral changes are not specific for fibromyalgia. There is a widely held opinion among fibromyalgia research groups that peripheral muscular changes are not the cause of widespread pain in fibromyalgia. However, in a subgroup comprising about 30% to 40% of patients who develop fibromyalgia, there is a history of preceding regional pain, such as work-related neck-shoulder pain, whiplash pain or low back pain, and local muscle tension may be present. Thus, peripheral nociception may still contribute to persisting regional pain in some patients with fibromyalgia.

In recent years, however, clinical research on fibromyalgia has focused on central hyperexcitability (26,76). The majority of patients do not have a history of a local pain disorder. Many develop chronic widespread pain for no obvious reason, whereas others are still given a diagnosis of fibromyalgia following infection or prolonged emotional distress. Further, fibromyalgia is characterized by widespread allodynia and hyperalgesia, usually involving both superficial tissues (skin, fat) and deeper structures (muscles, joints). These characteristics strongly indicate a generalized (central) dysfunction of pain modulation. There is also considerable evidence associating other symptoms of fibromyalgia, such as abnormal fatigability, exhaustion, cognitive deficiencies, irritable bowel syndrome, etc, with multiple neuroendocrine dysfunction (77), including abnormal central stress responses. Thus, many researchers consider chronic pain in fibromyalgia to be only one symptom of generalized dysfunctional processing in the central nervous system.

In the search for an explanation for allodynia and hyperalgesia in fibromyalgia, central dysfunctional inhibition primarily attracted much interest as the dominating mechanism (24,25,78,79). The finding of decreased serotonin in cerebrospinal fluid supports this concept. However, in recent years, complementary investigations have focused on the development of central sensitization in fibromyalgia. Sörensen (70), for example, demonstrated that an infusion of the NMDA receptor antagonist ketamine reduced pain in the experimental treatment of patients with fibromyalgia. Similar results were reported by Graven-Nielsen et al (18) for a major subgroup of patients with fibromyalgia. The finding of a qualitatively altered nociception in patients with fibromyalgia supports the concept of central hyperexcitability (80). A recent Swedish doctoral thesis presented further evidence of central sensitization in fibromyalgia, although it did not exclude concomitant dysfunctional inhibition (81). Elevated levels of substance P found in cerebrospinal fluid may also contribute to central hyperexcitability in fibromyalgia (82,83).

In summary, there is an increasing body of evidence that refractory and previously poorly understood chronic musculoskeletal pain disorders are caused to a large extent by dysmodulation of central pain processing. Current clinical research will add further support to this model (12). The novel focus on dysfunctional pain does not, however, exclude the possibility that peripheral factors play an important role. Interestingly, anesthetic blocks of the zygapophysial joints have been reported to reduce pain in patients with WAD (84). Thus, peripheral and central factors may interact, in that persistent peripheral stimulation may maintain central hyperexcitability to a lesser or greater extent (18,19,85). Fibromyalgia and WAD may be examples of such an interaction.

CLINICAL CONSEQUENCES
The growing awareness of central pain mechanisms has several important consequences. Most importantly, the concept of dysfunctional pain may stress the need for a mechanism-based pain classification. Other consequences involve the patient-physician relationship, routines in clinical practice, rehabilitation and medicolegal issues. Some of these consequences are discussed below.

Improvement of the patient-physician relationship
In clinical practice, long-standing and complex pain disorders are difficult to understand when pain is diffuse and when ordinary objective clinical findings are lacking (30). Patients become frustrated when they cannot be given an explanation for their suffering. Pain reactions ('jump signs' and delayed pain) and other features of chronic pain may be regarded as nonorganic signs or as evidence of psychological pain behaviour (86). The patient becomes a 'problem patient', and confrontation between doctor and patient is common, adding to the suffering of the patient and to the frustration of the helpless physician.

The concept of dysfunctional pain certainly facilitates a positive patient-physician relationship and a better understanding of patients’ pain and suffering. The model also offers an explanation to the patient (53). Previously inexplicable sensitivity to touch, easily evocable pain and pain referral can be understood in terms of dysmodulation of pain in the central nervous system. The earlier, sometimes one-sided, concept of psychological or ill-defined psychosomatic pain becomes more integrated when a more compre-
hensible neurosomatic dimension of pain is included. Offering a communicable neurophysiological explanation of pain to frustrated patients is of fundamental importance for constructive coping and successful rehabilitation (87).

Revision of clinical routines
The growing knowledge of dysfunctional pain can be expected to result in a re-evaluation of the many routines in medical care.

- Continuing the search for peripheral noxious stimuli may not be meaningful. Identification of clinical signs associated with dysfunctional pain (Table 1) will likely be more rewarding.
- Physical therapy that is too intensive may be harmful and is generally contraindicated. Central hyperexcitability increases pain, and patients may lose motivation, even for more appropriate rehabilitation programs.
- Surgical and invasive procedures should be avoided. Strict indications for surgery of assumed carpal tunnel syndrome and other suspected peripheral nerve entrapment disorders should be considered. Increasing awareness of dysfunctional pain is necessary in surgical specialties.
- Early preventive measures in occupational medicine are essential. Harmful work should be discontinued. For certain individuals, continuing noxious labour may lead to refractory dysfunctional pain, long term sick leave and permanent disability.

Criticism of vocational rehabilitation programs
Patients with chronic pain due to dysfunctional pain have often been referred to vocational rehabilitation programs inspired by the so-called work-hardening model, characterized by a stepwise increase in muscular workload in various types of physical training. In patients suffering from dysfunctional pain, such vocational programs may cause increasing central hyperexcitability. Dropping out of the program due to increasing pain and disability has sometimes been regarded as motivational failure and may have disastrous medicolegal and economic consequences for the patient.

Injured patients who have participated in work-hardening programs are still common as late referrals to specialized pain management settings. These individuals are often refractory to further treatment or more adequate rehabilitation. In the 1990s, official Scandinavian vocational rehabilitation guidelines recommended work-hardening programs. Through such guidelines, general practitioners in Sweden were informed that the absence of verifiable clinical findings indicated an “inappropriate augmentation of symptoms or inadequate pain behaviour” and that widespread pain was caused by psychological overreaction. Many patients referred to certain work-hardening vocational rehabilitation programs deteriorated, even to the extent that their rehabilitation had to be discontinued (88). Thus, in one study comprising 168 patients, no less than half of the patients dropped out of rehabilitation (89). Several Scandinavian studies have similarly reported poor results of the work-hardening model (90-92). In Sweden, financial support from the National Insurance Authority has been approved for work-hardening programs without previous consensus from pain medicine or rehabilitation specialists (93).

Medicolegal re-evaluation
The previously widely held opinion that chronic pain is caused mainly by primary psychological and/or psychosocial factors is not supported by strong scientific evidence. Due to the awareness of misleading selection bias and the use of inappropriate psychometric assessment instruments in the past, the dualistic concept of ‘psychogenic’ pain is being questioned (94,95). The increasing knowledge of dysfunctional central pain processing necessitated critical re-evaluation. Significantly, Main and Waddell (96) warned against a continuing simplistic interpretation or misuse of the so-called Waddell nonorganic signs (30) in the clinical examination of patients with low back pain.

However, a controversial report of the IASP Task Force on Pain in the Workplace (97) recommended that nonspecific back pain not be considered a medical problem but rather a problem of ‘activity intolerance’. Further, for patients in whom function was not restored and return to work was not achieved, it was suggested that disability be reconceptualized as unemployment, allowing the termination of sickness benefits. This proposal has been criticized (98-104) because the Task Force neglected to consider recent investigations of central hyperexcitability. The criticism underlined the “growing body of evidence establishing chronic musculoskeletal pain to have a neurophysiological basis” (101).

Need for a mechanism-based pain classification
Chronic pain disorders have traditionally been diagnosed clinically according to the involvement of body region (such as low back pain, cephalalgia and neck-shoulder myalgia), organ system (musculoskeletal, gastrointestinal) or etiology (post-traumatic, degenerative or inflammatory pain). However, dysfunctional pain, being an important mechanism for persistent pain, irrespective of region, system or etiology, calls for a complementary mechanism-based pain classification, which will be necessary in the pain clinic to understand pain better and to make treatment more efficient.

A first step toward a mechanism-based classification is to conduct a pain analysis (105). This is a routine procedure in many anesthesiological pain clinics in Scandinavia. In pain analysis, various types of pain are identified according to the pathophysiology of the pain-generating mechanism (105). Pain is then categorized principally into nociceptive, neurogenic, idiopathic and (genuine) psychological pain.
TABLE 2
Classification of pain according to pain mechanisms (pain analysis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional scheme</th>
<th>Neurogenic pain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral (rhizopathy, herpes zoster, trigeminal neuralgia)</td>
<td>Central (neurological disease such as stroke, multiple sclerosis, syringomyelia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic pain (unknown pain mechanism such as fibromyalgia, myofascial pain syndrome, chronic whiplash pain syndrome)</td>
<td>Psychological pain (psychiatric disease, major psychopathological disorder)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A model for a revised scheme: dysfunctional pain as a new category

- Nociceptive pain
- Neurodysfunctional pain
  - Peripheral (as above)
  - Central
    - Specific neurological disease (as above)
    - Chronic pain disorders with major involvement of dysfunctional central pain modulation (dysfunctional pain; including persistent neuropathic pain, fibromyalgia, myofascial pain syndrome, whiplash pain syndrome, etc)
- Idiopathic pain (pain mechanism in some disorders will still remain unknown)
- Psychological pain

Dysfunctional central pain processing in chronic musculoskeletal pain will require a revision of the traditional scheme of pain categories

(70,105,106 [Table 2]). Many chronic pain disorders previously regarded as idiopathic, such as fibromyalgia and certain MPS, can be explained to a large extent by dysfunctional pain. Thus, these disorders should no longer be categorized as idiopathic but rather as ‘neurodysfunctional’ due to the involvement of central pain dysmodulation (35). This change will require a modification of the traditional scheme of pain categories (Table 2).

Previously, and certainly in anesthesiological pain centres, pharmacological testing has been the tool for pain analysis (pharmacological pain analysis [70]). However, in pain rehabilitation clinics, the alternative will be to make a clinical pain analysis based on information obtained from history, pain questionnaires (including a pain drawing) and physical examination. This option may be equally efficient because characteristic clinical features can be used to identify dysfunctional pain disorders (Table 1). In the international pain research community, discussion has been initiated to prepare for new approaches to identifying pain mechanisms based on a similar clinical model for pain analysis (107,108).

The clinical use of a mechanism-based classification of pain will have important implications for the selection of treatment and rehabilitation methods. For instance, patients with mainly nociceptive pain can be assumed to benefit from treatment with common analgesics or acupuncture. They may also respond beneficially to work-hardening rehabilitation, contrary to individuals with dysfunctional pain. Patients with pain involving dysfunctional central processing should be identified early and referred to treatment programs including mild physical exercise. The focus should be on cognitive restructuring.

Pharmacological options

Neither peripheral nociceptive nor central endorphin-dependent mechanisms are thought to play a major role once dysfunctional pain is established. This may explain why, in the clinical experience, common analgesics, even opioids, generally have little effect in the treatment of dysfunctional pain (12,109). In fact, the proposed ‘analgesic ladder’ (110) is not very useful as a treatment guide for dysfunctional pain.

Treatment with tricyclic antidepressants is an alternative for some patients. Tricyclics, and principally amitriptyline, have been documented to be effective in the treatment of chronic neuropathic pain (111) and to some extent in the treatment of fibromyalgia (112). It has been suggested that tricyclics facilitate descending central pain inhibition pathways by blocking the reuptake of serotonin and noradrenaline. The selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors have not been sufficiently tested for the treatment of dysfunctional pain. However, the increasing knowledge of central pain mechanisms may lead to future options for more efficient drug treatment. The possibility of blocking NMDA receptors by the administration of NMDA antagonists has attracted much interest. Interestingly, tricyclics may have an antagonistic effect on NMDA receptors (113). Dextromethorphan is another NMDA receptor antagonist that may inhibit windup (114) and decrease centrally mediated hyperalgesia (115). However, controlled clinical studies are still lacking. Ketamine, an anesthetic for parenteral use, is effective in relieving pain in patients with chronic neuropathic disorders (116,117) and those with fibromyalgia (70). However, systematic clinical studies have not been reported, probably due to unacceptable side effects. Investigations on other NMDA receptor antagonists are in progress (118,119). Interestingly, many of the effects of NMDA receptor antagonists are mediated by the production of nitric oxide in the dorsal horn (120,121). A Danish research group demonstrated that administration of nitric oxide synthetase inhibitors to patients with chronic pain significantly reduced muscle tenderness (122) and pain intensity (123).

The biopsychosocial perspective is still important

The finding of neurobiologically explicable persistent pain in many musculoskeletal disorders does not support the future use of mainly pharmacological or other one-sided biological treatment measures. The concept of dysfunctional pain still focuses on the need for biopsychosocial...
treatment and rehabilitation models. Biological, social and
cognitive perspectives should be included in the diagnostic
process and in treatment.

However, there is much evidence to indicate that psy-
chological factors are consequences of unrelieved pain
rather than vice versa. Nevertheless, emotions and coping
play a substantial role in the development and maintenance
of chronic musculoskeletal pain. Emotional factors may influ-
ence dysfunctional synaptic connections (123) and cause
changes in thalamic and cortical blood flow, thus modifying
the perception of pain (124). Thus, secondary fear and
depression may enhance a neurosomatic core of pain.
Recent investigation also supports the notion that emo-
tional distress due to long-standing pain may facilitate the
development of dysfunctional central pain processing
(22,124).

**APPENDIX 1**

Terms associated with dysfunctional central pain modulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitization</td>
<td>Increased pain caused by neurogenic hyperexcitability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral sensitization</td>
<td>Increased pain caused by hyperexcitability of peripheral nociceptors. May be caused by trauma, inflammation, etc (primary hyperalgesia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windup</td>
<td>Early and reversible hyperexcitability of the dorsal horn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central sensitization</td>
<td>Increased pain caused by hyperexcitability of the dorsal horn and/or other parts of the central nervous system (assumed to contribute to secondary hyperalgesia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysfunctional inhibition</td>
<td>Dysfunctional central pain inhibition. Malfunctioning of descending noxious inhibitory control or other central inhibitory mechanisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysfunctional central pain modulation</td>
<td>Dysfunctional modulation of pain caused by central sensitization and/or dysfunctional inhibition (in the present review designated as dysfunctional pain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central plasticity</td>
<td>Neuroanatomical restructuring of the central nervous system by synaptic growth, and/or development of dendrites or other structural changes following long-standing noxious stimulation. (Some research groups also include neurochemical dysfunction in this designation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allodynia</td>
<td>Pain due to a stimulus that does not normally provoke pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperalgesia</td>
<td>Increased pain due to a normally painful stimulus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referred pain</td>
<td>Pain referred to a distant region from a trigger point. Easily evoked, radiating diffuse pain and/or peripheral dysesthesia (unpleasant abnormal sensations) without segmental or otherwise neuroanatomical distribution. May be caused by activation of latent synaptic connections in the dorsal horn (Figure 2). Compare with projected pain (due to rhizopathy or nerve entrapment), which is segmentally distributed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed pain</td>
<td>Development of easily evoked and increasing pain minutes or hours after non-noxious muscle stimulation, such as palpation of trigger points or tender points and/or minor physical exercise. May persist for hours or days (due to abnormal temporal summation [31])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Allodynia, hyperalgesia and referred pain are defined according to the International Association for the Study of Pain Classification of Chronic Pain (55). Delayed pain, as defined above, has also been designated ‘prolonged after-sensation’ (31). Allodynia, hyperalgesia, referred pain and delayed pain are common clinical findings in chronic musculoskeletal pain disorders.

**Biological interaction between body and mind**

There is substantial evidence that cognitive-behavioural therapy (essentially cognitive pain education) applied by interdisciplinary rehabilitation teams may reduce pain in fibromyalgia (125-129). Psychosocial support and cognitive restructuring (acknowledgment of the experience of pain, explanation of pain mechanisms including dysfunctional pain, other pain education, relaxation techniques, etc) often lead to constructive coping and reduce suffering. Results obtained from recent neuropsychological research support the hypothesis that cognitive therapy biologically influences central pain dysmodulation (22,23), for instance, by reactivation of the centrally descending inhibition. Thus, it appears to be possible to modulate the plasticity of the central nervous system and partially repair dysfunctional pain mechanisms that were previously assumed to be irreversible.
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