Pain sensitivity mediates the relationship between stress and headache intensity in chronic tension-type headache


BACKGROUND: A central model for chronic tension-type headache (CTH) posits that stress contributes to headache, in part, by aggravating existing hyperalgesia in CTH sufferers. The prediction from this model that pain sensitivity mediates the relationship between stress and headache activity has not yet been examined.

OBJECTIVE: To determine whether pain sensitivity mediates the relationship between stress and prospective headache activity in CTH sufferers.

METHOD: Self-reported stress, pain sensitivity and prospective headache activity were measured in 53 CTH sufferers recruited from the general population. Pain sensitivity was modelled as a mediator between stress and headache activity, and tested using a nonparametric bootstrap analysis.

RESULTS: Pain sensitivity significantly mediated the relationship between stress and headache intensity.

CONCLUSIONS: The results of the present study support the central model for CTH, which posits that stress contributes to headache, in part, by aggravating existing hyperalgesia in CTH sufferers. Implications for the mechanisms and treatment of CTH are discussed.
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Stress is known to be a contributing factor to chronic tension-type headache (CTH), with research indicating that mental stress is the most commonly reported trigger and aggravating factor of a CTH episode (1,2). The mechanisms by which stress contributes to CTH are not clearly understood. Recent models of CTH pathology propose abnormalities in the central nervous system processing of pain in CTH sufferers, as indicated by increased sensitivity to mechanical, thermal and electrical noxious stimulation (1-13).

Because stress has been demonstrated to affect pain processing throughout the central nervous system (1,2,9,10,13), one hypothesis for the mechanism by which stress contributes to CTH is that it aggravates already increased pain sensitivity in CTH sufferers (1,2). Correlations among pain sensitivity, stress and headache activity (3-6), and evidence that both stress and pain sensitivity predict headache activity, support this central model (7,8). Furthermore, experimentally inducing mental stress has been demonstrated to increase pain sensitivity and headache intensity in CTH sufferers (9,10).

If stress aggravates headache by increasing pain sensitivity in CTH sufferers, then pain sensitivity should mediate the relationship between stress and subsequent headache. To date, no studies have tested this hypothesis. Such an examination is important for understanding the mechanisms leading to CTH and improving treatment for CTH, given that stress is the most commonly reported aggravating factor for headache (9,11,12). Pain sensitivity is increased and considered to be a pathophysiological factor in CTH (2,9,12,13) and behavioural treatments for CTH typically focus on stress management (14,15). The present study, therefore, used mediation analyses to determine whether pain sensitivity mediates the relationship between stress and prospective headache activity in CTH sufferers. It was hypothesized that the relationship between stress and prospective headache activity would be mediated by pain sensitivity.

METHODS

Participants

Participants were recruited from the general population through advertisements in local media requesting volunteers for a study on headaches. Of 94 volunteers, 56 met the inclusion criteria and were included in the study. Written consent was obtained from each subject and the study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of South Australia (Adelaide, Australia). Potential participants underwent a diagnostic interview based on the criteria outlined in the International Classification of Headache Disorders, Second Edition (16). Individuals satisfying the following criteria for CTH were included in the study:

- headache occurring on ≥15 days per month on average for less than three months
- headache has at least two of the following characteristics:
  - bilateral location
  - pressing/tightening (nonpulsating) quality
  - mild or moderate intensity
- headache is not aggravated by routine physical activity
- headache lasts hours or may be continuous
- no more than one of photophobia, phonophobia or mild nausea
- neither moderate or severe nausea nor vomiting
- headache is not attributable to another disorder
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Measures

Participants completed an in-house questionnaire assessing sociodemographic details and headache characteristics, and recorded headache activity for two weeks in a clinical diary detailed elsewhere (17). Measures calculated from the diary were mean headache intensity, mean headache duration (h) and mean headache frequency (number of days on which headache occurred).

Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21: The Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21 (DASS) is a 21-item self-report questionnaire containing subscales for measuring depression, anxiety and stress over the previous two weeks. Subjects respond to statements on a four-point scale indicating how much the statement applied to them over the previous two weeks. Sample items from the DASS Stress scale are ‘I found it hard to wind down’, ‘I tended to over-react to situations’, and ‘I found it difficult to relax’. The DASS has high internal consistency and moderately high construct and convergent validity (18). Cronbach’s alpha was high for all scales in the present study (stress α=0.92, anxiety α=0.86, depression α=0.90).

Pain Sensitivity Questionnaire: The Pain Sensitivity Questionnaire (PSQ) (19) requires participants to respond to 17 items describing everyday painful situations by rating how painful they imagine such events would be on a scale ranging from 0 to 10. The mean of the items is calculated to provide a total pain sensitivity score. Sample items from the PSQ are ‘Imagine you trap your finger in a drawer’, ‘Imagine you are wearing sandals and someone with heavy boots steps on your foot’. Adequate internal consistency and reliability has been demonstrated and validity has been confirmed against multiple quantitative sensory testing (QST) measures, including those used previously to assess pain sensitivity in headache (eg, threshold and suprathreshold response to experimental pressure, pinprick and thermal pain stimulation) (19). Cronbach’s alpha for the present sample was high (α=0.94).

Headache diary: Participants were given a clinical diary detailed elsewhere (17) to record headache activity for two weeks postinterview. The diary recorded measures of mean headache intensity (0 to 5 scale), frequency (number of days on which headache occurred) and duration (h).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (IBM Corporation, USA) (20). Regression analysis to test for the mediation followed the procedures of Preacher and Hayes (21). First, correlations were conducted to test whether headache, stress and pain sensitivity were intercorrelated, as required for mediation analysis. To test the hypothesis that pain sensitivity would mediate the relationship between stress and headache intensity (Figure 1), a regression analysis was conducted using an SPSS macro developed by Preacher and Hayes (21). This macro conducts the same set of analyses recommended by Baron and Kenny (22) for assessing mediation, but also includes bootstrapped CIs for assessing the significance of indirect paths. Bootstrapped CIs are less biased than Sobel’s test for examining the significance of indirect paths, particularly with sample sizes smaller than n=80 (21). A detailed description of bootstrapped tests of indirect effects can be found in Shrout and Bolger (23).

Mediation analysis assumes that the data represent the correct temporal sequence being modelled. In the present study, data were collected to allow temporal sequencing examining the hypothesis that pain sensitivity mediates the relationship between stress and prospective headache activity. Hence, during each subject’s visit to the laboratory, the DASS was administered to measure stress over the previous two weeks, the PSQ was administered measuring current pain sensitivity, and subjects were given a headache diary to record headache activity over the following two weeks, which was then returned by mail to the researchers.

RESULTS

Stress, anxiety and depression levels measured using the DASS were in the normal range. From the headache diary, the mean headache intensity on a scale of 0 to 5 was 2.2±0.80, the mean headache frequency (number of days over the two-week period on which a headache occurred) was 10.0±2.5 days and the mean headache duration was 7.6±5.3 h.

Table 1 presents the correlations among stress, pain sensitivity and headache activity. Higher scores on stress were related to greater pain sensitivity and headache intensity, and greater pain sensitivity was also related to greater headache intensity. Headache frequency and duration were not significantly correlated with either stress or pain sensitivity. Correlations between all relevant variables are a prerequisite for mediation analyses (22). Hence, mediation analyses were subsequently conducted with headache intensity as the only headache variable.

Path analysis revealed that stress was a significant predictor of pain sensitivity (path a in Figure 1: B=0.06, SE=0.02, P<0.01) and headache intensity (path c in Figure 1: B=0.05, SE=0.01, P<0.001), and pain sensitivity was a significant predictor of headache intensity (path b in Figure 1: B=0.16, SE=0.07, P<0.05). The direct effect of stress on headache (path c’ in Figure 1) remained significant after controlling for pain sensitivity (B=0.04, SE 0.01, P<0.01) (Table 2). Overall, the model explained 32% of the variance in headache intensity (adjusted R²=0.32, F(2, 49)=12.72, P<0.001). The bootstrapped, bias-corrected 95% CI for the indirect effect of stress on headache through pain sensitivity (path ab in Figure 1) excluded zero (95% CI 0.0012 to 0.0245), indicating that the effect was statistically significant.
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The present methodology allows few conclusions to be drawn regarding the mechanisms involved in the mediating relationship of pain sensitivity in stress and headache. However, while stress could affect pain sensitivity throughout the pain system, it has previously been suggested that stress predominantly contributes to CTH via supraspinal mechanisms (1,2,27). The present results offer some support for such a model. Particularly, most previous research demonstrating increased pain sensitivity in CTH has used QST measures. Using such methods, it is difficult to determine whether the increased pain response is due to sensitization in the myofascia, second-order spinal/trigeminal neurons, third-order thalamic or cortico-cortico projections, or increased pain cognitions. The PSQ provides a measure of pain sensitivity that does not rely on sensory stimulation. Hence, while lower-order (eg, peripheral) sensitization may contribute to increased pain report, the PSQ may be considered to predominantly reflect higher level (eg, cognitive) processing compared with QST, which reflects both sensory and cognitive processes. The present results are, therefore, consistent with the proposition that stress contributes to headache in CTH by aggravating predominantly supraspinal pain processes.

**DISCUSSION**

Stress is the most commonly reported trigger and aggravating factor of a primary headache episode (1,9,11,12); however, the mechanisms underlying this relationship are not well understood. Increased pain sensitivity is a widely demonstrated feature of CTH and may be of pathophysiological significance, possibly reflecting sensitization in the myofascia, second-order spinal/trigeminal neurons, third-order thalamic or cortico-cortico projections, and/or increased pain cognitions (2,6,9,12,13). Because stress is known to affect pain processing at all of these levels, a central model has been proposed, which posits that stress contributes to CTH by aggravating increased pain sensitivity in CTH sufferers (1,2). Supporting this is evidence that both stress and pain sensitivity are related to headache activity in CTH sufferers (3-8) and experimentally-induced stress can increase pain sensitivity and induce headache (9,10). No previous studies, however, have examined whether pain sensitivity mediates the relationship between stress and headache activity, as predicted by the central model. The present study demonstrated that self-reported pain sensitivity mediates the relationship between stress and prospective headache intensity in CTH sufferers. These results support the proposition that stress contributes to headache in CTH sufferers, in part, by aggravating pain sensitivity.

In the present study, pain sensitivity only partially mediated the relationship between stress and headache intensity. Thus, while a significant amount of variance (32%) in the relationship between stress and headache intensity can be accounted for by pain sensitivity, the direct relationship between stress and headache remained significant (although significantly attenuated). This suggests that stress also contributes to headache intensity via other factors in addition to pain sensitivity. Alternatively, other aspects of pain sensitivity not assessed by the current measure could be involved. For example, muscle tenderness, which is increased in CTH and believed to primarily reflect peripheral sensitization, has previously been implicated in the relationship between stress and headache (6,7,9). This suggests stress may also act on peripheral nociception to contribute to headache, a suggestion supported in recent animal models (24). In contrast, the PSQ is a measure of general pain sensitivity, which is increased in CTH (2,6,12,13) and related to both stress and headache (4,5,7,9).

To our knowledge, the present study was the first to use the PSQ in a chronic pain population. Pain sensitivity in chronic pain patients is typically assessed using quantitative sensory testing (QST) procedures including determination of detection, tolerance and suprathreshold responses to pressure, thermal or electrical stimulation. However, such procedures have several limitations. Correlations between measures may be low (25,26), and the techniques require specialized equipment, trained staff and associated expense. Additionally, the procedures are aversive to patients. Although not previously used in CTH sufferers, the PSQ has been validated against multiple QST measures of pain sensitivity in healthy samples, including measures commonly used to demonstrate hyperalgesia in CTH such as pain detection and tolerance thresholds and suprathreshold pain ratings (19). The present findings indicate that the PSQ provides a simple, nonaversive method for examining pain sensitivity in CTH and, particularly, examining the role of pain sensitivity in stress and headache relationships.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pain sensitivity</th>
<th>Headache intensity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>0.34*</td>
<td>0.53**</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain sensitivity</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.42**</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache intensity</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache frequency</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model summary</th>
<th>Adj R²</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Path analyses**

- Direct effect of stress on pain sensitivity (path a)
  - Direct effect of stress on pain sensitivity on headache intensity (path b)
  - Total effect of stress on headache intensity (path c)

**Limitations**

The present study had several limitations that need to be addressed in future research. It should be emphasized that although relationships among stress, pain sensitivity and prospective headache activity were examined, the mediation analyses were correlational, precluding any causal inference. An experimental design is needed to examine the causal significance of pain sensitivity in mediating stress and headache activity.
Due to the relatively small sample size, we were unable to conduct a multiple group analysis based on sex. Some research suggests that stress and headache relationships and pain sensitivity differ according to sex (4,5,11,12). Potentially, mediating effects of pain sensitivity in stress and headache relationships may also differ according to sex, and this needs to be explored in future research.

The use of single self-report measures for each of stress and pain sensitivity also present limitations, both with regard to identifying what particular aspects of stress and pain sensitivity are involved, and with regard to understanding the mechanisms underlying these relationships. However, self-report represents maximal integration of the stress and pain systems, and most previous research has used self-reported response to sensory stimulation to demonstrate increased pain sensitivity in CTH sufferers (4,7,9,12), and hyperalgiesic effects of stress on pain sensitivity in healthy humans (31,32). Indeed, previous research has found effects of stress on pain report but not nociceptive reflex (33,34). The present measures were, therefore, considered appropriate for this initial study, which requires replication and extension.

Finally, because the present study used a community-based sample, the present results may not be applicable to a clinical sample of CTH sufferers. However, because most CTH sufferers do not seek treatment for the condition (12), the present results may be relevant to a large proportion of CTH sufferers. Further research is needed to assess the mediating role of pain sensitivity in stress and headache relationships in clinical samples.

CONCLUSIONS
The present study examined, for the first time, the mediating effects of pain sensitivity in the relationship between stress and headache. The results demonstrate that pain sensitivity mediates the relationship between stress and headache intensity in CTH sufferers. The results support a central model, which proposes that stress contributes to headache activity, in part, by aggravating existing hyperalgiesia in CTH sufferers. Altering the relationship between stress and pain sensitivity may be a useful treatment focus for CTH.
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