Depressive attribution style and stressor uncontrollability increase perceived pain intensity after electrical skin stimuli in healthy young men
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BACKGROUND: Depressive and pain symptoms often occur concurrently in patients with psychiatric disorders or somatic diseases, but the contribution of pre-existing dysfunctional cognitive schemata to pain perception remains unclear.

OBJECTIVE: To investigate the relationship between depression-related attribution styles and perceived pain intensity (PPI) after controllable versus uncontrollable electrical skin stimulation in healthy male individuals.

METHODS: Causal attributions for negative events were measured using the attribution style questionnaire (ASQ) on the dimensions internal versus external (INT), global versus specific (GLO) and stable versus unstable (STA) in 50 men (20 to 31 years of age). Additionally, symptoms of anxiety and depression (measured using the Depression Scale) as well as baseline helplessness were assessed. Participants were randomly assigned to receive self-administered (controllable) or experimenter-administered (uncontrollable) painful skin stimuli. PPI was assessed after stress exposure using a visual analogue scale (0 to 100). Relationships between PPI and depression-related cognitions were calculated using correlation and multiple regression analyses.

RESULTS: Correlation analyses revealed a moderate correlation between PPI and ASQ-INT scores (r=0.46). Following uncontrollable stress exposure, significantly higher PPI ratings (P=0.001) and a higher correlation between PPI and ASQ-INT (r=0.70) were observed. Multiple regression analysis showed an independent influence of stressor controllability (ß=0.39; P=0.003) and ASQ-INT (ß=0.36; P=0.006) on PPI.

DISCUSSION: These findings highlight the interaction of specific depression-related cognitions and stress controllability on pain intensity perception.

CONCLUSIONS: The results of the present study may facilitate understanding of the cognitive aspects of pain intensity perception and improve psychological pain therapies focusing on attributions and controllability.
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Many patients with major depression report painful physical complaints (1). In addition, a large proportion of patients with chronic pain suffer from depression. Fibromyalgia is a disorder sharing common features of both depression and pain disorders (2,3). Recent studies have shown that higher perceived pain intensities and negative pain-related cognitions were associated with depression in chronic pain patients (4) and with lower quality of life in patients with major depression and pain complaints (5). However, it remains unclear whether specific depression-related cognitive schemata and states predispose to intensified pain perception and the development of chronic pain, or whether acute and chronic pain may worsen or even cause depression. The great impact of persistent painful states and pain treatment on depressive symptoms and related cognitions has been demonstrated (6). Accordingly, situational dysfunctional cognitions have been linked to lower thresholds and higher intensity ratings after experimentally induced pain, whereas dispositional measurements have not (7). The predictive properties of cognitive schemata associated with depression as risk factors for the development of painful states have, thus, been questioned.

Basic research has revealed specific cognitive and emotional-affective components of neurobiological pain processing (8-10), which likely contribute to the clinically relevant overlap between depressive and pain disorders. Specifically, uncontrollability and unpredictability of painful stressors have been demonstrated to be extremely important modifiers of pain perception (11-13). Unpleasantness and helplessness of potentially painful stimuli appear to be associated with increased...
pain intensity, whereas focusing on painful events appears to be related to a lowered pain perception threshold (14).

In this context, we studied the relationship between attribution styles and perceived pain intensity (PPI) after controllable or uncontrollable, experimentally induced, potentially painful skin stimuli in healthy young men. We hypothesized that depression-related cognitive schemata are associated with higher degrees of PPI, particularly after uncontrollable painful stimulus conditions.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

The present study was part of a larger project investigating the effects of uncontrollable stress on psychological and physiological parameters not reported here. Data from 50 healthy men (18 years of age and older) without a history of severe medical disease, psychiatric disorders and/or psychotherapy within the past two years, who were not taking psychotropic or pain medication and who had complete questionnaire data, were included in the present analysis (15). All experiments were performed at the Department of Psychology at the University of Giessen (Geissen, Germany), in accordance with the institutional review board and after all participants provided written informed consent. No formal approval letter was required.

**Study design and procedure**

Participants were randomly assigned to a harmless, potentially painful procedure under either controllable (n=26) or uncontrollable conditions (n=24). Electrical skin stimuli were generated by a transformer/condenser device applied by two Ag/AgCl electrodes fixed to the non-nondominant forearm using a conventional device (15,16). A stimulus intensity (approximately 10 mA) was used to ensure that all subjects received 'mildly painful' stimuli at comparable physical intensities (15). All participants were exposed to 30 stimuli with a mean interstimulus interval of approximately 20 s (10 min duration of stress exposure).

Under controllable conditions, stimuli were self-administered within an interval of 10 s at the individual's choice by pressing a button on a desk in front of them. At the start of a single trial, a green light-emitting diode (LED) in front of the participants was activated. If the button was not pressed, the stimulus was automatically applied after 10 s. In both cases the green LED changed to a red LED and the stimulus generator was blocked to avoid more than one stimulus within one trial. A new trial was indicated again by a change of LED activation (from red to green) after the end of the 20 s interval. Under uncontrollable conditions, stimuli were applied by the experimenter according to a random schedule within the 10 s intervals; all other features of the experiment were identical.

**Assessments**

Sociodemographic data, depression-related attribution styles and psychological variables were assessed before the experiment. Anxiety and depression were assessed using the Manifest Anxiety Scale (17), focusing mainly on autonomic anxiety symptoms in nonclinical samples (50 items, sum score range 0 to 50). Depressive symptoms were measured using the Depression Scale (18) using both parallel versions (D, D') to enhance reliability (32 items, sum score range 0 to 96). Causal beliefs regarding the negative outcome of events were explored using a German version of the Attribution Style Questionnaire (ASQ) (19-21). The ASQ measures individual differences in three attribution dimensions (internality versus externality [INT], globality versus specificity [GLO] and stability versus instability [STA]). Ratings on globality, stability and internality (1 to 7) for the eight negative events were summed, resulting in total ASQ scores (ASQ-TOT, range 24 to 168) and scores for the three subscales (INT, GLO and STA, range seven to 56), with higher scores reflecting a higher risk for cognitions associated with depression and helplessness. PPI was judged on a 100 mm visual analogue scale.

**Statistical analyses**

Values are reported as mean ± SD. Group differences were analyzed using unpaired *t* tests, and relationships between parameters were evaluated using Pearson correlation coefficients and multiple regression analyses. A standard multiple regression analysis including potential predictors of PPI was computed, followed by a final regression model with the remaining significant predictors. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 15.0 (IBM Corporation, USA). The level of statistical significance was set at *α*=0.05.

**RESULTS**

Table 1 summarizes the sample characteristics, including sociodemographic data and depression-related psychological variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total group (n=50)</th>
<th>Controllable (n=26)</th>
<th>Uncontrollable (n=24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>24.6±2.7</td>
<td>20–31</td>
<td>24.6±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>22.4±1.7</td>
<td>18.6–27.4</td>
<td>22.4±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status, n (%)</td>
<td>24 (48)</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms (DS)</td>
<td>11.0±4.1</td>
<td>0–18</td>
<td>11.0±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety symptoms (MAS)</td>
<td>8.1±4.8</td>
<td>1–22</td>
<td>8.1±4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Pain Intensity (PPI)</td>
<td>41.0±29.6</td>
<td>0–92</td>
<td>41.0±29.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlations with perceived pain intensity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total group (n=50)</th>
<th>Controllable (n=26)</th>
<th>Uncontrollable (n=24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>–0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>–0.08</td>
<td>–0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (yes/no)</td>
<td>–0.25</td>
<td>–0.24</td>
<td>–0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms (DS)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety symptoms (MAS)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective helplessness (SHL)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attribution Style Questionnaire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal versus external</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.70*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global versus specific</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>–0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable versus unstable</td>
<td>–0.23</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPI was assessed using the Manifest Anxiety Scale (17), focusing mainly on autonomic anxiety symptoms in nonclinical samples (50 items, sum score range 0 to 50). Depressive symptoms were measured using the Depression Scale (18) using both parallel versions (D, D’) to enhance reliability (32 items, sum score range 0 to 96). Causal beliefs regarding the negative outcome of events were explored using a German version of the Attribution Style Questionnaire (ASQ) (19-21). The ASQ measures individual differences in three attribution dimensions (internality versus externality [INT], globality versus specificity [GLO] and stability versus instability [STA]). Ratings on globality, stability and internality (1 to 7) for the eight negative events were summed, resulting in total ASQ scores (ASQ-TOT, range 24 to 168) and scores for the three subscales (INT, GLO and STA, range seven to 56), with higher scores reflecting a higher risk for cognitions associated with depression and helplessness. PPI was judged on a 100 mm visual analogue scale.
Low correlations were found between PPI and depressive symptoms (r=0.28) and moderate correlations between PPI and the internal-external ASQ subscale (ASQ-INT) (r=0.46) in the total sample, whereas a high correlation between PPI and ASQ-INT emerged only in the group confronted with uncontrollable stress (r=0.70). The difference of this correlation (PPI; ASQ-INT) between controllable and uncontrollable conditions was statistically significant (P<0.009).

Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between PPI and internal attributions of negative events under controllable and uncontrollable stress conditions.

An additional multiple regression analysis revealed independent significant contributions of an internal attribution style (ASQ-INT) (β=0.29, P=0.049) and stressor controllability (β=0.38, P=0.011) to predict the PPI. All other predictors were not statistically significant (age, BMI, smoking status, anxiety, depression score, ASQ-GLO and ASQ-STA; P>0.10). The total model explained R²=43.0% of variance in PPI (R=0.66; P=0.005). The final regression model including only the remaining significant predictors of PPI corroborated the initial regression model (ASQ-INT: β=0.36, P=0.006; stressor controllability: β=0.39, P=0.003; R²=33.0%, R=0.575, P<0.005). All other predictors were not statistically significant (age, BMI, smoking status, anxiety, depression score, ASQ-GLO and ASQ-STA; P>0.10); the total model explained R²=43.0% of variance in PPI (R=0.66; P=0.005).

DISCUSSION

The present study revealed a specific interaction between having an internal locus of control for negative events and the PPI after uncontrollable painful laboratory stimuli in healthy young men. The internal/external dimension of causal attributions (ASQ) explained approximately 50% of the variance in pain intensity perception only after uncontrollable painful skin stimuli, whereas no correlation could be found after controllable painful stress despite identical physical properties. Only depressive symptoms (ASQ) showed a low correlation with PPI ratings. Furthermore, depressive symptoms were moderately correlated with an internal attribution style for negative events, highlighting the validity of the present findings. Anxiety and the remaining dimensions of attribution style (ASQ total score, global and stable causal attributions of negative events) did not show substantial associations with the PPI.
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In addition to self-report, the thorough screening procedure ensured that study participants had no current or past major somatic or psychiatric disorders. While most clinical studies recognize depression as a confounder of pain coping mechanisms (22), the present findings involving healthy young men could elucidate the interaction among genetically or developmentally acquired dispositions and situational factors. The specific importance of the internal/external dimension of causal attributions is consistent with previous reports in patients with depression or chronic pain (23,24). Depression scores were related to this cognitive propensity to attribute negative outcomes to internal causes in our healthy sample. Learned helplessness theory suggests that uncontrollable aversive events can cause emotional, motivational and cognitive deficits (25-27).

Repeated uncontrollable painful stimuli can lead to states of helplessness, and amplified subjective pain intensity (15,28). In addition, the present analysis shows that a specific interaction of habitual and situational factors related to control perception are crucial for the individual’s pain intensity perception.

Personality traits and other psychological factors that were not assessed in the present study may also have a strong impact on pain processing and pain intensity perception (eg, catastrophizing) (7,29) and may be linked to the more basic theory of control and helplessness processing and pain intensity perception (eg, catastrophizing) (7,29) and may be linked to the more basic theory of control and helplessness (30).

Significant limitations of the present experimental study were most notably the limited sample size and the selection of men (31). Therefore, additional studies are required to replicate the findings.
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