Synergistic interaction between metformin and sulfonylureas on diclofenac-induced antinociception measured using the formalin test in rats
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BACKGROUND: There is evidence that biguanides and sulfonylureas block diclofenac-induced antinociception (DIA) in rat models. However, little is known about the interaction between these hypoglycemics with respect to DIA.

OBJECTIVE: To determine whether metformin-sulfonylurea combinations affect DIA during the formalin test.

METHODS: Rats received the appropriate vehicle or diclofenac before 1% formaldehyde was injected into the paw. Rats were also pretreated with vehicle, glibenclamide, glipizide, metformin or glibenclamide/metformin and glipizide/metformin combinations before the diclofenac and formaldehyde injections, and the effect on antinociception was assessed. Isobolograms of the combinations were constructed to test for a synergistic interaction.

RESULTS: Systemic injection of diclofenac resulted in antinociception during the second phase of the test. Systemic pretreatment with the combinations of glibenclamide (0.56 mg/kg to 10 mg/kg)/metformin (10 mg/kg to 180 mg/kg) and glipizide (0.56 mg/kg to 10 mg/kg)/metformin (10 mg/kg to 180 mg/kg) blocked DIA. The derived theoretical effective doses for 50% of subjects (ED50) for the glibenclamide/metformin and glipizide/metformin combinations were 32.52 mg/kg and 32.42 mg/kg, respectively, and were significantly higher than the actual observed experimental ED50 values (7.57 mg/kg and 8.43 mg/kg, respectively).

CONCLUSION: Pretreatment with glibenclamide, glipizide or metformin blocked DIA in a dose-dependent manner, and combining either sulfonylurea with metformin produced even greater effects. The observed ED50s for the combinations were approximately fourfold lower than the calculated additive effects. These data indicate that sulfonylureas interact to produce antagonism of DIA. Combination therapy is a common second-line treatment for patients with diabetes and metabolic syndrome, a group that experiences pain from multiple sources. The results suggest that at least some anti-inflammatory agents may not be effective in this group.
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It is well known that insulin resistance is associated with obesity, particularly in patients with metabolic syndrome or type 2 diabetes mellitus (1). When unmanaged, these diseases can result in hyperglycemia, which, over time, may lead to serious damage in many organ systems, particularly the nervous and cardiovascular systems (1,2). In recent years, the approach to achieving and maintaining glycemic control in patients with metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes has been the use of combination therapy (metformin and a sulfonylurea) to simultaneously stimulate insulin secretion and reduce insulin resistance (3,4). The sulfonylureas glibenclamide and glipizide improve glucose tolerance, predominantly by augmenting insulin secretion (5). At the cellular level, glibenclamide and glipizide act to inhibit ATP-sensitive K+ channels (6). In contrast, metformin is primarily an insulin-sensitizing agent that exhibits potent antihyperglycemic properties. Metformin suppresses hepatic gluconeogenesis and increases peripheral tissue insulin sensitivity (7,8). Clinical studies have demonstrated that metformin-sulfonylurea combinations produce greater improvements in glycemic control than either sulfonylurea or metformin monotherapies (9-12). However, there are no animal studies that demonstrate a synergic hypoglycemic effect between metformin and sulfonylureas.

Recently, it was demonstrated that systemically delivered glibenclamide and glipizide reversed the antinociceptive and antihypersalgesic effects that are produced by systemic administration of diclofenac, suggesting that ATP-sensitive K+ channels participate in these effects (13-15). Similarly, it was discovered that systemic and local peripheral administration of metformin and phenformin blocked the antinociceptive effects of diclofenac during the formalin test (15,16).
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These results suggest that the interactions between sulfonylureas or biguanides with diclofenac may result in reduced analgesic efficacy. As mentioned above, the American Diabetes Association recommends the metformin-sulfonylurea combination as a second-line option in the management of diabetes; therefore, some diabetic patients are currently receiving this combination to control their glycemia (4,17). Moreover, it is probable that diabetic patients are receiving the metformin-sulfonylurea combination simultaneously with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as diclofenac (18,19). Therefore, the main objective of the present study was to evaluate the synergistic interaction between the metformin-glibenclamide and metformin-glipizide combinations on the antinociception induced by diclofenac during the formalin test in rats.

METHODS

Animals

Male Wistar rats (eight to 10 weeks of age; body weight 200 g to 240 g) from the author's facilities were used in the present study. The animals were maintained on a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle, with the light period beginning at 07:00. The rats were housed in a special room at constant temperature (22±2°C) and humidity (50%). Animals were allowed free access to food and drinking water before experiments. Efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to reduce the number of animals used. Each rat was used only in one experiment; at the end of the experiments, the animals were euthanized in a CO2 chamber. All experiments followed the Guidelines for Ethical Standards for Investigation of Experimental Pain in Animals (20) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Drugs

Diclofenac, glibenclamide, glipizide, metformin, and formaldehyde were purchased from Sigma Corporation (USA). Diclofenac and metformin were dissolved in saline. Glibenclamide and glipizide were dissolved in a 20% dimethyl sulfoxide solution.

Assessment of nociception and antinociceptive activity

Nociception was evaluated using the formalin test. Before the experiments, rats were placed in open Plexiglas observation chambers for 20 min on two consecutive days to allow them to acclimatize to their surroundings. On the third day, rats were placed in the same chambers for 30 min; they were then removed for formaldehyde administration. Fifty microlitres of dilute formaldehyde (1% in 0.9% saline) were injected subcutaneously into the dorsal surface of the right hind paw. The animals were then returned to the chambers and nociceptive behaviour was observed immediately after formaldehyde injection. Nocifensive behaviour was quantified as the number of flinches of the injected paw during a 1 min period every 5 min for 60 min after injection. Formaldehyde-induced flinching behaviour is biphase. The initial acute phase (0 min to 10 min) is followed by a relatively short quiescent period, which is then followed by a prolonged tonic response (15 min to 60 min).

Study design

Rats received the appropriate vehicle (1 mL) or diclofenac (10 mg/kg to 30 mg/kg intraperitoneally) 45 min before formaldehyde was injected into the paw. Similarly, rats were also pretreated with vehicle, glibenclamide (0.56 mg/kg to 10 mg/kg subcutaneously), glipizide (0.56 mg/kg to 10 mg/kg subcutaneously), metformin (10 mg/kg to 180 mg/kg subcutaneously) or the glibenclamide-metformin and glipizide-metformin combinations (see below for dosing details) 70 min before the formaldehyde injection, and the effect on antinociception was assessed. Drugs were injected in a volume of 1 mL. Doses and timing of hypoglycemic and analgesic systemic administration were selected based on previous reports (13) and on pilot experiments performed in the author's laboratory.

Motor coordination test and blood glucose determination

In this experiment, individual drugs as well as drug combinations were assessed in different rats than those used in the formalin test. Independent groups, each containing six to eight rats, were examined for motor coordination and blood glucose levels before and after administration of diclofenac (30 mg/kg intraperitoneally), glibenclamide (10 mg/kg), glipizide (10 mg/kg), metformin (180 mg/kg), diclofenac + glibenclamide, diclofenac + glipizide, diclofenac + metformin, diclofenac + glibenclamide + metformin, diclofenac + glipizide + metformin, or vehicles (1 mL). Animals were placed on a cylinder (7 cm in diameter) rotating at a speed of 20 rpm (21). Rats were trained to walk on the cylinder in three consecutive sessions; on the fourth session, they received drug or vehicle treatment at time 0, and the amount of time spent walking during a 2 min period was recorded at 1 h, 2 h and 3 h after treatment (21). Similarly, blood glucose levels were measured from the tail vein using the MediSense Optium glucose meter (Abbott, United Kingdom) before and at 1 h, 2 h and 3 h after drug administration (21).

Data analysis

Results are presented as mean ± SEM for six to eight animals per group. Time courses of antinociceptive response of diclofenac and the combinations were constructed by plotting the mean number of flinches as a function of time. The area under the curves (AUCs) for the number of flinches versus time were calculated by the trapezoidal rule. AUC was calculated for the second phase of the assay and percent of antinociception was calculated according to the following equation (21):

\[
\% \text{ of antinociception} = \left( \frac{AUC_{\text{Vehicle}} - AUC_{\text{postcompound}}}{AUC_{\text{Vehicle}}} \right) \times 100
\]

Dose-response curves were constructed using least squares linear regression, and the effective doses for 50% of subjects (ED50) ± SE were calculated according to Tallarida (22). The interactions between metformin and sulfonylureas were characterized by isobolographic analysis assuming that the combinations are constituted by equi-effective doses of the individual drugs. Thus, from the dose-response curves of each individual agent, the ED50s were determined. Considering a maximal effect of 100% to be the total suppression of the diclofenac-induced antinociception, in the present study the administration of glibenclamide, glipizide and metformin produced maximal effects of 93.8%, 91.9% and 86.1%, respectively. Subsequently, a dose-response curve was obtained by concurrent delivery of two drugs (metformin plus a sulfonylurea) in a fixed ratio (1:1), based on the ED50 values of each individual agent. To construct these curves, groups of animals received diclofenac (30 mg/kg) and one of the following doses of the combination: metformin ED50/2 + sulfonylurea ED50/2; metformin ED50/4 + sulfonylurea ED50/4; metformin ED50/8 + sulfonylurea ED50/8; and metformin ED50/16 + sulfonylurea ED50/16. The experimental ED50 values for the combinations were calculated from these curves. The theoretical additive ED50s were estimated from the dose-response curves of each drug administered individually, i.e., assuming that the observed effect with the combination is the outcome of the sum of the effects of each individual drug. These theoretical ED50 values were then compared with the experimentally derived ED50 values to determine whether there is a statistically significant difference (23,24). The theoretical and experimental ED50 values of the studied combinations were also contrasted by calculating the interaction index (γ) as follows: γ = ED50 of combination (experimental)/ED50 of combination (theoretical).

An interaction index not significantly different from unity corresponds to an additive interaction, whereas values higher and lower than unity imply an antagonistic and synergistic interaction, respectively (23,25).

Statistical analysis

Dose-response data were analyzed using ANOVA with Dunnett’s test for post hoc comparison. Statistical significance between the theoretical additive ED50 and the experimentally derived ED50 values was evaluated using Student’s t test (22). An experimental ED50
Systemic antinociceptive effects of diclofenac in rats

Formaldehyde administration resulted in a typical pattern of flinching behaviour. The first phase of flinching began immediately after formaldehyde administration and diminished gradually over approximately 10 min (phase one), with a mean (± SEM) of 125.4±10.9 flinches. The second phase began approximately 15 min after administration and lasted until 1 h postadministration (phase two) with a mean of 651.25±41.7 flinches. Systemic administration of diclofenac led to a reduction in flinching behaviour after formaldehyde injection in the rats (Figure 1). Diclofenac significantly reduced the number of flinches during phase two (P<0.05) (Figure 1) but not during phase one (P>0.05) (data not shown).

Effect of metformin and sulfonylureas on diclofenac-induced antinociception

Systemic pretreatment with metformin and two ATP-sensitive K⁺ channel inhibitors, glibenclamide or glipizide, blocked diclofenac-induced antinociception (P<0.05) (Figure 2A). Administered alone, metformin and sulfonylureas did not affect formaldehyde-induced antinociceptive activity of diclofenac (30 mg/kg) during the formalin test in rats. Each point corresponds to the mean ± SEM of six to eight animals. *Significantly different from the vehicle group (P<0.05), determined using ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. i.p. Intraperitoneal administration

Results

Systemic antinociceptive effects of diclofenac on results of the formalin test in rats. Rats were pretreated with systemic administration of vehicle (VEH) or diclofenac before formaldehyde injection. Data are expressed as the area under the number of flinches against time curve (AUC) on the second phase. Each bar represents the mean ± SEM of six to eight animals. *Significantly different from the vehicle group (P<0.05), determined using ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. i.p. Intraperitoneal administration

Interactive effect of metformin and sulfonylureas on diclofenac-induced antinociception

Fixed-dose ratio combinations (1:1) were prepared as described in the Methods section, and were assayed to construct the dose-response curves for the metformin-glibenclamide and metformin-glipizide combinations. The corresponding experimental ED₅₀ values were calculated to be 7.57±0.7 mg/kg and 8.43±1.6 mg/kg, respectively (Figure 2B). These values were significantly lower (P<0.05) than the theoretical ED₅₀ expected for a purely additive interaction, which were 32.52±10.64 mg/kg and 32.42±10.65 mg/kg, as shown in Figure 3, in which the experimental ED₅₀ are located below the additive dose line. Furthermore, the interaction indexes (γ) for the metformin-glibenclamide and metformin-glipizide combinations were 0.23±0.01 and 0.26±0.1, respectively, being statistically different from unity. These results strongly suggest that the interaction between the actions of metformin and sulfonylureas at the systemic level are synergistic, the resulting effect being approximately four times higher than that expected by the sum of the effects of the individual components.

Effect of metformin, sulfonylureas and diclofenac on motor coordination and blood glucose levels

Systemic treatment with diclofenac, glibenclamide, glipizide, metformin or combinations of these drugs did not alter motor coordination.

Figure 1) Systemic antinociceptive effect of diclofenac on results of the formalin test in rats. Rats were pretreated with systemic administration of vehicle (VEH) or diclofenac before formaldehyde injection. Data are expressed as the area under the number of flinches against time curve (AUC) on the second phase. Each bar represents the mean ± SEM of six to eight animals. *Significantly different from the vehicle group (P<0.05), determined using ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. i.p. Intraperitoneal administration

Figure 2) Systemic effect of hypoglycemic drugs on the systemic antinociceptive activity of diclofenac (30 mg/kg) during the formalin test in rats. Each point corresponds to the mean ± SEM of six to eight animals. A Rats were pretreated with systemic glibenclamide (▲), glipizide (●) or metformin (♦) before diclofenac and formaldehyde injection. Doses of glibenclamide and glipizide were 0.56 mg/kg, 1 mg/kg, 3 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg. Doses of metformin were 10 mg/kg, 30 mg/kg, 100 mg/kg and 180 mg/kg. B Animals were pretreated with systemic glibenclamide + metformin (●) or glipizide + metformin (♦) before diclofenac and formaldehyde injection. Total doses of the glibenclamide-metformin combination were 4.07 mg/kg, 8.14 mg/kg, 16.28 mg/kg and 32.55 mg/kg. Total doses of the glipizide-metformin combination were 4.06 mg/kg, 8.11 mg/kg, 16.23 mg/kg and 32.45 mg/kg
The observed points (experimental) are the actually observed ED50 significantly reduced the blood glucose levels in rats (P<0.05; Table 2). Similarly, the diclofenac-metformin-sulfonylurea combinations significantly altered blood glucose levels in the rats (P<0.05; Table 2). Glipizide and other models (13-15).

**DISCUSSION**

In the formalin test, diluted formaldehyde is injected subcutaneously into a hind paw and nociceptive behaviour is scored. Two phases of the response are observed: an early phase starting immediately after injection and lasting 5 min to 10 min; and a late phase 15 min to 60 min after injection (26). It is now known that the first phase is due to a direct effect of formalin on nociceptors, whereas the second phase is mediated by a combination of peripheral input and spinal cord sensitisation (26-31). Opioid analgesics appear to be antinociceptive for both phases, although the second is more sensitive to these drugs. In contrast, systemic, supraspinal, spinal and local peripheral administrations of some NSAIDs appear to suppress only the second phase of the formaldehyde-induced nocifensive behaviour (26-31). In the present study, systemic administration of diclofenac was able to decrease the nociceptive effect induced by formaldehyde. These data are consistent with previous studies showing that systemic administration of diclofenac produced a significant antinociceptive effect in the same model and other models (13-15).

Sulfonylureas stimulate insulin secretion without an effect on insulin synthesis, and act through the blockade of ATP-sensitive K⁺ channels in pancreatic cells (6,32). This leads to depolarization of the plasma membrane, with opening of voltage-dependent calcium channels and

---

**TABLE 1**

**Effect of diclofenac, metformin and sulfonylureas and their combinations on motor coordination**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Time after drug administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>88.3±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac 30 mg/kg</td>
<td>92.7±6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glipizide 10 mg/kg</td>
<td>43.3±7.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glibenclamide 10 mg/kg</td>
<td>42.3±6.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin 180 mg/kg</td>
<td>73.8±6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac + glipizide</td>
<td>45.5±4.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac + glibenclamide</td>
<td>50.3±5.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac + metformin</td>
<td>69.8±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac + glibenclamide + metformin</td>
<td>33.5±4.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac + glipizide + metformin</td>
<td>39.8±2.5*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SEM of blood glucose levels (mg/dL) in six to eight animals per group. Rats were pretreated with vehicle or the indicated drugs before measurement of blood glucose levels. At the basal time (0 min), animals had normal blood glucose levels (mean ± SEM) of 86.6±3.3 mg/dL.

*Significantly different from control group (P<0.05), determined using ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test.
inflow of calcium ions, leading to activation of insulin exocytosis in a similar manner to that found after stimulation with glucose (32). In the present work, systemic administration of glibenclamide or glipizide decreased diclofenac-induced antinoceptive effects in rats. This result is consistent with previous results showing that systemic, spinal or peripheral administration of glibenclamide is able to block the effects of diclofenac treatment (13-16,25,30,31). In addition, treatment with sulfonylureas alone and in combination with diclofenac significantly reduced blood glucose levels compared with treatment with diclofenac alone in the present study. However, treatment with sulfonylureas alone or in combination with diclofenac did not alter motor coordination in these rats. Therefore, blockade of diclofenac-induced antinociception by sulfonylureas does not appear to occur as a result of a hypoglycemic effect or of motor alteration. Furthermore, the data suggest that this blockade was a result of ATP-sensitive K⁺ channel inhibition.

In the present study, systemic administration of metformin was able to reverse diclofenac-induced antinociception. This effect was not a result of changes in motor coordination or a decrease in blood glucose levels because administration of metformin in combination with diclofenac did not significantly alter these two variables. Therefore, it is possible that diclofenac activates metformin-dependent pathways at a systemic level (33-39). However, the precise mechanisms by which metformin reverses diclofenac-induced antinociception should be addressed in future experiments.

It is widely known the type 2 diabetes mellitus is a progressive disease and combination therapy is a logical approach to its management. It has been demonstrated that after three years, type 2 diabetes mellitus was adequately controlled with a single drug in only 50% of patients; after nine years, this percentage decreased to only 25% of patients (40). In general, the most popular combinations are: metformin plus sulfonylurea; metformin plus thiazolidinedione; and sulfonylurea plus thiazolidinedione. Combination therapy involving two drug classes with distinct mechanisms of action will not only improve glycemic control, but will also result in lower overall drug dosing in some settings and minimize adverse effects (17). However, the majority of studies investigating combinations of hypoglycemic drugs focus only on the effects on blood glucose (or hemoglobin A1c) and adverse reactions (9-12), and do not assess the probable pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic interactions with other non-antidiabetic drugs such as anxiolytics, NSAIDs, anticonvulsants, antihyperlipidemics and antimicrobics, among others (18,19). The results of the present study showed that the metformin-sulfonylurea combinations were able to block diclofenac-induced antinociception during the formalin test. Therefore, diclofenac, metformin and a sulfonylurea are administered simultaneously in patients with diabetes, it is possible that this triple combination may affect the effect of diclofenac. Diabetes mellitus affects structural macromolecules of the extracellular matrix in vessels and connective tissues in multiple ways and causes different alterations in peritellar, muscular and skeletal systems (41-48). Musculoskeletal disorders of the upper extremity, such as shoulder adhesive capsulitis, Dupuytren disease, carpal tunnel syndrome and stenosing flexor tenosynovitis (trigger finger), are widely associated with diabetes mellitus (45-48). NSAIDs and physical therapy remain the primary therapeutic modalities for some of these diabetic patients. Similarly, NSAIDs are used in preventing macular edema after phacoemulsification and the treatment of osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis in diabetic patients (41-48). Therefore, it is possible that diabetic patients with comorbidities (musculoskeletal disorders, postsurgical or pain and inflammation caused by disease or trauma) are receiving therapy with diclofenac, metformin and a sulfonylurea. Clinical studies to establish the relevance of these interactions are warranted.

In addition, treatment with the metformin-sulfonylurea-diclofenac mixtures significantly reduced blood glucose levels compared with treatment with diclofenac or metformin in the present study. However, treatment with the metformin-sulfonylurea-diclofenac combinations did not alter motor coordination in these rats. Therefore, blockade of diclofenac-induced antinociception by metformin-sulfonylurea combinations does not appear to be the result of a hypoglycemic effect or of motor alteration.

**SUMMARY**

The results of the present study show that systemic metformin-sulfonylurea combinations are able to block diclofenac-induced antinociception in rats. The data suggest that low doses of the biguanide-sulfonylurea combination can interact synergistically at a systemic level and, therefore, this drug interaction may represent a therapeutic disadvantage in the clinical use of diclofenac. The true consequences of these interactions in clinical situations await supplementary validation.
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