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INTRODUCTION

Predation risks are often considered to be a major selection pressure related to the courtship and mating behavior of animals (Emlen, 1973; Morris, 1956; Richards, 1927; Strong, 1973). The importance of this factor in the mating strategy of a salticid spider, Phidippus johnsoni (Peckham and Peckham), will be considered here. In this species, each individual male has at his disposal three alternative mating tactics (Jackson, 1977). The one used depends on the female's maturity and whether she is inside or outside her nest. Nests are silk structures that the spider constructs under rocks and in other rather dimly lighted locations. These are occupied during inclement weather, at night, during molting and oviposition, and at other times. When the male encounters an adult female outside her nest, he performs a form of visual courtship (type 1), consisting of various postures and movements performed in front of the facing female. A form of non-visual courtship (type 2) is employed when the female is encountered inside her nest, consisting of various forms of shaking and plucking on the silk of the nest. If the female is a subadult, the male may construct a second chamber on her nest and cohabit until she matures. Observations will be presented, implicating certain spiders of the family Gnaphosidae as predators of P. johnsoni. These incidences of predation occur while the salticids are at their nests and during courtship.

P. johnsoni is a common, euryecious salticid species in western North America. Often other organisms are found associated with nests of this species, both ones that are occupied by P. john-
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soni at the time and ones that are not. Various gnaphosid species are among the most frequent nest associates. Occasionally dead P. johnsoni are found inside their nests. On two occasions, both in the Wind River Range of the Rocky Mountains, a gnaphosid, Drassodes neglectus (Keys), was found inside a nest with a dead P. johnsoni female. In each case, the salticid was dry, had ruptures in the cuticle that resembled fang wounds, and had the appearance of having been consumed by another spider. In one case, the nest contained a P. johnsoni egg case. The silk around the eggs had been pulled away, but the eggs had not been eaten. P. johnsoni tend to be 10 to 12 mm in length, and the gnaphosids were of comparable size. These observations suggested that large gnaphosids prey upon P. johnsoni in their nests and perhaps consume the eggs as well. Further observations were carried out in the laboratory, using another gnaphosid species, Herpyllus hesperolus (Chamberlin). This species was a common nest associate of P. johnsoni in the Coastal Range of California, which was more accessible from my laboratory in Berkeley.

METHODS

Three sets of observations were carried out: H. hesperolus encountering P. johnsoni inside their nests, P. johnsoni males encountering H. hesperolus occupying the nests of P. johnsoni females, and P. johnsoni males encountering empty nests of both P. johnsoni females and H. hesperolus. The latter were included in order to determine whether P. johnsoni males can discriminate between the two types of nests.

Both the salticids and the gnaphosids were collected from habitats near the San Francisco Bay in California. They were maintained individually in 10 cm long plastic cages, built according to the design described by Jackson (1974), that provided a continual supply of house flies (Musca domestica) and moisture. Both mature and immature gnaphosids were used. Although P. johnsoni almost always constructed nests during the first day of occupancy of their cage, the gnaphosids built much less frequently, primarily just before molting. Each spider was used in only one observation, except for the paired observations on each male in the nest discrimination experiment; and each time the spider (and nest) used was chosen randomly, using a random
numbers table. All observations were made between one and four hours after the lights came on in the laboratory.

INTERACTIONS BETWEEN HERPYLLUS HESPEROLUS AND PHIDIPPUS JOHNSONI FEMALES INSIDE THEIR NESTS

After oviposition, *P. johnsoni* females tend to remain in their nests with their eggs; and each *P. johnsoni* in this set of observations had eggs in her nest. Observation began when a *H. hesperolus* was taken from its cage and introduced through a hole in the cage of the *P. johnsoni*. Once the *H. hesperolus* touched the nest, observation continued for 15 min.

During one of the five observations, the *P. johnsoni* slowly departed her nest and approached the gnaphosid that was walking past the nest, approximately 2 cm away. When 1 cm from the *H. hesperolus*, the *P. johnsoni* leaped on the gnaphosid and subsequently consumed it. The *H. hesperolus* was 8 mm, and the *P. johnsoni* was 11 mm. The other four gnaphosids had body lengths greater than that of the salticids, approximately 15 mm compared to 10 to 11 mm in each case. In each of these observations, the *H. hesperolus* walked onto the nest, and the *P. johnsoni* responded with various behavioral elements that have been previously described in detail for interactions between conspecific males and females at nests (Jackson, 1976). These will be briefly described again here.

**Pull on Nest.** With the tarsi of her first pair of legs in contact with the inner dorsal surface of the nest the female moves her legs ventrally, pulling the dorsal layer of silk until it contacts the ventral layer.

**Bump.** The female rapidly extends her legs, causing her cephalothorax to hit the inner dorsal nest surface.

**Stab.** With her tarsi oriented toward the silk, the female rapidly moves her first pair of legs ventrally. As her tarsi hit the silk, pin-point bulges are made temporarily in the silk.

**Strike.** The female’s elevated first pair of legs are rapidly moved forward and downward, such that the tarsi contact the substrate or the other spider. This behavior was performed with the *P. johnsoni* standing in the nest door, facing outward. Doors are slit-shaped openings in the nest through which the spider enters and departs.
All four *P. johnsoni* pulled the nest and struck at the gnaphosids. One bumped and another stabbed. Each *P. johnsoni* also walked and turned frequently inside the nest. In three cases, the *H. hesperolus* ran away from the nest after the *P. johnsoni* struck. In one case, this was after 3 min in contact with the nest; contact was for between 15 and 30 sec in the other two cases. For the remainder of the observation period, in each of these cases, the *P. johnsoni* remained inside the nest and the *H. hesperolus* remained at the other side of the cage.

During one observation, the *H. hesperolus* killed and consumed the *P. johnsoni* after 18 min on the nest. Intermittently, the gnaphosid inserted its fangs into the silk and pulled on the nest by raising its cephalothorax. Eventually, a hole was torn through the nest. The *P. johnsoni* repeatedly struck at the gnaphosid through the hole. Suddenly, the *P. johnsoni* walked rapidly through the nest, with the *H. hesperolus* following a parallel path on the outer nest surface. Arriving at the nest door, the *P. johnsoni* began to depart the nest, but at the moment when its anterior cephalothorax was only barely out the nest door, the *H. hesperolus* leaped from the top of the nest and hit at the carapace of the *P. johnsoni* with its extended fangs. With its body and legs arched over the *P. johnsoni*, the *H. hesperolus* made repeated predatory attacks, consisting of rapidly and briefly lowering its cephalothorax, with fangs open, making contact with the carapace of its victim. The *P. johnsoni* made several strikes at the enveloping *H. hesperolus*, but soon it became relatively immobile, with its forelegs raised. After 30 sec, the *P. johnsoni* was motionless, presumably dead or paralyzed. The *H. hesperolus* pulled with its fangs inserted in the silk in the vicinity of the door, eventually ripping the nest open somewhat. Approximately 1 min later, the gnaphosid inserted its fangs into the prey's carapace near the junction of the legs and began to feed.

**INTERACTIONS BETWEEN PHIDIPPS JOHNSONI MALES AND HERPYLLUS HESPEROLUS THAT OCCUPY NESTS OF PHIDIPPS JOHNSONI FEMALES**

*P. johnsoni* females with eggs were forced from their nests. When *H. hesperolus* were placed in the cages, they soon entered and remained inside the nests with the eggs. The next day a *P.*
*P. johnsoni* male was introduced through a hole in the cage. Once the *P. johnsoni* responded to the *H. hesperolus*, observation was continued for 15 min. In six of the seven observations, the *P. johnsoni* touched the nest, whereupon he began to perform type 2 courtship. Since the elements of this type of courtship have been described in detail elsewhere (Jackson, 1976), only brief descriptions of the major elements will be provided here. 

**Probe.** The first pair of legs are moved alternately forward and backward, with the tarsi in contact with the nest. 

**Vibrate.** The tarsi of the first pair of legs are always in contact with the silk; the other legs may or may not contact the nest. A series of extremely rapid, low amplitude, dorsal-ventral movements are performed, which have the appearance of a sudden, faint blurring of the spider and the silk in his vicinity. 

**Grip Vibrate.** The spider vibrates while his fangs are inserted into the silk. 

**Tug.** While gripping the silk with his fangs, the spider moves his cephalothorax alternately dorsally and ventrally, over a distance of a few millimeters.

Since the result of each interaction was different, each will be related briefly.

1. As the *P. johnsoni* courted, the *H. hesperolus* departed by the opposite door and ran away.
2. The *H. hesperolus* remained inactive as the *P. johnsoni* courted. After the male entered the nest, the gnaphosid departed by the opposite door and ran away.
3. The *P. johnsoni* entered the nest after courting, with the *H. hesperolus* remaining mostly inactive. Once inside the nest, the gnaphosid began to walk, whereupon the *P. johnsoni* departed the nest and backed away. When 3 cm from the nest, the *P. johnsoni* turned 180° and ran away.
4. As the *P. johnsoni* probed at the nest door, the *H. hesperolus* approached, whereupon the *P. johnsoni* backed away 1 cm. For one min the two spiders stood motionless, facing each other, the gnaphosid partly out the nest door. Suddenly, the *P. johnsoni* turned 180° and ran, with the *H. hesperolus* making a brief dash toward the male, covering a distance of 1 cm before stopping then returning to the nest.
5. As the *P. johnsoni* courted on the nest, the *H. hesperolus* began to walk and turn actively inside the nest. When the *P.*
johnsoni suddenly began to run, the H. hesperolus ran out the
doors and onto the nest, circled the P. johnsoni from behind,
and arched its body and legs over the facing salticid. The P.
johnsoni struck repeatedly, and the gnaphosid’s fangs were ex­tended. As the P. johnsoni slowly backed off the nest, with its
first pair of legs raised, the H. hesperolus slowly followed, with
arched body, until reaching the edge of the nest. When 6 cm
from the motionless gnaphosid on the nest, the P. johnsoni turned
180° and ran away.

6. As the P. johnsoni courted at one door, the H. hesperolus
departed from the opposite door and ran across the nest toward
the salticid. Simultaneously, the P. johnsoni turned 180° and ran.
The H. hesperolus overtook the salticid 1 cm from the nest,
circled its victim, with its body arched, and repeatedly hit the
salticid with its extended fangs. After standing with its first pair
of legs raised for approximately 30 sec, the P. johnsoni became
immobile, whereupon the H. hesperolus inserted its fangs into
the side of the carapace and fed.

In a related species, Herpyllus blackwalli, Bristowe (1958)
noted that the fangs are usually buried at the junction of the legs
on the side of the prey, consistent with the present observations
on H. hesperolus. He described the predatory behavior of an­other gnaphosid, Drassodes lapidosus, in great detail, noting the
extremely rapid speed with which the spider moved, arching of
the body, and circling the prey. In this species, a band of silk
is laid over the prey’s legs as the predator circles, something not
witnessed for H. hesperolus. Another point of interest is that
in Bristowe’s observations, D. lapidosus preyed, in the laboratory,
on a number of other spider species of comparable size, although
he did not stage interactions with salticids. Remember that the
spiders found with dead P. johnsoni in the field were a species
of Drassodes.

During the observation in which the P. johnsoni did not touch
the nest, the H. hesperolus was standing in the nest door, facing
outward, apparently motionless. The P. johnsoni faced the H.
hesperolus, while 7 cm away, and slowly approached. When
3 cm away, the P. johnsoni began to back slowly; and when 5
cm away, a 180° turn was made, then the P. johnsoni walked
rapidly away. A possible interpretation is that the P. johnsoni
recognized the gnaphosid visually, since salticids are known to have highly developed visual abilities (Land, 1972).

Incidentally, after these observations, the gnaphosids were kept for one week in the cages with the *P. johnsoni* nests and eggs. However, the eggs remained undamaged, lending no support to the hypothesis that gnaphosids prey on *P. johnsoni* eggs.

**NEST DISCRIMINATION**

Each *P. johnsoni* male used in these observations was exposed to an empty nest of a *P. johnsoni* female and an empty *H. hesperolus* nest on successive days. Half were exposed to *P. johnsoni* nests first, the other half were exposed to *H. hesperolus* nests first, and the spiders were assigned to the two groups randomly. On the day before the observations, nest occupants were removed. No nests contained eggs. The nests of *H. hesperolus* were similar in shape and size to the nests of *P. johnsoni*; but they differed substantially in silk texture; and they were more transparent. Each nest was used in only one test. The *P. johnsoni* male was introduced into the cage through a hole, and observation continued for 15 min after the spider touched the nest.

During both type I and type 2 courtship, as well as during conspecific aggressive interactions, the abdomens of *P. johnsoni* males twitch in a characteristic manner (Jackson, 1976), that is not seen in other contexts. The abdomen of each male twitched while he was on the empty nest of a *P. johnsoni* female, but not while he was at the nest of a *H. hesperolus* (McNemar, $X^2 = 4.1667, P < 0.05$). In addition, each male performed two elements of type 2 courtship, probing and tugging, while at the empty *P. johnsoni* nest. Two males vibrated at the *P. johnsoni* nests, and none vibrated at the *H. hesperolus* nests; however, these frequencies were not significantly different. Males of other species of spiders are reported to show elements of courtship behavior when they touch the silk of conspecific females (Dondale and Hegdekar, 1973; Hollander, *et al.*, 1973; Kaston, 1936).

The *P. johnsoni* males remained on *P. johnsoni* nests for longer time periods than on *H. hesperolus* nests (Table 1) (Wilcoxon, one tailed test $P < 0.05$). Courtship at the empty *P. johnsoni* nests lasted 1 to 7 min. Three males entered the *P. johnsoni* nests. Two of these also entered the *H. hesperolus* nests, these
Table I. Time (min) that six *Phidippus johnsoni* males remained in contact with empty nests, during 15 min tests. See text for further details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male Type</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Phidippus johnsoni</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Herpyllus hesperolus</em></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

accounting for the two longer residences at gnaphosid nests (Table I). Inside nests, the spiders alternately groomed, walked about, and remained inactive.

Evidently, *P. johnsoni* males discriminate empty nests built by conspecific females from those built by *H. hesperolus*. Upon encountering gnaphosid nests, they did not court, and usually they remained only briefly.

**DISCUSSION**

There is little information available concerning the predators of *P. johnsoni*. Pompilid wasps are known to take other *Phidippus* species as larval food (Dorris, 1970; Kaston, 1948; Kurczewski and Kurczewski, 1968; Muma and Jeffers, 1945). Once an acroserid fly pupated from a *P. johnsoni* I collected, and acroserids are known to parasitize other *Phidippus* (Evert Schlinger, personal communication). No doubt there are many predators of *P. johnsoni* for which there are simply no published reports. Reports of the stomach contents of vertebrates are generally of little use in determining the predators of particular spider species or groups, since the spiders are not identified.

Almost universally, *P. johnsoni* males have bright red abdomens. Although the abdomens of immatures and adult females may be dully marked, in many cases they are bright red, orange, or gold. In the laboratory and at exposed locations in the field, such as on the surface of rocks, these spiders tend to be rather conspicuous. There is no evidence that their coloration is aposematic, although information concerning this is limited. They
do not taste bitter or noxious to humans (personal observation). When standing motionless on the ground, *P. johnsoni* tend to be rather inconspicuous, and their coloration may contribute to their crypticity in these circumstances, since many plants close to the ground have brightly colored leaves of size, shape, and color comparable to the abdomen of a *P. johnsoni*. In addition, since there is evidence that salticids possess color vision (Kaestner, 1950), the abdominal markings may have a communicatory function during type 1 courtship.

Although it has frequently been suggested that during animal courtship and mating the participating individuals are exposed to greater predation than at other times, data to support this contention are rare. Schaller (1972) observed an African lion prey on a courting reedbuck. Predators (Walker, 1964) and parasitoids (Cade, 1975) of some Orthoptera are attracted to male calling songs. The swarming flights of some Diptera, which can be interpreted as courtship, may be attended by heavy predation (Downes, 1969; Syrjamaki, 1966). Observations of this sort at least demonstrate that predation occurs on courting animals, but they are not sufficient to show that predation risks are elevated by courtship and mating.

However, the arguments suggesting this hypothesis are rather convincing. Often it has been argued or implied that a mating or, especially, a courting individual is more conspicuous to predators (Emlen, 1973; Morris, 1956; Platnick, 1971; Richards, 1927). During type 1 courtship, *P. johnsoni* males tended to be highly conspicuous to human observers, while they gestured with their forelegs and danced in front of females. When provided vegetation and rocks, courting and mating pairs showed no tendency to seek cover (Jackson, 1976), courting and copulating sometimes on the tip of a grass blade or the top of a rock. Species that remain relatively motionless during copulation may reduce their conspicuousness; however, copulating pairs of *P. johnsoni* were not motionless. While standing on the female's dorsal surface, the male applied his paired copulatory organs, on the pedipalpi, one at a time to the female's copulatory openings on the ventral side of her rotated abdomen. In addition to the movements involved in switching palps, the male engaged intermittently in postmount courtship, consisting of various stroking and tapping motions (Jackson, 1976).
Two additional factors may be important in increasing the predation risks of courting and mating animals. In many species, a pair *in copula* probably finds it more difficult than usual to escape a predator, given that it has been detected. The other factor is that courtship and mating may be rather demanding tasks for the nervous systems of the participants. This may decrease the probability that the participants will detect the approach of a predator and respond in time to escape. Casual observations on *P. johnsoni* indicated that, when a pair was involved in courtship or mating, they were less responsive to movements of the observer. This was especially true of males.

It will be predicted that predation presents a greater risk to *P. johnsoni* courting and mating outside nests, compared to those courting and mating at nests. This is part of a more general hypothesis that individuals inside nests are subject to less predation than ones outside nests. The predicted safety is relative, not absolute, since the data presented here implicate certain large gnaphosid spiders as predators on *P. johnsoni* in their nests. Occupancy by *H. hesperolus* of the nests of *P. johnsoni* females and subsequent predation on courting *P. johnsoni* males might be a case of aggressive mimicry (Wickler, 1968), in a limited sense. It would be of much interest to verify that this behavior occurs in the field. Also, it would be of value to attempt to evaluate whether this particular advantage to the gnaphosid is great enough to constitute a significant selection pressure favoring occupancy of *P. johnsoni* nests. Other factors are certainly possible, since organisms such as isopods and anystid mites also occupy *P. johnsoni* nests, and for these the predatory function is very unlikely. In considering the importance of this type of predation for *P. johnsoni* males, it should be noted that the proportion of *P. johnsoni* nests, in the field, occupied by large gnaphosids is less than one per cent (unpublished data). In addition, males evidently discriminate between *P. johnsoni* and *H. hesperolus* nests.

In conclusion, the following are some ways in which the spider’s nest may provide relative safety from predation:
1. The silk of the nest may form a physical barrier between the occupant and the predator.
2. The silk of the nest may provide a sensory barrier, both visual and chemotactic, between the occupant and the predator. Preda-
tors not adapted to respond to the nest *per se* may fail to detect the occupant.

3. The nest may provide the occupant with an early-warning device, in effect forming an extension of the spider's tactile sensory system.

4. The nest may put the occupant in a highly defendable position with respect to many predators. Usually there are only two nest doors. This may be the only or easiest way for many predators to gain access to the occupant. Some of the responses shown by females to courting males, such as pulling the door and striking, are apparently effective defense against some predators. Such behavior was used during the interactions with gnaphosids; and in the majority of cases, the *P. johnsoni* survived the encounter with the predator.

**Summary**

*P. johnsoni* males may court and mate with females either inside or outside their nests, employing a different type of courtship in each circumstance. Although predation probably presents a greater risk to pairs courting and mating outside rather than inside nests, the difference is evidently relative, not absolute. Large gnaphosid spiders were found to occupy *P. johnsoni* nests containing dead *P. johnsoni* in the field. Laboratory observations implicate the gnaphosid spider *Herpyllus hesperolus* as a predator of *P. johnsoni* females while they occupy their nests. Other observations implicate *H. hesperolus* as a predator of *P. johnsoni* males that court at the nests of *P. johnsoni* females occupied by *H. hesperolus*. *P. johnsoni* can discriminate between empty *P. johnsoni* nests and empty *H. hesperolus* nests, courting at the former and remaining only briefly at the latter.
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