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Effective Larval Foraging in Large, Low-Diet Environments by Anopheles gambiae
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Adult mosquito size is constrained by conditions experienced in the larval stage including the amount and quality of diet. The energy expended collecting diet depends partly on its concentration, the water depth, and the mosquito species. In order to better understand these interactions, individual Anopheles gambiae s.s. Giles were cultured to the adult stage in three types of experiments in which one of the following conditions was fixed and the other two were varied: water volume, diet amount, and diet concentration. In addition to survival, days of development to pupation and wing length were determined. The same outcomes were measured in experiments for which special containers were constructed that allowed the detection of chemical and tactile interactions. Larvae were able to develop to adulthood in volumes as great as 30 mL/larva when diet was added at an average rate of only 7 µg/mL/day. The results demonstrate effective foraging in large low-diet volumes far above what had previously been estimated.

1. Introduction

Anophele larvae develop in habitats that are often transient, poor in organic matter, and which vary greatly in size (e.g., [1, 2]). Because the density of larvae also varies greatly, competition for the most limiting factor in habitats—the amount of food—has a strong effect on larval survival [3–6]. In addition to the limits placed on numerical habitat productivity by diet abundance and quality variation, the size of larval and adult mosquitoes is also irreversibly affected [7, 8]. Size in turn affects vector life history: larger female mosquitoes are more fecund [7, 9], longer lived [10], and more likely to develop eggs from one blood meal [11]. Size is also related to the likelihood of developing infectious viruses [12, 13], though the effect on malaria parasite abundance is less clear [14, 15]. Larger females are also more likely to be mated [16]. Moreover, the physical characteristics of how food is made available have measurable effects: water volume, surface area, and depth [8].

Intraspecific competition during the larval stages also influences adult mosquito body size, at least in part due to effects on diet abundance. It has been shown that increasing larval densities lead to longer development and reduced body size in certain Anopheles species [8, 17–19]. These interactions have been divided into physical and chemical interference [20]. How various kinds of interference individually influence larval development and adult size is poorly understood in spite of numerous studies, for example, [21–23]. Chemical growth-retarding factors have been identified in Aedes and Culex spp. (discussed in [24]), though only one instance of these in an anopheline has been observed [18].

In order to better understand feeding and development dynamics of Anopheles gambiae, we used a simplified system to determine the effects of diet amount, concentration, and water volume on growth rate and size while considering survival as a secondary objective. By measuring growth outcomes with a reduced number of independent variables in the absence of intraspecific interaction, we determined
Anopheles gambiae (MR4) vector activity at the CDC in Atlanta, GA, USA: The Malaria Research and Reference Reagent Resource Center

The mosquito stock used for this study was obtained from

2. Materials and Methods

We also devised and tested an experimental system to distinguish the effects of chemical and physical larval interactions.

2. Materials and Methods

The mosquito stock used for this study was obtained from the Malaria Research and Reference Reagent Resource Center (MR4) vector activity at the CDC in Atlanta, GA, USA: Anopheles gambiae G3 (MRA-112). All stages were reared at 27 ± 2°C using typical methods [25] on a diet of Koi Floating Blend (Aquaricare, Victor, NY. product no longer available). Except for the baker’s yeast fed to hatching larvae, this diet was used in all experiments.

Three types of larval culture experiments were conducted by fixing one of the three factors of interest in each type of experiment successively: (1) water volume, (2) diet concentration/mL, and (3) total diet amount/larva. The order of experiments presented here reflects the order in which the tests were conducted. Outcomes from a given experiment determined the fixed parameter implemented in the subsequent experiment. Because we manipulated the diet concentration and amount by changing the volume of water, we will describe the outcomes as a function of water volume in those experiments.

On the first day of each trial, approximately 900 eggs were placed in 300 mL of water containing 3 mL of 2% w/v baker’s yeast in a 34 × 25 cm plastic tray. On day three, 300 larvae were counted into a new pan containing 300 mL of 0.3% w/v artificial sea salt (Instant Ocean, Aquarium Systems, Mentor, OH, USA) in reverse-osmosis/deionized (RODI) purified water and 3 mL of 0.2% w/v suspension of finely ground Koi diet in RODI water. Trays were monitored and fed with 3 mL of 0.2% w/v finely ground diet daily. On the first day that the third stage larvae (L3s) appeared, additional food was added to each tray.

Three types of larval culture experiments were conducted by fixing one of the three factors of interest in each type of experiment successively: (1) water volume, (2) diet concentration/mL, and (3) total diet amount/larva. The order of experiments presented here reflects the order in which the tests were conducted. Outcomes from a given experiment determined the fixed parameter implemented in the subsequent experiment. Because we manipulated the diet concentration and amount by changing the volume of water, we will describe the outcomes as a function of water volume in those experiments.

On the first day of each trial, approximately 900 eggs were placed in 300 mL of water containing 3 mL of 2% w/v baker’s yeast in a 34 × 25 cm plastic tray. On day three, 300 larvae were counted into a new pan containing 300 mL of 0.3% w/v artificial sea salt (Instant Ocean, Aquarium Systems, Mentor, OH, USA) in reverse-osmosis/deionized (RODI) purified water and 3 mL of 0.2% w/v suspension of finely ground Koi diet in RODI water. Trays were monitored and fed with 3 mL of 0.2% w/v finely ground diet daily. On the first day that the third stage larvae (L3s) appeared, additional food was added to each tray.

Table 1: Daily rate of diet in three experiment types with “Day 1” being when L3s were transferred to dishes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Fixed water volume (µg/larva in 30 mL)</th>
<th>Fixed diet concentration (µg/larva)</th>
<th>Fixed diet amount (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative amount of diet</td>
<td>Water volume (mL)</td>
<td>Water volume (mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>2200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the potential responses of individuals to conditions ranging from low to extremely high diet amounts and concentrations. We also devised and tested an experimental system to distinguish the effects of chemical and physical larval interactions.

For the interaction experiments, three dish types were used and, for reasons that will be evident, were named “undivided,” “porous,” and “divided.” All were modifications of standard 90 mm diameter polystyrene Petri dishes (the bottom of which is actually 88 mm diameter) described above which for these experiments were called “undivided.” The porous dish was constructed by gluing strips of 2 mm thick hydrophilic, porous polyethylene (Small Parts Inc., Miami, FL, USA) with a pore size of 90–130 µ to the bottom and sides of the undivided dish to create four equal sized compartments. The pore size is sufficiently large that water can pass through the plastic sheet. The glue used for all construction was PVC “hot glue.” The divided dish was of the same overall dimensions and material but manufactured to have four equal sized compartments divided by solid partitions. Both the divided and undivided dishes had a piece of the same porous polyethylene hot-glued to the bottom of the dish to preserve a uniform volume and materials content with the porous dish. In the divided and porous dishes, one larva was added to each compartment and four larvae were added to the undivided dish. Water was not changed throughout the trial, and food was added every other morning in equal volumes to each chamber of the divided dishes or 4-fold as much to the undivided dish. Water volume (30 mL/dish), food amount
Table 2: Analysis by GLM of three experiments with a single-fixed variable (type III values).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed variable water volume (n = 96)</th>
<th>Wing length</th>
<th>Larval duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model (error df = 89)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet rate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>121.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed variable diet concentration (n = 74)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model (error df = 67)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>46.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed variable diet amount (n = 95)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model (error df = 88)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1600 μg/larva/alternating day) and number of larvae per dish remained constant throughout the experiment. Only dishes in which all four larvae pupated were included in the analysis. Three trials were conducted with 20 individuals (five dishes) in each treatment group. Data was analyzed using SAS software (Cary, NC, USA) and Minitab using an alpha of 0.05. Pairwise comparisons of general linear model (GLM) outcomes were performed using Tukey’s method.

3. Results

The conditions that were chosen for the experiments and their relationship to one another is shown in Figure 1. With few exceptions, sex and the independent variable had highly significant effects on both wing length and larval duration (Table 2). Therefore, we analyzed and graphed sex separately regardless of whether both were significant in the overall
Survival in most experiments was high (Figure 1). Of 384 individual larvae used in these experiments, 76% (289) pupated. Those that did not were clustered in specific experimental conditions which we will expand upon in context below.

3.1. Constant Water Volume. In the first set of experiments, diet concentration was varied (concomitantly with diet amount), while the dish type (standard 90 mm polystyrene Petri dishes) contained a constant water volume of 30 mL. The relative amount of diet was increased daily according to a scale suggested previously [25]. Because the amount of diet fed daily accelerated in all experiments (Table 1), we will refer to the amount of diet as rates relative to those described in that table, that is, 0.1-0.5-, 1-, 2-, and 3-fold.

Of 125 larvae placed individually in dishes, 12 did not survive to pupation, eight of which were in the lowest (0.1-fold) diet-level dishes. Of the 11 larvae tested under the 0.1-fold conditions, only two females developed, so this condition was removed from analysis of duration and wing length. Until the day of death, larvae in this group survived from 11–15 days.

Male wing length increased significantly as the diet increased from 2- to 3-fold, whereas maximum female wing length was reached at 2-fold (Figure 2). Maximum wing length was reached at lower diet concentrations for females than for males indicating that they are able to forage and/or assimilate diet more efficiently. In contrast, minimal larval duration was achieved for both sexes at the 2-fold level (Figure 3). Based on these experiments, the 2-fold diet level was considered nonrestrictive and was chosen for the next stage of experiments.

3.2. Constant Diet Concentration. In these experiments, the diet concentration/mL water was held constant while the water volume was diminished. Volumes were manipulated to maintain the constant depth of 5 mm by using dishes of various inside diameters: 3, 16, 35, 53 mm in addition to the 88 mm dish used previously. The water volumes were 0.2, 1.0, 5.0, 11.0, and 30.0 mL. The diet rates are listed in Table 1. Because "volume" is more easily visualized, we will refer to the outcomes according to this variable.

Pupae formed in all volumes except 0.2 mL ($n = 30$). In this volume, many lived longer than 20 days though the average was 12.2 days. This demonstrates that diet was not adequate to allow pupation or that the physical size restriction in some way prevented normal development. Of the 30 larvae cultured in 1 mL (2 cm$^2$ surface area), only four pupated (2 males, 2 females), and those that did not died at 11.7 days on average, but none lived longer than 15 days. Due to the small number, the four survivors were eliminated from further analysis. The individuals that died in the specific cases described in the first two experiments above account for 65 of 95 of the total that failed to pupate in all experiments.

In the remaining treatments, sex, volume, and trial affected wing length and larval duration (Table 2, Figures 4 and 5). Increases in wing length and development rates were observed with volume increases from 5.0 to 11.0 mL. While the differences in these outcomes between the 11.0 and 30.0 mL volume were not significant, the trends suggest
3.3. Constant Diet Amount. The diet weight fed in the 11.0 mL treatment was provided in higher and lower concentrations by using the various dish sizes described above, with the exception that the 0.2 mL dish was not included because no larvae had survived previously in that volume. In these experiments, a trend of mortality as a function of diet concentration existed. There were 11 deaths in the 1.0 mL dishes, five in the 5.0 mL, 3 in the 11, and 0 in the 30 mL. This association (ANOVA $F = 78.64$, 3 df, $P = 0.012$) and strong correlation of mortality with increased diet concentration and diminished water volume ($S = 0.8961$, $R^2 = 96.3\%$) indicates a negative effect on survival of a small volume and/or a toxic effect of the diet itself. This negative trend on mortality was not reflected in reduced wing length and increased larval duration of the survivors (Figures 6 and 7, resp.), characters for which positive effects of diminishing the volume, and increasing the concentration of diet were observed.

3.4. Tactile and Chemical Interactions. In order to detect interaction effects of larvae, we cultured individuals in containers which would allow or preclude either chemical and/or tactile effects. Both sexes were pooled for analysis by GLM initially. Dish type and sex both had significant effects on wing length ($F = 3.77$, 2 df, $P = 0.025$ and $F = 8.25$, 1 df, $P = 0.005$, resp.) but not larval duration. When females and males were pooled, the average wing length of adults from larvae cultured in the divided dish was significantly shorter than of those cultured in either the porous or open dish (Figure 8). When wing length and larval duration were analyzed by sex, no significant differences in wing length or duration as a function of dish type were observed.

4. Discussion

Numerous methods have been used to determine the feeding rates of larvae: suspended particle removal [27], gut bolus

---

**Figure 4:** Mean mosquito wing lengths when provided the 2-fold diet schedule (Table 1) but in reduced amounts to maintain a constant diet concentration per mL in the various dishes. Letters above and below indicate significantly different values when analyzed by sex. Error bars are 95% CI of the mean.

**Figure 5:** Mean larval durations when provided the 2-fold diet schedule (Table 1) but in reduced amounts to maintain a constant diet concentration per mL in the various dishes. Letters above and below indicate significantly different values when analyzed by sex. Error bars are 95% CI of the mean.

**Figure 6:** Mean mosquito wing lengths by sex when given a constant diet weight per dish. Water volume and diet concentration varied. Letters above and below indicate significantly different values when analyzed by sex. Error bars are 95% CI of the mean.
displacement [28] and mouthpart activity [29]. The methods of presenting the diet (and surrogate indicators such as charcoal and latex beads) have included in solution [30], on the surface, and in slurries [8]. All are appropriate presentations for anophelines which feed on the surface bacterioneuston, drink, graze on the bottom and scrape particles (discussed extensively in [24]). We do not know how much of the diet we provided was deposited in and collected from these different locations, though sedimented particles were visually most apparent. All dishes contained water of the same shallow depth, and no change occurred as water volume and food concentration were varied. Therefore, the diet could be easily obtained by the larvae which are often observed feeding on the surface in the stereotypical anopheline fashion, but which also readily reached the bottom. Changing the diet daily reduced the nutritional contribution of microorganisms, prevented diet accumulation, and reduced the effects of diet degradation.

In all experiments, there was a strong positive correlation between development rate and wing length, but we did not explore this in detail. This relationship has been reported previously (e.g., [19, 31, 32]). Briefly, faster development is correlated with increased wing length.

The first three experiments reported here are unlike many (e.g., [3, 8, 23]) in which larvae were allowed to interact and in which diet and water were not replaced on a daily basis. By adjusting the volumes and amounts of diet, we detected growth-limiting effects of extremely low diet concentrations, that is, the concentration of diet at which expenditure of energy required for homeostasis and foraging for food outweighed its nutritional benefits. When cultured in 30 mL, survival was extremely low unless larvae were provided at least 7 µg/mL of diet per day (equal to 220 µg/larva/day based on the amount provided on day 9 and thereafter). It is possible that the low amount of diet provided in the earlier development stages (2 µg/mL/day) precluded later survival. Nonetheless, it is remarkable that any development occurred at all when diet was provided in such low concentrations and demonstrates that larvae can assimilate diet effectively even when it is very dilute. Because all motion expends energy, foraging activity does not come without cost in size and growth rate. This is demonstrated by significant increases in size that were observed up to diet concentrations near 150 µg/mL above which point little benefit of increasing the concentration was observed.

We chose to begin the experiments with the early third instar larvae. In our experience, survival under a wide range of conditions after the L3 stage is high—in contrast to relatively delicate L1s—thus increasing the probability of obtaining useful larval development duration and wing length data. Most of the mass of larval mosquitoes accumulates during the L3 and L4 stages. This choice may have influenced the outcomes we observed, and that of the minimum diet required for development is probably the most sensitive. The minimum amount of diet necessary for survival that we estimated here (220 µg) reflects only what was assimilated after the beginning of the third stage. However, in separate estimates using two different methods with a sibling species of An. gambiae, An. arabiensis, estimates of the minimum amount of the same diet required for development from hatching were 202 and 263 µg per larva [19].

Considering the amount of diet required for survival in this study, it is possible to obtain indirect estimates of the volume of water that larvae can filter. Aly [27] estimated anopheline filtration rates of 33–55 µL/larva/h for An. albimanus and An. quadrimaculatus, respectively. If the rate on the high end of this estimate were applied to our
development (see [24]), and there is some support for this in
larvae can process is not a very realistic indicator of feeding
The second set of experiments, in which the diet con-
consistent with the first experiments, we observed almost no survival below 150 µg/larva/day (based on day 9 values) even when
the minimum required for survival. Volumes and diet levels are approximately 10-fold more spacious and diet-rich than
the difference between these values provides an estimate of the
70 µg of diet per day per larva.
On the other end of the spectrum of conditions tested in these experiments, maximal wing length and most rapid
development occurred when larvae were each provided 11.0 mL of water containing 1600 µg of diet-conditions that are approximately 10-fold more spacious and diet-rich than the minimum required for survival. Volumes and diet levels greater than this provided no measurable benefit on growth.

The fixed diet amount experiments returned to the question of foraging efficiency developed in the fixed water volume experiments. In these, diet was provided in the 1 mL volume at a concentration more than seven times as high as the highest feeding rate provided in the first (constant volume) experiments. A negative effect of increased diet concentration on survival was observed suggesting either a toxic effect of the diet, harmful effects of waste concentration, or an inability to utilize extremely high concentrations.

It has been established that calcine chemical factors are produced during the larval stage that can inhibit larval
development (see [24]), and there is some support for this in
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