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Are Unnecessary Serial Radiographs Being Ordered in Children with Distal Radius Buckle Fractures?
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Background. Torus or buckle distal radius fractures are common injuries in the pediatric population. By definition, they are stable and can be treated conservatively with a wrist splint or soft crepe bandage. Objective. The objective of this study was to evaluate the utility of serial radiographs in the clinical outcome of children with stable distal radius buckle fractures. Materials and Methods. A one-month retrospective analysis was undertaken at two major hospitals in Queensland—Logan and Redlands Hospital. Statistical analysis was performed to identify any relationships between serial radiographs and certain demographic parameters including fracture characteristics, age, sex, and limb side. Results. Of the 136 patients, 50% had more than one radiograph series taken. A total of 576 single radiographs and 251 radiograph series were taken. All fractures healed without complications and did not require active intervention. There was a statistically significant relationship (p = 0.0015) between fracture angulation and multiple radiographs series. A cost analysis revealed $55,890 per year could be saved by not performing serial radiographs. Conclusion. Serial radiographs did not appear to change the excellent clinical outcome for children with distal radius buckle fractures. There is a potential to reduce costs and prevent unnecessary ionizing radiation exposure to children.

1. Introduction

Pediatric patients with a distal radius buckle or torus fracture are a common presentation to hospitals [1]. A torus or buckle fracture is defined as a compression failure of the bone, usually at the metaphyseal and diaphyseal junction of the distal radius. They are undisplaced but may be angulated [2]. These injuries have little to no tendency to displace and thus do not require active intervention. Most standard guidelines recommend treatment with immobilisation in a cast, splint, or soft crepe bandage through their primary care physician [3–7]. Currently, there is no consensus on the timing and frequency of radiographs in the follow-up of these injuries in Australian Hospitals. As a result, unnecessary radiographs may be ordered, exposing children to potentially damaging levels of ionizing radiation [8]. Reducing radiographs would also reduce costs and resources for the health system. Thus, the aim of this study was to assess the utility and frequency of serial radiographs in the management of stable pediatric buckle distal radius fractures.

2. Materials and Method

Ethics approval for the study was obtained from Metro South Ethics Committee, approval number HREC/16/QPAH/543. A retrospective, multicentre review of all stable buckle distal radius fractures in patients aged 0–16 was undertaken. All cases which presented to the Logan and Redlands Hospital, Queensland, Australia, over a one-month period from the 1st of February 2016 to the 1st of March 2016 were identified. Both Logan and Redlands Hospital are two major public metropolitan hospitals accepting all pediatric traumas [9]. All cases of distal radius fractures presenting to the emergency department were identified through the hospital’s coding system. Computed radiographs were used in both locations. Both authors individually reviewed all radiographs to identify patients who had a buckle fracture that was undisplaced and either not angulated or angulated less than 10 degrees (see Figure 1).

To ensure diagnostic accuracy, the radiologist report was concurrently reviewed with no discrepancies found. Data was
collected from the clinical records of all patients with regard to their demographics, type of fracture, clinical outcome, and number of radiographs and radiograph series. "Radiographic series" was defined as an individual episode of radiographic images taken, accompanied by an official request form completed by a medical practitioner. It usually consists of at least two views including anterior-posterior (AP) projection and a lateral projection and occasionally an oblique view. The information was recorded on an electronic spreadsheet. Cases were excluded if they did not meet the definition of a stable buckle fracture or had images not loaded onto the hospital imaging system or if the clinical record was incomplete. An analysis was performed using Stata 12 (Statacorp, Texas) to identify any relationships between different variables. The chi-squared and Fisher’s exact test were used for correlation between categorical variables and the t-test was for correlation between continuous variables. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant with a 95% confidence interval applied. A cost analysis was also performed using information from the Logan Hospital Radiology Department and the Australian Government Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS).

3. Results

One hundred and thirty-six patients with a buckle or torus fracture were included in the study whose demographics are shown in Table 1.

Radiograph demographics are shown in Table 2. Half of the patients received multiple radiograph series during their treatment course.

All of the 136 fractures were clinically healed as per the medical record without the need for any fracture reduction or active intervention. No re-presentations or complications were identified within at least a 6-month time frame.

An analysis was performed to identify factors which were associated with a patient receiving multiple radiograph series (Table 3). There was a statistically significant association between fracture angulation and a patient having multiple radiograph series (p = 0.0021). There were no significant associations with age, limb, side, and gender.

An extra 115 radiograph series were ordered on top of the 136 series that were performed for initial diagnosis. The Australian Medicare Benefits Schedule of 2014 reports a fee of $40.50 for wrist radiographs (15). This equates to a saving of $4657.50 over the audit period, which translates to a potential cost saving of approximately $55,890 between the two hospitals per year.

4. Discussion

There are currently no national guidelines for the use of radiographs in managing pediatric buckle fractures. In our study, all patients healed without complication, regardless of whether follow-up radiographs were ordered. This excellent healing rate has been confirmed in multiple studies with patients almost always returning to a full level of function with no ongoing deficit [10–12]. Performing serial radiographs for these injuries thus appear to be unnecessary and did not change clinical management.

We acknowledge that there may be some perceived benefits for ordering serial radiographs for buckle fractures. With medico-legal issues becoming more intertwined in the clinical management of patients, confirming radiological union may provide added protection for the clinician. This information would also be useful when communicating with the child’s guardian or carer, which can be difficult at the best of times.

Our analysis identified that fracture angulation was associated with a higher likelihood of repeat radiographic series. The hypothesised causes of this effect are likely multifactorial. We believe that there is an innate attitude of the treating clinicians to continue to monitor fractures that are not perfectly anatomical, despite the evidence clearly showing that the natural history of this type of fracture is the same as that of a nonangulated fracture. This may represent a lack
Table 2: Radiograph demographics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total wrist radiographs</th>
<th>Mean number of radiographs/patient</th>
<th>Patients with single radiograph series</th>
<th>Patients with multiple radiograph series</th>
<th>Total number of radiograph series</th>
<th>Mean number of radiograph series/patient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>576</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Correlation of factors related to a patient receiving multiple radiograph series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Single series</th>
<th>Multiple series</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>p = 0.3793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>p = 0.2184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six and under</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>p = 0.0829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No angulation</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>p = 0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angulated</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

In our cohort of patients, buckle fractures angulated less than 10 degrees went on to heal without active medical intervention. This indicates that follow-up radiographs may be unnecessary in the clinical management of these injuries. In our practice, we only perform a follow-up radiograph if the patient has clinical evidence of delayed union or a gross anatomical deformity.
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