CASE REPORT

Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans arising in a decorative tattoo
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Abstract

Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans (DFSP) is an uncommon, locally aggressive cutaneous tumour of intermediate grade malignancy. A number of reports have linked local trauma of varying aetiology with the later development of DFSP. In addition, a variety of skin disorders and, in rare cases, cutaneous tumours, have been described in association with decorative tattoos. This is often associated with delayed diagnosis. We report the first case of DFSP arising in a tattoo and discuss the available evidence for a causative link between DFSP and local trauma of this nature.
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Introduction

Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans (DFSP) is a rare neoplasm of intermediate grade malignant potential. It is characterised by latency in its initial detection, slow infiltrative growth, and local recurrence if inadequately excised. The tumour is locally aggressive and rarely metastasises [1, 12, 13]. A number of authors have reported cases of DFSP arising at sites of antecedent local trauma of varying aetiology and have suggested a causal relationship. Those cases reported to date include the development of DFSP at sites of surgical scars, burn scars, and at sites of prior immunisation and therapeutic irradiation [2–7, 25–30].

In addition, a wide range of local and systemic complications have been described in association with decorative tattooing. These include allergic reactions, infections and cutaneous disorders such as psoriasis and seborrhoeic keratosis. More rarely, however, cutaneous neoplasms such as basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and malignant melanoma have also been described in association with tattoos [9–11, 32–34].

This is the first report, to our knowledge, of DFSP occurring in a decorative tattoo.

Case report

A 35-year-old man with no significant past medical history was referred by his general practitioner to the local general surgical service for assessment of a lesion arising in a tattoo. The tattoo was situated on the dorsum of his left forearm and had been present for approximately 8 years. Over the past 7 years, however, the patient had become aware of a slowly enlarging mass within the pigmented skin. The patient had previously attended his GP one year earlier with this problem and had been managed with reassurance.

On examination, he was found to have a non-tender, firm mass that was approximately 1 cm in diameter. The mass was mobile and did not appear to be attached to underlying tissues. There was no clinical evidence of associated regional lymphadenopathy. The lesion was thought to be a simple epidermoid cyst and local excision was performed with minimal margins.

The specimen, a ragged fragment of yellow-brown fibrous tissue (12 mm diameter), underwent histological assessment. This revealed prominent black, granular pigment within the superficial dermis, consistent with the history of tattooing at this site (Figure 1). The epidermis appeared within normal
limits. However, a poorly circumscribed tumour was present within the dermis that infiltrated into the superficial subcutaneous connective tissue. It was composed of slender spindle cells arranged focally in a storiform pattern (Figure 2), the hallmark of DFSP. These cells had features of intermediate grade malignancy. The lesion extended to the surgical margins. Immunohistochemical staining was strongly positive for vimentin and CD34 (Figure 3), supporting the histological diagnosis of DFSP.

As the lesion appeared to be incompletely excised, the patient was referred to the regional plastic
surgery service. A wider local excision was performed with a 4-cm margin and the defect covered with a split skin graft. Pathological examination of this specimen did not reveal any evidence of residual disease.

On follow-up, the patient continues to make a good recovery, with no evidence of recurrent or metastatic disease at 2 years.

Discussion

Aetiology

There have been several theories on the aetiology of DFSP [8]; however, the cause of malignant transformation is as yet unknown [12]. There does not appear to be a hereditary or familial predisposition and the precise role of recently reported cytogenetic aberrations has yet to be determined [12].

A number of previous reports have suggested a causal relationship between antecedent local trauma of varying aetiology and the subsequent development of DFSP. These include the development of DFSP at sites of trauma ranging from ‘vaccination to bayonet wound’ [2], such as surgical scars [25–27], burn scars [28,29], sites of prior immunisation [4–7] and therapeutic irradiation [12,30]. In addition, increased frequency of trauma to the hands and feet is thought to account for the higher incidence of acrally occurring DFSP in children and adolescents compared to adults [12]. Trauma preceded tumour development by periods ranging from 2 months to 20 years, although shorter periods tended to predominate [2]. Furthermore, a clinical history of previous local trauma was obtained in up to 10–20% of all cases of DFSP by some authors [2, 8, 12, 26].

It has been suggested that such connective tissue tumours occur much less frequently than epidermal malignancies because the deeper tissue is subjected to less trauma and undergoes less tissue regeneration than the superficial, more vulnerable, epidermis [28].

It is well known that scars are a predisposing factor in the development of cutaneous malignancy and there have been several previous reports of DFSP occurring in scars of varying aetiology [25–29]. The development of malignancy in vaccination scars is well recognised and, specifically, several previous case reports have documented the occurrence of DFSP in this setting [2, 4–7]. Local trauma, wound healing, scarring, infection and also antigenic stimulation or oncogene transduction by the inoculated infectious agents have been implicated in the pathogenesis of malignancy in these circumstances [6]. However, it is impossible to quantify the potential contribution of each of these factors in isolation in a single case.

In this case there was a history of focussed local trauma 1 year prior to the development of this tumour, which is suggestive of a causal relationship. Interestingly, some authors have shown that more rapid growth of the tumour appears to be associated with an episode of trauma [2]. This unique method of local trauma is previously undescribed in the debate on its role in the pathogenesis of this rare tumour. Tattooing does, however, cause local trauma in a mode similar to that resulting from injections and multiple immunisations, a setting where DFSP has previously been reported [4–7, 28]. It is also possible that persistent inflammation and wound healing associated with the potentially toxic inoculated tattoo pigment, as with inoculated...
infectious agents, may have contributed to the subsequent development of DFSP in this case.

Clinical aspects

This case illustrates that DFSP is easily dismissed by both patient and physician as a benign skin condition not requiring biopsy. Furthermore, DFSP rarely presents as a firm cutaneous nodule as in this case and is infrequently located on the forearm [2]. The relative paucity of symptoms, non-specific clinical appearances and indolent behaviour of DFSP often tends to delay presentation and has led to a variety of clinical misdiagnoses [8, 12, 20, 26]. In addition, the presence of tattoo pigments in this case led to a further delay in the presentation, clinical diagnosis and treatment. This is a cause for concern and serves to remind us of previously reported cases of tattoos masking important diagnoses such as malignant melanoma [11, 34].

Decorative tattooing has been associated with a wide range of local and systemic complications. These include allergic reactions and infections. Many primary cutaneous disorders such as psoriasis, lichen planus and discoid lupus erythematosus have been reported to localise in tattoos [33]. Other lesions which have been described in association with tattoos include seborrhoeic keratosis [10] and, more rarely, cutaneous tumours such as basal cell carcinoma [9, 35], squamous cell carcinoma [36], reticulohistiocytoma [9] and malignant melanoma [11, 34]. This is the first report, to our knowledge, of DFSP occurring in a decorative tattoo.

Conclusions

A growing body of circumstantial evidence links DFSP with previous local trauma. However, the nature and severity of the trauma and the interval between it and the onset of the tumour varies greatly. Evidence for a clear causal relationship is lacking due to limitations imposed by the relative rarity of these tumours and by the nature of retrospective analysis. The extent of this association and its underlying mechanisms therefore remains uncertain. The question therefore remains of trauma acting as a trigger in predisposed individuals or simply attracting attention to a previously existing mass.

This is the first report of DFSP arising in this setting. The focus of this article is to report that a sarcoma such as DFSP has developed at the site of a tattoo after 1 year. When viewed in this context it seems reasonable to conclude that the tattoo has had some contribution to the process of malignant transformation. In documenting this occurrence we contribute to the debate and to the body of circumstantial evidence linking various types of local trauma to the pathogenesis of this rare tumour. We speculate that DFSP may have occurred as a rare delayed complication at the site of a tattoo. This mode of local trauma is similar to that sustained at sites of injection and immunisation where the tumour has previously been described [4–7, 28]. We also add to the list of various cutaneous tumours documented as occurring at the site of decorative tattooing by contributing a case previously undescribed. Furthermore, we use this case to remind doctors to remain vigilant to the possibility of important diagnoses masked by tattoo pigments and draw attention to the diverse array of pathologies that have been described and masked in this setting.
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