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Theoretical Solutions for Dynamic Characteristics of Liquid Annular Seals with Herringbone Grooves on the Stator Based on Bulk-Flow Theory
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Liquid annular seals are primarily used to control the leakage in high-speed turbomachinery, especially in nuclear and petrochemical pumps. In this paper, a theoretical analysis method for dynamic characteristics of liquid seals with herringbone grooves on the stator is proposed based on bulk-flow theory. Steady-state velocities and leakage rates within the upstream and downstream spiral parts and the middle plain part taking account of the pumping effects are figured out first with the inertia term of the fluid within the whole seal. Then, the dynamic characteristics of the whole seal are solved based on Childs’ finite-length solutions and verified by comparing with experimental hydraulic forces. Moreover, characteristic coefficients and instability parameters of the herringbone-grooved teeth-on-stator (TOS) seals and teeth-on-rotor (TOR) seals of the same size under different pressure differences are predicted and compared in detail. The influences of the lengths of constituent parts on the dynamic characteristics and instability parameters of the model seals are theoretically investigated. The results show that the stability of the TOS seal is much better than that of the TOR seal under most operating conditions. And the lengths of the middle plain part significantly affect the dynamic characteristics and the stability parameter.

1. Introduction

Centrifugal pumps are important equipment of nuclear power plants and petrochemical factories. In these pumps, liquid annular seals are primarily used to control the leakage. The leakage flow within the seals not only contributes to an increase of generation loss but also induces fluid forces which have significant effects on the vibration characteristics of the shafting system and the whole pump. In the present rotodynamic calculation models of centrifugal pumps, these fluid-induced forces are generally simplified as a series of rotodynamic characteristics based on a linear kinetic model. However, there are strict requirements on vibration characteristics, reliability, and safety of these nuclear and petrochemical pumps, which require annular seals facilitate superior rotodynamic characteristics while providing good leakage control. Similar to the inward pumping actions of spiral-grooved seals, liquid seals with herringbone grooves on the stator will minimize the leakage rate as well as generate load capacity and stiffness, which will contribute a lot to the improvements in hydraulic performance and pump reliability.

For decades, researchers and engineers have investigated the sealing and dynamic characteristics prediction methods for liquid annular seals based on bulk-flow model. Kostyuk [1] performed the first comprehensive analysis for the aerodynamic forces of gas labyrinth seals excluding the influence of area change due to eccentricity on cross-coupled forces. Iwatsubo [2–4] refined Kostyuk’s model by introducing the time dependency of area change and theoretically analyzed the static and dynamic characteristics of parallel-grooved, spiral-grooved, and double spiral-grooved seals by perturbation method. Childs and Scharer [5] presented a unified and comprehensive derivation of the motion equations
for compressible flow within straight labyrinth seals taking account of the area change in circumferential direction due to eccentricity. The equations were solved by perturbation method and the prediction rotordynamic coefficients were within 25% of the experimental results. Nordmann et al. [6] and Kim [7] studied the leakage and dynamic characteristics of parallel-grooved and spiral-grooved seals by introducing equivalent roughness coefficients in both the circumferential and the axial directions based on Hirs’ turbulent lubrication theory and “fine groove” theory. Florjancic [8] and Marquette [9] developed a three-control-volume approach for liquid circumferentially-grooved seals, featuring an excellent description of the flow inside the groove cavity. The predictions are excellent for leakage as well as rotordynamic coefficients. Arghir and Frere [10] proposed a bulk-flow analysis of static and dynamic characteristics of eccentric circumferentially-grooved liquid annular seals by using three types of control volumes and user-tuned constants. Zhai [11, 12] developed two different theoretical analysis methods for leakage rate and dynamic characteristics of herringbone-grooved liquid seals and validated the method through comparing the predicted leakage rates and hydraulic forces with the experimental results. Ikemoto [13] derived a set of perturbation solutions for the bulk-flow governing equations of annular plain seals through third-order perturbations. Through the derived equations, the nonlinear analytical solutions of the flow rates and pressure were deduced and the rotordynamic fluid forces in the case of concentric circular whirl with relatively large amplitude were solved and validated by comparisons with CFD results. Andres [14] proposed a modified bulk-flow model to predict the rotordynamic force coefficients of shallow depth, circumferentially grooved liquid seals by utilizing the results of CFD to evaluate the bulk flow velocity field and the friction factors. The CFD modified bulk-flow model predicted the rotordynamic force coefficients within 14% compared with the CFD method. Filippo [15] compared the correlations of rotordynamic characteristics with leakage formula, flow coefficient, kinetic energy carry-over coefficient, and friction factors to investigate the most accurate model for dynamic prediction. Nagai [16] introduced an oblique coordinate system to the static and dynamic analysis of a spiral-grooved seal, in which the governing equations included the effects of fluid inertia and energy loss during the passage between the groove and land parts. A series of experiments for leakage flow rates and hydraulic forces were conducted to verify the proposed method. Xia [17] developed a transient bulk-flow model with arbitrary rotor motion and the boundary conditions and friction factors used in this model were calibrated with steady CFD analysis. Compared to the traditional bulk-flow model, this method improved the prediction accuracy of leakage flow rates and dynamic characteristics. Zhai [18] proposed a theoretical analysis method for leakage rate and dynamic characteristics of spiral-grooved liquid seals based on the theory of Iwatsubo and Childs which has taken account of the circumferential velocity perturbation change with the axial location. Detailed comparisons between the experimental leakage rates and theoretical predictions showed good agreement and the predicted stiffness of the present solution method correlates well with the experimental evidence with an error of less than 35% in the given examples.

Additionally, Kanki [19] and Iwatsubo et al. [20] experimentally investigated the leakage characteristics, load capacity, and dynamic characteristics for spiral-grooved seals with helical angle less than 20 degrees. Childs and Nolan [21, 22] tested the leakage rate and dynamic characteristics of 7 sets of spiral-grooved seals whose helical angle varied from 0 to 70 degrees. Proctor and Delgado [23] tested a noncontacting finger seal operating adjacent to a herringbone-grooved rotor under various operating conditions. Winoto et al. [24] tested 6 types of vertical HGJBs at rotating speeds ranging from 200 to 2100 r/min and studied the effects of groove patterns on the pumping sealing effect and the stiffness of the bearings. Qiu [25] conducted a series of experiments to investigate the tribological behavior of spiral-groove thrust bearings with different spiral angles subjected to different loads and speeds.

Recently, with the development of Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), CFD method has been giving more accurate prediction results of sealing and dynamic characteristics of annular seal with complex grooves, but the method are not computationally efficient. Therefore, theoretical prediction procedures based on the bulk-flow theory are still the main method for calculating leakage rate and dynamic characteristics in engineering. Extensive theoretical analysis for leakage flow rates and dynamic characteristics of liquid annular seals with complex grooves is still needed. Consequently, in this paper, systematic solution method for leakage and dynamic characteristics of liquid seals with herringbone grooves on the stator is proposed based on a modified solution for spiral-grooved seals, which has added the pressure difference induced by pumping actions of spiral grooves into Childs’ model [7]. In this method, steady-state velocities and pressures as well as leakage rates of the two spiral parts and middle plain part are solved first with the inertia term of the fluid based on the mass conservation law. Then, the dynamic characteristics of seals with herringbone grooves are derived combined with finite-length solution developed by Childs. With the proposed method, the rotordynamic forces within three different model seals are calculated and compared to the experimental results. Moreover, the effects of pressure differences, preswirl ratios and groove patterns on the dynamic characteristics and stability of the seals with herringbone grooves on the stator are investigated using this method.

2. Theoretical Analysis

2.1. Modelling. Since annular seals with grooves on the stator will provide better stability, this kind of seal is more widely used in turbomachinery. In this paper, a smooth-rotor/herringbone-grooved-stator seal (as shown in Figure 1) is selected as the research model and the spiral angle of the model is less than 15 degrees. The radial clearance between the rotor and the stator, combined with large pressure difference and low viscosity liquid, makes the flow in the clearance path highly turbulent. Figure 2 shows the hydraulic model of a
liquid annular seal with herringbone grooves on the stator. It is observed that the model is composed of three parts: flow within the two spiral parts and flow within the middle plain part. In the present analysis, fluid velocities and pressures within the seals are assumed to be uniformly distributed along the circumferential direction.

2.2. Leakage Flow Rates of Herringbone-Grooved Liquid Seals

2.2.1. Steady Flow Velocities and Leakage Flow Rates of Spiral Parts. Referring to the analysis method shown in [11], a \( \eta-z \)-\( \zeta \)-coordinate system is built to analyze the static characteristics of the spiral part. \( \eta \)-Direction and \( \zeta \)-Direction are, respectively, set parallel and perpendicular to the groove direction. Figure 3(a) illustrates a differential element of fluid having dimensions \( R \theta \), \( dz \), and \( H(z, \theta, t) \) within the spiral part. And Figure 3(b) illustrates the detailed cross-sectional view of the spiral part along the \( \zeta \)-direction. The upper and lower surface of the element, respectively, correspond to the rotor and the stator surfaces, which have velocities of \( \omega R \) and zero. Under the assumptions of “fine groove” theory, the governing equations including continuity equation and axial and circumferential momentum equations based on Blasius lubrication model are built by Childs [7]. Eccentricity ratio \( \varepsilon \) is introduced as the perturbation term to linearize the governing equations. The zeroth-order perturbation equations describe a steady, zero-eccentricity flow condition and are listed below.

Nondimensional zeroth-order perturbation axial-momentum equation for the spiral part is

\[
- \frac{\partial P_{0,sp}}{\partial z} = a_1 \sigma_{\eta,sp} + a_2 \sigma_{\zeta,sp} \cos \alpha \left( \cos \alpha + \frac{1}{b} \eta_{0,sp} \sin \alpha \right) + a_3 \sigma_{\eta,sp} \sin \alpha \left( \sin \alpha - \frac{1}{b} \eta_{0,sp} \cos \alpha \right)
\]

Nondimensional zeroth-order perturbation circumferential-momentum equation for the spiral part is

\[
- \frac{\partial \eta_{0,sp}}{\partial z} = a_1 \sigma_{\zeta,sp} \left( \eta_{0,sp} - 1 \right) + a_2 b \sigma_{\zeta,sp} \sin \alpha \left( \cos \alpha + \frac{1}{b} \eta_{0,sp} \sin \alpha \right) - a_3 b \sigma_{\eta,sp} \cos \alpha \left( \sin \alpha - \frac{1}{b} \eta_{0,sp} \cos \alpha \right)
\]

where

\[
a_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1}{b^2} \right) \left( \eta_{0,sp} - 1 \right)^2 \left( 1 + m_{\eta,sp} \right)^2 \right]^{(1+m_{\eta,sp})/2},
\]

\[
\sigma_{\eta,sp} = \frac{L_{sp}}{C_r} \eta_{\eta,sp} R_{e\theta_{20}}^{m_{\eta,sp}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4b^2} \right)^{(1+m_{\eta,sp})/2},
\]

\[
a_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\left( 1 + \left( \frac{1}{b^2} \right) \eta_{0,sp}^2 \right)^{1+m_{\zeta,sp}} / 2}{1 + 4b^2} \right],
\]

\[
\sigma_{\zeta,sp} = \frac{L_{sp}}{C_r} \eta_{\zeta,sp} R_{e\theta_{20}}^{m_{\zeta,sp}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4b^2} \right)^{(1+m_{\zeta,sp})/2},
\]

\[
a_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\left( 1 + \left( \frac{1}{b^2} \right) \eta_{0,sp}^2 \right)^{1+m_{\eta,sp}} / 2}{1 + 4b^2} \right],
\]

\[
\sigma_{\eta,sp} = \frac{L_{sp}}{C_r} \eta_{\eta,sp} R_{e\theta_{20}}^{m_{\eta,sp}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4b^2} \right)^{(1+m_{\eta,sp})/2},
\]

\[
\sigma_{\zeta,sp} = \frac{L_{sp}}{C_r} \eta_{\zeta,sp} R_{e\theta_{20}}^{m_{\zeta,sp}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4b^2} \right)^{(1+m_{\zeta,sp})/2},
\]

\[
b = \frac{V_{sp}}{(R\omega)},
\]

\[
R_{e\theta_{20}} = \frac{2\rho V_{sp} C_r}{\mu},
\]

\[
m_{\eta,sp} = -0.25,
\]
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Figure 3: Configurations of the spiral part.

For upstream spiral part

\[ \Delta p_{up} - \Delta p_{up-pumping} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \xi_{in-sp} \right) \rho V_{up}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \xi_{out-sp} \right) \rho V_{up}^2 + \lambda_{sp} \rho V_{up}^2 \]

(6)

For downstream spiral part

\[ \Delta p_{down} + \Delta p_{down-pumping} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \xi_{in-sp} \right) \rho V_{down}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \xi_{out-sp} \right) \rho V_{down}^2 + \lambda_{sp} \rho V_{down}^2 \]

(7)

where the inlet loss coefficient \( \xi_{in-sp} \) and outlet recovery coefficient \( \xi_{out-sp} \) is determined referring to Iwatsubo's theoretical approach for spiral-grooved seals in [3]. The friction factor \( \lambda_{sp} \) is determined by Hirs' turbulent lubrication equations developed in 1974 [27].

Therefore, the total leakage flow rates \( Q_{up} \) and \( Q_{down} \), respectively, for the upstream spiral and downstream spiral part are illustrated as below:

\[ Q_{up} = 2\pi R^2 C_r \omega \overline{V}_{up} \]

(8)

\[ Q_{down} = 2\pi R^2 C_r \omega \overline{V}_{down} \]

(9)

2.2.2. Steady Flow Velocities and Leakage Flow Rate of the Whole Seal with Herringbone Grooves on the Stator.

The pressure drop across the plain part consists of three parts, i.e., the inlet loss, outlet loss, and friction pressure loss. As the friction factor \( \lambda_{plain} \) which is derived from Hirs' equation is one-order magnitude greater than the inlet and outlet loss coefficients for a typical seal, the leakage rate of the plain part excluding the inlet and outlet pressure losses can be simplified as

\[ Q_{plain} = 2\pi R \sqrt{\frac{\Delta p_{plain} \cdot C_r^3}{\lambda_{plain} L_{plain} \rho}} \]

(10)
The leakage flow rates of the upstream spiral part, downstream spiral part, and the middle plain part should be the same for a particular annular seal with herringbone grooves on the stator under certain operating conditions due to the mass conservation. Furthermore, the velocity distributions at the outlet of each part are used as the inlet flow conditions of the next part and will definitely affect the leakage rate and dynamic characteristics of the next part.

As described in (8), (9), and (10), the leakage flow rate of each part depends on the pressure gradient acting on itself. Hence, the inlet and outlet pressures of the middle plain part can be regarded as two boundary pressures $P_{b1}$ and $P_{b2}$. Thus these two boundary pressures can be obtained by solving the conservation equation of the three parts shown as (13) using traversing method. The static characteristics including leakage flow rate and steady-flow velocities of the whole seal will be figured out with the solved boundary pressures.

$$Q_{up} (P_{in}, P_{b1}) = Q_{plain} (P_{b2}, P_{b1}) = Q_{down} (P_{b2}, P_{out})$$  (11)

2.3. Dynamic Characteristics of Liquid Annular Seals with Herringbone Grooves on the Stator. As is shown in Figure 4, the total procedure mainly includes two parts: boundary pressures calculation and dynamic characteristics prediction.
In the first part, steady-state velocities and leakage rates of the two spiral parts and the middle plain part are solved first using the assumed initial boundary pressures. Then, an axial leakage equilibrium among the three parts is employed as the convergence condition in the cycle of boundary pressures calculation. In the second part, solutions for dynamic forces and characteristics of liquid annular seals with spiral grooves on the stator developed by Kim [7] are applied to solve those of the upstream and downstream spiral parts. And the finite-length solutions proposed by Childs [28] are used for solving
Table 2: Operating conditions and geometric parameters of the tested HGLSs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journal diameter (mm)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial clearance (mm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groove depth (mm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groove width (mm)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land width (mm)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral angle (°)</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic viscosity (mPa⋅s)</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whirling speed (r/min)</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure difference (kPa)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotating speed (r/min)</td>
<td>360-2160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of thread</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

those of the middle plain part. Thus, dynamic forces and characteristics of the whole seal with herringbone grooves on the stator are obtained by integrating those of the three parts together.

2.4. Validation of the Solution Method. Experiments for three sets of model seals with herringbone grooves on the stator were conducted on a specially designed test rig shown in Figure 5 and the details of the test rig were described in [11]. During the test, a forced whirling motion with a speed of Ω, as shown in Figure 6, was applied on the rotor despite of its own rotation. The measured hydraulic forces $F_h$ are postprocessed using FFT filter to exclude the other forces such as centrifugal force and gravity. Therefore, the validity of the presented approach is demonstrated by comparing the measured resultant forces and the predicted ones which can be figured out using (12). The three model seals have the same diameters, clearances, spiral angles, land and groove depths, and widths but different lengths of each part (i.e., upstream spiral part, middle plain part and downstream spiral part) as listed in Table 1. Table 2 demonstrates the operating conditions and the identical geometric parameters of the seals.

$$F_h = e\sqrt{(K_h + g_0\Omega - M_h\Omega^2)^2 + (-k_h + C_h\Omega)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Table 3 shows the predicted and measured resultant forces of the three model seals under a series of rotating speeds. It is illustrated that the variations of the predicted and experimental results are accordant. The prediction results have a maximum error of 18.52%. This discrepancy is partly due to the influence of convective inertial effect which is one of the predominant factors causing groove-land discontinuities and is neglected in the steady-flow characteristics analysis in (4). Most importantly, the bulk flow theory uses a series of empirical friction factors to describe the fluid governing equations without analyzing the velocity and pressure distribution details within the groove part and the land part. In general, the predicted results correlate well with the experimental evidence within allowable range of error in engineering, which verifies the proposed calculation method and the analysis below based on it.

3. Results and Discussions

Figure 7 shows the effects of pressure difference on the dynamic characteristics of herringbone-grooved teeth-on-stator (TOS) and teeth-on-rotor (TOR) seals. From the diagram, it can be seen that both the direct stiffness and the cross-coupled stiffness of the four TOS seals increase linearly with pressure difference. The main damping and cross-coupled damping show a parabolic growth with the increase of pressure difference. Under the same working conditions, the main stiffness, cross-coupled stiffness, and cross-coupled damping coefficients of the TOS seals are smaller than those of TOR seals with the same geometry. And the variations of the three dynamic coefficients for TOS seals are much smaller than those of the TOR seals. Meanwhile, the direct damping coefficients of both types with the same number of heads have basically consistent variations with the pressure difference.

Among the four dynamic characteristic coefficients, the coefficient $k$ acts to drive a rotor in a forward whirl motion, while the coefficient $C$ acts to strengthen the backward whirl which will contribute a lot to the stability of the shafting system. From a stability viewpoint, engineers and researchers would like to reduce the cross-coupled stiffness coefficient $k$ and increase the damping coefficient $C$. Thus, a nondimensional instability parameter $f$ shown in (13) is defined as a ratio to describe the strength comparisons between destabilizing and stabilizing tangential forces.

$$f = \frac{k}{(\omega C)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

![Figure 8: Instability parameter $f$ of herringbone-grooved TOR and TOS seals under different pressure differences.](image-url)
Figure 9: Dynamic characteristic changes of herringbone-grooved TOR and TOS seals with preswirl ratio.

Table 3: Comparisons between predicted and measured resultant forces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Seal 1</th>
<th>Seal 2</th>
<th>Seal 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotating speed (r/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>720</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>1440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted force (N)</td>
<td>0.3595</td>
<td>0.4290</td>
<td>0.4965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured force (N)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error (%)</td>
<td>5.4010</td>
<td>6.7447</td>
<td>8.0536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted force (N)</td>
<td>0.9133</td>
<td>0.9025</td>
<td>0.9378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured force (N)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error (%)</td>
<td>3.7852</td>
<td>0.5598</td>
<td>0.2362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted force (N)</td>
<td>0.3677</td>
<td>0.4362</td>
<td>0.4978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured force (N)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error (%)</td>
<td>8.1564</td>
<td>6.3828</td>
<td>2.3838</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plots of the parameter $f$ of TOS seals and TOR seals of the same size are provided in Figure 8. As is shown, under most operating conditions, the stability of the TOS seal is significantly better than that of the TOR seal. Moreover, as the pressure difference increases, the instability parameter of the TOR seal rises sharply while the TOS seal changes slowly, which means that TOS seal has more advantages. In the given example, the instability parameters of the 8 sets of seals are all around 1.4 under the pressure difference of 0.4 MPa. However, when the pressure difference gradually increases to 1.4 MPa, the instability parameter of TOR seal is nearly 40% higher than the TOS seal. This advantage of herringbone-grooved TOS seal is consistent with other TOS seals with complex grooves, such as straight labyrinth seal, hole-pattern seal, honeycomb seal, and other damping seals. Thus, in the design of herringbone-grooved seals, seal stability, stiffness, and sealing performances should be considered comprehensively to meet the design requirements of the shafting system.

Dynamic characteristic coefficients are sensitive to circumferential variables, especially the inlet circumferential velocity and Reynolds number. Thus, a preswirl ratio $y$ is defined as $y = \frac{2\mu u_0}{\rho R \omega}$ to describe the preswirl strength at the seal inlet. Figures 9(a)–9(d) compare the dynamic characteristics changes of TOR seal and TOS seal with preswirl ratio. As is shown, the dynamic coefficients of TOS
Direct-stiffness decreases with an increase in L as the reference model. That is to say, when L is chosen to be the research object. It is shown that L has great influence on all the four dynamic characteristic coefficients, especially when L/L ratio is greater than 0.3.

4. Conclusions

A theoretical analysis method for dynamic characteristics of liquid annular seals with herringbone grooves on the stator is proposed based on the studies of Childs and Kim. And the accuracy of the analytical method is verified by comparing with the experimental results. With the proposed analysis method, characteristic coefficients and instability parameters of the TOR seals and TOR seals of the same size under different pressure differences are predicted and compared. The comparisons show that, from a stability viewpoint, herringbone-grooved TOs seal is significantly better than TOR seal under most operating conditions. Besides, the dynamic coefficients of TOs seals are much more sensitive to the preswirl ratio than the coefficients of TOR seals, especially the cross-coupled stiffness, direct damping, and cross-coupled damping.

Additionally, the influence of the lengths of constituent parts on the dynamic characteristics and instability parameters of the model seals are theoretically investigated. It is observed that the nondimensional coefficients L/L have great influence on all the four rotodynamic characteristics, especially when the ratio is greater than 0.3. Therefore, it is possible to optimize the constitutions and detailed parameters of liquid annular seals with herringbone grooves on the stator for better sealing and rotodynamic performance.

Nomenclatures

Roman Letters

\[ C_r : \text{ Mean radial clearance of the whole seal} \]
\[ C, c : \text{ Direct and cross-coupled damping coefficients} \]
\[ H(\zeta, \theta, t) : \text{ Clearance function, illustrated in Figure 3(a)} \]
\[ K, k : \text{ Direct and cross-coupled stiffness coefficients} \]
\[ L : \text{ Length of the whole seal} \]
\[ L_{r} : \text{ Land width of the spiral part in } \zeta\text{-direction} \]
\[ L_{g} : \text{ Groove width of the spiral part in } \zeta\text{-direction} \]
\[ m, n : \text{ Nondimensional empirical turbulence coefficients} \]
\[ P, \Delta P : \text{ Pressure difference} \]
\[ P_{b1} : \text{ Boundary pressure at the inlet of middle plain part} \]
Figure 12: Dynamic characteristic changes versus $L_2/L$ ratio.

- $P_{b2}$: Boundary pressure at the outlet of middle plain part
- $P_{in}$: Inlet pressure of the whole seal
- $P_{out}$: Outlet pressure of the whole seal
- $P_0$: Nondimensional zero-order axial velocity
- $Q$: Leakage flow rate
- $R$: Seal radius
- $R_{z0}$: Zeroth-order Reynolds number in axial direction
- $R_{\theta0}$: Zeroth-order Reynolds number in circumferential direction
- $T$: Groove depth

Greek Letters

- $\alpha$: Spiral angle
- $\lambda$: Friction coefficient
- $\mu$: Dynamic viscosity

Symbols

- $u$: Velocity
- $\bar{u}_{z0}$: Nondimensional zero-order axial velocity
- $\bar{u}_{\theta0}$: Nondimensional zero-order circumferential velocity
- $V$: Average axial fluid velocity
- $z$: Axial coordinate
$\xi$: Pressure loss coefficient  
$\tau$: Tangential force  
$\Omega$: Whirling speed  
$\varepsilon$: Perturbation coefficient  
$\rho$: Fluid density  
$\omega$: Rotating speed

**Subscript**

0: Zeroth-order solutions  
down: Downstream spiral part  
in: Inlet pressure loss  
out: Outlet pressure loss  
plain: Middle plain part  
pumping: Pressure differences induced by pumping effects  
$r\zeta$: In $\zeta$-direction for rotors  
$r\eta$: In $\eta$-direction for rotors  
$s\eta$: In $\eta$-direction for stators  
$s\zeta$: In $\zeta$-direction for stators  
sp, -sp: Spiral part  
up: Upstream spiral part  
$\zeta$: $\zeta$-Direction  
$\eta$: $\eta$-Direction  
$\theta$: Circumferential direction
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