Fundamental and subharmonic resonances of harmonically oscillation with time delay state feedback
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Abstract. Time delays occur in many physical systems. In particular, when automatic control is used with structural or mechanical systems, there exists a delay between measurement of the system state and corrective action. The concept of an equivalent damping related to the delay feedback is proposed and the appropriate choice of the feedback gains and the time delay is discussed from the viewpoint of vibration control. We investigate the fundamental resonance and subharmonic resonance of order one-half of a harmonically oscillation under state feedback control with a time delay. By using the multiple scale perturbation technique, the first order approximation of the resonances are derived and the effect of time delay on the resonances is investigated. The fixed points correspond to a periodic motion for the starting system and we show the external excitation-response and frequency-response curves. We analyze the effect of time delay and the other different parameters on these oscillations.

1. Introduction

The last decade has witnessed an increasing number of studies and applications of the active control of mechanical ad structural vibrations in various fields. Superior as the active control is over the passive control in many aspects, it involves more technical problems. One of the open problems is the complicated system dynamics induced by the unavoidable time delays in controllers and actuators, especially in various analogue filters, hydraulic and pneumatic actuators, where the time delays may give rise to the instability analysis of the controlled systems.

Nonlinear differential equations involving time delays have been studied in varies scientific fields, and some publications on this topic are cited in reference [1,2]. Active control of the motion of structures has recently received much attention (e.g. see reference [3,4]). Diekman et al. [5] performed a nonlinear analysis for determining Hopf bifurcations in systems of autonomous differential equations, while Belair and Campbell [6] extended this work to the case of multi-delayed differential equations. Palkovics and Venhovens [7] analyzed stability, Hopf bifurcations, and chaotic motion in controlled wheel suspension systems. Stepan and Haller [8] considered quasi-periodic oscillations in robot dynamics and Moiola et al. [9] dealt with the more general forced nonlinear system under delay control have been investigated by Plaut and Hsieh [10] in the case of nonlinear structural vibrations with a time delay in damping. Hu et al. [11] investigated the resonances of a harmonically forced Duffing oscillator with time delay state feedback. Using the method of multiple scales [12,13], they demonstrated that appropriate choices of feedback gain and the time delay are possible for a better vibration control. Asfar and Masoud [14] performed the control of parametric resonance using a Lanchester-type damper and obtain successful vibration suppression and bifurcations control. Thomsen [15] investigated a string with sliding-mass nonlinear absorber and showed that vibration suppression is possible for moderate forcing amplitudes. Hover, the system exhibits modulated responses, for high forcing amplitude or a large slider mass. Yabuno [16] proposed a control law based on linear velocity feedback and
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The objective of this paper is to analyze the dynamics of a non-autonomous delay system. As the first study, a harmonically forced oscillation under linear time delay control is considered. This system serves as the simplest model for various controlled nonlinear systems, e.g., active vehicle suspension systems when the nonlinearity in tires is taken into account [5]. The system motion is described by a second order nonlinear differential equation

$$m \frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2} + c \frac{dX(t)}{dt} + KX(t) + k_1X^2(t) + \mu k_2X^3(t) = \ddot{u}X(t-\tau) + \dot{v} \frac{dX(t-\tau)}{dt} + F \cos \omega t$$

(1)
where $m > 0$, $k_1 > 0$, $k_2 > 0$, and $0 < \bar{\tau} \leq \frac{2\pi}{\omega}$. By using the following dimensionless time and new parameters

$$
\tau = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} \bar{t}, \quad u = \bar{u}, \quad v = \frac{\bar{v}}{2\sqrt{mk}}
$$

$$(2)$$

Equation (1) can be written as

$$
\frac{d^2 X(t)}{dt^2} + 2\zeta \frac{dX(t)}{dt} + X(t) + \gamma X^2(t) + \mu X^3(t) = 2u X(t - \tau) + 2v \frac{dX(t - \tau)}{dt} + f \cos \lambda t
$$

(3)

In the following sections, the fundamental resonance and subharmonic resonance of order one-half of a harmonically oscillation under state feedback control with a time delay will be studied by using the multiple scale perturbation technique.

2. Fundamental resonance

2.1. Steady-state resonance

To investigate the fundamental resonance of the delay controlled system Eq. (3) by using the multiple scale perturbation technique, we confine the study to the case of small damping, weak nonlinearities, weak feedback and soft excitation. That is

$$
\zeta = O(\varepsilon), \quad \gamma = O(\varepsilon), \quad \mu = O(\varepsilon),
$$

$$
f = O(1), \quad u = O(\varepsilon), \quad v = O(\varepsilon),
$$

$$
\lambda - 1 = \varepsilon \sigma, \quad \sigma = O(\varepsilon)
$$

(4)

where $\varepsilon \leq 1$ and $\sigma$ is the detuning parameter. Rewrite Eq. (3) as

$$
\frac{d^2 X(t)}{dt^2} + X(t) = -2\zeta \frac{dX(t)}{dt} - \gamma X^2(t) - \mu X^3(t) + 2uX(t - \tau) + 2v \frac{dX(t - \tau)}{dt} + f \cos(1 + \varepsilon \sigma)t
$$

(5)
We assume a two scale expansion of the solution
\[ X(t) = X_0(T_0, T_1) + X_1(T_0, T_1) + O(\varepsilon^2) \] (6)
where \( T_n = \varepsilon^n t \). In terms of \( T_0 \) and \( T_1 \), the time derivative become
\[
\frac{d}{dt} = D_0 + \varepsilon D_1 + O(\varepsilon^2) \\
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} = D_0^2 + 2\varepsilon D_0 D_1 + O(\varepsilon^2) (7)
\]
where \( D_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_n} \). Inserting Eqs (6) and (7) into Eq. (5) and equating the coefficients of same power of \( \varepsilon \), we obtain a set of linear partial differential equations
\[
D_0^2 X_0(T_0, T_1) + X_0(T_0, T_1) = f \cos(T_0 + \sigma T_1) (8)
\]
\[
D_0^2 X_1(T_0, T_1) + X_1(T_0, T_1) = -2D_0 D_1 X_0(T_0, T_1) - 2\varepsilon D_0 X_0(T_0, T_1) - \gamma X_0^2(T_0, T_1) - \mu X_0^3(T_0, T_1) + 2u X_0(T_0 - \tau, T_1) + 2v D_0 X_0(T_0 - \tau, T_1) (9)
\]
The general solution of Eq. (8) can be expressed as
\[ X_0(T_0, T_1) = A(T_1) \exp(i T_0) + G \exp(i(T_0 + \sigma T_1)) + cc \] (10)
where \( G = \frac{f}{2(1 - X_0^2)} \) and \( cc \) denotes the complex conjugate of the preceding terms. Substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (9), we obtain
\[
D_0^2 X_1(T_0, T_1) + X_1(T_0, T_1) = -2i(D_1 A + \zeta A) \exp(i T_0) - \gamma(A^2 \exp(2i T_0) + 2A \exp((\lambda - 1) T_0) \\
+ 2A \bar{A}) - \mu(A^3 \exp(3i T_0) + 3A^2 \bar{A} \exp(i T_0)) + 2A \bar{A} \exp(i(T_0 + \sigma T_1) \\
+ \bar{A} G^2 \exp(i(T_0 + 2 \sigma T_0) + 2i u A \exp(i T_0) \exp(-i \tau) + 2i v A \exp(i T_0) \\
\exp(-i \tau) + cc (11)
\]
Then eliminating the secular terms from Eq. (11) yields
\[ -2i(D_1 A + \zeta A) - 3\mu A^2 \bar{A} - 2\mu A \bar{A} \exp(i \sigma T_1) - 2i A \exp(-i \tau) + 2i \bar{A} \exp(-i \tau) = 0 (12) \]
It is convenient to put $A$ in the polar form

$$A = \frac{1}{2} r(T_1) \exp\{i\beta(T_1)\}$$

(13)

where $r$ and $\beta$ are the amplitude and phase. Substituting Eq. (13) into Eq. (12) and separating the real and imaginary parts, we obtain a set of autonomous differential equations that govern the amplitude $r(T_1)$ and the phase $\beta(T_1)$

$$D_1r = -\zeta r - \frac{1}{2} \mu r^2 G \sin \phi - \frac{1}{2} \mu r G^2 \sin 2\phi - ur \sin \tau + vr \cos \tau$$

(14)

$$rD_1\beta = \frac{3}{8} \mu r^3 + \frac{1}{2} \mu r^2 G \cos \phi - \frac{1}{2} \mu r G^2 \cos 2\phi - ur \cos \tau - vr \sin \tau$$

(15)

where

$$\phi = \sigma T_1 - \beta(T_1)$$

(16)

From Eqs (14) and (15), we get a set of algebraic equations for amplitude $r$ and phase $\phi$ of the steady-state fundamental resonance

$$(\zeta + u \sin \tau - v \cos \tau) r = -\frac{1}{2} \mu r G (r \sin \phi + G \sin 2\phi)$$

(17)

$$(\sigma + ur \cos \tau + vr \sin \tau) r - \frac{3}{8} \mu r^3 = -\frac{1}{2} \mu r G (r \cos \phi - G \cos 2\phi)$$

(18)

Equations (17) and (18) show that there are two possibilities: $r = 0$ or $r \neq 0$. When $r \neq 0$, Eqs (17) and (18) become

$$(\zeta + u \sin \tau - v \cos \tau) = -\frac{1}{2} \mu G (r \sin \phi + G \sin 2\phi)$$

(19)

$$(\sigma + ur \cos \tau + vr \sin \tau) - \frac{3}{8} \mu r^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \mu G (r \cos \phi - G \cos 2\phi)$$

(20)

whereby we have the frequency response relation between $r$ and $\sigma$

$$(\zeta + u \sin \tau - v \cos \tau)^2 + \left( (\sigma + ur \cos \tau + vr \sin \tau) - \frac{3}{8} \mu r^2 \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \mu^2 G^2 (r^2 - G^2)$$

(21)
The first approximation for the fundamental resonance reads
\[ X(t) = r \cos(\lambda t - \phi) + \frac{f}{1 - \lambda^2} \cos \lambda t + O(\varepsilon) \] (22)

2.2. Stability analysis

To determine the stability of the trivial solutions, one investigates the solutions of linearized form of Eq. (12); that is
\[-2i(D_1A + \zeta A) - \mu AG^2(2i\sigma T_1) + 2iuA \exp(-i\tau) + 2ivA \exp(-i\tau) = 0\] (23)

Letting
\[ A = (B_r + iB_i) \exp(i\sigma T_1) \] (24)
in Eq. (23) where \( B_r \) and \( B_i \) are real, and separating real and imaginary parts, one obtains
\[ B'_r + (\zeta + u \sin \tau - v \cos \tau) B_r = \left( \sigma - \frac{1}{2}\mu G^2 + u \cos \tau + v \sin \tau \right) B_r = 0 \] (25)
\[ B'_i + (\zeta + u \sin \tau - v \cos \tau) B_i - \left( \sigma + \frac{1}{2}\mu G^2 + u \cos \tau + v \sin \tau \right) B_i = 0 \] (26)

Equations (25) and (26) admit solutions of the form
\[ (B_r, B_i) = (c_1, c_2) \exp(isT_1) \] (27)
where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are arbitrary constants and
\[ s^2 + 2m_1 s + m_1^2 + m_2 m_3 = 0 \] (28)
where
\[ m_1 = (\zeta + u \sin \tau - v \cos \tau) \]
\[ m_2 = \left( \sigma - \frac{1}{2} \mu G^2 + u \cos \tau + v \sin \tau \right) \]
\[ m_3 = \left( \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \mu G^2 + u \cos \tau + v \sin \tau \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

From the Routh-Hurwitz criterion the steady-state vibration is asymptotically stable if and only if the following inequalities hold simultaneously
\[ m_1 > 0 \]
\[ (m_1^2 + m_2 m_3) > 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)
To analyze the stability of the non-trivial solutions, we linearize Eqs (14) and (15) at \((\hat{r}, \hat{\phi})\) with respect to \(r\) and \(\phi\)

\[
D_1 \Delta r = - \left[ \zeta + \mu \dot{r} \sin \hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{2} \mu G^2 \sin 2\hat{\phi} + u \cos \tau - v \sin \tau \right] \Delta r
\]

\[
- \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mu G \dot{r}^2 \cos \hat{\phi} - \mu r G \cos \hat{\phi} \right] \Delta \phi
\]

\[
D_1 \Delta r = \left[ \frac{\sigma}{r} - \frac{9}{8} \mu \dot{r} - \mu r G \cos \hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{2} \mu G^2 \cos 2\hat{\phi} + \frac{u}{r} \cos \tau + \frac{v}{r} \sin \tau \right] \Delta r
\]

\[
+ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mu G \dot{r} \sin \hat{\phi} - \mu G^2 \sin 2\hat{\phi} \right] \Delta \phi
\]
Fig. 10. Frequency-response curve for decreasing the coefficient of external excitation $f$.

Fig. 11. Frequency-response curve for increasing the coefficient of cubic term $\mu$.

Equations (28) and (29) are linear equations with constants coefficients, and as such, posses a non-trivial solution proportional to $\exp(\Lambda T_1)$ if, and only if, the $\Lambda$’s are the eigenvalues of the determinant of the coefficient matrix. If the real parts of all two $\Lambda$’s are negative then the solution is considered stable.

3. Principal resonance of order one-half

3.1. Steady-state resonance

To study the principal harmonic resonance of order one-half of the controlled system, we confine ourselves to the case of
Then, we rewrite Eq. (3) as

$$\frac{d^2 X(t)}{dt^2} + X(t) = -2\zeta \frac{dX(t)}{dt} - \gamma X^2(t) - \mu X^3(t) + 2uX(t - \tau)$$

$$+ 2v \frac{dX(t - \tau)}{dt} + f \cos(2T_0 + \sigma T_1)$$

Inserting Eqs (6) and (7) into Eq. (34) and equating the coefficients of like power of $\varepsilon^0$ and $\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\zeta = O(\varepsilon), \quad \gamma = O(\varepsilon), \quad \mu = O(\varepsilon),$$
$$f = O(1), \quad u = O(\varepsilon), \quad v = O(\varepsilon),$$
$$\lambda - 2 = \varepsilon \sigma, \quad \sigma = O(\varepsilon)$$
Fig. 14. External excitation-response curve for increasing the damping factor $\zeta$.

Fig. 15. External excitation-response curve for decreasing the damping factor $\zeta$.

\[
D_0^2 X_0(t_0, t_1) + X_0(t_0, t_1) = f \cos(2t_0 + \sigma t_1)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (35)

\[
D_0^2 X_1(t_0, t_1) + X_1(t_0, t_1) = -2D_0D_1X_0(t_0, t_1) - 2\zeta D_0X_0(t_0, t_1) - \gamma X_0^2(t_0, t_1) \\
- \mu X_0^3(t_0, t_1) + 2\alpha X_0(t_0 - \tau, t_1) + 2\nu D_0X_0(t_0 - \tau, t_1)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (36)

Solving Eq. (35) for $X_0(t_0, t_1)$, we have

\[
X_0(t_0, t_1) = A(t_1) \exp(iT_0) + G \exp\{i(2T_0 + \sigma T_1) + cc
\]  \hspace{1cm} (37)

Inserting Eq. (37) into Eq. (36) yields
Fig. 16. External excitation-response curve for decreasing the coefficient of quadratic term $\gamma$.

Fig. 17. External excitation-response curve for increasing the detuning parameter $\sigma$.

\[ D_0^2 X_1(T_0, T_1) + X_1(T_0, T_1) = -2i(D_1A + \zeta A) \exp(iT_0) - \gamma (A^2 \exp(2iT_0) + 2AA + 2AG \exp \{ i(T_0 + \sigma T_0) \}) - \mu (A^3 \exp(3iT_0) + 3\mu A^2 \bar{A} \exp(iT_0)) + 2iuA \exp(iT_0) \exp(-i\tau) + 2ivA \exp(iT_0) \exp(-i\tau) + cc \]  

(38)

The secular term of Eq. (11) vanishes if and only if

\[ -2i(D_1A + \zeta A) - 3\mu A^2 \bar{A} - 2\gamma AG \exp(i\sigma T_1) + 2iuA \exp(-i\tau) + 2ivA \exp(-i\tau) = 0 \]  

(39)

Substituting Eq. (13) into Eq. (39) and separating the real and imaginary parts, we obtain the autonomous differential equations that govern the amplitude $r(T_1)$ and the phase $\beta(T_1)$.
Fig. 18. External excitation-response curve for decreasing the detuning parameter $\sigma$.

Fig. 19. Frequency-response curve for increasing the time delay $\tau$.

\[
D_1 r = -\zeta r - \gamma r G \sin \phi - ur \sin \tau + vr \cos \tau
\]  \hspace{1cm} (40)

\[
r D_1 \beta = \frac{3}{8} r^3 + \gamma r G \cos \phi - ur \cos \tau - vr \sin \tau
\]  \hspace{1cm} (41)

where

\[
\phi(T_1) = \sigma T_1 - 2\beta(T_1)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (42)

From Eqs (40) and (41), we get a set of algebraic equations for amplitude $r$ and phase $\phi$ of the steady-state principal resonance of order one-half

\[
(\zeta + u \sin \tau - v \cos \tau ) r = -\gamma r G \sin \phi
\]  \hspace{1cm} (43)

\[
\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma + u \cos \tau + v \sin \tau \right) r - \frac{3}{8} r^3 = \gamma r G \cos \phi
\]  \hspace{1cm} (44)
Equations (43) and (44) show that there are two possibilities: \( r = 0 \) (trivial solution) or \( r \neq 0 \) (non-trivial solution). When \( r \neq 0 \), we have

\[
(\zeta + u \sin \tau - v \cos \tau) = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma G \sin \phi \\
\left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma + ur \cos \tau + vr \sin \tau\right) - \frac{1}{8} \mu r^2 = \frac{1}{2} \gamma G \cos \phi
\]

whereby we derive the frequency response relation between \( r \) and \( \sigma \), and that between \( \phi \) and \( \sigma \)

\[
(\zeta + u \sin \tau - v \cos \tau)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma + u \cos \tau + v \sin \tau - \frac{3}{8} \mu r^2\right)^2 - \gamma^2 G^2 = 0
\]

\[
\tan \phi + \frac{\zeta + u \sin \tau - v \cos \tau}{\frac{1}{2} \sigma + u \cos \tau + v \sin \tau - \frac{3}{8} \mu r^2} = 0
\]
Fig. 22. Frequency-response curve for decreasing the coefficient of quadratic term $\gamma$.

Fig. 23. Frequency-response curve for increasing the coefficient of external excitation $f$.

Then, the first-order approximation for the steady-state principal harmonic resonance of order one-half is given by

$$X(t) = r \cos(\frac{\lambda t - \phi}{2}) + \frac{f}{1 - \lambda^2} \cos \lambda t + O(\varepsilon) \quad (49)$$

3.2. Stability analysis

To determine the stability of the steady state subharmonic resonance, we linearize Eqs (40) and (41) at $(\hat{r}, \hat{\phi})$ with respect to $r$ and $\phi$

$$D_1 \Delta r = \left[-\zeta - \gamma G \sin \hat{\phi} - u \sin \tau + v \cos \tau \right] \Delta r - \left(\gamma G \hat{r} \cos \hat{\phi} \right) \Delta \phi \quad (50)$$

$$D_1 \Delta r = \left[\frac{\sigma}{r^4} - \frac{9}{4} \mu \hat{\phi} - \frac{2\gamma G}{\hat{r}} \cos \hat{\phi} + \frac{2u}{\hat{r}} \cos \tau + \frac{2v}{\hat{r}} \sin \tau \right] \Delta r + 2 \left(\gamma G \cos 2\hat{\phi} \right) \Delta \phi \quad (51)$$
The stability of a particular fixed point with respect to an infinitesimal disturbance proportional to \( \exp(\Lambda T_1) \) is determined by the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix of the right hand of Eqs (50) and (51). A given fixed point is stable if and only if the real parts of all eigenvalues are less than or equal to zero. Note that the stability of the trivial solutions in this case is determined by using Eqs (50) and (51) when \( \mu = 0 \).

4. Numerical results and discussion

The analytical analysis are represented graphically by using numerical method. The frequency Eqs (21) and (47) are nonlinear algebraic equation in the amplitude \((r)\). These equations are solved by using bisection method. The numerical results are plotted in a group of Figs 1–24, which represent the variation of the response amplitude \((r)\) with the coefficient of external excitation \(f\) in Figs 1–6 for the first case and Figs 13–18 for the second case and represent variation of the amplitude \((r)\) with the detuning parameter \(\sigma\) in Figs 7–12 for the first case and Figs 19–24 for the second case. The stability of a fixed-point solution is studied by examination of the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix of Eqs (14) and (15) for fundamental resonance and Eqs (40) and (41) equations for subharmonic resonance evaluated at the fixed point of interest. If all the eigenvalues have negative real parts, then the fixed point is expected to settle to it. These solutions are called stable nodes and denoted by solid lines in the frequency-response curves of Fig. 1 through 28. If a pure-real eigenvalue becomes positive, the fixed point loses stability and the motion is expected to diverge from it. These unstable solutions are called saddles and are denoted by dotted lines in Fig. 1 through 28. Thus, stable and unstable non-trivial solutions may be represented on the response curves by solid and dotted lines respectively.

Figures 1–6 show the external excitation-response curve for the variation of the parameters \(\tau, \zeta, \sigma, \) and \(\mu\) in the case of fundamental resonance and these figures represented the variation of the response amplitude \((r)\) with the coefficient of external excitation \(f\). Figure 1, represent the variation of the time delay \(\tau\). As \(\tau = 0\), the amplitude \(r\) has two semi-ovals which are opened from the upper and symmetric about \(f = 0\). The left semi-oval has stable and unstable solutions. As \(f\) increases with negative values, left semi-oval loses stability via a saddle-node bifurcation at \(f = 0.4\). Also the right semi-oval has stable solutions. As \(f\) increases with negative values, left semi-oval loses stability via a saddle-node bifurcation at \(f = 0.44\). Also the right semi-oval has stable solutions. When the time delay \(\tau\) is increased further, there exists a contraction in the two semi-ovals and the zone of definition is decreased. For further increasing of the time delay \(\tau\) up to 2, the amplitude \(r\) has symmetric two oval about \(f = 0\) with decreasing in the zone of definition and the left oval has two bifurcation points and the right oval has stable solutions. Figures 2 and 3 represent the variation of increasing and decreasing the damping factor \(\zeta\). For increasing damping factor \(\zeta\) respectively, the two semi-oval are moved to the upper with increasing magnitudes. The
regions of stability and definition are decreased and each left semi-ovals has one saddle-node bifurcation, Fig. 2. In Fig. 3, we note that the response amplitude does not affected with decreasing the damping factor $\zeta$ respectively and the region of stability is decreased. When $f$ increases with negative values, left semi-oval loses stability via a saddle-node bifurcation at $f = 0.3$ and the right semi-oval has stable solutions. Figure 4 represents the variation of increasing the detuning parameter $\sigma$. When $\sigma$ is increased, we observed that the two symmetric semi-oval are moved to the down and have decreased magnitudes respectively. As $f$ increases with negative values, left semi-oval loses stability via a saddle-node bifurcation and the right semi-oval has stable solutions. Further decreasing of $\sigma$ up to 0.1, the response amplitude $r$ has two symmetric ovals with decreased magnitudes and the region of multivalued is increased. The left oval has two saddle-node bifurcations and the right oval has stable solutions. For increasing the coefficient of cubic term $\mu$, the response amplitude $r$ has two symmetric ovals and have decreasing magnitudes and each oval intersect the semi-oval in two points. The left oval has two saddle-node bifurcations and the right oval has stable solutions. As $\mu$ increases further up to 30, the two ovals are contraction and moved to the down with decreasing magnitudes and the regions of definition and multivalued are decreased. The left oval has two saddle-node bifurcations and the right oval has stable solutions, Fig. 5. When the coefficient of cubic term $\mu$ is decreasing respectively, we note that the two symmetric semi-ovals are moved to the upper with increasing magnitudes and the region of definition is decreased. As $f$ increases with negative values, we observe that each left semi-ovals loses stability via a saddle-node bifurcation and each right semi-oval has stable solutions. Figure 6.

Figures 7–12 show the frequency-response curve for the variation of the parameters $\tau$, $\zeta$, $f$, and $\mu$ in the case of fundamental resonance and these figures represent the variation of the amplitude $(r)$ with the detuning parameter $\sigma$. Figure 7, represents the variation of the time delay $\tau$. When $\tau = 0$, the response amplitude $r$ has multivalued continuous curve represented by semi-oval. The solutions are stable. For further increasing of $\tau$ respectively (i.e. $\tau$ take the values 0.78, 1, and 1.5), we note that the semi-oval is contraction respectively and the lower and the upper branches of the semi-oval have increased and decreased magnitudes respectively. The zone of definition is decreased respectively. Figure 7. For decreasing the damping factor $\zeta$ respectively, we observed that the response amplitude $r$ does not affected and the solutions are stable, Fig. 8. Figures 9 and 10 represent the variation of increasing the coefficient of external excitation $f$. As $f$ increasing respectively. The response amplitude $r$ moves to the upper and has stable single-valued curve. When $f$ is increased further, the single-valued curve moved to the upper and the region of definition is decreased, Fig. 9. As $f$ increases, the semi-oval is contraction and the zones of definition and multivalued are decreased, Fig. 10. When coefficient of cubic term $\mu$ is increased respectively, the semi-oval is contraction and moved to the down and the regions of multi-valued and definition are decreased, Fig. 11. For decreasing the coefficient of cubic term $\mu$ respectively, the multivalued is decreased and the zones of stability and definition are increased respectively, Fig. 12.

Figures 13–18 show the external excitation-response curves for the variation of the parameters $\tau$, $\zeta$, $\gamma$, and $\sigma$ in the case of subharmonic resonance and these figures represented the variation of the response amplitude $(r)$ with the coefficient of external excitation $f$. Figure 13, represent the variation of the time delay $\tau$. As $\tau = 0$, the response amplitude $r$ has two single-valued curves which are symmetric about $f = 0$ and the solutions are unstable. When the time delay $\tau$ is increased further up to 0.78, the two single-valued curves have decreased unstable magnitudes. For further increasing of the time delay $\tau$ up to 2, the single-valued curves have increasing magnitudes and there exist stable and unstable solutions and both left and right branches have saddle-node bifurcations. Figures 14 and 15 represent the variation of increasing and decreasing the damping factor $\zeta$. As $\zeta$ increases, the two branches moves to the upper with increasing magnitudes and the zones of stability and definition are increasing and decreasing respectively. As $f$ increases with negative values, the left branch loses stability via a saddle-node bifurcation. Also when $f$ increases with positive values, the right branch loses stability via a saddle-node bifurcation. When $\zeta$ increases further up to 6, the two single-valued curves moved to the upper with increasing stable magnitude. The zone of definition is decreased and the zone of definition is decreased, Fig. 14. For decreasing $\zeta$ respectively, the two single-valued curves do not affected and the solutions are unstable, Fig. 15. When the coefficient of quadratic term $\gamma$ is decreased respectively, the two curves move to the down with decreasing unstable magnitudes and the region of definition is increased, Fig. 16. As the detuning parameter $\sigma$ is increased respectively, the two symmetric curves move to the upper with unstable increasing magnitudes respectively and the zones of definition are decreased respectively, Fig. 17. For decreasing the detuning parameter $\sigma$ respectively, we get the same variation as in Fig. 4 such that all the solutions are unstable, Fig. 18.
Figures 19–24 show the frequency-response curve for the variation of the parameters \( \tau, \zeta, \gamma, \) and \( f \) in the case of subharmonic resonance and these figures represent the variation of the amplitude \( r \) with the detuning parameter \( \sigma \).
Figure 19, represent the variation of the time delay \( \tau \). When \( \tau = 0 \), the response amplitude \( r \) has single-valued curve which consisting of two branches. As \( \sigma \) increases from negative value \((-10\) respectively, we note that the response amplitude \( r \) has decreased unstable magnitudes until it reach the value \( \sigma = 0 \) and as \( \sigma \) increases respectively, the response amplitude \( r \) has increased unstable magnitudes respectively. When \( \tau = 0.78 \), response amplitude \( r \) moves to the upper with increased unstable magnitudes for the first branch in the interval \([-10–2.5\) and after this interval it has decreased unstable magnitudes. For further increasing of the time delay \( \tau \) up to 2, the response amplitude \( r \) has stable solutions through the interval \([-10–5.5\) and after this interval the solutions are unstable. Figures 2 and 3, represent the variation of increasing and decreasing the damping factor \( \zeta \). When \( \zeta \) is increased, the single-valued curve moved to the upper with increasing stable magnitudes and as \( \sigma \) is increased from \((-10\), the single-valued curve loses stability via a saddle-node bifurcation. When \( \zeta \) increases further, the single-valued curve moved to the upper with increasing stable magnitudes, Fig. 20. From Fig. 21, we observe that, the single-valued curve does not affected by decreasing the factor \( \zeta \) respectively and the solutions are unstable. As the coefficient of quadratic term \( \gamma \) increases respectively, the single-valued curve moved to the upper with increasing unstable magnitudes and the zone of definition is decreased respectively, Fig. 22. Figures 23 and 25 represent the variation of increasing the coefficient of external excitation \( f \). When \( f \) is increased respectively, the single-valued curve moved to the lower with decreasing unstable magnitudes, Fig. 23. For increasing \( f \) respectively, we get the same variation as in Fig. 22, Fig. 24.

5. Concluding remarks

The time delay in feedback control results in an infinite dimension for the controlled nonlinear system, and increases dramatically the complexity of the numerical analysis for the system dynamics. The method of multiple scales proves to be a powerful tool to gain insight into the fundamental and principal resonance of the system has one-degree-of-freedom with weak nonlinearities and weak delay feedback. We have derived two-slow-flow equations, governing the amplitude and phase of approximate long-time response of the oscillator. Determination of various types of steady state motion is then reduced to solution of sets of algebraic equations. Condition for existence of stable solution is determined. Solution of the frequency-response equation for the two cases shows the following conclusions:

- The external excitation-response curves for the two cases are symmetric about \( f = 0 \).
- The external excitation-response curves does not affected by decreasing the damping factor.
- In the case of fundamental resonance, we note that the right branches have stable solutions.
- The frequency-response curves have stable solutions for the variation of the parameters \( \tau, \zeta, f \) and \( \mu \).
- The frequency-response curves for the two cases does not affected by decreasing the damping factor.
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