Parametric earthquake analysis of thick plates using Mindlin’s theory
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Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to study parametric earthquake analysis of thick plates using Mindlin’s theory, to determine the effects of the thickness/span ratio, the aspect ratio and the boundary conditions on the linear responses of thick plates subjected to earthquake excitations and to present the frequency parameters and the mode shapes of the same plates. In the analysis, finite element method is used for spatial integration and the Newmark-β method is used for time integration. A computer program using finite element method is coded in C++ to analyze the plates clamped or simply supported along all four edges. In the analysis, 8-noded finite element is used. Graphs and tables are presented that should help engineers in the design of thick plates subjected to earthquake excitations. It is concluded that, in general, the changes in the thickness/span ratio are more effective on the maximum responses considered in this study than the changes in the aspect ratio. It is also concluded that the effects of the change in the thickness/span ratio on the frequency parameter of the thick plates are always larger than those of the change in the aspect ratio.
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1. Introduction

Plates are structural elements which are commonly used in the building industry. A plate is considered to be a thin plate if the ratio of the plate thickness to the smaller span length is less than 1/20; it is considered to be a thick plate if this ratio is larger than 1/20 [1,32].

The dynamic behavior of thin plates has been investigated by many researchers [3,4,6,7,13,14,16,17,30,33,36,38,39]. There are also many references on the behavior of the thick plates subjected to different loads. The studies made on the behavior of the thick plates are based on the Reissner-Mindlin plate theory [9–11,29]. This theory requires only C0 continuity for the finite elements in the analysis of thin and thick plates. Therefore, it appears as an alternative to the thin plate theory which also requires C1 continuity. This requirement in the thin plate theory is solved easily if Mindlin theory is used in the analysis of thin plates. Despite the simple formulation of this theory, discretization of the plate by means of the finite element comes out to be an important parameter. In many cases, numerical solution can have lack of convergence, which is known as “shear-locking”. Shear locking can be avoided by increasing the mesh size, i.e. using finer mesh, but if the thickness/span ratio is “too small”, convergence may not be achieved even if the finer mesh is used for the low order displacement shape functions.

In order to avoid shear locking problem, the different methods and techniques, such as reduced and selective reduced integration, the substitute shear strain method, etc., are used by several researchers [8,26,27,34,35]. The same problem can also be prevented by using higher order displacement shape function [41]. Wanji and Cheung [5]
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proposed a new quadrilateral thin/thick plate element based on the Mindlin-Reissner theory. Soh et al. [2] improve a new element ARS-Q12 which is a simple quadrilateral 12 DOF plate bending element based on Reissner-Mindlin theory for analysis of thick and thin plates. Brezzi and Marini [12] developed a locking free nonconforming element for the Reissner-Mindlin plate using discontinuous Galerkin techniques. Belounar and Guenfound [22] improved a new rectangular finite element based on the strain approach and the Reissner-Mindlin theory is presented for the analysis of plates in bending either thick or thin. Cen et al. [31] developed a new high performance quadrilateral element for analysis of thick and thin plates. This distinguishing character of the new element is that all formulations are expressed in the quadrilateral area co-ordinate system. Ayvaz [39] derived the equations of motions for thick orthotropic elastic plates using Hamilton’s principle, but did not present any results. Liew and Teo [20] studied three-dimensional vibration analysis of rectangular plates based on differential quadrature method. Shen et al. [15] studied free and forced vibration of Reissner-Mindlin plates with free edges resting on elastic foundations. Cen et al. [31] presented the generalized mixed variational principle for Reissner plate analysis. Using finite element method, Raju and Hinton [19] made significant contributions to the vibration analysis of plates including rotatory inertia effects for rhombic plates based on Mindlin’s theory. Woo et al. [21] found accurate natural frequencies and mode shapes of skew plates with and without cutouts by p-version finite element method using integrals of Legendre polynomial for \( p = 1 - 14 \). Qian et al. [24] studied free and forced vibrations of thick rectangular plates using higher-order shear and normal deformable plate theory and meshless Petrov-Galerkin method. Morais et al. [25] studied vibrations of thick plates using Lagrangean quadrilateral finite element with 16 nodes. However, no references have been found in the technical literature for the earthquake analysis of thick plates using Mindlin theory.

The purpose of this paper is to study parametric earthquake analysis of thick plates using Mindlin’s theory, to determine the effects of the thickness/span ratio, the aspect ratio and the boundary conditions on the linear responses of the thick plates subjected to earthquake excitations and to present the frequency parameters and the mode shapes of the same plates. A computer program using finite element method is coded in C++ to analyze the plates clamped or simply supported along all four edges. In the program, the finite element method is used for spatial integration and the Newmark-\( \beta \) method is used for the time integration. In the analysis, 8-noded finite elements are used to construct the stiffness and mass matrices since shear locking problem does not occur if this element is used in the finite element modeling of the thick plates [41,42].

2. Mindlin’s theory of thick plates

In the plate of Fig. 1, assuming that \( u \) and \( v \) are proportional to \( z \) and \( w \) is independent of \( z \), the plate displacement in terms of the two slopes and a displacement at an arbitrary \( x, y, \) and \( z \) point can be written as follows:

\[
u = \{u, v, w\} = \{-z \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x}, z \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y}, w\}
\]
In this equation, \( u, v, w \) are displacements in the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions, respectively, \( x, y, \) and \( z \) are the co-ordinate axes and \( \varphi_x \) and \( \varphi_y \) are the rotations in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively (Fig. 2). The equations for strain components in terms of plate displacements \( u, v, \) and \( w \) are given as follows [39]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_x &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \\
\gamma_{xy} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \\
\varepsilon_y &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \\
\gamma_{xz} &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}, \\
\varepsilon_z &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, \\
\gamma_{yz} &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

The expressions for stresses are given in terms of stress resultants as follows [10]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_x &= \frac{12M_xz}{l^3}, \\
\tau_{xy} &= \frac{12M_{xy}z}{l^3}, \\
\sigma_y &= \frac{12M_yz}{l^3}, \\
\tau_{xz} &= \frac{3Q_xz}{2l} \left(1 - \frac{4z^2}{l^2}\right), \\
\sigma_z &= 0, \\
\tau_{xz} &= \frac{3Q_yz}{2l} \left(1 - \frac{4z^2}{l^2}\right)
\end{align*}
\]

(3)
where is the plate thickness, \( M_x \) and \( M_y \) are bending moments, \( M_{xy} \) is twisting moment, \( Q_x \), and \( Q_y \) are shear forces. In order to derive equations of motion for a plate, Hamilton’s equation is used. This equation is given as follows:

\[
\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (T - V) \, dt = 0 \tag{4}
\]

where \( T \) is the kinetic energy of the plate, and \( V \) is the potential energy of the plate. The kinetic energy of the plate is given as follows:

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} \iiint m(\dddot{u}^2 + \dddot{v}^2 + \dddot{w}^2) \, dv \tag{5}
\]

where \( m \) is mass per unit volume. The potential energy function, \( V \), for a plate subjected to an earthquake excitation is given as follows:

\[
V = F - \iiint \bar{q} \, w \, dx \, dy \tag{6}
\]

where \( \bar{q} \) denotes \(-m\dddot{u}, \dddot{u} \) is the earthquake acceleration, and \( F \), the strain energy, is written as follows [10]:

\[
F = \iiint (\sigma_x \varepsilon_x + \sigma_y \varepsilon_y + \sigma_z \varepsilon_z + \tau_{xy} \gamma_{xy} + \tau_{xz} \gamma_{xz} + \tau_{yz} \gamma_{yz}) \, dv - \bar{W} \tag{7}
\]

and \( \bar{W} \) is the complementary energy of the plate, which is given as follows:

\[
\bar{W} = \frac{1}{2} \iiint (\sigma_x \varepsilon_x + \sigma_y \varepsilon_y + \sigma_z \varepsilon_z + \tau_{xy} \gamma_{xy} + \tau_{xz} \gamma_{xz} + \tau_{yz} \gamma_{yz}) \, dv \tag{8}
\]

By substituting Eqs (2) and (3) into Eqs (7) and (8), Equation (8) into Eq. (7), Eq. (7) into Eq. (6), Eqs (5) and (6) into Eq. (4), the following dynamic and stress resultant equations from Hamilton’s principle can be obtained;

**Dynamic equations:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial M_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial y} - Q_x &= \frac{mt^3}{12} \dddot{\varphi}_x \\
\frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial y} - Q_y &= \frac{mt^3}{12} \dddot{\varphi}_y \\
\frac{\partial Q_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q_y}{\partial y} - m\dddot{u}_g &= mh\dddot{w}
\end{align*} \tag{9}
\]

**Equation for stress resultants:**

\[
\begin{align*}
M_x &= D \left( -\frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial y} \right) \\
M_y &= D \left( \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial y} - \nu \frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial x} \right) \\
M_{xy} &= D_t \left( -\frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial x} \right) \\
Q_x &= C \left( -\varphi_x + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \\
Q_y &= C \left( \varphi_y + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)
\end{align*} \tag{10}
\]

The equations to calculate \( C, D, D_t \) are given as follows:
\[ C = \frac{5}{6} G t \]
\[ \bar{D} = \frac{E t^3}{12(1 - \nu^2)} \]
\[ D_t = \frac{G t^3}{12} \]
(11)

where \( E, G, \nu, \) and \( t \) are modulus of elasticity, shear modulus, Poisson’s ratio, and the thickness of the plate, respectively.

By substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (9), the equation of motion for a plate subjected to earthquake excitations can be obtained. These equations are as follows:

\[
\bar{D} \left( -\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_x}{\partial x^2} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_y}{\partial x \partial y} \right) + D_t \left( -\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_x}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_y}{\partial x \partial y} \right) - c \left( -\varphi_x + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{m h^3}{12} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_x}{\partial t^2} \\
\bar{D} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_y}{\partial y^2} - \nu \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_x}{\partial x \partial y} \right) + D_t \left( -\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_x}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_y}{\partial y^2} \right) - c \left( \varphi_y + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{m h^3}{12} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_y}{\partial t^2} \\
c \left( \frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x^2} \right) + c \left( \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \right) - m \ddot{u}_y = m h \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} \]
(12)

In the case of the free vibration analysis, the term, \(-m \ddot{u}_y\), in the third equation of Eq. (12) becomes zero. In this study, finite element method is used to solve the plate governed by Eq. (12).

3. Finite element modeling

The governing equation for a flexural plate (see Fig. 1) subjected to free vibration without damping can be given, in matrix notation, as

\[ [M] \{ \ddot{w} \} + [K] \{ w \} = 0 \]
(13)

where \([K]\) and \([M]\) are the stiffness matrix and the mass matrix of the plate, respectively, \( w \) and \( \ddot{w} \) are the lateral displacement and the second derivative of the lateral displacement of the plate with respect to time, respectively.

The governing equation for a flexural plate subjected to an earthquake excitation without damping can be given, in matrix notation, as

\[ [M] \{ \ddot{w} \} + [K] \{ w \} = [F] = -[M] \{ \ddot{u}_y \} \]
(14)

In order to do free and forced vibration analysis of a plate, the stiffness, \([K]\), mass matrices, \([M]\), and equivalent nodal loads vector, \([F]\), of the plate should be constructed. The evaluation of these matrices is given in the following sections.

3.1. Evaluation of the stiffness matrix

The simplest type of plate-bending element has rectangular geometry which is a special case of quadrilateral geometry. In this study, 8-noded quadrilateral serendipity element (MT8) (see Fig. 2) is used. The stiffness matrix for this element can be obtained by the following equation [28].

\[ [K] = \int_A \int_{-1}^{1} [B]^T [D] [B] dA = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} [B]^T [D] [B] [J] d\psi d\xi \]
(15)

which must be evaluated numerically [24].

As seen from Eq. (15), in order to obtain the stiffness matrix, \([B]\), and the flexural rigidity matrix, \([D]\), of the element need to be constructed.

The nodal displacements for 8-noded finite element can be written as follows:
In this equation, \( E \) is a constant to account for the actual non-uniformity of the shearing stresses. By assembling the element stiffness matrices obtained, the system stiffness matrix is obtained.

### 3.2. Evaluation of the mass matrix

The formula for the consistent mass matrix of the plate may be written as

\[
M = \int_{\Omega} H_i^T \mu H_i \, d\Omega. \tag{23}
\]

In this equation, \( \mu \) is the mass density matrix of the form [40]

\[
\mu = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3 \end{bmatrix},
\]
where \( m_1 = \rho_p t \), \( m_2 = m_3 = \frac{1}{12} \left( \rho_p t^3 \right) \), and \( \rho_p \) is the mass densities of the plate. and \( H_i \) can be written as follows,

\[
H_i = \left[ \frac{dh_i}{dx} \quad \frac{dh_i}{dy} \quad h_i \right]_{i = 1 \ldots 8.}
\]

(25)

It should be noted that the rotation inertia terms are not taken into account. By assembling the element mass matrices obtained, the system mass matrix is obtained.

3.3. Evaluation of equivalent nodal loads vector

Equivalent nodal loads, \([F]\), can be obtained by the following equation.

\[
[F] = \int H_i^T \pi d\Omega.
\]

(26)

In this equation, \( H_i \) can be obtained by Eq. (25).

It should be noted that, in this study, the program, MATLAB, is used for the eigenvalue solution of Eq. (13). It should also be noted that, the Newmark-\( \beta \) method is used for the time integration of Eq. (14) by using the average acceleration method.

4. Numerical examples

4.1. Data for numerical examples

In the light of the results given in references [41,42], the aspect ratios, \( b/a \), of the plate are taken to be 1, 1.5, and 2.0. The thickness/span ratios, \( t/a \) are taken as 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3 for each aspect ratio. The shorter span length of the plate is kept constant to be 3 m. The mass density, Poisson’s ratio, and the modulus of elasticity of the plate are taken to be 2.5 kN s\(^2\)/m\(^2\), 0.2, and 2.8x10\(^7\) kN/m\(^2\) for both analysis. In order to obtain the response of each plate in the forced vibration analysis by using the time history analysis, the East-West component of March 13 1992 Erzincan earthquake in Turkey is used. Duration of this earthquake is 21 s, but, in this study, the first 8 s of the earthquake is used since the peak value of the record occurred in this range (Fig. 3 (a)). The acceleration spectrum curve of the same component of this earthquake is also given in Fig. 3(b).

For the sake of accuracy in the results, rather than starting with a set of a finite element mesh size and time increment, the mesh size and time increment required to obtain the desired accuracy were determined before presenting any results. This analysis was performed for the mesh size in the free vibration analysis. This analysis was also performed separately for the mesh size and time increment in the forced vibration analysis. It was concluded that the results have acceptable error when equally spaced 16 x 16 mesh sizes are used for a 3 m x 3 m plate for the free vibration analysis. It was also concluded that the results have acceptable error when equally spaced 16x16 mesh sizes are used for a 3 m x 3 m plate for the forced vibration analysis, if the 0.01s time increment is used. Length of the elements in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions are kept constant for different aspect ratios as in the case of square plate.

4.2. Results

4.2.1. Free vibration results

The first six frequency parameters of thick plates considered for different aspect ratio, \( b/a \), thickness/smaller span ratio, \( t/a \), are presented in Table 1 for the thick simply supported plates and in Table 2 for thick clamped plates. In order to see the effects of the changes in these parameters better on the first six frequency parameters, they are also presented in Fig. 4 for the thick simply supported plates and in Fig. 5 for the thick clamped plates.

As seen from Tables 1 and 2, and Figs 4 and 5, the values of the frequency parameters for a constant value of \( t/a \) decrease as the aspect ratio, \( b/a \), increases. This behavior is understandable in that a thick plate with a larger aspect ratio becomes more flexible and has smaller frequency parameters. The decreases in the frequency parameters with increasing value of \( b/a \) ratio gets less for a constant value of \( t/a \).
As also seen from Tables 1 and 2, and Figs 4 and 5, the values of the frequency parameters for a constant value of $b/a$ increase as the thickness/span ratio, $b/a$, increases. This behavior is also understandable in that a thick plate with a larger thickness/span ratio becomes more rigid and has larger frequency parameters. The increases in the frequency parameters with increasing value of $t/a$ ratio gets larger for a constant value of $b/a$.

It should be noted that the increase in the frequency parameters with increasing $t/a$ ratios for a constant value of $b/a$ ratio gets larger for larger values of the frequency parameters. These observations indicate that the effects of the change in the $t/a$ ratio on the frequency parameter of the thick plates simply supported or clamped along all four edges are always larger than those of the change in the aspect ratio.

As also seen from Figs 4 and 5, the curves for a constant value of the aspect ratio, $b/a$, are fairly getting closer to
Table 1
The first six natural frequency parameters of thick simply supported plates for different \( \frac{b}{a} \) and \( \frac{t}{a} \) ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \frac{b}{a} )</th>
<th>( \frac{t}{a} )</th>
<th>( \lambda_1 )</th>
<th>( \lambda_2 )</th>
<th>( \lambda_3 )</th>
<th>( \lambda_4 )</th>
<th>( \lambda_5 )</th>
<th>( \lambda_6 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
<td>0.0354</td>
<td>0.0354</td>
<td>0.0555</td>
<td>0.0698</td>
<td>0.0698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0545</td>
<td>0.1321</td>
<td>0.1321</td>
<td>0.2004</td>
<td>0.2496</td>
<td>0.2501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1945</td>
<td>0.4389</td>
<td>0.4389</td>
<td>0.6276</td>
<td>0.7613</td>
<td>0.7648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3867</td>
<td>0.8148</td>
<td>0.8148</td>
<td>1.1229</td>
<td>1.3296</td>
<td>1.3413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>0.0354</td>
<td>0.0407</td>
<td>0.0557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0402</td>
<td>0.0754</td>
<td>0.1198</td>
<td>0.1326</td>
<td>0.1505</td>
<td>0.2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1470</td>
<td>0.2642</td>
<td>0.4052</td>
<td>0.4410</td>
<td>0.4911</td>
<td>0.6315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2986</td>
<td>0.5138</td>
<td>0.7601</td>
<td>0.8192</td>
<td>0.9009</td>
<td>1.1292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
<td>0.0231</td>
<td>0.0304</td>
<td>0.0355</td>
<td>0.0355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0353</td>
<td>0.0550</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
<td>0.1155</td>
<td>0.1328</td>
<td>0.1328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1305</td>
<td>0.1971</td>
<td>0.3050</td>
<td>0.3933</td>
<td>0.4417</td>
<td>0.4421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2682</td>
<td>0.3920</td>
<td>0.5865</td>
<td>0.7411</td>
<td>0.8197</td>
<td>0.8214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
The first six natural frequency parameters of thick clamped thick plates for different \( \frac{b}{a} \) and \( \frac{t}{a} \) ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \frac{b}{a} )</th>
<th>( \frac{t}{a} )</th>
<th>( \lambda_1 )</th>
<th>( \lambda_2 )</th>
<th>( \lambda_3 )</th>
<th>( \lambda_4 )</th>
<th>( \lambda_5 )</th>
<th>( \lambda_6 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
<td>0.0752</td>
<td>0.0906</td>
<td>0.0912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0967</td>
<td>0.1844</td>
<td>0.1844</td>
<td>0.2585</td>
<td>0.3046</td>
<td>0.3075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3153</td>
<td>0.5503</td>
<td>0.5503</td>
<td>0.7390</td>
<td>0.8421</td>
<td>0.8538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5735</td>
<td>0.9465</td>
<td>0.9465</td>
<td>1.2483</td>
<td>1.4032</td>
<td>1.4239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>0.0300</td>
<td>0.0470</td>
<td>0.0474</td>
<td>0.0563</td>
<td>0.0708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0741</td>
<td>0.1114</td>
<td>0.1683</td>
<td>0.1710</td>
<td>0.1992</td>
<td>0.2472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2486</td>
<td>0.3604</td>
<td>0.5070</td>
<td>0.5255</td>
<td>0.5920</td>
<td>0.7214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4617</td>
<td>0.6525</td>
<td>0.8751</td>
<td>0.9220</td>
<td>1.0195</td>
<td>1.2321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0178</td>
<td>0.0230</td>
<td>0.0322</td>
<td>0.0452</td>
<td>0.0455</td>
<td>0.0504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0678</td>
<td>0.0866</td>
<td>0.1194</td>
<td>0.1635</td>
<td>0.1645</td>
<td>0.1797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2287</td>
<td>0.2877</td>
<td>0.3860</td>
<td>0.4933</td>
<td>0.5128</td>
<td>0.5395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4256</td>
<td>0.5312</td>
<td>0.6995</td>
<td>0.8513</td>
<td>0.9082</td>
<td>0.9325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

each other as the value of \( t/a \) decreases. This shows that the curves of the frequency parameters will almost coincide with each other when the value of the thickness/span ratio, \( t/a \), decreases more. In other words, the decrease in the thickness/span ratio will not affect the frequency parameters after a determined value of \( t/a \).

4.2.2. Forced vibration results

One of the purposes of this paper was to determine the time histories of the displacements and the bending moments at different points of the thick plates subjected to earthquake excitations, but presentation of all of the time histories would take up excessive space. Hence, only the absolute maximum displacements and bending moments for different thickness/span ratio and aspect ratio are presented after two time histories are given. This simplification of presenting only the maximum responses is supported by the fact that the maximum values of these quantities are the most important ones for design. These results are presented in graphical form rather than in tabular form.

The time histories of the center displacements of the thick simply supported plates for \( \frac{b}{a} = 1.0 \), and \( 2.0 \) when \( t/a = 0.2 \) are given in Fig. 6(a) and 6(b), respectively. As seen from Fig. 6(a) and 6(b), the center displacements of the thick simply supported plates for \( b/a = 1.0 \), and \( t/a = 0.2 \), and for \( b/a = 2.0 \), and \( t/a = 0.2 \), reached their absolute maximum values of 0.00631 mm at 3.47 s, and of 0.01447 mm at 3.48 s, respectively. These absolute maximum values are different even with the same occurring time as the dynamic characteristics of the thick plates affect the response. It is also understandable that the system becomes more flexible as the aspect ratio increases.

The absolute maximum displacements of the thick simply supported plates for different aspect ratios, and thickness/span ratios are given in Fig. 7. As seen from Fig. 7, the absolute maximum displacements of the thick simply supported plates increase with increasing aspect ratio for a constant \( t/a \) ratio. The same displacements decrease with
increasing $t/a$ ratio for a constant $b/a$ ratio. As also seen from this figure, the decrease in the absolute maximum displacement for a constant $b/a$ ratio increases with increasing $b/a$ ratio. The curves for a constant value of the aspect ratio, $b/a$ are fairly getting closer to each other as the value of $t/a$ increases. This shows that the curves of the absolute maximum displacements will almost coincide with each other when the value of the thickness/span ratio, $t/a$, increases more. In other words, the increase in the thickness/span ratio will not affect the absolute maximum displacements after a determined value of $t/a$. In general, the effects of the changes in the thickness/span ratios on the absolute maximum displacement are larger than the changes in the aspect ratios.

The absolute maximum bending moments $M_x$ at the center of the thick simply supported plates for different aspect ratios and thickness/span ratios are given in Fig. 8. As seen from Fig. 8, the absolute maximum bending moment, $M_x$, at the center of the thick simply supported plates increases with increasing aspect ratio and thickness/span ratio.
The increases in the absolute maximum bending moment, $M_x$, increase with increasing aspect and thickness/span ratios. This is understandable that increasing the aspect ratio makes the plate stiffer in the short span, the $x$ axis, direction. As also seen from this figure, in general, the effects of the changes in the aspect ratios on the absolute maximum bending moment, $M_x$, are larger than the changes in the thickness/span ratios.

The absolute maximum bending moments $M_y$ at the center of the thick simply supported plates for different aspect ratios and thickness/span ratios are given in Fig. 9. As seen from Fig. 9, the absolute maximum bending moment, $M_y$, at the center of the thick simply supported plates decreases with increasing aspect ratio and increases with increasing thickness/span ratio. The decrease in the absolute maximum bending moment, $M_y$, increase with increasing aspect ratio. The increase in the absolute maximum bending moment, $M_y$, increases with increasing thickness/span ratios. This is understandable that increasing the aspect ratio makes the thick plate more flexible in
the long span, the \( y \) axis, direction. As also seen from this figure, in general, the effects of the changes in the thickness/span ratios on the absolute maximum bending moment, \( M_y \), are larger than the changes in the aspect ratios.

It should be noted that, in this study, the thick plates clamped along all four edges are also analyzed. Since the variations in the responses of the thick clamped plates with the thickness/span ratios, and the aspect ratios are similar to the variations in the responses of the thick simply supported plates with the same parameters, the results of the thick clamped plates are not presented. It should also be noted that the absolute maximum displacements of the thick simply supported plates are larger than those of the thick clamped plates for the same aspect and thickness/span ratios. Since no results have been found in the literature for the case of the same earthquake problem, comparison of the results obtained in this study is not possible.

5. Conclusions

For a thick plate subjected to the earthquake excitations, it is somewhat difficult to interpret the effects of the thickness/span ratio, the aspect ratio, and the boundary conditions on the responses because both the frequency content of the earthquake excitation and the exact natural frequency of the particular thick plate can make a difference...
to its response. In order to generalize the results obtained in this study, the responses of the different thick plates subjected to different earthquake excitations should be evaluated all together. Therefore, the curves presented herein can help the designer to anticipate the effects of the thickness/span ratio, the aspect ratio, and the boundary conditions on the earthquake response of a thick plate. The coded program can be effectively used for the free vibration and earthquake analyses of any thick plates. In addition, the following conclusions can also be drawn from the results obtained in this study.

The increase in the frequency parameters with increasing $t/a$ ratio for a constant value of $b/a$ ratio gets larger for larger values of the frequency parameters. The effects of the change in the $t/a$ ratio on the frequency parameter of the thick plates are always larger than those of the change in the aspect ratio. The absolute maximum displacements of the thick plates increase with increasing aspect ratio for a constant $t/a$ ratio. The same displacements decrease with increasing $t/a$ ratio for a constant $b/a$ ratio. The effects of the changes in the thickness/span ratios on the absolute maximum displacement are generally larger than the changes in the aspect ratios. The absolute maximum bending moment, $M_x$, at the center of the thick plates increases with increasing aspect ratio and thickness/span ratio. The effects of the changes in the aspect ratios on this $M_x$ are generally larger than the changes in the thickness/span ratios. The absolute maximum bending moment, $M_y$, at the center of the thick plates decreases with increasing

---

Fig. 7. Absolute maximum displacement of the thick simply supported plates for different aspect ratios and thickness/span ratios.

Fig. 8. Absolute maximum bending moment $M_x$ at the center of the thick simply supported plates for different aspect ratios and thickness/span ratios.
Fig. 9. Absolute maximum bending moment $M_y$ at the center of the thick simply supported plates for different aspect ratios and thickness/span ratios.

aspect ratio and increases with increasing thickness/span ratio. The effects of the changes in the thickness/span ratios on this $M_y$ are generally larger than the changes in the aspect ratios. In general, degrees of decreases and increases depend on the changes in the aspect and thickness/span ratios, and the changes in the thickness/span ratio are more effective on the maximum responses considered in this study than the changes in the aspect ratio.
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