Response of RPC-Filled Circular Steel Tube Columns under Monotonic and Cyclic Axial Loading
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1.Introduction

Research interests on reactive powder concrete (RPC) has been growing in civil engineering due to its ultrahigh strength, excellent durability, and high toughness [1]. For instance, compressive strength of RPC is 100–800 MPa and elasticity modulus is 40 GPa–60 GPa [2–4]. Application of RPC has increased recently [5, 6]. However, the main disadvantages of RPC are mainly the lower ratio of ultimate strain to peak strain, which make it more brittle than normal strength concrete (NSC) or high-strength concrete (HSC). Further, due to more compactness, it is more susceptible to fire-induced spalling [7–10]. Recently, an experimental research on fire resistance was performed on seven reinforced RPC columns by our research group. As shown in Figure 1, spalling occurred in all columns with 2% steel fibers. This indicates that the spalling of RPC is a huge challenge, and ways should be explored on making RPC structures safe against fire [9].

Pouring RPC into steel tube is capable of reducing the fire-induced spalling of RPC. Further, RPC-filled circular steel tube (RFCT) columns are expected to posses improved ductility and axial compressive capacity. Moreover, the seismic behavior of RFCT columns is improved when compared to the conventionally reinforced RPC or NSC columns. This is mainly because the confining effect provided by the steel tube enhances the strength and ultimate strain of RPC. Therefore, application of RFCT columns is expected to be promising and better structural performance can be achieved [11]. Currently, RPC-filled high-strength...
the flexural behavior, moment-axial force interaction, and overall buckling resistances of RFCT columns subjected concentric and eccentric compression were investigated [20, 21]. Results showed that as compared with concentrically loaded columns, the eccentrically loaded columns had better ductility.

While some research has been conducted on the behavior of RFCT columns, no systematic experimental research exists on the behavior of RFCT columns subjected to cyclic axial compressive load. The cyclic loading test can be utilized to measure the unloading and reloading branches of columns [22, 23]. The response of RFCT columns can be quantified by the unloading and reloading stiffness process. In addition, the influence of confinement coefficient on the failure modes of RFCT columns is still unknown. The differences between RPC-filled steel tubes and NSC/HSC infilled steel tubes are that the compressive strength of RPC is higher than NSC/HSC, but the lateral deformation of RPC is lower than NSC/HSC. Further, the failure mode of RPC-filled steel tubes is different with NSC/HSC at same confinement coefficient. Therefore, it is essential to experimentally investigate the behavior of RFCT stub columns subjected to cyclic axial loading.

To overcome the abovementioned limitations and to extend the understanding on the behavior of RFCT under cyclic loading, this paper experimentally investigates short RFCT columns under monotonic and cyclic axial load with a relatively larger cross section, with the diameter of specimens ranging between 219 mm and 273 mm. A case analysis is done to reveal the effect of different factors, namely, the strength of RPC and steel tube and the diameter-thickness ratio. Finally, a comparative analysis of 180 RFCT columns axial compressive tests is conducted to propose a calculation formula of axial compressive strength of RFCT columns.

2. Experimental Program

2.1. Test Specimens. Thirteen RFCT columns were tested, out of which six columns were tested under cyclic axial compressive load, and seven columns were tested under monotonic axial load. As shown in Table 1, the columns were classified into two groups, namely, group-c for columns with cyclic loading and group-m for columns with monotonic loading. The diameter of the seamless steel tube in the specimens was 219 mm, 245 mm, or 273 mm. In order to investigate the mechanical properties of RFCT columns subjected to axial load and to prevent significant flexural deformation, the length-to-diameter ratio was maintained as 3. While this ratio is relatively small when compared to the ratio in actual structural columns, a smaller ratio was chosen to eliminate any likely effect of column buckling in the experiments [24–26]. The yield strength of tube steel ($f_y$) was determined by testing standard tensile strength specimens which were cut from each tube. The RPC infill in all tubes had the same mixture proportion and was subjected to identical steam curing regime. The RFCT column specimens were stored at room temperature for 48 hours after pouring.

Understanding the behavior of columns under axial loading is the basic for the structural design [13, 14]. Researchers have investigated HSC-filled steel tube columns and RFCT columns. Liu et al. [15] investigated the axial compressive behavior of eighteen circular tube columns filled with HSC for which the compressive strength of concrete varied from 60 to 94 MPa. The external diameter of the columns ranged from 133 mm to 140 mm. Axial compressive strength of HSC-filled tube column was higher than that of normal concrete-filled tube column having the same size because the HSC core could carry larger external load when compared to normal concrete core. Qi et al. [16] investigated the behavior of circular tube columns filled with HSC having compressive strength of 76.9 MPa when subjected to axial loading. The external diameter and the thickness of the tube were, respectively, 210 mm and 3 mm. Test results showed that HSC-filled specimens exhibited greater axial load capacity when compared to normal concrete filled tube columns having same volumetric steel ratio. Confinement coefficient had a large influence on the failure mode of HSC-filled columns.

Lin et al. [17] investigated the behavior of short RFCT columns subjected to axial compression. The diameter and length of columns were 133 mm and 400 mm, respectively. Compressive strength of RPC infill varied from 109 MPa to 154 MPa, and thickness of steel tube varied from 3 mm to 12 mm. Experimental results showed that RFCT columns with higher confinement coefficient (confinement coefficient $\xi = A_f f_y / (A_t f_y)$) were more effective to prevent shear failure. Liu et al. [18] investigated RFCT columns subjected to axial compression. It was reported that RFCT columns exhibited lower confinement effect when compared to steel tubes filled with normal concrete. A total of 56 circular and square RFCT short columns under axial load with high steel strength up to 780 MPa and RPC with compressive strength up to 190 MPa were conducted by Xiong et al. [19]. Further, the flexural behavior, moment-axial force interaction, and overall buckling resistances of RFCT columns subjected concentric and eccentric compression were investigated [20, 21]. Results showed that as compared with concentrically loaded columns, the eccentrically loaded columns had better ductility.
and then were cured under steam at 90°C–95°C for 72 hours. The strength of RPC was determined by testing 70.7 mm size cube specimens \( f_{cu} \), which were cured under the same condition as for RFCT columns. The cylinder strength of concrete was estimated as \( f_c = 0.845 f_{cu} \) [27]. The measured elastic modulus \( (Ec) \) of RPC was 45 GPa. A summary of the critical test parameters of the test columns is tabulated in Table 1.

1. In the nomenclature of groups, group-c means specimens were subjected to cyclic compressive load, while group-m means specimens were subjected to monotonic compressive load.

2. In the nomenclature of specimens, for example c-219-8, the first letter “c” represents cyclic axial compression; the second number “219” represents the diameter of steel tube; and the third number “8” represents the thickness of the steel tube.

3. Parameters in this table include the diameter of the steel tube \( D \); the thickness of steel tube \( t \); the yield strength of steel tube \( f_y \); the 70.7 mm cube compressive strength of RPC \( f_{cu} \); the cross-sectional area of steel tube \( A_s \); the cross-sectional area of RPC \( A_c \); and the confinement coefficient of RFCT columns \( \xi = A_s f_y / A_c f_y \).

4. \( N_{ue} \) is the axial compressive strength from experiment; \( N_{u1} \) is the calculated capacity from equation (8); and \( N_{u2} \) is the calculated capacity from equation (9).

2.2. Material Properties of RPC. The ingredients and mixture ratio of RPC are listed in Table 2. Cement used was ordinary Portland cement (OPC) of strength class 52.5 MPa. Fine quartz sand, with SiO\(_2\) content of more than 99.6%, was used with particle size ranging from 0.18 mm to 0.6 mm. Silica fume was used with SiO\(_2\) content of 95% and particle size ranging from 0.1 \( \mu \)m to 0.2 \( \mu \)m. Moreover, slag with SiO\(_2\) content of 34.90% and high consistency naphthalene water reducer (FDN) were used in the RPC mixture. Brass-coated steel fibers (elastic modulus and tensile strength of steel fibers were 200 GPa and 2250 MPa) with diameter of 0.22 mm and length of 13 mm on an average were embedded to improve the strength and ductility.

2.3. Test Setup and Instrumentation. The RFCT column specimens were tested using a 10,000 kN hydraulic compressive testing machine, as illustrated in Figure 2. The RFCT columns in group-m were subjected to monotonic load. Load control with a load increment of 10% ultimate load was adopted until the load reached 70% of the estimated ultimate load. After that, a displacement control mode was adopted. In order to ensure that specimens were loaded concentrically, specimens were properly checked and leveled before testing. The rate of load control \( (R_L) \) was maintained to have a stress rate of 0.06 MPa/s, and the rate of displacement control \( (R_D) \) was maintained to have a strain rate of 10 \( \mu \)ε/s.

Specimens in group-c were tested following a cyclic loading program, as illustrated in Figure 3. Multistage loading was adopted. The increment in each step was 50% of the calculated capacity \( N_{u1} \), and one loading cycle was applied for each step. After yielding, the loading procedure was changed to displacement control mode. The incremental displacement in each step was 2\( \Delta \) (where \( \Delta \) is the displacement corresponding to the calculated capacity \( N_{u1} \)), and two cycles were applied for each step. In order to ensure that specimens were loaded concentrically, the top surface was leveled by plastering before testing. The rates of load and displacement control for group-c specimens were same as for group-m specimens.

Six linear displacement transducers (LVDTs) were used to measure the axial deformation of the stub column specimens. Out of them, four LVDTs (range: ±25 mm, precision:0.01 mm) were used to measure the deformation of the middle third of the stub columns, and two LVDTs (range: ±250 mm, precision:0.01 mm) were used to measure the total deformation. Four longitudinal and four hoop strain gauges were placed vertically at the middle part of each column. Figure 2 illustrates the details of LVDTs and strain gauges.

---

Table 1: Summary of test parameters of columns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>( D \times t \times L ) (mm)</th>
<th>( D/t )</th>
<th>( f_y ) (MPa)</th>
<th>( f_{cu} ) (MPa)</th>
<th>( N_{ue} ) (kN)</th>
<th>( N_{u1} ) (kN)</th>
<th>( N_{u2} ) (kN)</th>
<th>( N_{ue}/N_{u1} )</th>
<th>( N_{ue}/N_{u2} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group-c</td>
<td>c-219-8</td>
<td>219 × 8 × 657</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>6238</td>
<td>5620</td>
<td>6401</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c-219-10</td>
<td>219 × 10 × 657</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>6362</td>
<td>5930</td>
<td>6852</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c-219-12</td>
<td>219 × 12 × 657</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>7009</td>
<td>5909</td>
<td>6879</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c-245-8</td>
<td>245 × 8 × 735</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>7237</td>
<td>6646</td>
<td>7488</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c-245-12</td>
<td>245 × 12 × 735</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>8407</td>
<td>7196</td>
<td>8321</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c-273-10</td>
<td>273 × 10 × 819</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>9025</td>
<td>8171</td>
<td>9243</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group-m</td>
<td>m-219-8</td>
<td>219 × 8 × 657</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>6120</td>
<td>5620</td>
<td>6401</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-219-10</td>
<td>219 × 10 × 657</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>6456</td>
<td>5930</td>
<td>6852</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-219-12</td>
<td>219 × 12 × 657</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>6803</td>
<td>5909</td>
<td>6879</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-245-8</td>
<td>245 × 8 × 735</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>7207</td>
<td>6646</td>
<td>7488</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-245-12</td>
<td>245 × 12 × 735</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>7883</td>
<td>7196</td>
<td>8321</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-245-8</td>
<td>245 × 8 × 735</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>7207</td>
<td>6646</td>
<td>7488</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-273-8</td>
<td>273 × 8 × 819</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>8260</td>
<td>7927</td>
<td>8844</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-273-10</td>
<td>273 × 8 × 819</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>8892</td>
<td>8171</td>
<td>8583</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Test Results of RFCT Columns

3.1. Failure Mode of RFCT Columns. Generally, all specimens in group-c showed similar failure process. The confinement coefficient had a significant influence on the failure modes of RFCT stub columns. For the confinement coefficient in the range of 0.53 to 0.98, the failure mode of specimens under cyclic loading was shear failure. The shape of steel tube was not changed when the load was under elastic range. RPC behaved as a homogeneous elastic material which has a small coefficient of lateral deformation. Figure 4 shows the failure mode of RFCT columns in group-c. It was observed that stub columns with the lower confinement coefficient ($\xi \leq 0.8$) exhibited severe shear failure. On the shear slip plane, steel fibers were pulled out and the RPC was crushed. The shear failure mode transformed from shear failure to compressive failure for specimens under monotonic load.

Specimens in group-m showed different failure modes when compared to group-c specimens. The failure mode of specimens with lower confinement coefficient ($\xi < 0.8$) was shear failure. However, when the confinement coefficient exceeded 0.9 ($\xi \geq 0.8$), the specimens exhibited local buckling failure. It is concluded that with an increase in confinement coefficient, the restraining effect of steel tube increased which contributed in reducing shear failure. This observation indicates that if the confinement coefficient of stub columns is large enough, shear failure can be completely avoided. The confinement stress affecting the failure modes is mainly because the core RPC is under triaxial compressive in RPCCT columns; with the increase of compressive stress, the hoop stress increases. Then, the shear failure mode occurred at the section where shear capacity is lower [28]. As compared with normal strength of concrete-filled steel tubes, the shear failure is more susceptible to occur in high-strength concrete and RPC-filled steel tubes. However, if the confinement coefficient is higher enough, the hoop stress provided by the steel tube is higher, and the failure mode changed from shear failure to compressive failure.

The shear angle ($\beta$) of RPC core was measured and found to lie between 55° and 70°. As in the case of circular steel tube filled with normal strength concrete (NSC), shear angle $\beta$ of RPC can be calculated by Mohr–Coulomb theory as

$$\beta = 45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2},$$

where $\phi$ is the internal friction angle of (RPC) concrete. $\beta$ of ordinary concrete prism is $\beta = 58^\circ \sim 64^\circ$, and $\beta$ of HSC-filled tube columns is $\beta = 57^\circ \sim 65^\circ$ [28]. The test results demonstrated that the shear angle of RFCT columns satisfied Mohr–Coulomb theory. The influence of shear angle of RPC on the strength of RFCT columns needs further research.

### Table 2: RPC mix proportion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total mass without fiber (kg)</th>
<th>Cement (kg)</th>
<th>Silica fume (kg)</th>
<th>Slag (kg)</th>
<th>Quartz sand (kg)</th>
<th>FDN (kg)</th>
<th>Water (kg)</th>
<th>Steel fiber (kg)</th>
<th>Water/binder ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2400.00</td>
<td>800.53</td>
<td>240.16</td>
<td>120.08</td>
<td>960.64</td>
<td>46.43</td>
<td>232.15</td>
<td>156.00</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Water/binder ratio is the weight of water to the combined weight of cement, silica fume, and slag.

Figure 2: Test setup and instrumentation of stub columns.

Figure 3: Cyclic loading program of stub columns of group-c.
3.2. Load-Axial Strain Relationship of RFCT Columns.

Load-axial strain relationships of RFCT columns are shown in Figure 5, where $\varepsilon = \Delta / L$ ($\Delta$ is the total axial deformation monitored by LVDTs and $L$ is the length of the stub column specimens). All stub columns exhibited excellent ductility. For the specimens under cyclic axial load, the loading-unloading curves basically coincided confirming that the unloading process was elastic. Furthermore, as compared with the specimens under monotonic loading with the same cross section, the envelope curves of specimens under cyclic loading showed a good agreement with the load-deformation curves of the specimens under monotonic loading. With the increase of loading cycles, the deformation of specimens is increased. At the beginning of unloading stage, the axial load dropped sharply with little deformation restored. When unloaded completely, the residual deformation existed and accumulated with the development of plastic strain. Besides, the unloading stiffness and reloading stiffness remains similar as the loading stiffness.

Figure 6 compares the envelope curves and unitary envelope curves of RFCT columns, where $N_p$ is the peak load and $\varepsilon_p$ is the strain corresponding to the peak load. For a given cross section and for a given loading program, the axial compressive strength of RFCT columns increased with an increase in the confinement coefficient and with a decrease in the diameter-to-thickness ratio. After the peak load, the compressive strength of specimens in group-c decreased gradually until the compressive strength reduced to 80%-90% of the peak load. Then, the bearing capacity of RFCT columns increased again, though slowly, exhibiting the strain-hardening phenomenon in the RFCT columns.

4. Stress-Strain Analysis of RFCT Columns

4.1. Strain Analysis of Steel Tube. The envelope curves of load-strain relationship and the variation of the ratio of hoop strain and longitudinal strain are shown in Figure 7, where $\varepsilon_{hc}$ and $\varepsilon_{vc}$ are, respectively, the hoop strain and longitudinal stress at the midheight of steel tube in group-c and $\varepsilon_{hm}$ and $\varepsilon_{vm}$ are, respectively, the hoop strain and longitudinal stress of steel tube in group-m. The yield point of the RFCT columns is shown in Figure 7, and the specimens yielded before the peak load point. von Mises yield criterion was adopted to define the yield point of the stub specimens as

$$\sqrt{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_h^2 - \sigma_v \sigma_h} = f_y,$$

where $\sigma_v$ and $\sigma_h$ are the longitudinal and hoop stresses of the steel tube and can be obtained by the following equations [15]:

![Image of failure modes of group-c specimens](image_url)
Figure 5: Load-strain curves of RFCT columns (for column designations, refer Table 1). (a) 219-8. (b) 219-10. (c) 219-12. (d) 245-8. (e) 245-12. (f) 273-8/10.

Figure 6: Comparison of envelope curves and unitary envelope curves of RFCT columns.
Figure 7: Continued.
Figure 7: Continued.
Figure 7: Strain analysis results on the steel tube of group-c specimens. Envelope curves of (A) load vs. strain and (B) load vs. $\varepsilon_h/\varepsilon_v$ for (a) 219-8, (b) 219-10, (c) 219-12, (d) 245-8, (e) 245-12, (f) 273-8, and (g) 273-10.

\[
\sigma_v = \left( \frac{E_v}{1 - \nu^2} \right) \varepsilon_v + \left( \frac{E_h\nu^2}{1 - \nu^2} \right) \varepsilon_h, \\
\sigma_h = \left( \frac{E_h}{1 - \nu^2} \right) \varepsilon_h + \left( \frac{E_v\nu^2}{1 - \nu^2} \right) \varepsilon_v, 
\]

where $E_v$ and $\nu_v$ are the elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio of RFCT columns and $\varepsilon_v$ and $\varepsilon_h$ are the longitudinal and hoop strains of the steel tube, respectively.

In the experiment, the longitudinal strain developed faster than the hoop strain in the elastic stage. The $\varepsilon_v/\varepsilon_h$ ratio was in the range of 0.28 to 0.36 and was approximately equal to Poisson’s ratio of steel. This means steel tubes did not provide confining effect to the RPC in the elastic stage. However, with an increase in the axial stress, the longitudinal strain was exceeded by the hoop strain because of the rapid lateral expansion of the RPC, and the value of $\varepsilon_v/\varepsilon_h$ increased as well. The confining force was effective only after the elastic stage.

The lateral deformation coefficient ($\nu$) of concrete varied with compressive strength as shown in Figure 8, where $\sigma$ and $f_c$ are the external load and compressive strength of concrete, respectively. The lateral deformation coefficients varied with concrete strength as listed in Table 3. Results showed that the lateral deformation coefficient increased with an increase in the load level ($\sigma$ varied from 0.2$f_c$ to 0.9$f_c$) [29, 30]. It was observed that when $\sigma = 0.2f_c$ and $\sigma = 0.6f_c$, concrete was in the elastic stage; thus, three types concrete (NSC, HSC, and RPC) had similar coefficient, with the mean value of the coefficient as $\nu = 0.204$. However, when load level reached to 0.8$f_c$, microcracks were formed and propagated in concrete. Since most cracks were parallel to the stress direction, the width and number of microcracks caused the evolution of lateral deformation. The coefficient $\nu$ increased the most for NSC, but the coefficient increased the least for RPC. This phenomenon became more obvious when $\sigma = f_c$. As shown in Figure 8(d), NSC had a large lateral deformation, and the coefficient was up to 0.57. As compared to NSC, the lateral deformation of HSC and RPC increased slowly. The coefficient of HSC ranged from 0.26–0.36, and the coefficient of RPC was between 0.25 and 0.31. This is mainly because the development of microcracks in RPC is lower than that in HSC and NSC at the same load level [31]. This suggests that concrete with higher compressive strength has a lower lateral deformation coefficient. The core concrete with a large lateral deformation coefficient can obtain more confining effect, and in that sense, confinement effect of steel tube is not as pronounced in RPC infill as with NSC infill.

4.2. Stress-Strain Analysis of RFCT Columns. Based on the elastic-plastic analytical method [15, 28], a stress-strain analysis was conducted for RFCT column specimens. Plane stress-strain relationship of steel tube was adopted to evaluate the stress level of steel tube and RPC under axial load. Figure 9 illustrates the stress-strain analysis results of RFCT columns, including the envelope curves of load vs. stress in steel tube and the envelope curves of stress vs. strain in RPC. In the figure, $\sigma_{cs}$, $\sigma_{th}$, and $\sigma_{zm}$ are, respectively, the longitudinal, hoop, and equivalent stresses of group-c specimens, and $\sigma_{cm}$, $\sigma_{hm}$, and $\sigma_{zm}$ are, respectively, the longitudinal, hoop, and equivalent stresses of group-m. Similarly, $\sigma_{cc}$ and $\sigma_{cm}$ are compressive stresses in RPC in group-c and group-m specimens, and $\varepsilon$ is the strain of the stub columns. The equivalent stress $\sigma_z$ can be calculated by the following equation:

\[
\sigma_z = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{(\sigma_v - \sigma_h)^2 + \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_h^2}.
\]
The lateral deformation coefficient of concrete for different strengths and different load levels is shown in Figure 8. For load levels (a) \( \sigma = 0.2f_c \), (b) \( \sigma = 0.6f_c \), (c) \( \sigma = 0.8f_c \), and (d) \( \sigma = f_c \).

Table 3: The lateral deformation coefficient of concrete with different strengths.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Compressive strength (MPa)</th>
<th>( 0.2f_c )</th>
<th>( 0.6f_c )</th>
<th>( 0.8f_c )</th>
<th>( f_c )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSC</td>
<td>30–60</td>
<td>0.17–0.22</td>
<td>0.19–0.26</td>
<td>0.22–0.47</td>
<td>0.22–0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSC</td>
<td>60–100</td>
<td>0.18–0.24</td>
<td>0.19–0.25</td>
<td>0.22–0.25</td>
<td>0.26–0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>100–170</td>
<td>0.17–0.24</td>
<td>0.19–0.25</td>
<td>0.22–0.25</td>
<td>0.25–0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. \( f_c \) is the compressive strength of concrete.

Steel tube was essentially caused by the growth of the longitudinal stress. After the yield point, the hoop stress gradually increased, while there was a decreasing trend for the longitudinal stress. This is because the transverse deformation of RPC increased sharply during plastic stage and the confining stress provided by the steel tube increased. Therefore,
Figure 9: Continued.
Figure 9: Continued.
the tube was stressed more in the transverse direction than in the axial direction, and the steel tube began to buckle locally. Given the same diameter of columns, the confinement coefficient increases with the decrease of diameter-thickness ratio, and the hoop stresses are increased. The peak stress and the strain at peak stress of RPC increased when surrounded by the steel tube. There was a slightly descending segment for core RPC after the axial compressive strength exceeded the peak stress. It is concluded that if RPC is confined by a steel tube, both the ultimate bearing capacity and the ductility will be improved even though different loading types showed varying degrees of improvement. The plastic stress is converted from the measured strains; the equation of measured strains and plastic stress was derived from Reference [37]. As shown in Figure 9, compressive strength, as well as the ultimate strain, of confined RPC under cyclic axial load was similar as that under monotonic axial load.

4.3. Compressive Strength of Confined RPC. Previous researchers [38] proposed a reasonable stress-strain model to analyze confined concrete. This paper used Mander’s model [38] to predict the compressive strength of confined RPC of the RFCT columns as

$$f_{cc} = f_c \left( -1.254 + 2.254 \sqrt{1 + \frac{7.94 f_t}{f_c} - 2 \frac{f_t}{f_c}} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $f_t$ is the confinement stress provided by the steel tube to the RPC and can be calculated by the following equation:

$$f_t = \frac{2rt \sigma_h}{D - 2t},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\sigma_h$ is the hoop stress of the steel tube and should be calculated based on the longitudinal stress $\sigma_v$ and the von Mises yield criteria. Figure 10 compares the compressive strength of confined RPC obtained from the experiment ($f_{cc,e}$) and equation (5) ($f_{cc,c}$). The results indicate that compressive strength of confined RPC can be predicted by Mander’s model.

5. Axial Compressive Strength of RFCT Columns

Compressive strength of RFCT columns in this experimental study was compared with the design strength as proposed by Eurocode 4(2012) [39]. The EC4 equations for compressive strength of concrete-filled steel tube are as follows, in which equation (7) neglects the confining effect, while equation (8) considers the confining effect with the relative slenderness $\lambda \leq 0.5$:

$$N_{u1} = A_c f_c + A_s f_y,$$

$$N_{u2} = \eta_a A_s f_y + A_c f_c \left( 1 + \eta_c \frac{t}{D} \frac{f_y}{f_{ck}} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $A_s$ and $A_c$ are the cross-sectional areas of the steel tube and RPC and $t$ and $D$ are the thickness and diameter of the steel tube.

$\eta_a$ and $\eta_c$ are given by the following expressions:

$$\eta_a = 0.25 (3 + 2\lambda),$$

$$\eta_c = 4.9 - 18.5\lambda + 17\lambda^2,$$

where $\lambda$ is the relative slenderness and is calculated as

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{N_{u1}}{N_{ck}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

$N_{ck}$ is the elastic critical normal force and is calculated as
\[
N_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 (EI)_{\text{eff}}}{L^2},
\]

(12)

where

\[
(EI)_{\text{eff}} = E_s I_s + 0.6E_c I_c,
\]

(13)

where \(E_s\) and \(E_c\) are the elastic moduli of steel and RPC and \(I_s\) and \(I_c\) are the second moments of area of the steel and RPC sections.

The results were compared in Table 1, which indicates that equation (7) is conservative to estimate compressive strength of RFCT columns in group-c and group-m. Equation (8) shows good agreement with RFCT columns under monotonic and cyclic loading. Furthermore, two different loading types (cyclic and monotonic) showed similar rules. The specimen with the minimum diameter-thickness ratio \((D/t = 18.3)\) exhibited the maximum value of \(N_{ue}/N_u\) ratio \((N_{ue}/N_u_1, N_{ue}/N_u_2)\). For a given diameter of RFCT columns, the value of \(N_{ue}/N_u\) ratio increased with a decrease in diameter-thickness ratio, except for specimens with \(D = 273\) mm. When the diameter-thickness ratio is less than 25, it is effective to increase the compressive strength of RFCT columns by reducing the diameter-thickness ratio.

The comparisons between axial compressive strength calculated by equations (7) and (8) and the 167 test results [17–19, 40–45] as well as 13 test results in Table 1 are shown in Figures 11(a) and 11(b), respectively. The average of \(N_{ue}/N_u_1\) is 1.19 and standard deviation is 0.116, while the average of \(N_{ue}/N_u_2\) is 1.07 and standard deviation is 0.090. Results show that equation (7) can provide conservative calculation by 20% because the confinement effect is not considered. While equation (8) considering the confinement effect shows better agreement with test results, it is recommended that equation (8) can be applied to predict the compressive strength of RFCT columns.

6. Conclusions

This paper presented an experimental research of RFCT columns under monotonic and cyclic axial compressive loading. An equation was proposed to predict the ultimate axial compressive strength of RFCT columns. The following are the main conclusions drawn from this experimental investigation:

1. Load-strain curves of specimens under cyclic loading were similar to the load-deformation curves of the specimens under monotonic load.
2. Confinement coefficient had a significant influence on the failure modes and strength of RFCT stub columns.
The specimens under cyclic loading failed in shear when the confinement coefficient was in the range of 0.53 to 0.98. With an increase in confinement coefficient, the failure mode transformed from shear failure to compressive failure for specimens under monotonic load.

(3) There was a relatively small hoop stress in the steel tube before yielding. After attaining the peak load, RPC core in RFCT columns showed a slightly descending segment in the stress-strain curve. Mander’s model could predict the axial compressive strength of confined RPC.

(4) If the confinement effect is not considered, the EC4 method can provide conservative calculation by 20%. It is recommended that equation (9) given by EC4 considering confinement effect can be applied to predict the compressive strength of RFCT columns.
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