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1. Introduction

The pipeline serving as connections between pump, value, and actuator is one of the most important components in the aircraft hydraulic system. The hydraulic pump with internal fluid pressure fluctuation and the aeroengine with external base excitation result in serious vibration for the hydraulic pipeline system. The excitation frequency range is wide and the interval is narrower. When the fluid pulsation frequencies or the base excitation frequencies are closer to the pipeline natural frequencies, the mechanical resonance would induce and damage the pipeline quickly. Therefore, the accurate descriptions of the hydraulic pipeline system model and the approach for analyzing vibration behavior under multiexcitations to avoid the pipeline resonance are important considerations for the design of hydraulic pipeline in aircraft.

The investigation for the vibration of pipeline and fluid transient has received extensive attention in recent years. The extensive achievements have been investigated on nonlinear stability properties of the pipeline. These studies have reported about the stability of pipeline with sufficient fluid velocity [1–3]. For the hydraulic pipeline system, some reported literature [4–6] had investigated the fluid fluctuation characteristics by the hydraulic pump. The fluid fluctuation was an inherent characteristic of the hydraulic pump, and the fluid excitation by the pump would induce pipeline vibration. Budny et al. [7] performed the experiment to test the structural pipeline damping, and the results showed that the structural damping could accelerate the fluid transient decay obviously. Edge et al. [8] conducted the test rig to investigate pressure pulsation characteristics in reciprocating pump pipeline system, and the results showed that the pressure pulsation was dependent on the pump speed. Adamkowskiet al. [9] conducted a special test rig to test the effect of elastic support onto fluid transients and water hammer. Simão et al. [10] investigated the fluid transients with valve closure suddenly. The test results were consistent with numerical results. In order to validate the fluid model in the laboratory, Mikota et al. [11] conducted the experiment to test the pipeline model. The natural frequency and damping coefficient were obtained in practical fluid pipeline system.

The solution method of fluid equations in the pipeline system was conducted by researchers, such as Wiggert et al. [12], Tijsseling [13], and Lavooij et al. [14]. The method of characteristics which is abbreviated as MOC is always used
to analyze fluid transients in pipeline systems. An improved MOC approach was proposed by Johnston [15] to investigate the fluid transient characteristics. For the frequency domain solution, the transfer matrix method which is abbreviated as TMM was used to investigate FSI problems of pipelines [16–18]. Based on the finite element method which is abbreviated as FEM, Sreejith et al. [19] investigated the vibration behavior of nuclear pipeline with a sudden valve closure. Gao et al. [20] proposed a reduced order modeling to analyze the vibration characteristics of aero pipeline system. Kochupillai et al. [21] proposed an improved FEM method to investigate the fluid transients with a sudden valve closure. Due to interpolation error, the MOC is not suited to solve the pipeline structural equations. For long-distance pipe with wide frequency range, the TMM approach suffers the instability and error. The FEM approach is suited to solve the structural equations [22]. Recently, the coupling method of characteristics and finite element method which is abbreviated as MOC-FEM approach is proposed to solve the fluid and pipe equations due to high computational accuracy. The fluid equation was solved by MOC approach, and the structural pipeline equation was solved by FEM approach conducted by researchers [23, 24].

From the reviewed works, most of the current methods are excellent to investigate the vibration characteristics of pipe with fluid and the water hammer characteristic under valve closure excitation. However, in practical field, the fluid pressure is the internal excitation and the base excitation by engine is the external excitation. The hydraulic pipeline is suffering serious vibration from the pump fluid fluctuation as well as base excitation by engine. Few works have investigated the pipeline vibration behavior under multie excitations. Therefore, the experimental and numerical method is essential to recommend for analyzing vibration response characteristics of the pipeline under multie excitations.

This paper conducts the experiment of hydraulic pipeline under pump and base excitation. The pressure fluctuation is tested by fluid pressure fluctuation sensors. An improved model to predict the vibration response of the pipeline system under multie excitations is proposed. The coupling MOC-FEM approach combined with the Newmark algorithm method is proposed to solve fluid and pipeline equations. New phenomenon results are illustrated to predict vibration characteristics of the pipeline under multie excitations.

2. Experimental Setup

2.1. The Description of Test Bench. Experiment has been conducted to describe the fluid behavior of the hydraulic pump, as shown schematically in Figure 1. The hydraulic piston pump induces the fluid pressure pulsation with 7 pistons. The converter controls the electric motor with a speed range of 0 to 2000 r/min. The fluid pressure in the hydraulic pipeline is driven by the control of the relief valve and the throttle valve. The base excitation is produced by the shaker driven by an amplified signal from a vibration controller. The base excitation frequency range is 15–2000 Hz which is investigated based on the aircraft load spectrum, namely, the unbalance harmonic excitation of aeroengine rotor. The pressure fluctuation sensor is placed at the left of the pipeline with high resolution, and the accelerator, the amplifier, and the signal dynamic analyzer are shown schematically in Figure 2.

The pipeline being tested with two clamps placed at the platform is shown in Figure 3. The boundary conditions for the pipeline are simplified as fixed constraint, and the pressure fluctuation sensor is placed at the left of the pipeline which is the inlet of fluid. The fluid pressure signals are changed with the variable hydraulic pump speed. Table 1 shows the specification of the testing instruments and experimental apparatus.

Table 2 shows the material and geometric details of the pipeline system. A lightweight acceleration sensor to test the vibration signal is placed at $x = 300$ mm while the left inlet of the pipeline is set at $x = 0$. The signal acquisition is performed by the dynamic analyzers with computer to set the condition and process the vibration signal and analysis.

2.2. The Mechanism of Pressure Fluctuation of Pump. The axial piston pump is mainly composed of the shaft, swashplate, piston, and port plate. The engine drives the main shaft to rotate switching between the oil absorption and the oil outlet of the piston cavity through the valve plate. Due to the existence of swashplate angle, the piston moves back and forth along the centerline of the cavity to realize the oil discharge functions of the pump, as shown in Figure 4. In the process of pump rotation for one cycle, the fluid pulsation at the pump outlet is produced by the sinusoidal geometric flow pulsation of each piston and the flow filling and flow leakage caused by the transition from the low-pressure chamber to the high-pressure chamber. The instantaneous flow pulsation produced by the axial piston pump is the result of the interaction for several piston fluid pulsations in the oil discharge area. The pressure pulsation of the pipeline system is produced by fluid flow pulsation.
2.3. *The Fluid Pressure Characteristics.* The pump speed is set at 1800 r/min, the average flow of the pump is 46 L/min, and the fluid pressure signals tested by pressure sensor are shown in Figure 5. It is obvious that the fluid curve contains harmonics in the frequency domain which are related to pump speed. The harmonics are calculated as follows:

\[ f^* = i^* n^* \cdot 60 \]  

(1)

where \( n^* \) represents the piston number, \( r^* \) represents the pump speed, and \( i^* \) represents the frequency numbers.

The fluid pressure curve contains a number of sinusoidal components, the pressure fundamental frequency is 210 Hz,
and it is close to experimental data. The first three harmonics are the main energy. Moreover, some lower harmonics frequencies of 30 Hz are shown in Figure 5. The reason is related to the pump with 7 pistons and the speed at 1800 rpm; therefore, the fundamental frequency is 30 Hz, and one cycle conveys fluid with each piston, the pump piston contains seven times over each cycle, and the testing fluid pressure curve will be the input of the pipeline modeling.

For the pump speed from 1200 rpm to 1800 rpm, the average flow of the pump is 30 L/min to 46 L/min. The obtained fluid pressure fluctuation curve is shown in Figure 6. From Figure 6(a), it can be seen that with the increase of pump speed, the pressure fluctuation amplitude increases slightly, and the pressure fluctuation periods are 7.14 ms, 5.71 ms, and 4.76 ms, respectively. It can be seen from Figure 6(b) that the amplitude of the first three harmonics changes significantly, and the harmonics frequency amplitude of the fluid decreases with the increase of harmonics.

3. Modeling and Numerical Solution

3.1. The Equations of Fluid and Pipeline. Based on the extended water hammer equation, the governing equation for the continuity of fluid which considers the Poisson coupling and friction coupling is described as follows [13]:

\[
\left( \frac{1}{K_f} + \frac{2R}{Ee(1-\lambda^2)} \right) \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - 2\lambda \frac{\partial \sigma_x}{\partial t} = 0. \tag{2}
\]

The fluid motion equation in the axial direction can be written as

\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{2}{R} \tau_n = 0, \tag{3}
\]

where \(K_f\), \(R\), \(\rho_f\), and \(e\) represent fluid bulk modulus, inner radius of the pipeline, fluid density, and wall thickness, respectively. \(P\), \(V\), \(\lambda\), and \(E\) are fluid pressure, fluid velocity, Poisson ratio, and Young modulus, respectively. \(u_x\) and \(\tau_n\) are the pipeline axial stress and the shear stress between fluid and pipeline.

The pipeline motion equation in the axial direction can be described as

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial t^2} - \frac{E}{\rho_p} \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial \xi^2} - \frac{\lambda R}{\rho_p e} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \xi} - \frac{2R}{(2R+e)e} \tau_n = 0, \tag{4}
\]

where \(\rho_p\) and \(u_x\) are the pipeline density and displacement in the axial direction, respectively.

The pipeline motion equation of in lateral direction based on Timoshenko beam theory can be written as

\[
\text{Table 2: The material and geometric details of the pipeline.}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantities</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of the pipeline</td>
<td>(l)</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer diameter</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of the pipeline</td>
<td>(\rho_p)</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>7850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus</td>
<td>(E)</td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>(2.06 \times 10^{11})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner diameter</td>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of fluid</td>
<td>(\rho_f)</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson ratio</td>
<td>(\lambda)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid bulk modulus</td>
<td>(K_f)</td>
<td>Nm⁻²</td>
<td>(2.2 \times 10^9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steady friction factor</td>
<td>(f)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsteady friction factor</td>
<td>(\gamma)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throttle valve load impedance</td>
<td>(Z_t)</td>
<td>Pa.s/m</td>
<td>(1.43 \times 10^6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 4: The mechanism of pressure fluctuation in the pipeline system.](image-url)
where $w_y$ and $w_b$ are the pipeline displacement in the axial direction and base excitation displacement, and it refers to $w_b = W_b \exp(i\omega t)$. $A_f, A_p, I, G, \kappa^2, K$, and $G$ are the cross-sectional area of fluid, pipeline, moment of inertia, shear modulus, shear coefficient, support linear stiffness, and shear stiffness, respectively.
3.2. Numerical Solution. The fluid equations (2) and (3) are solved by MOC approach, and pipeline equations (4) and (5) are solved by FEM approach. The fluid equations (2) and (3) can be described by \( C^+ \) and \( C^- \) characteristic lines:

\[
\begin{align*}
C^+ : & \begin{cases}
\frac{dP}{dt} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{2c}{R} t - 2\lambda \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial t} = 0, \\
\frac{dx}{dt} = +c,
\end{cases} \\
C^- : & \begin{cases}
\frac{dP}{dt} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{2c}{R} t - 2\lambda \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial t} = 0, \\
\frac{dx}{dt} = -c.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

The right point fluid velocity which is connected to the throttle valve can be written as

\[
V_R = \frac{P_R}{Z_I}
\]

where \( P_R \) and \( Z_I \) are the right point fluid pressure and throttle valve load impedance [25].

The right point fluid pressure and velocity can be described as

\[
P_R = \frac{Z_I}{Z_I + Z_0} \left( P_F + Z_0 V_E - G_0 \left( f V_{rF} | V_{rF} | + \delta \left( V_{rR} - V_{rF} \right) \right) + T_0 \left( \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial t} \right) \right).
\]

\[
Q_R = \frac{1}{Z_I + Z_0} \left( P_F + Z_0 V_E - G_0 \left( f V_{rF} | V_{rF} | + \delta \left( V_{rR} - V_{rF} \right) \right) + T_0 \left( \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial t} \right) \right).
\]

The finite element method is employed to solve the dynamic equations (3) and (4) by weighted residual method. The element equations can be written as

\[
M^e \ddot{x} + C^e \dot{x} + K^e x = F^e.
\]

The stiffness, damping, and mass matrices for fluid and pipeline element can be described as

\[
\begin{align*}
K^e &= K_{fe} + K_{pe}, \\
C^e &= C_{fe} + C_{pe}, \\
M^e &= M_{fe} + M_{pe}.
\end{align*}
\]

The equal element \( \Delta x \) in pipeline length is described as

\[
\Delta x = L/N, \text{ where } L \text{ and } N \text{ are the pipeline length and element number. The time step is described as } \Delta t = \Delta x/c, \text{ where } c \text{ is the fluid wave speed which refers to } c = 1/\sqrt{\rho_f (1/K_f + 2R (1 - \lambda^2)/Ec)}.
\]

The fluid pressure of left point which is connected to the pump has been measured by the fluid pressure sensor, and thus, it can be written as

\[
P_L = P_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} P_i \sin(w_i t),
\]

where \( P_0, P_i \), and \( s \) are fluid pressure steady state, the first \( i \) order fluid amplitude, fluid frequency, and harmonic number, respectively.

The left point fluid velocity can be written as

\[
V_L = V_E + \left( P_L - P_E \right) - G_0 \left( f V_{rE} | V_{rE} | + \delta \left( V_{rL} - V_{rE} \right) \right) + T_0 \int_{E}^{l} \left( \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial t} \right) dt
\]

\[
\frac{Z_0}{Z_I + Z_0}
\]

where \( K_{fe}, C_{fe}, \) and \( M_{fe} \) are the stiffness, damping, and mass matrices of the fluid element. \( K_{pe}, C_{pe}, \) and \( M_{pe} \) are the stiffness, damping, and mass matrices of the pipeline element. The damping of the pipeline is considered Rayleigh damping system, then \( C_{pe} = \alpha M_{pe} + \beta K_{pe} \), where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the coefficients of Rayleigh damping, respectively.

The shape function and matrix elements of pipeline and fluid are listed in Appendix. The node force matrices can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
F' &= N_w(x)^T \left( EIw^{m} + m_j r^2 w' + 2m_j v w' + \rho \int w^2 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_f A_f}{\kappa} \right) \left| \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\int \left( 2 \frac{\lambda}{\rho_f r} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \right) U(\tilde{x})^T + \left( U(\tilde{x})^T E A_f u' \right) \right|_0 \\
+ N_w(x)^T \left( m_j + m_p \right) \tilde{w}_b.
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

The pipeline system under fluid pressure pulsation and base excitation can be expressed as

\[
M \ddot{x} + C \dot{x} + Kx = F(t).
\]

The solution of the vibration response can be readily carried by Newmark integration method, and the vector and displacement in physical coordinate can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{X}_{t+\Delta t} &= \dot{X}_t + \left[ (1 - \delta) \dot{X}_t + \delta \ddot{X}_{t+\Delta t} \right] \Delta t, \\
\ddot{X}_{t+\Delta t} &= \ddot{X}_t + \dot{X}_{t+\Delta t} + \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right] \ddot{X}_t + \alpha \ddot{X}_{t+\Delta t} \right] \Delta t^2,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Delta t, \delta, \) and \( \alpha \) are the time step and the constants, and \( \delta \geq 0.5, \alpha \geq 0.25 (0.5 + \delta)^2 \).

The motion equation of the pipeline at \( t = t + \Delta t \) can be written as
thus, the vibration response at \( t + \Delta t \) is obtained by equation (15), equation (16), and equation (17).

4. Vibration of Pipeline by Pump Fluid Fluctuation

Experimental and numerical vibration responses of the pipeline under pump fluid pressure fluctuation are investigated comparatively. The pump fluid pressure condition is characterized as reported in Section 2. A comprehensive fluid and pipeline model includes the Poisson and friction coupling, Coriolis and centrifugal forces are proposed, and the coupling MOC-FEM method is adopted in this section.

For the numerical calculation, the pipeline being tested with two clamps placed at the platform is shown in Figure 3. The boundary conditions for the pipeline are simplified as fixed constraint with larger stiffness, and the pipeline is divided by 30 elements equally, and the stiffness value of node 1 and node 31 is set as \( 1 \times 10^{10} \text{N/m} \). The damping ratio of the pipeline is obtained by modal test, here, \( \zeta_1 = 0.006 \) and \( \zeta_2 = 0.009 \); \( \zeta_1 \) and \( \zeta_2 \) are corresponding modal damping ratios, and Rayleigh damping coefficient \( \alpha = 14.903 \) and \( \beta = 0.2415 \times 10^{-5} \) can be calculated by \( \alpha = (4\pi f_1 f_2 (\zeta_1 f_2 - \zeta_2 f_1))/(f_2^2 - f_1^2) \) and \( \beta = (\zeta_1 f_2 - \zeta_2 f_1)/(\pi(f_2^2 - f_1^2)) \). The experimental and numerical results for pipeline lateral vibration response are shown comparatively in Figure 7 at a pump speed of 1800 r/min.

From Figure 7, one observes that the experimental and numerical results can match closely. The vibration behavior is complicated by pump fluid excitation. Due to constant water hammer excitation, the first resonance peak occurs obviously in Figure 4(b). Moreover, the pipeline response fundamental frequency is 210 Hz, which is consistent with pump fluid frequency as shown in Figure 3. The second harmonic peak (420 Hz) is the biggest and the sixth harmonic is the second biggest which are inconsistent with pump harmonics. The reason for this phenomenon is that the first and second pipeline natural frequencies are closer to the fluid second and sixth harmonics. Since the good agreement between the experimental and numerical results, it can validate the coupling MOC-FEM method accurately.

In conclusion, the pipeline vibration amplitude distributes at the closer natural frequencies by pump fluid excitation. Moreover, the pump fluid pressure fluctuation can cause serious pipeline vibration. The mechanical pipeline resonance can occur when fluid harmonics are closer to pipeline natural frequencies.

5. Superposition of Pump Fluctuation and Base Excitation

In this section, the pipeline vibration response by the superposition of pump pressure fluctuation and engine base excitation is investigated. The rotational speed of the pump is adjusted to 1660 r/min at a second frequency of 387 Hz which is closer to the second pipeline natural frequency. The base excitation frequency is 1043 Hz, which is the second-order resonance frequency of pipeline, and the base excitation acceleration amplitude is \( 1g, 2g \), and \( 3g \), respectively. The comparison of pipeline vibration responses obtained by experiment and coupling MOC-FEM approach results under superposition of fluid pressure pulsation and high-order base harmonic excitation is shown in Figure 8.

From Figure 8, the response frequency of the pipeline system at 387 Hz is produced by pump pressure fluctuation excitation, and the response frequency at 1043 Hz is caused by base excitation. With the base excitation amplitude being increased from \( 1g \) to \( 3g \), the response amplitude of pipeline at 1043 Hz increases monotonically, while the response amplitude of pipeline at 387 Hz decreases slightly. Therefore, the superposition of engine base excitation has a little bit effect on pump fluid pressure fluctuation, and pipeline vibration response at base excitation frequency increases linearly with the increase of the excitation amplitude.

5.1. Beat Phenomenon. When the base excitation frequency is close to the pump pressure fluctuation harmonic frequency, the beat phenomenon in the pipeline system would be induced. The pump speed is adjusted to 1660 r/min. The base excitation frequency is 391 Hz, and the base excitation acceleration amplitude is \( 0.2g \). The comparison of pipeline vibration response by experiment and coupling MOC-FEM approach under superposition of fluid pressure pulsation and base excitation is shown in Figure 9.

From Figure 9, one can see that the beat phenomenon occurs due to multiexcitations. The second harmonic pump pressure fluctuation frequency is 387 Hz, and the base excitation frequency is 391 Hz, so it is about 4 “beat” in 1 s. The numerical calculation results could match the measured results closely. Therefore, when the pump fluid pressure frequency is close to the base excitation frequency, the beat vibration phenomenon will be induced, which can result in serious pipeline vibration. In the engineering field, the beat vibration should be avoided for the design of the pipeline system.

5.2. Influence of Exciting Frequency Ratio. In this section, the influence of the exciting frequency ratio \( \delta \), which is defined as base excitation frequency and the second harmonic pump pressure fluctuation frequency ratio, on the beat phenomenon is investigated. The rotational speed of the pump remains at 1660 r/min. The base excitation frequencies are 291 Hz, 330 Hz, 369 Hz, 407 Hz, 458 Hz, and 485 Hz, respectively; namely, the exciting frequency ratios are 0.75, 0.85, 0.95, 1.05, 1.18, and 1.25, respectively. The variation of the beat vibration according to the frequency ratio in the pipeline system under multiexcitations is shown in Figure 10.

As can be seen from Figure 10, with the increase of exciting frequency ratio, the beat vibration phenomenon of the pipeline system becomes more obvious. When the frequency ratio is greater than 1, the beat phenomenon of the pipeline decreases gradually. When the exciting frequency is close to 1 (from Figure 11), the beat phenomenon of the pipeline is mostly significant. Therefore, the exciting...
frequency ratio $\delta$ has a remarkable effect on the beat phenomenon, and the obvious beat phenomenon can be obtained with the frequency ratio range $[0.85 \sim 1.18]$ in the hydraulic pipeline system.

5.3. Vibration of Pipeline under Variable Multiexcitations. This section studies the vibration response characteristics of the pipeline system under the pump sweep excitation and the base sweep excitation. The speed of the pump increases

---

**Figure 7:** Pipeline vibration response at a pump speed of 1800 r/min. (a) Vibration response for time domain and (b) pipeline frequency spectrum.

**Figure 8:** Pipeline vibration response under the superposition of fluid pressure pulsation and high-order base harmonic excitation. (a) Vibration response for time domain and (b) pipeline frequency spectrum.
from 850 to 2000 r/min firstly, and then, it decreases to 1250 r/min. The frequency range of base excitation is 250–1200 Hz, and the base excitation amplitude is 0.4 g.

During the test, the shaker is adjusted to increase the excitation frequency linearly, and the frequency converter is adjusted to increase the speed of the pump at time $t = 0–40$ s and decrease at time $t = 40–120$ s. Three-dimensional waterfall of dynamic response under the superposition of variable pressure pulsation frequency and variable base excitation frequency is shown in Figure 11.

From Figure 11, one can see that the high-order harmonics of the pump source fluid pressure pulsation causes resonance peaks at 388 Hz (the first-order nature frequency of the pipeline) and 1043 Hz (the second-order nature frequency) of the pipeline during the increasing speed of the pump. When $t = 21$ s, the second fluid harmonic frequency reaches 388 Hz, and the pump excitation frequency and the base excitation frequency are close to the natural frequency of the pipeline system, resulting in a large resonance amplitude of the pipeline system. When $t = 68$ s, the pipeline system has a large resonance peak at 388 Hz. The reason is that the second-order harmonic frequency of fluid pressure pulsation is close to the first-order natural frequency of the pipeline system. In the
process of increasing the base excitation frequency, there are many resonance peaks in the pipeline system at 1043 Hz (the second bending frequency of the pipeline system).

5.4. Vibration of Pipeline under Random Excitation. This section studies the vibration response of the hydraulic pipeline under the base random excitation. The environment

Figure 11: Three-dimensional waterfall of dynamic response under the superposition of variable pressure pulsation frequency and variable base excitation frequency.

Figure 12: Random load spectrum of pipeline in aircraft.

Figure 13: Three-dimensional waterfall of vibration response under pump pressure pulsation frequency and base random excitation.
of the aircraft is random vibration with wide frequency range, the hydraulic pipeline system is subject to random excitation of the aircraft body, and its load spectrum is shown in Figure 12. The speed range of the pump is 0–1800 r/min. The vibration responses of the pipeline under pump pressure pulsation excitation and base random excitation are shown in Figure 13.

It can be seen from Figure 13 that with the increase of the speed of the pump, the high-order harmonic frequency of the fluid causes the resonance peaks of the pipeline firstly. When the second harmonic frequency of the fluid reaches 388 Hz, it causes serious vibration due to mechanical resonance. With the speed of the pump increasing continuously, the vibration response of the pipeline decreases rapidly. From Figure 13, the vibration response frequency of the pipeline under the base random excitation is mainly around 388 Hz, which is closer to the first-order bending natural frequency of the pipeline. Another peak value occurs between 1000 and 1100 Hz, which is the second-order natural frequency of the pipeline.

The above discussion is quite meaningful that the pipeline has multiresonances under pump fluid pressure pulsation and base excitation; the beat phenomenon will occur when pump fluid pressure pulsation frequency is closer to the base excitation frequency. The large displacement of the pipeline can damage pipeline through overload and fatigue easily. Therefore, the hydraulic pipeline system should be considered to avoid the beat phenomenon or mechanical resonance, and the exciting frequency ratio should be kept away from this dangerous range in aircraft.

6. Conclusions

In the present paper, the experiments are conducted to investigate the vibration characteristics of the pipeline with multiexcitations. The coupling MOC-FEM method for analyzing the vibration responses of pipeline under pump pressure fluctuation and engine base excitation is described. New results are obtained.

The fluid pressure curve contains a number of sinusoidal components by the hydraulic pump, and the first three harmonics are the main energy. The pipeline vibration amplitude distributes at the closer natural frequencies by pump fluid excitation. Moreover, the pump fluid pressure fluctuation can cause serious pipeline vibration. The mechanical resonance can occur when fluid harmonics are closer to pipeline natural frequencies.

The superposition of engine base excitation has a little bit effect on pump fluid pressure fluctuation, and pipeline vibration response at the base excitation frequency increases linearly with the increase of the excitation amplitude. The beat phenomenon will occur when fluid pulsation frequency is closer to the base excitation frequency. The hydraulic pipeline should be considered to avoid beat phenomenon or mechanical resonance, and the exciting frequency ratio should be kept away from this dangerous range [0.85–1.18].

For analyzing the vibration behavior of the pipeline under the pump fluid pressure and engine base excitation, the coupling MOC-FEM method exhibits high accuracy, which may serve as an important consideration for the design of hydraulic pipeline in aircraft.

Appendix

The pipeline element is described as Timoshenko beam element with two nodes in this paper. Each node has three degrees of freedom (DOF) which represents transverse displacement \( w \), cross section rotation \( \theta \), and axial displacement \( u \). The local nodal displacements are given by

\[
\{q_i\} = \begin{bmatrix} w_i, \theta_i, u_i, w_j, \theta_j, u_j \end{bmatrix}^T.
\]  

(A.1)

The nodal displacements by finite element shape functions can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
w(x) &= \mathbf{N}_w(x) \{q_i\}, \\
\theta(x) &= \mathbf{N}_\theta(x) \{q_i\}, \\
u(x) &= \mathbf{U}(x) \{q_i\}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.2)

where the shape functions can be expressed as

\[
\mathbf{N}_w(x) = \begin{bmatrix} N_1, N_2, 0, N_3, N_4, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{N}_\theta(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{N}_1, \bar{N}_2, 0, \bar{N}_3, \bar{N}_4, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{U}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, U_1, 0, 0, U_2 \end{bmatrix},
\]  

(A.3)

in which

\[
N_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{l(l^2 + 12\psi)}(12\psi x + 3lx^2 - x^3), \\
N_2 = \frac{1}{l(l^2 + 12\psi)}((l^2 + 6\psi)lx - (2l^2 + 6\psi)x^2 - lx^3), \\
N_3 = \frac{1}{l(l^2 + 12\psi)}(12\psi x + 3lx^2 - 2x^3), \\
N_4 = \frac{1}{l(l^2 + 12\psi)}(-6lx + (6\psi - l^2)x^2 + lx^3), \\
\bar{N}_1 = \frac{1}{l(l^2 + 12\psi)}(6x^2 - 6\psi x), \\
\bar{N}_2 = \frac{1}{l(l^2 + 12\psi)}(l^3 + 12\psi l - (4l^2 + 12\psi) + 3lx^2), \\
\bar{N}_3 = \frac{1}{l(l^2 + 12\psi)}(6lx - 6x^2), \\
\bar{N}_4 = \frac{1}{l(l^2 + 12\psi)}(3lx^2 - (2l^2 - 12\psi)x), \\
U_1 = 1 - \frac{x}{l}, \\
U_2 = \frac{x}{l},
\]  

(A.4)

where \( l \) is the beam element length, \( \psi = El/s^2GA_p \).
The stiffness matrix of pipeline element can be written as
\[ K_{pe} = EI \int_0^l N_w(x)^T N_w(x) \, dx + EA_p \int_0^l U(x)^T U(x) \, dx \]
\[ + k^2 GA_p \int_0^l \left( N_w(x)^T - N_0(x)^T \right) \left( N_w(x) - N_0(x) \right) \, dx. \]
\[ (A.5) \]

The mass matrix of pipeline element can be expressed as
\[ M_{pe} = m_f \int_0^l N_w(x)^T N_w(x) \, dx + m_f \int_0^l U(x)^T U(x) \, dx \]
\[ + \rho_f I \int_0^l N_0(x)^T N_0(x) \, dx. \]
\[ (A.6) \]

The stiffness, damping, and mass matrices of the fluid element can be written as
\[ K_{fe} = -m_f v^2 \int_0^l N_w(x)^T N_w(x) \, dx, \]
\[ C_{fe} = m_f v \int_0^l \left[ N_w(x)^T N_w(x) \right] \, dx, \]
\[ M_{fe} = m_f \int_0^l N_w(x)^T N_w(x) \, dx + m_f \int_0^l U(x)^T U(x) \, dx \]
\[ + \rho_f I \int_0^l N_0(x)^T N_0(x) \, dx. \]
\[ (A.7) \]
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