

Sustainable Development of the Cement Industry and Blended Cements to Meet Ecological Challenges

Konstantin Sobolev

European University of Lefke, Civil Engineering Department, Lefke, North Cyprus

E-mail: sobolev@lefke.edu.tr

Received May 1, 2002; Revised July 10, 2002; Accepted April 9, 2003; Published May 5, 2003

The world production of cement has greatly increased in the past 10 years. This trend is the most significant factor affecting technological development and the updating of manufacturing facilities in the cement industry. Existing technology for the production of cement clinker is ecologically damaging; it consumes much energy and natural resources and also emits pollutants.

A new approach to the production of blended or high-volume mineral additive (HVMA) cement helps to improve its ecological compatibility. HVMA cement technology is based on the intergrinding of portland cement clinker, gypsum, mineral additives, and a special complex admixture. This new method increases the compressive strength of ordinary cement, improves durability of the cement-based materials, and — at the same time — uses inexpensive natural mineral additives or industrial by-products. This improvement leads to a reduction of energy consumption per unit of the cement produced. Higher strength, better durability, reduction of pollution at the clinker production stage, and decrease of landfill area occupied by industrial by-products, all provide ecological advantages for HVMA cement.

KEYWORDS: sustainability, cement, blended, ecology, development, technology, natural resources, industrial by-products, additive, energy consumption, strength, durability

DOMAINS: waste management policy

INTRODUCTION

According to Cembureau's report[1], the world production of cement has increased by about 50% in the past 10 years (Fig. 1). The growing demand for cement is the most significant factor affecting technological development and the updating of manufacturing facilities in the cement industry[2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12].




FIGURE 1. Production trends in the cement industry[1].

It is generally agreed that the production of cement is expensive and ecologically harmful. CO₂ (a principal gas contributing to the “greenhouse effect”), NO_x, and SO_x are among the hazardous emissions generated in relatively high volumes by the conventional portland cement process.

If the production of cement is further expanded based on the existing technologies, products of the same performance will be obtained, but at a cost of increasing the consumption of raw materials and energy. Such expansion would also add a substantial degradation of the environment. As a result, the demand of growing markets cannot be met by simply extending the capacity of the current cement industry[11].

Sustainable development is the main way ahead. The environmental damage of construction materials can be measured and factored as an economic cost[13,14,15,16,17,18]. New products must either have better performance or use less raw materials and energy.

SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND ECO-EFFICIENCY

The definition of “sustainability”, following the World Commission on Environment and Development, emphasizes the importance of ensuring the satisfaction of present need without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own requirements[13]. As a business model, this means businesses try to balance inputs and outputs to maintain a profitable enterprise. Sustainable development also includes the issue of environmental impact, resource use, and social effects. Sustainable development can be defined as achieving social, economic, and environmental objectives in parallel[14,15]. For the construction industry sustainability means:

- Progress that meets the needs of society
- Economic development

- Preservation of the environment
- Efficient use of resources

The concept of eco-efficiency was formulated at the 1992 “Earth Summit”. The World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) has proposed the following definition: “Eco-efficiency is reached by the delivery of competitively priced goods and services that satisfy human needs and bring quality of life, while progressively reducing ecological impacts and resource intensity throughout the life cycle, to a level at least in line with the earth’s estimated carrying capacity”[15,16,17,18]. WBCSD has identified seven elements to achieve eco-efficiency:

- Reduce the material intensity of products
- Reduce the energy intensity of products
- Reduce toxic emissions
- Enhance material recyclability
- Maximize sustainable use of renewable resources
- Extend product durability
- Increase the service life of the products

For the cement industry these components can be presented in the following guidelines[11]:

- Implementation of low-temperature technologies for manufacturing clinker (including belite and mineralized clinkers) with consequent energy/fuel savings
- Production of stronger cement and consequent application of stronger concrete in structural members with reduced cross-sections and consequent reduction of the amount of concrete raw materials, structural steel, and energy used, and reducing bulk consumption of cement and concrete
- Production of more durable cement-based materials with a significantly prolonged service life and reduced cost of maintenance
- Application of mineral additives or industrial by-products in blended cements and reducing energy consumption and the corresponding emissions per unit of cement produced
- Implementation of modern technologies for saving energy and recovery of materials leading to a reduction of heat, dust, and pollutant emissions
- Development and application of new types of cement based on alternative (different from conventional portland cement) binders

THE INTEGRAL EFFICIENCY OF THE CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS

The formula for the integral efficiency of the construction material or structure can be presented in the following equation[18]:

$$L_i = \frac{\sum L_{1..n}}{\sum M_{1..n}} * D * W$$

In this formula $\sum L_{1..n}$ represents the total engineering benefits as a sum of individual efficiency parameters L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n . $\sum M_{1..n}$ relates it to the sum of the expenditure M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n . The integral efficiency L_i of the construction material increases with increasing of useful life D .

The fourth important efficiency variable is the reusability ratio W . This describes the proportion of the structure that can be appropriately reused after the end of the product's service life.

Corresponding to these main parameters there are four approaches for improving integral efficiency[18]:

- Increase the engineering or ecological benefit
- Reduce expenditure
- Improve durability and service life
- Raise the recycling ratio

TECHNOLOGICAL TRENDS IN CEMENT AND CONCRETE INDUSTRY

The most recent developments in cement and concrete technology can be summarized as follows[19]:

- **Strength:** Following a historical trend of cement and concrete development, there is a strong trend to increasing strength. Continuous breakthroughs in knowledge allow the design and application of super high-strength concrete with a compressive strength of 130–250 MPa, high flexural strength, and remarkable ductility[2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,19,20,21,22]. As a result, research and application of effective structures, utilizing high-strength concrete, has been phenomenal in past years, demonstrating strong growth potential.
- **Chemical Admixtures:** The use of chemical admixtures has become one of the essential parts of modern concrete. Added to the concrete mixture, relatively small amounts of the chemical admixtures radically alter the behavior of fresh or hardened concrete. Modern admixtures provide a solution for overcoming or improving almost any problematic property of concrete[4,5,6,7,8,9,23].
- **Waste Utilization:** The utilization of industrial by-products and waste (IBPW) as mineral additives comprises a valuable segment of cement and concrete technology. According to wide-scale investigations[2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38], the performance of concrete with controlled volumes of IBPW can be significantly improved. Well-investigated mineral additives include granulated blast furnace slag (GBFS), fly ash, and silica fume. These mineral additives not only yield concrete with improved properties and economical effectiveness, but they also improve the eco-balance of these materials[39].

As a result of these developments, the concept of high-performance concrete (HPC) has been put forward and successfully applied worldwide. It is generally accepted that concrete with properties better than conventional levels (considering its workability, strength, permeability, durability, etc.) could be called HPC. According to Forster[40] HPC is “a concrete made with appropriate materials combined according to a selected mix design and properly mixed, transported, placed, consolidated, and cured so that the resulting concrete will give excellent performance in the structure in which it will be exposed, and with the loads to which it will be subjected for its design life.”

To realize the HPC concept, a variety of chemical admixtures and mineral additives are necessary, and a modern concrete batching plant must use adequate equipment for precise control, dispatching, dosing, and batch processing[19,23]. The technology of HPC was developed to simplify production and to extend its range of application[11].

PROS AND CONS OF BLENDED CEMENT

The earliest reference to Roman cement and concrete of remarkable durability was made by Vitruvius (100 A.D.). The secret of the durability of Roman cement was to mix slaked lime with *pozzolana*, a volcanic ash from Mount Vesuvius. This resulted in a binder that was able to harden under water. Modern blended cements also utilize pozzolan as a mineral additive or cement-replacing material, which is intergrounded or blended with portland cement.

According to studies[26,41], pozzolans can be defined as “siliceous or siliceous -and-aluminous materials which by themselves possess little or no cementitious value but, in finely divided form and in the presence of moisture, chemically react with calcium hydroxide at ordinary temperatures to form compounds possessing cementitious properties,” Pozzolans can be divided into two major groups[26]:

- Natural pozzolans (naturally occurring materials: volcanic ash/tuff, thermally activated clay/shale, diatomaceous earth) and
- Artificial pozzolans (including IBPW)

In spite of the evident savings from energy and raw materials there is a limit to the volumes of pozzolan utilized as cement/concrete additives. These volumes are controlled by the possible detrimental effect of pozzolanic additive on concrete performance (especially strength, permeability, durability). Among the major concerns of the misuse of mineral additives are reduced early strength, increased water demand of concrete mixtures, reduced freezing, and thawing resistance. The possible adverse effect of blended cements on the properties of concrete must be precisely evaluated; then the specific requirements of the particular construction project can be met.

TECHNOLOGY OF HP/HVMA CEMENT: HIGH STRENGTH AND DURABILITY

A new reactive silica-based complex admixture was developed[11,24] to provide an improvement of cement properties. When added during the cement grinding process, the complex admixture modifies the surface and size distribution of cement particles; it also leads to the formation of highly reactive amorphous structures and prehydrates. The process named “high-performance cement technology” was found to be very effective for the development of an additional feature of normal cement. HPC can be defined as a product manufactured by the mechanochemical activation of certain proportions of clinker, gypsum, complex admixture, and, optionally, a mineral additive of industrial or natural origin, which imparts high strength and extreme durability to the concrete or mortar made from it[11]. The flowchart for the grinding unit for manufacturing HP/HVMA (high-volume mineral additive) cement is presented in Fig. 2.

The high-strength phenomenon was used to engineer a cement with HVMA. Because of its high strength, a large amount (up to 70%) of portland cement clinker could be replaced with mineral additives. A wide range of natural pozzolanic materials, sand, limestone, GBFS, fly ash, broken glass, and ceramic can all be used as mineral additives in these cements[24,42,43,44].

There are two types of HP cement:

1. Basic HPC (Type A)
2. Blended HPC (Type B)




FIGURE 2. HPC technology.

Basic Type A cement involves intergrinding the clinker, gypsum, and a complex admixture. Blended Type B cement covers a wide range of HPCs with a mineral additive. The content of a mineral additive in blended HPC varies with the specified level of the properties and with the type of additive used. Wide-scale research[11,42,43,44] has demonstrated that HPCs with a mineral additive content within the standard limitations (25–50%) could be produced. Furthermore, the frames of mineral additive utilization in HVMA cement can be extended. New types of mineral admixtures (such as sand, broken glass, and ceramic) can be used as an ingredient in the blended HPC[24,44].

The chemical composition of HPC depends on the type and composition of the raw components. The chemical composition of HPC in comparison with normal portland cement (NPC) and GBFS is presented in Table 1.

TABLE 1
Chemical Composition of HPCs[42]

	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	CaO	MgO	K ₂ O	Na ₂ O	SO ₃	L.O.I
NPC	19.44	4.78	3.57	63.74	1.90	0.77	0.21	2.70	2.41
GBFS	40.09	11.48	1.56	31.85	9.49	1.00	0.00	2.10	0.17
HPC-A	28.47	4.18	3.36	55.01	1.89	1.02	0.38	2.61	2.83
HPC-B	34.28	7.83	2.46	43.43	5.69	1.01	0.19	2.35	1.50

The test results of HPC and NPC in accordance with the corresponding ASTM procedure are summarized in Table 2. HPC possesses a 28-day compressive strength, which is at least 65% higher than the strength of NPC. The early age strength development of the HPC classifies this cement as super rapid hardening cement. HPCs demonstrated an increase in a long-term strength, especially, in case of Type B based on 50% of GBFS (Fig. 3).

TABLE 2
Physical Properties of HPC

	Fineness		Setting Time (min)		Normal Consistency %	Compressive Strength, MPa at age, days					
	Blaine (m ² /kg)	45 μ	Initial	Final		1	2	3	7	28	90
NPC	310	8.50	165	205	27.1	26.2	36.4	42.4	48.5	57.1	64.7
HPC-A	570	5.40	100	145	18.5	44.3	55.9	62.2	74.1	94.4	96.2
HPC-B	580	5.20	175	225	17.5	35.2	44.8	54.2	65.6	92.7	105.5




FIGURE 3. HP/HVMA cement strength development.

The application of GBFS in blended HPC provides a very high resistance to chemical attack[30,39,42]. Test results have demonstrated the possibility of producing blended HPC with a compressive strength of more than 80 MPa and a BFS content of up to 50% (Fig. 3).

As a result, HPC technology helps to overcome the problems related to the application of blended cements.

IMPACT OF HP/HVMA CEMENT ON THE ENVIRONMENT

Blended cements incorporating different mineral admixtures or IBPW can partly replace the cement clinker. Clearly, blended cements meet the challenges of modern society by increasing bulk production and conserving energy[2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,24,36,37]. In the case of CO₂ emissions “frozen” by the E.U. and U.S. at the level of 2000, the share of conventional portland cement in the market must be drastically reduced by the year 2015 (Fig. 4).




FIGURE 4. Prediction of emissions and market share of low-clinker cements.

At the same time, the extensive updating of existing facilities for the manufacture of clinker (which comprises an essential part of the inferior cements) consumes the bulk of capital investment and yields only a slow return[43]. Therefore, the expansion of an existing cement plant requires a proportionally high rate of investment. The major part of this investment is associated with the installation of heavy equipment and construction. However, in the case of HVMA cement, new investments are required only to upgrade the grinding unit. This may increase production capacity by 40–50% with consequent increase in profit, but without any additional increase of clinker output (Table 3).

Since HVMA cement uses about 30–50% less clinker than inferior cements, it creates less ecological damage. In this way HVMA cement contributes to the reduction of CO₂ and other emissions at source; IBPW materials that would be otherwise transported to landfill sites are used economically.

Considering the superior level of properties of HPC-based materials, the most important ecological and economical aspects contributing to the sustainable development are the following[42]:

- Reduced cross-sections of structures for concrete raw materials, structural steel, and energy saving

TABLE 3
Expanding an Existing Cement Plant: Case of HVMA Cement[43]

Performance Parameters	Existing Plant	+20% Scenario	+40% Scenario
Mineral Additive Content, %	30	50	70
Capacity, <i>mil. tons per year</i>	1.0	1.4	2.3
Required Investments, <i>mil. \$</i>	—	4.3	13.9
Unit Price, <i>\$/ton</i>	45	45	45
Income, <i>mil. \$</i>	45.0	63.0	103.5
Production Cost, <i>mil. \$</i>	39.4	53.1	83.5
Gross Profit, <i>mil. \$</i>	5.6	9.9	20.0
Extra Gross Profit, <i>mil. \$</i>	—	4.3	14.4
Extra Net Profit, <i>mil. \$</i>	—	3.4	11.5
Pay Back Period (10% interest rate), <i>year</i>	—	1.4	1.3

- Reduced total weight of structures and foundations, reduced total energy consumption, less formwork, reduced maintenance costs and equipment loading, increased speed of erection
- Significantly increased lifetime of structures and reduced cost of repairing work because of high durability
- Replacement of generally used structural steel, polymers, and natural stone by ecologically friendly concrete
- Utilization of IBPW in HP/HVMA cement and reduction of energy consumption per unit of the cement produced
- Decreased amount of land spoiled by IBPW

CONCLUDING REMARKS

1. HVMA cement uses less clinker than existing cements and so meets the challenges of a sustainable society; it increases bulk production and conserves energy. HVMA cement contributes to the reduction of CO₂ and other emissions at source. Due to its better eco-compatibility, the market share of HVMA cements will increase in the future.
2. Because of its higher strength and durability, the production and application of HP/HVMA blended cement helps to reduce the environmental degradation associated with construction activities.
3. Because of its ecological and economical advantages an ECO-prefix can be suggested for HP/HVMA cement: ECO-cement.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to acknowledge the contributions of reviewers and colleagues at LAU which were useful to improve this article.

REFERENCES

1. Cembureau Annual Report, 1994-1995. European Cement Association, World Cement, August, 1995. pp. 20–21.
2. Aitcin, P.-C. (2000) Cements of yesterday and today concrete of tomorrow. *Cement Concrete Res.* **30**, 1349–1359.
3. Roumain, J.-C. and Sarkar, S.L. (2000) Cements of the 21st Century. Cement and Concrete Technology in the 2000-s: II International Symposium, Istanbul, Turkey. pp. 32–37.
4. Swamy, R.N. (2000) Holistic Design of Concrete Technology the Only Route to Durability and Sustainability in Construction. Cement and Concrete Technology in the 2000-s: II International Symposium, Istanbul, Turkey. pp. 58–71.
5. Swamy, R.N. (2001) Holistic Design — Key to Sustainability in Concrete. Proceedings of the Institute of Civil Engineers. Structures and Buildings 146(4). November. pp. 371–379.
6. Swamy, R.N. (1999) Design for Durable Service Life — The Linchpin for Sustainability in Concrete Construction. High Performance Concrete — Performance and Quality of Concrete Structures. Proceedings of the 2nd CANMET/ACI International Conference, Brazil. ACI SP-186. pp. 765–788.
7. Swamy, R.N. (1998) Designing Concrete and Concrete Structures for Sustainable Development. Sustainable Development of the Cement and Concrete Industry. Proceedings of CANMET/ACI International Symposium, Ottawa, Canada. pp. 245–255.
8. Malhotra, V.M. (1999) Role of Supplementary Cementing Materials in Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions. *Infrastructure Regeneration and Rehabilitation Improving the Quality of Life Through Better Construction: A Vision for the Next Millennium*. Sheffield Academic Press, Sheffield, U.K. 1325 p.
9. Neville, A. and Aitcin, P.C. (1998) High performance concrete — an overview. *Mater. Struct.* **31(206)**, 111–117.
10. Mehta, P.K. (1998) Role of Pozzolanic and Cementitious Material in Sustainable Development of the Concrete Industry. Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete. Proceedings of the 6th CANMET/ACI/JCI International Conference, Bangkok. pp. 1–20.
11. Sobolev, K.G. and Soboleva, S.V. (1997) Eco-Balance of High Performance Cement. International Symposium on Mineral Admixtures in Cement, Istanbul, Turkey.
12. Dountran, P. (1986) *Present Situation of Cement Standardization in Europe, Blended Cements*. Frohnsdorff, G., Ed. ASTM ST 897. pp. 144–154.
13. European Environment Agency, <http://org.eea.eu.int/documents/Issuerep/envcom/alternative.htm>
14. Howard, N. (2000) Sustainable Construction — the Data. Centre for Sustainable Construction/BRE Report CR258/99. March.
15. Yates, A. (2001) Quantifying the Business Benefits of Sustainable Buildings — Summary of Existing Research Findings. Centre for Sustainable Construction/BRE. February.
16. Eco-Efficiency Indicators: A First Set of Eco-Efficiency Indicators for Industry Pilot Study. Anite Systems/Eurostat, 1999.
17. Verfaillie, H. and Bidwell, R. (2000) Measuring Eco-Efficiency a Guide to Reporting Company Performance. World Business Council for Sustainable Development, Geneva.
18. Wischers, G. and Kuhlmann, K. (1991) Eco-balance of cement and concrete. *Concrete Precasting Plant and Technology (BFT)* **11**, 33–40.
19. Sobolev, K.G. (1993) High-Strength Concrete with Low Cement Factor [Ph.D. Dissertation]. Chemical Admixtures Lab, Research Institute of Concrete and Reinforced Concrete, Moscow.
20. Shah, S.P. (1993) Recent Trends in the Science and Technology of Concrete. *Concrete Technology: New Trends, Industrial Applications*. Proceedings of the International RILEM Workshop, E&FN Spon, London. pp. 1–18.
21. Fidjestol, P. and Knudsen, K. (1995) High Performance Concrete for Durability — Using Microsilica. ERMCO-95. Proceedings of the XIth European Ready Mixed Concrete Congress, Istanbul. pp. 379–389.
22. Marikunte, S. and Shah, S.P. (1993) Engineering of Cement Based Composites. *Concrete Technology: New Trends, Industrial Applications*. Proceedings of the International RILEM Workshop, E&FN Spon, London. pp. 83–102.
23. Ramachandran, V.S. (1995) *Concrete Admixtures Handbook*. 2nd ed. Noyes Publications, Westwood, NJ.
24. Sobolev, K.G. and Soboleva, S.V. (1996) High Performance Indigenous Cement. XXIVth World Housing Congress, Ankara, Turkey. pp. 189–202.
25. Malhotra, V.M. (1998) High-Performance High-Volume Fly Ash Concrete. Proceedings of the International Conference on High Performance High-Strength Concrete: Material Properties, Structural Behavior and Field Application, Perth, Australia. pp. 97–122.
26. Swamy, R.N. (1986) *Cement Replacement Materials*. Surrey University Press, U.K.
27. Bouzoubaâ, N., Zhang, M.H., Bilodeau, A., and Malhotra, V.M. (1998) Mechanical Properties and Durability of Concrete Made with High Volume Fly Ash Blended Cements. Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete. Proceedings of the 6th CANMET/ACI/JCI International Conference, Bangkok. pp. 575–604.

28. Swamy, R.N. and Darwish, A.A. (1998) Engineering Properties of Concretes with Combinations of Cementitious Materials. Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete. Proceedings of the 6th CANMET/ACI/JCI International Conference, Bangkok. pp. 661–684.
29. Swamy, R.N. and Hung, H.H. (1998) Engineering Properties of High Volume Fly Ash Concrete. Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete. Proceedings of the 6th CANMET/ACI/JCI International Conference, Bangkok. pp. 331–360.
30. Jau, W.-C. and Tsay, D.-S. (1998) Study of the basic engineering properties of slag cement concrete and its resistance to seawater corrosion. *Cement Concrete Res.* **28(10)**, 1363–1371.
31. Palou, M.T., Majling, J., Janotka, I., Dan, E., and Popescu, D. (1998) Investigations of blended low energy cements. *Ceramics-Silikaty* **42(3)**, 105–111.
32. Pera, J., Bonnin, E., and Chabannet, M. (1998) Immobilization of Wastes by Metakaolin-Blended Cements. Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete. Proceedings of the 6th International CANMET/ACI/JCI International Conference, Bangkok. pp. 997–1006.
33. Pacewska, B., Wilinska, I., and Kubissa, J. (1998) Use of spent catalyst from catalytic cracking in fluidized bed as a new concrete additive. *Thermochim. Acta* **322(2)**, 175–181.
34. González, M.A. and Irassar, E.F. (1998) Effect of limestone filler on the sulfate resistance of low C₃A portland cement. *Cement Concrete Res.* **28(11)**, 1655–1667.
35. Rodriguez-Camacho, R.E. (1998) Using Natural Pozzolans to Improve the Sulfate Resistance of Cement Mortars. Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete. Proceedings of the 6th CANMET/ACI/JCI International Conference, Bangkok. pp. 1021–1040.
36. Davidovits, J. (1994) Geopolymers: inorganic polymeric new materials. *J. Mater. Educ.* **16**, 91–139.
37. Davidovits, J., Comrie, D.C., Paterson, J.H., and Ritcey, D.J. (1990) Geopolymeric concretes for environmental application. *Concrete Int.* **12(7)**, 30–40.
38. Schroeder, R.L. (1994) The use of recycled materials in highway construction. *Public Roads* **58(2)**, 32–41.
39. Marchal, G. (2000) The Eco-Efficiency of Blended Cements. ECOTRADE.
40. Forster, S.W. (1994) High-performance concrete — stretching the paradigm. *Concrete Int.* **16(10)**, 33–34.
41. ASTM C 125 (1994) Standard Terminology Relating to Concrete and Concrete Aggregates. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol. 04.01. Philadelphia.
42. Sobolev, K.G. and Soboleva, S.V. (1999) High performance cement: solution for next millennium. *Mater. Technol.* **14(4)**, 191–193.
43. Sobolev, K. and Arikan, M. (2002) Production Process of High Volume Mineral Additive ECO-Cement: Part II — Feasibility Analysis. *Cement and Concrete World*, March–April. pp. 56–65.
44. Sobolev, K. and Arikan, M. (2002) High volume mineral additive ECO-cement. *Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull.* **81(1)**, 39–43.

This article should be referenced as follows:

Sobolev, K. (2003) Sustainable development of the cement industry and blended cements to meet ecological challenges. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL* **3**, 308–318.

Handling Editor:

Caroline Truth, Principal Editor for *Waste Management Policy* — a domain of *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL*.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

