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The aim of this retrospective study is to determine whether increasing the stimulation dose of rFSH in unexpected poor responders is associated with better in vitro fertilization (IVF) outcome or not. A total of forty eligible women who fulfilled our definition of poor responders and who did not achieve an ongoing pregnancy in the first cycle and returned for a second higher rFSH dose IVF cycle with a long-agonist protocol were included to the study. The first low-dose cycles and the second high-dose cycles were compared to each other. Main outcome measures of the study were duration of stimulation, number of follicles, number of oocytes retrieved, number of embryos, and E2 level on day of hCG injection. There were no significant differences in duration of stimulation, number of follicles, number of oocytes retrieved, number of embryos, and E2 level on day of hCG injection between the first low- and second high-dose cycles. Daily dose and total dose of rFSH were significantly higher in the second high-dose cycles. Increasing the dose of rFSH in a second stimulation cycle after first unsuccessful treatment cycle will add only to the cost and discomfort of the treatment and might adversely affect pregnancy rates.

1. Introduction

The primary aim of in vitro fertilization (IVF) treatment is the birth of a healthy baby. For the purpose of IVF, controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) is performed by using exogenous follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) to generate as many follicles as possible and to maximize the chances of producing good quality embryos available for transfer. But some patients respond poorly during COH and poor response to COH leads to a low number of oocytes and fewer embryos and as a result poor response associates with high cancellation rates and low pregnancy rates. The incidence of poor response to COH has been reported between 9% and 24% [1].

The starting daily dose of gonadotrophin is generally based on the patient’s age, basal FSH level, and eventually response to prior stimulation protocols. At present the ideal stimulation regimen for poor responders is not known and age may not be an important factor [2]. It is generally believed that older and poor responder patients require higher doses to overcome the decline in ovarian response. But this practice is based on empirical evidence rather than on sound evidence [3]. Although increasing doses of gonadotrophins have been shown to increase the number of collected oocytes [4], later this improvement was explained by a phenomenon called “regression to the mean” [5] and increasing the dose of FSH above a threshold dose had not been found valuable [6], even if it may have adverse implications on pregnancy rates [7]. Moreover, it has been shown that an unexpected poor response in a young patient in the first IVF cycle was not necessarily related to a poor prognosis in subsequent cycles [8]. Ovarian sensitivity for gonadotrophins varies among women, and an individualized approach is most likely to result in a sufficient number of oocytes with minimal side effects [9].

The objective of this study is to observe the second higher gonadotrophin dose IVF outcome in unexpected poor responder patients. In particular we investigated if a high daily dose of rFSH in poor responders is associated with higher number of oocytes at the time of retrieval and improved
pregnancy rate. We analyzed retrospectively IVF data from May 2007 to May 2011 for patients who had at least two cycles of stimulation with rFSH and a higher dose in second cycle because of an unexpected poor response in the first cycle.

### 2. Methods

We reviewed IVF cycles performed at the Gülhane Military Medical Academy, Haydarpasa Training Hospital IVF Unit, from May 2007 to May 2011 retrospectively. Patient charts were reviewed and the following data recorded: age, body mass index (BMI), cause of infertility, basal day 3 serum FSH value, total amount of rFSH dose in IU used during the stimulation, cycle length, E2 serum value on day of hCG value, number of follicles ≥ 17 mm on day of hCG, number of oocytes retrieved, and number of transferable embryos. General medical and gynecological histories were recorded together with the result of physical examination and laboratory testing.

Patients were included in the study if they had completed a stimulation protocol with rFSH (Gonal-F, Merck Serono, Turkey, or Puregon, Schering-Plough, Turkey) and fulfilled our standard definition of poor responders and who did not achieve an ongoing pregnancy in the first cycle and who returned for a second higher-dose IVF cycle. Although different criteria were used in various published studies and lack a uniform definition of poor response, we defined poor responders as patients with less than 4 mature oocytes retrieved and less than or equal to 3 follicles and/or Estradiol (E2) levels of less than 500 pg/mL on the day of hCG administration. ESHRE recently presented “a consensus paper” to standardize the definition of poor response and they proposed similar criteria [10]. All patients who were eligible for the study agreed to participate and each patient provided their written informed consent.

All patients started with 150–225 IU per day with rFSH s.c. injection on the first cycle (first low-dose cycle) and 225–375 IU per day in a consecutive next cycle (second high-dose cycle). The second high-dose stimulation cycle was within 1 year after first low-dose stimulation cycle. Other inclusion criteria include the following: age < 40 years and BMI ≤ 29 kg/m² or >18 kg/m², FSH ≤ 12 IU/L, regular spontaneous menstrual cycle (24–35 days) and presence of two ovaries.

Pretreatment with leuprolide acetate (Lucrin; Abbott, Turkey) for pituitary downregulation was started in the mid-luteal phase and when downregulation was achieved (E2 ≤ 50 pg/mL) after menstruation, treatment with rFSH was started and continued until at least three follicles ≥ 17 mm had developed. Human chorionic Gonadotrophin (hCG) (Pregnyl, Schering-Plough, Turkey) 10,000 IU i.m. or rhCG (Ovitrelle, Merck Serono, Turkey) 250 mcg s.c. was used to trigger ovulation for each cycle. Serum concentrations of (E2), FSH, and LH were measured at baseline and E2 and progesterone on the day of hCG injection. Monitoring of the cycle was done with vaginal ultrasound and E2 measurements. Oocyte retrieval was performed transvaginally under ultrasound guidance after 35 hours of hCG injection. The number of follicles aspirated and oocytes retrieved was recorded during aspiration. Standard IVF and ICSI procedures were used depending on sperm quality. Evidence for fertilization was assessed approximately 16–18 hours after IVF or ICSI. Luteal support was provided by vaginal progesterone gel (Crinone, Merck Serono, Turkey).

We compared the first low-dose cycles with the second high-dose cycles. Parameters compared include daily and total dose of rFSH, duration of stimulation, number of follicles, number of oocytes retrieved, number of embryos, and E2 level on day of hCG injection. Each patient functioned as her own control.

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 16.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL USA). The statistical analysis was done with the Student’s t-test and Chi-Square test. A P value of < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

### 3. Results

Forty patients were eligible and identified in this retrospective study. The causes of infertility in the patients were male infertility (22.5%), tubal infertility (22.5%), endometriosis (17.5%), and unexplained (37.5%).

Table 1 presents the overall patient and cycle characteristics. Patient characteristics were similar in each first low-dose and second high-dose cycle. Patients were one year older at the time of the second high-dose cycle (34.35 ± 3.88 years versus 35 ± 3.70 years), but this was not significantly different (P ≥ 0.05). Mean E2 level on day of hCG was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>First cycle (low dose)</th>
<th>Second cycle (high dose)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) ð</td>
<td>34.35 ± 3.88</td>
<td>35 ± 3.70</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal FSH (mIU/mL) on day 3 ð</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.91</td>
<td>7.15 ± 2.56</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²) ð</td>
<td>22.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>22.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment duration (days) ð</td>
<td>11.45 ± 1.67</td>
<td>11.7 ± 1.11</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 level on day of HCG (pg/mL) ð</td>
<td>711.8 ± 278.4</td>
<td>822.42 ± 311.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total rFSH dose (IU) ð</td>
<td>2018.06 ± 557.6</td>
<td>3334.5 ± 643.2</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rFSH dose (IU) per stimulation day ð</td>
<td>176.25 ± 36.22</td>
<td>285.00 ± 59.32</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Values are mean ± SD

NS = Not significant.

### Table 1: Baseline information on the characteristics of women and regimens.
The starting dose of gonadotrophins after an unexpected poor response in the first IVF cycle reduces the cancellation rate. Besides it may increase the oocyte retrieval rates and embryo transfer rates. But increasing the dose of gonadotrophin in a second cycle after the first unexpected poor response did not increase the number of oocytes retrieved and the number of transferable embryos.

A generally accepted practice in IVF is to increase the daily dose of gonadotrophins if the first treatment cycle is unsuccessful. This is done with the belief that a higher gonadotrophin dose will stimulate a somehow compromised ovary to a better response, assuming a dose response relationship between gonadotrophins administered and E2 levels and number of follicles. But if this relationship is true for good responders, the situation is physiologically different in poor responders. This study gives empirical evidence to this statement. The ovary of poor responders (although with a normal FSH levels) is less responsive to exogenous gonadotrophins. This underlines the poor predictive value of day 3 FSH in this subgroup of patients and it suggests that there may be other reasons than reduced ovarian reserve for a poor response.

During ovulation induction for assisted reproductive technologies, increasing the number of oocytes collected can allow better embryo selection and maybe better pregnancy rates [11]. Several authors analyzed the effect of increasing the gonadotrophins stimulation dose to poor responders. Initial studies were performed with purified urinary FSH. In 1989, Hofmann et al. showed that ovarian hyperstimulation with high doses of FSH may result improved E2 response and fewer cancellations in poor responder patients, and they suggested that high-dose FSH may offer a good alternative for these patients [12]. But only one year later in another study from the same center, Karande et al. concluded that high-dose FSH stimulation at the onset of the menstrual cycle does not improve the IVF outcome in low-responder patients [13]. Land et al. doubled the FSH dose in the second cycle to improve the outcome in poor responders [14]. They reported a significant increase in the number of oocytes but not in the number of embryos. Lashen et al. [4] conducted a retrospective study and reported that low- and average-responder patients but not high-responder patients had significantly higher numbers of eggs, embryos, and higher E2 levels in their second cycles in which the dose of gonadotrophin was increased. But they found that there was no difference for the pregnancy rate between the groups although there were differences for other parameters. They suggested the existence of a maximum effective dose for this preparation. They found that increasing the FSH dose above 300 IU/day after a poor response in the first cycle is pointless. However, Pantos et al. showed that increasing the gonadotrophin dose above 150 IU/day did not increase the number of oocytes in poor responders [15]. In a prospective randomized trial of Garcia-Velasco et al., early cessation of GnRHa combined with high doses of gonadotrophins had recruited a significantly higher number of mature oocytes but resulted in similar IVF outcome and pregnancy rates than a conventional nonstop, long downregulation protocol [16].

More recent studies analyzed the same questions using rFSH. Studies comparing different doses of rFSH suggest 711.8 ± 278.4 pg/mL and 822.42 ± 311.2 pg/mL in first low-dose cycle and second high-dose cycle, respectively, and there was no significant difference (P ≥ 0.05).

At first low-dose cycle 70% of the patients had oocyte retrieval and 55% had embryo transfer. On the other hand at second high-dose cycle oocyte retrieval and embryo transfer rates were significantly higher than those of the first low-dose cycle (87.5% and 62.5%, resp.) (P < 0.05). But the outcome measures were not different between the first low-dose cycle and second high-dose cycle (Table 2). Patients showed no significant difference in mean number of follicles (2.30 ± 0.64 versus 2.375 ± 0.66) and mean number of oocytes retrieved (1.40 ± 1.15 versus 1.50 ± 0.877) (P ≥ 0.05). Upward FSH dose adjustment did not produce any significant increase in the mean number of transferable embryos per cycle (0.775 ± 0.8 versus 0.85 ± 0.8, P ≥ 0.05). Fertilization rates were similar in the first low-dose cycle and second high-dose cycle (55.3% and 56.6%, resp.) (P ≥ 0.05).

Cycle lengths were similar in the 2 groups (11.45 ± 1.67 days versus 11.7 ± 1.11 days). But rFSH dose (1U) per stimulation day (176.25 ± 36.22 IU versus 285.00 ± 59.32 IU) and the total dose of gonadotrophins used (2018.06 ± 557.6 IU versus 3334.5 ± 643.2 IU) were significantly higher in the second high-dose cycle group compared with the first low-dose group (P < 0.05), with higher cost in the second high-dose group.

More cycles were cancelled during the low-dose stimulation protocol (12/40 = 30% versus 5/40 = 12.5%, P < 0.05). We did not analyze the pregnancy rates on the first low-dose cycle because the patients who become pregnant after first cycle were not included in the study. However there were only 2 pregnancies after second high dose cycle. Ongoing pregnancy rate in the second high-dose cycle was only 5%. Compared to a historic normal responder control group this represents a very low percentage (data not shown).

There was no ovarian hyperstimulation or hospitalization for any other reason during the treatment period.

### 4. Discussion

In this retrospective study we demonstrated that increasing the starting dose of gonadotrophins after an unexpected poor response in the first IVF cycle reduces the cancellation rate. Besides it may increase the oocyte retrieval rates and embryo transfer rates. But increasing the dose of gonadotrophin in a second cycle after the first unexpected poor response did not increase the number of oocytes retrieved and the number of transferable embryos.

A generally accepted practice in IVF is to increase the daily dose of gonadotrophins if the first treatment cycle is unsuccessful. This is done with the belief that a higher gonadotrophin dose will stimulate a somehow compromised ovary to a better response, assuming a dose response relationship between gonadotrophins administered and E2 levels and number of follicles. But if this relationship is true for good responders, the situation is physiologically different in poor responders. This study gives empirical evidence to this statement. The ovary of poor responders (although with a normal FSH levels) is less responsive to exogenous gonadotrophins. This underlines the poor predictive value of day 3 FSH in this subgroup of patients and it suggests that there may be other reasons than reduced ovarian reserve for a poor response.

During ovulation induction for assisted reproductive technologies, increasing the number of oocytes collected can allow better embryo selection and maybe better pregnancy rates [11]. Several authors analyzed the effect of increasing the gonadotrophins stimulation dose to poor responders. Initial studies were performed with purified urinary FSH. In 1989, Hofmann et al. showed that ovarian hyperstimulation with high doses of FSH may result improved E2 response and fewer cancellations in poor responder patients, and they suggested that high-dose FSH may offer a good alternative for these patients [12]. But only one year later in another study from the same center, Karande et al. concluded that high-dose FSH stimulation at the onset of the menstrual cycle does not improve the IVF outcome in low-responder patients [13]. Land et al. doubled the FSH dose in the second cycle to improve the outcome in poor responders [14]. They reported a significant increase in the number of oocytes but not in the number of embryos. Lashen et al. [4] conducted a retrospective study and reported that low- and average-responder patients but not high-responder patients had significantly higher numbers of eggs, embryos, and higher E2 levels in their second cycles in which the dose of gonadotrophin was increased. But they found that there was no difference for the pregnancy rate between the groups although there were differences for other parameters. They suggested the existence of a maximum effective dose for this preparation. They found that increasing the FSH dose above 300 IU/day after a poor response in the first cycle is pointless. However, Pantos et al. showed that increasing the gonadotrophin dose above 150 IU/day did not increase the number of oocytes in poor responders [15]. In a prospective randomized trial of Garcia-Velasco et al., early cessation of GnRHa combined with high doses of gonadotrophins had recruited a significantly higher number of mature oocytes but resulted in similar IVF outcome and pregnancy rates than a conventional nonstop, long downregulation protocol [16].

More recent studies analyzed the same questions using rFSH. Studies comparing different doses of rFSH suggest

### Table 2: Comparison of two consecutive cycles which dose of rFSH has been increased.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>First cycle (low dose)</th>
<th>Second cycle (high dose)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of aspirated follicles</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.64</td>
<td>2.375 ± 0.66</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of oocytes retrieved</td>
<td>1.40 ± 1.15</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.877</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilization rate (%)</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of transferable embryos</td>
<td>0.775 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canceled cycles</td>
<td>12/40 = 30%</td>
<td>5/40 = 12.5%</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. NS = Not significant.
that pregnancy rates do not increase with increasing doses of rFSH. Two different randomized double-blind clinical trials are analyzed if a higher-dose protocol is associated with better outcome. Fixed daily doses of recombinant FSH (rFSH) (100 versus 200 IU) resulted in higher number of oocytes at the time of the retrieval in the high-dose group, but the clinical pregnancy rates were the same and more sideeffects were noted with the high-dose regimen [17, 18]. Another study showed that an increase in rFSH daily dose from 150 IU to 250 IU did not result in a higher number of retrievable oocytes in older women [19]. In a randomized, double-blind multicenter clinical trial, the Latin American Puregon study concluded that an increase in the daily recombinant FSH dose from 150 to 250 IU in women between 30 and 39 years of age has only limited benefit, and the clinical use of these higher doses can be questioned [20]. The main difference between these trials [17–20] and our study is that we followed the same group of patients in a second high-dose cycle; therefore each patient functioned as her own control.

In a systematic review, Tarlatzis et al. [21] showed that the results of the trials were controversial, and there is no evidence supporting the use of high-doses of gonadotrophins in poor responders. In a prospective randomized controlled study, expected poor responders on the basis of an antral follicle count did not benefit from a higher starting dose of gonadotrophins in IVF treatment with a daily dosage of 300 IU of recombinant FSH when compared with 150 IU [22]. Lekamge et al. [6] reported the same findings in a retrospective study. Our results are very similar with these studies. Siristatidis and Hamilton [23] had evaluated the clinical trials about the effectiveness of the high dose FSH regimes and tried to find out the safest and most effective upper limit of FSH that might be used for COH in poor responders. They concluded that most of the retrospective studies and reviews had showed that to increase the dose was useless. But their comments about some prospective randomized trials were quite interesting, because they had given particulars a satisfactory ovarian response and pregnancy rate would achieved when the FSH dose exceed the 300 IU dosage, being combined with various types of downregulation or adjuvant strategies. A recent meta-analysis suggested that the optimal daily rFSH stimulation dose is 150 IU/day in presumed normal responders younger than 39 years undergoing IVF [24]. In this study the average number of oocytes retrieved per pick-up was increased when higher rFSH doses over 100 IU/day were given, whereas pregnancy rates did not differ across the dosage range tested and cumulative pregnancy rates including additional cryo embryo transfer cycles would not become superior with dosages exceeding 150 IU/day. The conclusion of this meta-analysis was when using 150 IU/day the probability of poor response was not different from higher dosages, indicating that 150 IU/day is likely to represent the optimal dosage in general. Our results confirm these findings. Despite receiving higher daily, and total rFSH doses in the second treatment cycles, the numbers of follicles, the number of oocytes retrieved and total number of transferable embryos were all similar in both the low-dose and high-dose treatment cycles.

Nowadays, mild ovarian stimulation protocols for IVF are being developed in order to reduce the cost and to minimize the complications of COH. Other benefits of mild stimulation might be the probability of generating better embryos and more receptive endometrium because of the potentially negative effects of supraphysiological steroid levels on oocyte quality and endometrial receptivity [25]. Although the usual mild stimulation protocol is held with GnRH antagonists, mild IVF using GnRHa long protocol is possible too [26]. But, mild ovarian stimulation has not yet been tested in the context of ovarian aging [27]. After mild ovarian stimulation, a modest number of oocytes are associated with optimal implantation rates and do not reflect a poor ovarian response [28]. When we evaluate and compare our results with these data about mild ovarian stimulation, increasing the dose of rFSH in a second stimulation cycle after first unsuccessful treatment cycle will add only to the cost and discomfort of the treatment and may adversely affect pregnancy rates.

5. Conclusion

The results of our study indicate that increasing the starting dose of gonadotrophin after an unexpected poor response in the first IVF cycle is not an effective approach. It may increase the oocyte retrieval rates and embryo transfer rates but will not add any significant improvement in the number of oocytes retrieved and the number of transferable embryos. The only important benefit of increasing the dose was the low cancellation rate. There is no linear relationship between the dose of recombinant FSH and the ovarian response in unexpected poor responders.
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