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Radiographic Assessment of the Technical Quality and Periapical Health of Root-Filled Teeth Performed by General Practitioners in a Turkish Subpopulation
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Aim. The aim of this study was to evaluate by means of radiographs the technical quality of root fillings performed by dental practitioners.

Methods and Material. Standardized periapical radiographs were made on 484 patients who received endodontic treatment in private practice. A total of 831 endodontically treated teeth with 1448 roots were evaluated for technical quality of the root canal filling and the periapical status of the teeth. Also, the apical status of each root-filled tooth was assessed according to the length, density, and taper of root fillings, and the presence of apical transportation, broken root instruments, and overfilled sealer or gutta-percha material was recorded for each root canal.

Results. Of the endodontically treated teeth 26.6% had healthy periapical tissues, while technically good endodontic treatment constituted 12.8%. Based on the treatment success, there was no significant difference between the tooth groups. Statistical analysis of the data did not demonstrate statistically significant differences between the various parameters that were evaluated ($P < 0.05$).

Conclusions. Technical quality of root fillings in a population who received treatment in private practice was poor and was consistent with a low prevalence of apical health. The probable reasons for this failure are multifactorial and may be improved if the operators improve their skills with continuing postgraduate education programs.

1. Introduction

In many countries, dental health is a rising and important public health problem because of its medical, economic, and ethical repercussions [1], in which root canal treatment (RCT) plays an essential role when considering the quality of dental care [2, 3]. With the improvement of modern principles in endodontic practice, many clinical studies have shown an increase in the favorable treatment outcomes [4–6]. Epidemiological studies evaluating the outcome of the endodontic treatment performed in controlled clinical environments (e.g., dental schools) have demonstrated success rates up to 95% [4, 7, 8]. Concerning the recommendations of competent scientific committees, experts, and professional guidelines related to the quality of treatments, there is evidence that the quality of treatments completed in general dental practice is less than ideal [9–11]. Irrespective of the population group and geographic location, success rates decrease as low as 35% to 60% [11–15]. As a result, with a decrease in the quality of treatment, there is an increase in the prevalence of apical periodontitis, so it is necessary to improve the quality of root canal treatment in general dental practice in order to promote periradicular health [16].

There is a general assumption that an endodontist provides treatment of better quality and treatment outcomes compared to generalists, so the aim of this study was to assess the radiographic assessment of the technical quality and periapical health of root-filled teeth performed by general practitioners in a Turkish subpopulation.

In addition, the quality and type of coronal restorations and relation between the coronal restoration and periapical health were also evaluated.
Table 1: Parameters recorded on endodontically treated teeth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of root canal treatments</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>Root filling ending ≤2 mm from the radiographic apex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of root canal filling</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Root filling beyond the radiographic apex or root filling &gt;2 mm from the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>radiographic apex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of root canal filling</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>No voids present in the root filling or between root filling and root canal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taper of root canal filling</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>Consistent taper from the apex to the coronal part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Not consistent taper from the apex to the coronal part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periapical status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy periodontal ligament</td>
<td></td>
<td>If the periodontal ligament was intact with no signs of periapical pathosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical periodontitis</td>
<td></td>
<td>If the widening of the apical part of the periodontal ligament was not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>exceeding two times the width of the lateral periodontal ligament space,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>teeth were categorized as having widening of the periodontal ligament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical periodontitis</td>
<td></td>
<td>If the periapical radiolucency in connection with the apical part of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tooth exceeding at least two times the width of the lateral part of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>periodontal ligament, such teeth were categorized as having obvious periapical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>radiolucency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of coronal restoration</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>Any permanent restoration that appeared intact radiographically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Any permanent restoration with detectable radiographic signs of overhangs,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>open margins, or recurrent caries, or presence of temporary coronal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>restorations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>No permanent or temporary coronal restoration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Subjects and Methods

2.1. Patient Selection. This study was undertaken by evaluating the periapical status of teeth on periapical radiographs of randomly selected new patients seeking routine dental care between the ages of 9 and 71 (median 35) who first presented to the Oral Diagnosis and Radiology Department for a general dental checkup and then were referred to the Endodontics Department of the Faculty of Dentistry, Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey. Patients attending to the university clinic for the first time were selected, and to be included in the study the patient’s chart had to contain periapical radiographs made at the Oral Diagnosis and Radiology Department of the school and depicting previous root canal treated teeth performed by outside dentists. Also, the other criteria for inclusion in the study were that the patient’s chart had to contain periapical radiographs of the previously root canal treated teeth during patient examination. Since these periapical radiographs had originally been taken for definite radiological diagnosis previously, it was therefore not necessary to seek ethical approval for this study.

For the study, 1448 roots of 831 endodontically treated teeth obtained from 484 patients (335 female and 149 male) were evaluated.

2.2. Radiographic Examination. All periapical radiographs were taken with a Trophy CCX X-ray unit (Trophy Radiographie-94300, Vincennes, France) using Ultra Speed film (Eastman Kodak, Roche Sister, NY, USA) and processed with an automatic film processor according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The periapical radiographs were evaluated by an experienced radiologist using an illuminated viewer box with ×2 magnification.

2.3. Radiographic Evaluation. The radiographs were analyzed for several variables such as radiographic quality and health of the apical status according to the criteria proposed by De Moor et al. [11] (Table 1).

The criteria proposed by Siqueira et al. [17] were used in the evaluation of the coronal restorations (Table 1).

Besides the parameters above, if complications such as lateral or furcal perforations and fractured instruments were detected, the root filling was considered as inadequate.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Evaluations of the teeth and the roots were analyzed using SPSS software, Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The influence of the endodontic treatments, coronal restorations, existing complications, including other possible combinations on the periapical health were analyzed using the chi-square test. \( P < 0.05 \) values were considered as statistically significant.
The periapical status of all root-treated teeth is presented in Table 2. Of the 831 root-filled teeth investigated in the study, only 26.6% of teeth had healthy periapical structure. The relationship between the periapical health status and the length of fillings is shown in Table 2.

As another evaluation criteria of root fillings, the smoothness and continuity of the taper were examined and only 218 (26.2%) had ideal taper while 572 (68.8%) had inadequate taper (Table 2). There was a statistically significant relationship between the taper and periapical health in our study sample ($P < 0.001$).

In addition, 57.9% (481) of all root-treated teeth had inadequate density of filling, while 42.1% (350) had adequate density. A root canal filling was considered adequate only when the length, tapering, and density of the filling were acceptable. Taking these criteria together, adequate root canal fillings were found in only 12.8% of all examined samples.

Overall, when the coronal structure of the teeth with root fillings was evaluated, 34.4% of all had crown restoration. While 28.2% of all root canal-treated teeth had poor or missing intracoronal restorations, only 37.4% were recorded with adequate intracoronal restorations (Table 2).

In the present study, without considering the technical quality of root canal treatments, there is a statistically significant difference between the prevalence of apical health of the teeth with poor (19%) and adequate (32%) coronal restorations ($P < 0.001$).
Finally, when we looked for the existing complications, 49 teeth had been overfilled with gutta-percha or sealer material, 30 teeth had broken instruments inside the canals, and 16 teeth had apical transportation.

4. Discussion

The population investigated in this study consisted of adult patients attending the Dental School of Ercyes University, Kayseri, Turkey, for the first time for a periodic checkup or required general dental treatment. For the investigation, we arranged a cross-sectional study in order to reveal the situation of endodontic treatments, the quality of the performed treatments, and the periapical status of the study population, as applied by general dental practitioners.

As a routine examination and diagnosis protocol, we take panoramic radiographs from most of our patients for a detailed evaluation since all teeth can be seen on one radiograph and deliver a relatively low patient radiation dose when compared with full-mouth sets of periapical radiographs. Although panoramic radiographs are accepted to be sensitive in the diagnosis of periapical pathosis [18], the accuracy of panoramic radiographs in the detection of apical periodontitis has been questioned [19]. Additionally, because of nonuniform magnification and poor imaging of anterior teeth, we did not find panoramic radiographs sufficient and took periapical radiographs of the suspected teeth with the approval of the patients after explaining the necessity, so periapical radiographs were used instead of panoramic radiographs [20]. Also, greater interobserver variability with panoramic radiographs [2] is another reason for our choice of periapical radiographs.

A main disadvantage of this cross-sectional study is that the radiographs were examined at a given point in time, and no information was available as to the time passed since endodontic treatment, so it is impossible to determine whether a periapical lesion is healing or not [21]. Because a radiograph provides only static information of a dynamic process, it is difficult to guess if a periapical lesion is increasing or decreasing in size [22]. In order to overcome these kinds of disadvantages of the present study, patients were asked to provide the time endodontic treatments or restorations were performed, the clinician who performed the work, and the clinician’s level of training, and the time passed between the treatment and the observation. According to the answers, the patients who could not know for certain about the questions, or whose treatment was not performed by a general dental practitioner, or who had had root canal treatment within 2 years were excluded from the study.

In the literature, many epidemiological studies have shown that, because of the poor technical quality of root fillings, there is a high prevalence of periapical disease in these teeth. In these studies, there is a wide range of percentage of inadequate root fillings reported, between 49% and 87%, many of which were undertaken in general practice or hospital settings [23]. For several years, most studies reported in the literature have shown that the technical quality of root canal fillings performed by general dental practitioners is often less than ideal [10, 11, 24, 25]. One of the numerous possible reasons for this may be the withdrawal of dental practitioners from many of the principles and techniques of endodontics that were covered at dental schools [26, 27] and/or the reported adaptation of many newer techniques that have little scientific basis [27]. A study performed in the northeast of England reported that general dental practitioners felt they needed more continuing education and postgraduate courses to improve their clinical skills in endodontics [28]. On the contrary, results of the studies carried out in controlled environments have shown that, with higher technical standards of fillings, the presence of disease appears to have a minimal influence on the outcome of the treatment. Besides, the survival rate of teeth treated by endodontists was shown to be significantly better than those treated by general practitioners [29]. In their study, Ingle et al. demonstrated that highly skilled operators are less likely to perform procedural errors that may ultimately compromise the prognosis [30].

Our study group consisted of 69.2% females and 30.8% males \( (P < 0.001) \). In some epidemiological studies, gender was reported to have no effect on the number of roots filled or the presence of apical periodontitis [15, 31, 32]. Our results related to the female prominence were similar to those reported by Georgopoulou et al. [33] and Sunay et al. [19], which may indicate the greater interest of female patients in dental care and attendance for checkups [19].

Maxillary and mandibular molars, followed by premolars were mostly treated with root canal treatment in our study sample. Compared with mandibular, maxillary teeth had a higher prevalence of apical periodontitis. Because maxillary molars may exhibit many morphological variations of their complex root canal anatomies, this may be the reason for the higher prevalence of failure performed in these teeth [34]. In addition, because of the complex anatomy of the maxillary and zygomatic bones, the difficulty of radiographing the maxillary teeth during treatment and generally the poorer radiographic quality may be the other reasons of the failure of these treatments.

In the present study, 73.4% of the root-filled teeth were associated with periapical lesions and only 26.6% of teeth had healthy periapical structure. This high percentage was certainly a result of the high frequency of inadequate endodontic treatment in the investigated group, as it is generally accepted that the quality of the endodontic treatment strongly influences the status of the periodontal tissues [4, 11, 12, 15].

In our study, a radiographic ruler as a measuring tool was used to allow an accurate measurement of the proximity of the root canal filling relative to the radiographic apex. The percentage of root fillings with adequate length was 26.20% in the present study. In the literature, many studies have considered the ideal length of filling within 2 mm of the radiographic apex as the gold standard [10, 35, 36], and in Sjögren et al. [4] in their highly controlled long-term outcome study, the investigators reported the close relation of healing of apical periodontitis (94%) and the roots filled ideally close (0–2 mm) to apex [23]. In the same study, the healing rates of apical periodontitis of short filled teeth were 68%, while the rate was 76% with the overfilled teeth.
As another evaluation criterion of root fillings, the prevalence of the smoothness and continuity of the taper in the present study was 31.2% while the remainder had 68.8% of inadequate taper. Because the taper of root canals is a more subjective criterion, in the literature only a few reports have been published on this matter [37]. In a recent study performed by Bierenkrant et al. [23], the authors reported the majority of the canals had a "smooth and continuous" taper of 83.8% prevalence rate [23]. The same authors correlated their high rate with the benefit of rotary NiTi instrumentation [23]. As the European Society of Endodontology (1994) advises the preparation of root canals should be tapered from crown to apex, we believe in the future, with the use of NiTi rotary instruments, the philosophies of both instrumentation and filling of the root canals will be changed in a positive manner. Additionally, we found a statistically significant relationship between the taper and periapical health in our study sample ($P < 0.001$).

In the literature, there is conflicting evidence related to the impact of root-filling density on prognosis [23]. Some studies have shown that teeth with homogenous root fillings result in more consistent healing [7] and survival [38], whereas some of the other studies reported no difference in the prognosis of root canal treatment [4], and some reported a significantly increasing prevalence of apical periodontitis with nonhomogenous and inadequately compacted root fillings [16, 39, 40]. In the present study, 57.9% (481) of all root-treated teeth had inadequate density of filling, while 42.1% (350) had adequate filling density.

A root canal filling was considered adequate only when the length, tapering, and density of the filling were acceptable. With these criteria together, adequate root canal fillings were found in only 12.8% of all examined samples. Unfortunately, this prevalence result is the lowest of all reviewed articles published in the literature, among which was reported an acceptable root filling in the range of 17.7–64.5% [23, 41]. When considering the treatment adequacy between the tooth types, there was no statistically significant difference in our study sample ($P > 0.05$).

The quality of the coronal seal is another important factor that influences the periapical health [41]. In many studies, the association between the quality of the coronal seal and the presence of periapical radiolucencies were shown in a negative correlation [21, 41–43]. In the present study, when the coronal structure of the root canal-treated teeth was evaluated, 34.4% of all had crown restoration. While 28.2% of all root canal-treated teeth had poor or missing intracoronal restorations, only 37.4% were recorded with adequate intracoronal restorations (Table 2).

In the present study, teeth without or inadequate coronal restoration had significantly more periapical pathology compared to those with adequate coronal restorations (Table 2). Without considering the technical quality of root canal treatments, there is a statistically significant difference between the prevalence of apical health of the teeth with poor (19%) and adequate (32%) coronal restorations ($P < 0.001$).

Ingle et al. [30] demonstrated in their study that highly skilled operators are less likely to perform procedural errors that may ultimately compromise the prognosis. For the present study, 49 teeth had overfilled gutta-percha or sealer material, 30 teeth had broken instruments inside the canals, and 16 teeth had apical transportation. It is clear that the operator skill and the condition under which the treatment was delivered are important factors that should not be underestimated on the prognosis of the treatments [18].

5. Conclusion

Within the limitations of this study, the technical quality of root filling performed by dental practitioners in Kayseri, Turkey, is very low compared to other studies. The probable reasons for this failure are multifactorial and may be improved if the operators improve their skills with continuing postgraduate education programs.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

References


