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Robustness is the key feature of biological networks that enables living organisms to keep their homeostatic state and to survive against external and internal perturbations. Variations in environmental conditions or nutrients and intracellular changes such as genetic mutations have the potential to change stability and efficiency of an organism. Structural robustness helps biological systems to choose alternative routes of adaptation to varying conditions. In this study, in order to estimate the structural robustness in metabolic networks we presented a novel flux balance-based approach inspired by bond percolation theory. Fourteen in silico metabolic models were studied in this work in order to examine the possible relationship between the lifestyle of organisms and their metabolic robustness. The results of this study confirm that in organisms which are highly adapted to their environment robustness to mutations may decrease compared to other organisms.

1. Introduction

During the last years, many researchers have studied the robustness of metabolic networks against random mutations (for a recent review, please see [1]). The purpose of these studies is to investigate the mechanisms of protection of metabolic networks against mutations and to measure the tolerance of mentioned networks against “faults” (and maybe targeted attacks).

Robustness is defined as the “insensitivity” of a system to parametric variations [2]. Variation in parameters occurs by changes in the environmental conditions or by internal alterations [3, 4]. Structural robustness is an intrinsic property of most biological networks. Measuring the robustness of metabolic networks against mutations and gene/reaction deletion is an important question in systems biology [1]. Robustness in a metabolic network is a result of redundancy in metabolic pathways. The reason is that deficiencies in the network cannot be tolerated unless new alternative pathway(s) are evolved [5]. This is typically done by gene duplications [6] or by horizontal transfer of metabolic genes [7]. If alternative metabolic pathways are not present in a metabolic network, for example, due to reductive evolution [8, 9], then the metabolic network becomes extremely fragile [10]. It has been shown that metabolic networks are exceptionally robust when compared to appropriate null models [11].

In the present work, we introduce a novel approach to the analysis of metabolic network robustness. We study the resistance of metabolic networks to deletion of reactions by removing reactions until no flux can pass through the network. We show that eukaryotes and free-living prokaryotes show much higher mutational robustness compared to organisms which are highly adapted to their habitats.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Genome-Scale Metabolic Network Models. The genome-scale metabolic network models of 14 species are used in this study, including 3 eukaryotes (group 1), 6 “free-living” prokaryotes (group 2), and 5 prokaryotes with highly
Table 1: List of species used in the present work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species name</th>
<th>Specific growth conditions</th>
<th>Metabolic network ID</th>
<th>Number of reactions</th>
<th>Network reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>iIN800</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus nidulans</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>iHD666</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>AraGEM</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactococcus lactis</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibrio vulnificus</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>VvuMBEL943</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>iAF1260</td>
<td>2167</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</td>
<td>Human pathogen</td>
<td>iNJ661m</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanosarcina barkeri</td>
<td>Diverse anaerobic conditions</td>
<td>iAF692</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>Human pathogen</td>
<td>iSB619</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicobacter pylori</td>
<td>Extremely acidic conditions [21]</td>
<td>iT341</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermotoga maritima</td>
<td>Extremely thermophilic conditions [23]</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycoplasma genitalium</td>
<td>Intracellular conditions [25]</td>
<td>iPS189</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</td>
<td>Intracellular conditions [27]</td>
<td>iJW145</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium beijerinckii</td>
<td>Strictly anaerobic conditions [29]</td>
<td>iCB925</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Constraint-Based Analysis of Metabolic Networks. We used constraint-based analysis of metabolic networks in our study (for a brief review, please see Chapter 1 in [31]). In this modeling strategy, it is often assumed that steady-state conditions hold. Therefore, for a certain distribution of reaction fluxes, say $v$, the metabolic concentrations do not change during time. In a metabolic network with $m$ metabolites and $n$ reactions, this assumption is equivalent to the following equation:

$$S \cdot v = 0,$$

where $S$ is an $m \times n$ matrix representing stoichiometric coefficients of metabolites in the reactions, $v$ is the vector of the $n$ steady-state fluxes, and $0$ is an $m$-dimensional zero vector. Blocked reactions [32] are those reactions which cannot carry any nonzero flux. In other words, for a blocked reaction $i$, we have $v_i = 0$ subject to stoichiometric constraints ($S \cdot v = 0$) and reversibility constraints ($v_j \geq 0$ for all irreversible reaction like $j$). Finding blocked reactions is typically the first step of flux coupling analysis [32, 33]. In our study, we utilized F2C2 tool [34] for this purpose (see below).

2.3. Measuring Robustness. Our algorithm is inspired by the concept of percolation. For more information, the interested reader may refer to [35, 36]. Here, we briefly present the main idea of the percolation theory by an example.

Figure 1(a) shows a schematic representation of the Watson-Leath experiment [37]. Suppose that we have a two-dimensional steel-wire mesh (lattice). Two copper electrodes with negligible resistance are soldered to the two opposite sites of this square lattice. The resistance of the steel mesh is measured externally.

In each iteration of the experiment, a steel wire (a “bond”) is cut (Watson and Leath actually studied “site” percolation; i.e., in each iteration they cut the four wires coming to a junction). The electric conductance of the lattice gradually decreases by cutting the wires. The idea is to cut steel wires randomly until no electrical current can pass through the mesh.

Let $P$ be the ratio of unblocked bonds to the total number of bonds. On average, when bonds are cut, at a critical value, say $P_C$, conductivity of the lattice vanishes to zero [35]. Therefore, $P_C$ is a random variable which can be estimated by repeating the experiment several times.

The method used in present study is based on solving a sequence of linear programming (LP) problems. In our algorithm, we used F2C2 [34] to study reactions deletions and their consequences on the activity of metabolic fluxes. The algorithm starts by correcting reversibility of reactions in a metabolic network and deleting all dead-end reactions. Then, in each iteration, one column of the stoichiometric matrix of the metabolic network (or equivalently, a reaction in metabolic network) is randomly deleted (Figure 1(b)). The procedure continues until all reactions become blocked based on the F2C2 program. Finally, the critical ratio is computed as follows:

$$P_C = \frac{\text{Number of deleted reactions}}{\text{Number of unblocked reactions in the original network}}.$$
The experiment is repeated 100 times for each network, and average $P_C$ values were computed for each of the metabolic network models.

We also compared our results with a classical measure of metabolic network robustness [38] based on flux balance analysis (FBA) [39]. This approach is based on in silico deletion of reactions. In each iteration, a reaction is deleted from the network and the sensitivity of the growth rate to the reaction deletion is modeled. We used the core reductive algorithm [8, 9, 40] for this purpose. In each iteration, we find a (randomly selected) minimal reaction set which can be used to produce biomass from a minimal growth medium in steady-state conditions. In a highly robust network, a considerable number of reactions can be deleted without influencing growth, while in a sensitive network deletion of a few reactions can result in no biomass production. Therefore, the average ratio of “unnecessary” reactions to the total number of reactions can be used as a measure of network robustness. For each metabolic network, the experiment was repeated 1000 times to have a good estimation of this ratio.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. The R package (http://www.r-project.org/) was used for statistical analyses. In order to compare the $P_C$ distributions in two organisms, one-sided two-sample $t$-test was used. To investigate the correlation between $P_C$ values and the number of reactions in the models, Pearson’s product–moment correlation test was applied.

3. Results and Discussion

$P_C$ was defined as the critical ratio of the fluxes to be removed such that a metabolic network becomes entirely blocked (Figure 1(b)). The higher the average $P_C$ is, the higher the number of nonessential reactions is. Thus, we chose $P_C$ to estimate the robustness of the metabolic networks.

Each set of deleted reactions is a cut set for the network [41] (but presumably not a minimal cut set). Therefore, the average $P_C$ is an estimate for the average cut set size. For each of the fourteen metabolic networks in our dataset, we computed average $P_C$ by repeating the reaction deletion procedure 100 times. The results of this analysis are summarized in Figure 2. From this figure, one can observe that there is comparable range of $P_C$ values for metabolic networks in group 1 and group 2. However, for group 3, we face a range of
In group 2, we have six prokaryotes which are able to grow in different habitats. *L. lactis* is well known for its application to the lactic industry, while it is reported that this species is also isolated from vegetables [42] and intestinal tract of the Amur catfish [43]. On the other hand, *V. vulnificus*, which is a cause of deadly food poisoning and wound infections, is also present in brackish ponds [44]. Another species from this group, that is, *E. coli*, can easily grow in human intestine, in water, and in soil [45]. While *M. tuberculosis* is a human pathogen, it is proven that this bacterium has an exceptional ability to survive environmental stresses [46]. Moreover, it can grow in different growth conditions, both in vivo and in vitro [47]. *S. aureus* is typically known as a human pathogen. However, there is a growing body of evidence that this microorganism is also able to grow in a variety of different conditions, including foods [48] and soil [49]. *M. barkeri* is the only archaeon in this group, with the ability to grow in many different growth conditions ranging from rumen [50] to freshwater lagoons [51].

Group 3 includes bacterial species with highly specific growth conditions. *H. pylori*, a human pathogen, grows in highly acidic environment of the stomach [21], *T. maritima* only grows in extremely thermophilic conditions [23], and *C. beijerinckii* grows only in strictly anaerobic conditions [29]. *M. genitalium* and *M. pneumoniae*, on the other hand, have reduced metabolic networks which helps them to grow faster as intracellular pathogens [25, 27]. The extreme level of adaptation in species of group 3 has resulted in the greater degree of “nonrobustness” in the metabolic networks of these organisms.

In this study, group 1 includes eukaryotic species (*S. cerevisiae*, *A. nidulans*, and *A. thaliana*). It is well known that *S. cerevisiae* and *A. nidulans* can adapt to a wide range of growth conditions. Moreover, *A. thaliana* is a multicellular organism with different tissues. For these reasons, in group 1 we expect a large number of alternative metabolic pathways, which in turn results in great robustness values. It should be noted that, in the metabolic model of *A. thaliana*, only 672 unblocked reactions are included. However, due to the large number of metabolic enzymes involved in the plants, this number is greatly underestimated. One expects that addition of the missing metabolic pathways to this model will greatly enhance the robustness of this network.

### Table 3: Comparison of robustness values for different species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>L. lactis</th>
<th>V. vulnificus</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
<th>M. tuberculosis</th>
<th>M. barkeri</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. cerevisiae</em></td>
<td>7.96E−12</td>
<td>3.90E−27</td>
<td>1.34E−31</td>
<td>6.20E−31</td>
<td>2.41E−35</td>
<td>4.26E−40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. nidulans</em></td>
<td>1.47E−02</td>
<td>7.29E−22</td>
<td>6.60E−28</td>
<td>3.65E−27</td>
<td>1.42E−32</td>
<td>4.42E−38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. thaliana</em></td>
<td>1.00E+00</td>
<td>1.00E+00</td>
<td>9.90E−01</td>
<td>9.95E−01</td>
<td>1.22E−01</td>
<td>1.05E−07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. pylori</em></td>
<td>1.58E−37</td>
<td>2.77E−25</td>
<td>4.47E−16</td>
<td>9.86E−17</td>
<td>7.21E−08</td>
<td>1.03E−01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. maritima</em></td>
<td>1.24E−50</td>
<td>1.41E−40</td>
<td>1.06E−29</td>
<td>1.65E−30</td>
<td>4.06E−18</td>
<td>2.84E−06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. genitalium</em></td>
<td>7.11E+40</td>
<td>8.42E−32</td>
<td>4.64E−25</td>
<td>1.33E−25</td>
<td>2.12E−17</td>
<td>4.30E−08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>5.26E−150</td>
<td>4.57E−121</td>
<td>7.08E−94</td>
<td>8.19E−95</td>
<td>4.64E−74</td>
<td>1.54E−54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. beijerinckii</em></td>
<td>1.26E−169</td>
<td>3.41E−119</td>
<td>2.21E−92</td>
<td>2.95E−93</td>
<td>9.97E−74</td>
<td>2.26E−55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Color key:

- $P > 10^{-2}$
- $10^{-5} > P > 10^{-15}$
- $10^{-35} > P > 10^{-35}$
must be correlated. For example, a highly robust metabolic network with many alternative pathways is identified as a robust network by any measure of robustness.

In order to find the relationship between our novel robustness measure and the FBA-based measure of robustness, we used our recent implementation of the core-reductive algorithm [40] to obtain minimal metabolic subnetworks which are able to produce biomass. The number of reactions which can be deleted without decreasing biomass production is an FBA-based measure of network robustness. We found out that the results are qualitatively comparable, with a relatively high correlation between the two measures (Pearson’s correlation \( R = 0.80 \)). The results confirm that our novel robustness measure is comparable with the classical measures of robustness, but with the advantage that no additional assumption is required for its computation.
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