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Alexandra Maria Giovanna Brunasso, 1,2 Werner Aberer, 1 and Cesare Massone 1

1 Department of Dermatology, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria
2 Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Medical University of Graz, Auenbruggerplatz 8, 8036 Graz, Austria

Correspondence should be addressed to Alexandra Maria Giovanna Brunasso; giovanna.brunasso@gmail.com

Received 28 August 2013; Accepted 14 November 2013; Published 29 January 2014

Copyright © 2014 Alexandra Maria Giovanna Brunasso et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We performed a systematic search of databases from 1990 to 2013 to identify articles concerning the new onset of dermatomyositis/polymyositis (DM/PM) in patients treated with anti-TNF-α therapy. We retrieved 13 publications describing 20 patients where the new onset of DM/PM after anti-TNF-α therapy was recorded. 17 patients were affected by rheumatoid arthritis (RA), one by Crohn’s disease, one by ankylosing spondylitis, and one by seronegative arthritis. In 91% of the cases antinuclear autoantibodies were detected after the introduction of anti-TNF-α therapy. In 6 patients antisynthetase antibodies were detected and other clinical findings as interstitial lung disease (ILD) were recorded. Improvement of DM/PM after anti-TNF suspension (with the concomitant use of other immunosuppressors) was recorded in 94% of cases. The emergence of DM/PM and antisynthetase syndrome seems to be associated with the use of anti-TNF-α agents, especially in patients with chronic inflammatory diseases (mainly RA) with positive autoantibodies before therapy initiation. In particular, physicians should pay attention to patients affected by RA with positive antisynthetase antibodies and/or history of ILD. In those cases, the use of the TNF-α blocking agents may trigger the onset of PM/DM or antisynthetase syndrome or may aggravate/trigger the lung disease.

1. Introduction

Dermatomyositis (DM) is a chronic, idiopathic inflammatory myopathy, potentially life threatening, that affects individuals of all ages [1]. The estimated incidence of DM has been calculated as 9.63 per 1 million persons, with a prevalence of 21.42 per 100,000 persons [1]. DM and PM can be associated with other autoimmune and connective tissue diseases [1, 2]. Polymyositis (PM) is a rare, chronic, idiopathic inflammatory myopathy that affects individuals over the age of 20 years and is more common in women [1, 2]. The definitive diagnosis requires the exclusion of DM and other inflammatory myopathies [1, 2].

Raised levels of TNF-α have been demonstrated both in serum of patients with chronic DM and inside the calcium deposits (calcinosis cutis) [3]. It has also been reported that the soluble forms of the receptors TNF-R55 and TNF-R75 are increased in DM/PM sera [4]. The TNF-α allele, called TNFα-308A, and the linkage disequilibrium of the HLA-B locus have been associated with a higher risk of calcinosis, prolonged disease course, and ulcerative skin disease [5]. The polymorphism of the osteopontin promoter in conjunction with the TNFα 308A allele promotes high serum levels of interferon-α in untreated patients with DM of European ancestry [5]. Such patients usually present a more aggressive disease course and develop calcinosis [5]. The TNFα-308A allele by itself has also been associated with vascular occlusion and increased production levels of TNF-α [6]. The role of type-I interferon (IFN)-mediated innate immunity in DM and PM-affected patients seems to be crucial [4, 7]. The induction of IFN-alpha can be the result of immune complexes containing anti-Ro or anti-Jo-1 antibodies and RNA that activate IFN-α production in plasmacytoid dendritic cells [8, 9]. In patients with DM and negative autoantibodies, the presence of MX-1 protein in capillaries suggests another cellular IFN-α source and induction mechanism [8, 9]. Biological agents, in particular TNF-α blocking agents, have been proposed as potential steroid-sparing agents and as long-term therapies in addition or substitution to corticosteroid therapy [10–12]. According to Martin et al., anti-TNF-α
therapy has become the most commonly considered second- or third-line therapy for patients with refractory juvenile DM in the UK even in the absence of prospective randomized control trials (RCTs) to support such use [13]. Paradoxically, there are some reports in the literature regarding the new onset of DM/PM in patients affected by other diseases [as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), Crohn’s disease, and so forth] during etanercept, infliximab, and adalimumab [14–25].

We therefore conducted an up-to-date systematic review regarding the new onset of DM/PM in patients treated with TNF-α blockers for different conditions and described the reports in regard to the patients characteristics and evaluated the role of autoantibodies, duration of therapy, and clinical picture when possible. We hope that these results will help physicians in their choices of patients with different conditions and those eligible to receive anti-TNF-α agents.

2. Methods

We performed a systematic search of databases (PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Central, and Web of Science) from January 1990 until July 2013, using the following keywords and [MESH FORMS]: “dermatomyositis”, and/or “polymyositis”, and/or “induced” and/or “tumor necrosis factor or antitumor necrosis factor alpha”, and/or “TNF”, and/or “etanercept”, and/or “lenercept”, and/or “infliximab”, and/or “adalimumab”, and/or “golimumab”, and/or “certolizumab”, and/or “polymyositis.” No exclusion criteria were applied, and only articles in English, Spanish, German, Italian, and Portuguese were evaluated. We did not consider reviews, congress abstracts, or unpublished results. The references of the studies obtained were also examined to identify additional reports. We included all cases where a clear baseline diagnosis was made and where the onset of DM/PM was recorded after the use of anti-TNF-α agents (etanercept, lenercept, adalimumab, infliximab, lenercept, golimumab, or certolizumab).

Data Extraction and Management. The relevant study information was extracted (by AMB, one of the reviewers) into a Microsoft Excel database. Variables extracted included the following: age, sex, baseline disease, comorbidities, duration of illness until anti-TNF-α therapy initiation, anti-TNF-α treatment until DM/PM onset (drug, duration and, dosage), autoantibodies before and after anti-TNF-α therapy, concomitant treatments (drug, duration, and dosage) during anti-TNF-α therapy, improvement after withdrawal from anti-TNF-α therapy (yes, no, or partial), treatment received for DM/PM, complications, and outcomes.

Risk of Bias Assessment. Two reviewers (AMB and CM) assessed the risk of selection biases to ensure that the criteria for diagnosis of the baseline disease and the new onset DM/PM were consistent and followed worldwide definitions to each disease.

3. Results

We retrieved 13 publications describing a total of 20 patients who were treated with anti-TNF-α agents in the setting of dermatological or rheumatologic conditions outside DM and PM, between the years 2003 and 2012 [14–25]. We found 12 publications regarding the new onset of DM or PM in patients treated with anti-TNF-α agents for RA (17 cases), Crohn’s disease (1 case), ankylosing spondylitis (AS, one case), and seronegative arthritis with a familiar history of psoriasis (1 case) [14–25].

Twenty patients received 21 cycles of therapy with TNF-α blockers. Nineteen patients experienced a new onset of DM, and one patient that received both adalimumab and infliximab experienced both a new onset and an exacerbation of DM [14–25]. In 7 cases the patients received etanercept, in 7 cases infliximab, in 5 cases adalimumab, and in two cases lenercept [14–25].

Twelve patients were female and 3 patients were male; in 5 cases sex was not reported [14–25]. The mean age at DM/PM diagnosis was 47.9 years (range: 29–57 years). The mean duration of the baseline illness until anti-TNF-α therapy initiation was 11.5 years (range: 4 months–33 years). The mean duration of the anti-TNF-α therapy until DM/PM onset was 11.7 months (range: 2 weeks–34 months) [14–25].

Regarding the presence of autoantibodies in serum before the initiation of anti-TNF-α therapies, in 3 patients antinuclear antibodies (ANA) were reported as negative (two cases of RA and one case of AS) in 6 patients as positive, and in 11 cases ANA were not available [14–25]. Between the 6 patients with positive ANA, one patient was also positive for anti-DNA-ds antibodies and three patients for anti-Jo-1 antibodies (see Table 1) [14, 19, 24].

After the initiation of the TNF-α blockers, in 5 patients the ANA-titers remained unchanged (in one patient the titer remained negative and in 4 cases the titer did not change); in 2 patients the titer increased and in 4 patients the ANA became positive. Anti-Jo-1 antibodies became newly positive in one patient after anti-TNF-α therapy introduction and in two patients other antisyntethase antibodies as anti-PL-7 and anti-PL-12 were reported as positive (see Tables 1 and 2) [14–25].

Regarding the 18 patients reported with arthritis and new onset of DM/PM, 17 cases had a baseline diagnosis of RA and one case was diagnosed as seronegative arthritis with a familiar history of psoriasis. Between the 17 cases of RA, in 7 patients there was incomplete information regarding duration of the baseline illness until anti-TNF-α initiation, autoantibodies status pre-TNF-α blocker therapy, and clinical and radiological description of the articular manifestations. In the remaining 10 patients with baseline diagnosis of RA, only in three cases the illness was present for less than one year (4, 6 and, 12 months) and the duration of the anti-TNF-α therapy was inferior to 9 months (2, 6, and 9 months); in one patient the ANA were positive before the initiation of adalimumab, and the titers increased after the introduction of therapy; in the second case, ANA and Jo-1 became positive after the initiation of etanercept and in the third case ANA were reported positive as well as anti-PL-12 [18, 19, 24]. In the first case, the patient was definitively diagnosed with undifferentiated overlap syndrome with features of polyarthritis, myositis, and scleroderma (dactylitis), possibly induced or exacerbated by adalimumab, and in the second patient a...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Number of patients (age, sex)</th>
<th>Baseline diagnosis</th>
<th>Duration of illness until anti-TNF-α initiation</th>
<th>Anti-TNF-α therapy</th>
<th>Duration of anti-TNF-α therapy until diagnosis of DM/PM</th>
<th>Concomitant therapy during anti-TNF-α treatment</th>
<th>Autoantibodies before anti-TNF-α therapy</th>
<th>Autoantibodies after anti-TNF-α therapy</th>
<th>Improvement after withdrawal of anti-TNF-α therapy, treatment, and outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flendrie, 2005 [16]</td>
<td>1 (52 y, F)</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Lenercept</td>
<td>2.5 mo</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes, NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urata, 2006 [17]</td>
<td>1 (52 y, F)</td>
<td>RA + pulmonary fibrosis</td>
<td>33 y</td>
<td>Infliximab</td>
<td>9 mo</td>
<td>Yes (pred + MTX) but only for 6 weeks</td>
<td>ANA 1: 640 dsDNA: neg Jo-1: pos</td>
<td>ANA 1: 640 dsDNA: neg Jo-1: pos</td>
<td>Yes, corticosteroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liozon, 2007 [19]</td>
<td>1 (47 y, F)</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>Adalimumab</td>
<td>9 mo</td>
<td>Pred (for 3 mo) and MTX</td>
<td>ANA 1: 640 dsDNA: pos Jo-1: neg Anti-CCP: pos</td>
<td>ANA 1: 2560 dsDNA: pos Jo-1: NA Anti-PM-Scl: pos</td>
<td>Yes, corticosteroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiltz, 2008 [20]</td>
<td>2 pts (46 y, M)</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>17 y</td>
<td>Infliximab</td>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ANA: neg</td>
<td>ANA: neg</td>
<td>Yes, pred + cyclophosphamide, but fatal outcome sepsis due to <em>Pneumocystis jiroveci</em> (carinii) pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(57 y, F)</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>26 y</td>
<td>Etanercept</td>
<td>30 mo</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ANA 1: 160</td>
<td>ANA 1: 2560</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramos-Casals, 2008 [21]</td>
<td>4 pts (NA)</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Infliximab, etanercept, lenercept</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunasso, 2010 [22]</td>
<td>1 (45 y, F)</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>13 y</td>
<td>Adalimumab</td>
<td>34 mo</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ANA 1: neg</td>
<td>ANA 1: 320 Jo-1: neg</td>
<td>Yes, corticosteroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First author, year</td>
<td>Number of patients (age, sex)</td>
<td>Baseline diagnosis</td>
<td>Duration of illness until anti-TNF-α initiation</td>
<td>Anti-TNF-α therapy</td>
<td>Duration of anti-TNF-α therapy until diagnosis of DM/PM</td>
<td>Concomitant therapy during anti-TNF-α treatment</td>
<td>Autoantibodies before anti-TNF-α therapy</td>
<td>Autoantibodies after anti-TNF-α therapy</td>
<td>Improvement after withdrawal of anti-TNF-α therapy, treatment, and outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein, 2010 [23]</td>
<td>3 pts (40 y, F)</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Etanercept</td>
<td>2 y</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ANA: pos, dsDNA: neg, Jo-1: pos, Anti-CCP: pos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(29 y, F)</td>
<td>Seronegative arthritis with familiar history of psoriasis RA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Adalimumab</td>
<td>3 mo</td>
<td>MTX</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ANA 1: 640, dsDNA: neg, Jo-1: neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(51 y, F)</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Adalimumab</td>
<td>2 mo</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ANA 1: 640, dsDNA: neg, Jo-1: neg, Methotrexate, Azathioprine, Quinacrine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ishikawa, 2011 [24]</td>
<td>1 (52 y, M)</td>
<td>RA + ILD</td>
<td>12 y</td>
<td>Etanercept</td>
<td>26 mo</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA Anti-PL-7</td>
<td>ANA: neg</td>
<td>Yes, corticosteroids, but relapse of PM after 2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infliximab</td>
<td>1 mo</td>
<td>Pred + MTX</td>
<td>ANA 1: 640, Anti-U1 RNP: pos</td>
<td>ANA 1: 640, Anti-U1 RNP: pos</td>
<td>Yes, corticosteroids, Methotrexate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

clear diagnosis of DM (with positive anti-DNA-ds and Jo-1 antibodies) with some features of seronegative polyarthritis (negative RF and anti-CCP antibodies) was made. In the third case, a definitive diagnosis of antisynthetase syndrome was attributed [18, 19, 24]. In the remaining 6 cases the previous diagnosis of RA was present for more than 3 years (3 to 33 years, mean: 19.2 years) and was confirmed by the clinical picture, by the radiological findings, and by the presence of RF and/or anti-CCP (2 patients). Between such patients, the previous autoimmune status was negative in two cases, not available in two cases, and positive for ANA in three cases, with three patients also positive for ant-Jo-1 antibodies. Between these 6 confirmed patients affected by RA, two became positive for ANA, one remained unchanged with positive ANA and Jo-1 antibodies, and one reported positive ANA one case with previous ANA positivity became also positive for Jo-1 antibodies and in one patient anti PL-7 antibodies were registered [14, 20, 22, 24].

Regarding patients with antisynthetase positive antibodies (anti-Jo-1, anti-PL-7, and anti-PL-12), the clinical findings regarding the presence of interstitial lung disease (ILD), Raynaud phenomenon, fever, and mechanical hand disease are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Characteristics of patients reported regarding diagnostic parameters for antisynthetase syndrome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Antisynthetase antibodies pre-TNF-α</th>
<th>Antisynthetase antibodies after anti-TNF-α</th>
<th>Myositis</th>
<th>ILD</th>
<th>Arthritis</th>
<th>Raynaud’s phenomenon</th>
<th>Fever</th>
<th>Mechanics hand disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ishikawa et al., 2011 [24]</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Anti-PL-12</td>
<td>Present/PM</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ishikawa et al., 2011 [24]</td>
<td>Jo-1</td>
<td>Jo-1</td>
<td>Present/PM</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall and Zimmermann, 2006 [18]</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Jo-1</td>
<td>Present/DM</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urata et al., 2006 [17]</td>
<td>Jo-1</td>
<td>Jo-1</td>
<td>Present/PM</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musial et al., 2003 [14]</td>
<td>Jo-1</td>
<td>Jo-1</td>
<td>Present/PM</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ILD: interstitial lung disease; PM: polymyositis; DM: dermatomyositis; NA: not available.

4. Discussion

Anti-TNF-α therapies are now commonly used in a variety of inflammatory conditions including RA, psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, AS, and Crohn’s disease. However, concerns have been raised regarding the safety profile of these agents [26].

In this systematic review we found 20 patients that received 21 cycles of anti-TNF-α therapy that developed DM/PM. Most of the patients (17 cases) were affected by RA [14–25]. The association between RA and DM/PM in a single patient seems to be infrequent and the real incidence of this overlapping is unknown [27–29]. The coincidence of myopathies and RA has been previously described in case series of patients (7 cases of DM and RA, 16 cases of PM and RA, and 15 cases of unspecified DM or PM associated to RA). However, no uniform criteria or detailed case records can be found regarding these cases and only 8 completely described case reports with clear overlap between RA and DM/PM (Brunasso and Massone, 2011, Martinez–Cordero et al., 2001, Nagashima et al., 2009, Nakajima et al., 2012) can be found in the literature [27–30]. Considering the rarity of the linkage between RA and inflammatory myopathies (DM/PM), most of the cases analyzed in the present study might not represent a sporadic association because of the history of introduction of an anti-TNF-α agent and the improvement after withdrawal of the drug. The causality between DM/PM and anti-TNF-α therapy in the cases examined in this systematic review can be described as not dose-related and time-delayed (range: 2 weeks to 34 months, mean: 12.7 months), being a typical finding of immunological adverse reactions [31, 32]. The association can be classified as probable and not confirmed in 19 patients (because rechallenge was not performed) and as confirmed in one patient (positive rechallenge with infliximab) [14–25, 31, 32]. It is worth noticing that a clear improvement after withdrawal was seen in almost all of the patients where information regarding followup and outcome was available (15 cycles of therapy) with the concomitant use of other immunosuppressors [12].
It is important to consider that patients with DM may also have joint manifestations that can be misinterpreted as RA, even if these manifestations rarely cause joint deformities and destruction [2]. This might be the case with the two patients reported by Hall and Zimmermann and Liozon et al., as initially affected by RA, where the definite diagnosis after the introduction of the anti-TNF-α agent was undifferentiated overlap syndrome with features of polyarthritis, myositis, and scleroderma (dactylitis), possibly induced or exacerbated by adalimumab and in the second patient a clear diagnosis of DM probably without RA [18, 19]. In these two patients the role of the anti-TNF-α therapy might be only as accelerator/trigger of the disease onset [18, 19].

Drug induced myositis, usually associated with chronic use of corticosteroids and chloroquine in RA patients, can be another pitfall that might cause the incorrect diagnosis of overlapping RA and DM/PM [2, 30]. It is important to underline that there is no clear and confirmed relation between RA and DM/PM even if there is a significant increase in frequency of autoimmune diseases (included also RA) in first-degree relatives of patients affected by idiopathic inflammatory myopathies [2, 30]. This association between many autoimmune diseases can be explained by the fact that many disorders share genes that together act as polygenic risk factors for autoimmunity [33].

After the initiation of a TNF-α blocker in 5 of the 9 evaluable patients, the ANA-titer increased or became positive, as well the anti-Jo-1 antibodies that became positive in one patient and the anti-PL-7 and anti-PL-12 that were reported as positive in another two cases. In 12 of the 13 patients, where reports regarding autoantibodies after anti-TNF-α introduction were available, the positivity was recorded. Only in one patient affected by AS, the autoantibodies profile remained negative after the introduction of infliximab and the development of PM [20]. The emergence of autoimmunity manifested as positive ANA, anti-DNA antibodies, and drug-induced lupus during anti TNF-α therapy has been widely documented [34, 35]. Skin manifestations such as purpura and photosensitivity in the context of autoimmunity are a well-known class effect of anti-TNF-α therapies, mostly infliximab rather than etanercept, with a frequency of autoantibodies up to 50% for ANA and 15% for anti-DNA antibodies [34, 35].

There is a specific subset of patients affected by DM/PM, where the presence of antisynthetase antibodies (Jo-1, PL-7, PL-12, EJ, OK, KS, YRS, and Zo) with the addition of some specific clinical findings as, ILD and/or arthralgia/arthritis constitute a clinical entity called antisynthetase syndrome [36–38]. In such patients, other clinical findings such as fever, Raynaud’s phenomenon, and mechanic’s hands can be present [36–38].

Between the 17 patients identified in the present study affected by RA as baseline diagnosis, three patients were reported with positive anti-Jo-1 antibodies before to the initiation of these anti-TNF-α therapy, another patient became positive for this autoantibodies after treatment with TNF-α blockers (in the absence of previous ANA positivity), and another two cases were reported as positive for anti-PL-7 and PL-12 antibodies after the introduction of etanercept [14–25].

It has been postulated that patients with baseline diagnosis of RA but with positive antisynthetase antibodies can develop an antisynthetase syndrome; this might be the case of the 6 patients with positive antisynthetase antibodies described herein. In particular, in the five cases described by Musial et al, Urata et al, Ishikawa et al. and Ishiguro et al, the association between RA and ILD was previous to the introduction of the anti-TNF-α therapy [14, 17, 24]. Probably these patients were affected by antisynthetase syndrome as baseline disease (in absence of myositis) and the onset of myositis (DM in one case and PM in four cases) was triggered by the initiation of TNF-α blocker [14, 24].

Anti-Jo-1 antibody is the most common and specific antibody in DM/PM and is detected in approximately 15–33% of patents [30]. Other myositis-specific antibodies are detected only in 3-4% of patients [30]. Nakajima et al. in 2012 examined 12 cases of DM/PM proceeded by RA [30]. It is worth noticing that in 8 of such 12 cases, the criteria for the diagnosis of antisynthetase syndrome were present (positive antisynthetase antibodies, interstitial lung disease, and/or DM or PM, and/or arthritis) [30]. According to the authors, the fact that patients presented with erosive arthritis was an exclusion criteria for the diagnosis of antisynthetase syndrome [30]. Interestingly, there is evidence of erosive arthritis in patients with antisynthetase syndrome, and it has also been demonstrated that anti-CCP antibodies are markers of erosive arthritis in antisynthetase syndrome [39–42]. RA-like arthritis may be present in patients with antisynthetase syndrome (with anti-Jo-1 positive antibodies) independent of the occurrence of myositis, as suggested by Cavagna et al. [42]. In the 6 patients identified in the present study with positive antisynthetase antibodies, there was evidence of erosive arthritis in all of them and anti-CCP antibodies were positive in three cases, negative in one patient, and not available in the other two cases.

Between the 20 cases examined herein, one developed a subset of DM/PM called antisynthetase syndrome after the introduction of anti-TNF-α therapy. In the other five patients (three with previous positive anti-Jo-1 antibodies, one with anti-PL-7, and another one with anti PL-12) the emergence of PM/DM and the aggravation of the clinical picture previously compatible with RA associated with interstitial lung disease was compatible with a full development of an antisynthetase syndrome, probably unmasked by the use of anti-TNF-α agents. Interestingly, anti-TNF-α therapy has been associated also with the new onset or exacerbation of ILD mainly in patients affected by RA, being the TNF-α, a vital cytokine implicated in the development of pulmonary fibrosis [43, 44].

The use of anti-TNF-α therapies has been postulated in the treatment of DM/PM, in particular the use of etanercept as steroid-sparing agent [10–12]. Mainly case reports and series descriptions have been conducted; only one RCT is retrievable and larger studies are not available [11]. The muscle study group published in 2011, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial evaluating the use of etanercept (50 mg subcutaneously weekly) for 52 weeks in DM affected patients [11]. The authors reported that there were no significant differences in adverse event rates between treatment-groups and that 5 of 11 (45.45%) etanercept treated
patients successfully weaned off prednisone in contrast to all 5 patients on the placebo group that failed the prednisone withdrawal schedule (median time to treatment failure: 358 days) [11]. The authors concluded that there are no major safety concerns regarding the use of etanercept in DM, and a steroid-sparing effect deserves further investigation [11]. The results of this RCT were not conclusive, because of the small number of patients enrolled and because the efficacy was not even in the whole spectrum of DM: skin and muscle compartments (5 patients receiving etanercept experienced worsened skin rash and one case even improved after withdrawal) [12]. There are approximately 56 other patients and 63 courses of therapy reported in the literature regarding the use of anti-TNF-α agents in patients affected by DM/PM or juvenile DM [45–59]. In 53% of those cases (34 out of 63 courses of anti-TNF-α therapy), an improvement of the disease was recorded [45–59].

The paradoxical onset of DM/PM in patients treated with anti-TNF-α blockers is in conflict with the positive therapeutic effect previously mentioned of such agents [45–59]. The mechanism underlying this paradoxical phenomenon remains elusive, but the increased production of IFN-γ after TNF-α blockade might play a role, as IFN-γ is a key element in the induction of DM/PM [60]. The onset of unexpected and antagonistic reactions associated with targeted therapies has been previously described not only during anti-TNF-α therapy but also with other biologicals such as efalizumab, rituximab, and abatacept [60]. One of the most typical examples of such paradoxical reactions regarding the new onset of psoriasis in patients treated with anti-TNF-α therapy for chronic inflammatory diseases as RA, inflammatory bowel disease, and AS [60]. The onset of unexpected and antagonistic reactions associated with these targeted therapies reveals the complexity of the immunogenetic pathways involved in human disease [60, 61].

TNF-α blockade may induce autoimmune phenomena in individuals with some genetic background as confirmed by the onset of autoantibodies (50% of antinuclear antibodies and 15% of anti-DNA antibodies), drug induced lupus, vasculitis, antiphospholipid syndrome, and other autoimmune entities [21, 49, 50, 60, 61]. Anti-TNF-α therapy inhibits the cytotoxic T lymphocyte response that would normally suppress the autoreactive B-cell response, promoting humoral autoimmunity and increasing the type-I interferon system, that has been implicated in the pathogenesis of DM and PM [22, 29, 39, 51]. The accumulation of apoptotic cells and the exacerbation of the type I interferon system in myositis: a population-based study in Olmsted County, Minnesota,"Archives of Dermatology, vol. 146, no. 1, pp. 26–30, 2010.


