Research Article

Thermodynamics of Cadmium Sorption on Different Soils of West Bengal, India

Tanmoy Karak,^{1,2} Ranjit Kumar Paul,³ D. K. Das,¹ Romesh K. Boruah,² and Indira Sonar²

¹ Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal 741252, India

² Upper Assam Advisory Centre, Tea Research Association, Dikom, Assam 786101, India

³ Division of Statistical Genetics, Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Tanmoy Karak; tanmay.karak@gmail.com and Ranjit Kumar Paul; ranjitstat@gmail.com

Received 7 August 2013; Accepted 3 October 2013; Published 6 February 2014

Academic Editors: A. P. Borole and P. Grenni

Copyright © 2014 Tanmoy Karak et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A sorption study was conducted on different soils collected from five agroecological zones of West Bengal, India, to understand the soil environmental behavior and fate of cadmium. For this purpose batch adsorption experiments were carried out at the native soil pH and at three different temperatures (25°C, 35°C, and 45°C). The adsorption data fitted by a linear least squares technique to the different sorption isotherms. Most data obtained give the good fit to both Freundlich and modified Langmuir isotherms, but they are not consistent with the linear Langmuir adsorption model. Thermodynamic parameters, namely, thermodynamics equilibrium constant at a particular temperature T (K_T^0), Gibbs free energy at a particular temperature T (ΔG_T^0), and change of enthalpy (ΔH^0) and change of entropy at temperature T (ΔS_T^0), were also determined by applying sorption value and concentrations of Cd in equilibrium solution within the temperature range. The thermodynamic parameters revealed that Cd sorption increases as the values of K_T^0 , ΔG_T^0 , ΔH^0 , and ΔS_T^0 were increased on reaction temperatures. The spontaneous sorption reaction can be concluded due to high values of ΔG_T^0 . The positive values of ΔH^0 indicated that the Cd sorption is an endothermic one. Under these present conditions, the soil and its components possibly supply a number of sites having different adsorption energies for cadmium sorption.

1. Introduction

Contamination of heavy metals in soils is increasingly concerned in the last 6 decades [1–3]. In particular, cadmium (Cd) is one of the most important toxic metals because of its rapid increase in soil environment [4], microbially or chemically undergraded characters, and easy uptake by plants and animals [5, 6], subsequently resulting in high ecological risk. An understanding of Cd sorption process and transport pathway is thus crucial for the assessment of Cd metal contamination in soil and reclamation of such polluted soils [7]. The sorption of Cd depends on soil characters and its component such as Al-oxides, Fe-oxides, goethite, organic matter, and other chemicals and mineralogical characteristics [2, 8–10]. Despite their significant influences, temperature changes can exert an important action in regulating sorption-desorption equilibrium and bioavailability of Cd in soils.

The sorption of heavy metals by soils has been extensively studied [10–12]. Results suggest that sorption appears to be a multi-step process involving an initial fast adsorption followed by a slow adsorption and diffusion into solid particles. The sorption of Cd into soil can be well described by a Freundlich or linear isotherm, whereas the closeness of Langmuir isotherm to the experimental results is lower [13–15]. Estimation of the effect of individual components on the sorption of cadmium in soils can be drawn from different sorption isotherms.

The effect of temperature on Cd sorption at soil-water interface was also extensively studied [2, 16, 17]. Their results suggest that sorption of Cd in soil is favored at higher temperature. The equilibrium constant for the reaction at a particular reaction temperature (T), K_T^0 , is related to the free energy change by $\Delta G_T^0 = -RT \ln K_T^0$. Since K_T^0 increases with temperature in endothermic reactions, the formation

TABLE 1: Physicochemical properties of the five experimental soils collected from different agroecological zones of West Bengal, India (data represent mean of tree replications $\pm$ SD).

Property	Soil				
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	S ₅
pH (1:2.5) H ₂ O	7.89 $\pm$ 1.78	8.09 $\pm$ 3.27	8.62 $\pm$ 3.39	6.02 $\pm$ 1.14	5.61 $\pm$ 2.03
O.C (g kg ⁻¹)	7.03 $\pm$ 1.05	6.02 $\pm$ 2.19	5.21 $\pm$ 1.79	8.21 $\pm$ 1.28	8.65 $\pm$ 3.17
CEC [cmol (p ⁺) kg ⁻¹]	22.13 $\pm$ 3.79	25.39 $\pm$ 5.01	29.87 $\pm$ 2.19	8.35 $\pm$ 2.02	6.14 $\pm$ 2.15
Clay (%)	39 $\pm$ 7.78	41 $\pm$ 8.69	48 $\pm$ 4.37	20 $\pm$ 3.92	18 $\pm$ 1.78
Sand (%)	14 $\pm$ 3.67	15 $\pm$ 2.49	18 $\pm$ 1.75	28 $\pm$ 3.15	31 $\pm$ 3.13
Silt (%)	47 $\pm$ 6.67	44 $\pm$ 4.78	34 $\pm$ 5.17	52 $\pm$ 7.53	51 $\pm$ 6.35
Texture	Silty clay loam	Silty clay	Clay	Silty loam	Silty loam
Taxonomic classification	Vertic Ustochrept	Typic Haplobrept	Typic Paleustalf	Typic Haplobrept	Typic Haplaquest
CaCO ₃ (%)	5.51 $\pm$ 1.49	5.62 $\pm$ 1.09	6.35 $\pm$ 1.97	0.59 $\pm$ 0.07	0.41 $\pm$ 0.12
DTPA extractable Cd (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.004 $\pm$ 0.001	0.006 $\pm$ 0.001	0.008 $\pm$ 0.001	0.002 $\pm$ 0.001	0.001 $\pm$ 0.001

of reaction products will be favored at high temperature. Therefore, a thermodynamic change in a reaction is more important to predict the reaction properties and direction of a reaction. Quantification of free energy changes (ΔG_T^0) at a particular temperature (T) is also directly related to the transport of solute element from bulk solution into the appropriate site of the double layer or clay minerals lattice. It is also helpful to understand the sorption processes. Sposito investigated that thermodynamics approach can predict the sorption of metal from an initial nonequilibrium state to final state [18].

In view of the above, the present study was undertaken to understand the sorption behavior of Cd using conventional Langmuir, modified Langmuir, and Freundlich equations and to determine thermodynamic and sorption parameters for different soils collected from five agroecological zones of West Bengal, India.

2. Materials and Methods

Five soil samples with contrasting soil properties were collected from the A1 horizons of five agroecological zones in West Bengal, India (Figure 1).

The agroecological zones were Lakshmikantapur series (Sample ID: S₁), Kakdwip series (Sample ID: S₂), Diamond harbor series (Sample ID: S₃), Chondrokona series (Sample ID: S₄), and Bohorasol series (Sample ID: S₅). The collected soils were air dried, sieved (<2 mm), and stored at room temperature in polyethylene bags. Twenty samples of each kind of soil were analyzed for physicochemical properties (Table 1). The pH and electrical conductivity of the samples were determined in saturated paste extracts [19]. The soil pH was carried out on soil slurries having soil: water ratios as 1:2.5 using a pH meter (Systronics India Ltd., model 239) and electrical conductivity (EC) was determined on soil slurries having soil: water ratios as 1:5 with a conductivity meter (Systronics India Ltd., model 507). Organic carbon and particle size distribution were analyzed by the Walkley-Black method [19] and the international pipette method [20],

FIGURE 1: Location map (not in scale) of the sampling site. S_n stands for sampling location.

respectively. CaCO₃ was estimated by standard analytical methods as described by Page [21]. 0.005 M DTPA solutions were used to extract the available pool of cadmium, following the method as described by Lindsay and Norvell [22]. Briefly, 10 mL of 0.005 M diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA), 0.1 M triethanolamine (TEA), and 0.01 M CaCl₂ solution (pH = 7.3) were added to 5 g of soil and the sample was shaken for 2 h. After centrifugation (4000 rpm for 10 min) the supernatant was filtered through 0.2 μ m Whatman filter paper in a 25 mL polycarbonate volumetric flask and diluted to 25 mL with deionized water.

For Cd sorption studies, 2 g soil sample (triplicate) was placed in 50 mL screw-capped polypropylene air tight centrifuge tube with 20 mL 0.025 N NaCl solution having 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 50, 60, 75, and 100 mg Cd L⁻¹ (final Cd

concentrations). The centrifuge tubes were placed in temperature controlled orbital shaker to study the effect of reaction temperature at 25°C, 35°C, and 45°C. The equilibrium time for reaction was 25 hours. Original soil pH was maintained by addition of dilute NaOH or HCl. To prevent the thin water layer on the soil colloid surface, the soil suspension was shaken every half an hour. At the end of reaction, the samples were centrifuged at 2500 ×g for 5 minutes. Solution was filtered using 0.2 μm Millipore filter paper and filtrate was stored at 4°C. To prevent any microbial growth in filtrate, 2 drops of chloroform were added. After completion of all experiment the equilibrium concentrations (C_e), as well as total and DTPA extractable Cd, were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer, model Varian Spectra 250 plus. The soil solid-phase Cd was calculated from the difference between initial Cd concentrations (C_i) and those in solutions after equilibration. Statgraphics and computations and illustrations were made by using Statgraphics and Excel packages.

2.1. Evaluation of Sorption Data. The Langmuir and Freundlich equations are based on the kinetic theory of gases and are extensively used to describe gas adsorption on solids [23]. These equations are often applied to the adsorption of liquids and ions from solutions by solids.

The Langmuir adsorption equation can be written as

$$\frac{x}{m} = \frac{kbC_e}{(1 + kC_e)}, \quad (1)$$

where x/m = amount of Cd adsorbed per unit weight of soil [mg kg^{-1}], k = a constant related to the binding energy [$\text{dm}^3 \text{mg}^{-1}$], b = adsorption maxima [mg kg^{-1}], and C_e = equilibrium Cd concentration in soil solution [mg dm^{-3}]. Equation (1) can be rearranged to give

$$\frac{C_e}{(x/m)} = \left(\frac{1}{kb}\right) + \left(\frac{C_e}{b}\right). \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) is hereafter referred to as conventional Langmuir equation. A plot of $C_e/(x/m)$ versus C_e gives a straight line from which b (gradient⁻¹) and k (gradient/intercept) can be obtained.

The amount of Cd adsorbed by the soils was calculated using

$$\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) = \frac{\{(C_i - C_e)/V\}}{W} + CV, \quad (3)$$

where C_i is the initial Cd concentration ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$), V is the volume of the initial solution, dm^3 , W is the weight of a soil sample, kg, and CV is the correction value (amount of Cd extracted by DTPA) ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$); Freundlich equation can be written as follows:

$$\log\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) = \log K_f + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \log C_e, \quad (4)$$

where K_f and $1/n$ denote the empirical Freundlich constant.

2.2. Thermodynamic Consideration of Sorption Reaction. The procedure outlined by Biggar and Cheung [24] was followed to calculate thermodynamics equilibrium constant at reaction temperature T (absolute scale), K_T^0 and it can be formulated as

$$K_T^0 = \left(\frac{a_s}{a_e}\right) = \left(\frac{\lambda_s C_s}{\lambda_e C_e}\right), \quad (5)$$

where a_s = activity of adsorbed metal on soils at temperature T , a_e = activity of metal in soil solution at equilibrium state at temperature T , γ_s = activity coefficient of sorbed metal in soils at temperature T , γ_e = activity coefficient of sorbed metal in solution at temperature T , C_s = m metal adsorbed per L of solution in contact with the soil surface at temperature T , C_e = Mm metal per L of solution at equilibrium in contact with the soil surface at temperature T .

At low concentrations, the activity coefficient approaches to the unity and (5) can be written as follows [7]:

$$K_T^0 = \frac{C_s}{C_e}. \quad (6)$$

The values of K_T^0 were obtained by plotting C_s versus C_e and extrapolating to zero C_s .

The standard Gibbs free energy at a particular temperature T , ΔG_T^0 , was calculated as follows:

$$\Delta G_T^0 = -RT \ln K_T^0. \quad (7)$$

The change of enthalpy ΔH^0 with change of reaction temperature range from T_i to T_f can be expressed as vant Hoff isochore (7)

$$\frac{d(\ln K_T^0)}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H^0}{RT^2}. \quad (8)$$

On integration within limit K_i^0 and K_f^0 with respective temperature range from T_i to T_f can be obtained

$$\int_{K_i^0}^{K_f^0} d \ln K = \left(\frac{\Delta H^0}{R}\right) \int_{T_i}^{T_f} T^{-2} dT. \quad (9)$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta H^0 = \left(\frac{RT_i T_f}{(T_f - T_i)}\right) \ln \left(\frac{K_f^0}{K_i^0}\right), \quad (10)$$

where K_f^0 and K_i^0 are thermodynamics equilibrium constants at temperatures T_f and T_i , respectively, where $T_f > T_i$ and R is universal gas constant.

Determination of reaction enthalpy ΔH^0 can be calculated from vant Hoff plot by $\ln K$ versus $1/T$.

The change of entropy at temperature T , ΔS_T^0 , was calculated from the following:

$$\Delta S_T^0 = \frac{(\Delta H^0 - \Delta G_T^0)}{T}. \quad (11)$$

TABLE 2: Conventional Langmuir constants of Cd sorption in soils (data represent mean of tree replications $\pm$ SD).

Sample ID	Temperature (°C)								
	25			35			45		
	Conventional Langmuir constants								
	b (mg kg ⁻¹)	k (L mg ⁻¹)	R^2	b (mg kg ⁻¹)	k (L mg ⁻¹)	R^2	b (mg kg ⁻¹)	k (L mg ⁻¹)	R^2
S ₁	33.56 ± 4.07	0.027 ± 0.001	0.816*	37.74 ± 7.17	0.041 ± 0.001	0.828*	43.86 ± 6.19	0.045 ± 0.001	0.839*
S ₂	47.17 ± 3.85	0.029 ± 0.001	0.827*	53.76 ± 3.04	0.041 ± 0.001	0.844*	61.35 ± 7.71	0.055 ± 0.001	0.874*
S ₃	84.03 ± 7.78	0.027 ± 0.002	0.844*	89.29 ± 7.78	0.037 ± 0.001	0.860*	99.01 ± 8.79	0.045 ± 0.001	0.875*
S ₄	28.57 ± 3.79	0.022 ± 0.002	0.814*	29.59 ± 3.33	0.031 ± 0.001	0.797*	34.97 ± 6.13	0.038 ± 0.001	0.805*
S ₅	24.39 ± 3.07	0.018 ± 0.001	0.810*	26.11 ± 4.02	0.023 ± 0.001	0.784*	28.57 ± 2.07	0.034 ± 0.001	0.791*

*Significant at 0.05% level.

3. Results and Discussion

Soil samples used in the study differed significantly in their physical and chemical properties (Table 1). Cation exchange capacity (CEC) varied from 6.14 to 29.87 (cmol (p $^{+}$) kg $^{-1}$) with soils, representing mostly acidic to basic reaction. The physical chemical properties show that S₁, S₂, and S₃ are alkaline in nature, whereas S₄ and S₅ are acidic in nature.

The five soil samples varied appreciably in their ability to sorb added cadmium in soil solution irrespective of initial Cd concentrations affected by reaction temperatures (Figure 2).

The sorption ability increases with rise in temperature. In all experimental soils the sorption was appreciable and changes at high reaction temperature, that is, 45 $^{\circ}$ C. The highest Cd (9.14%) was adsorbed by soil S₃ at 45 $^{\circ}$ C, whereas this value was only 2.6% for S₅ at the same temperature at C_i of 100 mg L $^{-1}$. These values were 6.9 and 1.8% for soils S₃ and S₅ at 25 $^{\circ}$ C, whereas these values were 7.9 and 2.1% at 35 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively. Percent adsorption was more pronounced at low C_i. Soil, S₃, has more sorption capacity versus the other soils. Sorption capacity follows the sequence S₃ > S₂ > S₁ > S₄ > S₅. The increasing temperature increases the sorption of Cd in soil because temperature may increase the rate of aggregation of suspended materials which may cause the adsorption in faster rate. The sorption ability of five soils increased with increase in clay content, pH, and calcium carbonate percentage. The increased sorbed capacity of soil with presence of increased amount of clay may be due to high charge density of both the planer [25] and edge sites [26]. On the other hand this high charge density leads to a greater dehydration to fasten Cd $^{2+}$ ion mobility from soil solution to soil [27]. Sorption of Cd with increase in soil pH also plays a crucial role because it directly controls the solubility of cadmium hydroxides as well as its carbonates and phosphates [28]. Sorption of Cd was more at high pH. This is because of less competition from H₃O $^{+}$ ions compared to soils having low pH [29]. Reed et al. [30] also reported similar observations. The increase of Cd sorption with increasing soil pH increases Cd $^{2+}$ retention of soil surface via adsorption, inner sphere surface complexation, and/or precipitation and multinuclear type reactions [31]. Cadmium (II) is a closed shell cation having complete valence orbital (5d 10) which favors columbic type attraction at soil surface for sorption as opposed to inner sphere surface reactions through sharing of

electrons [32] and which also may contribute to our findings. CEC also affect sorption of Cd. Among these soils, the one having low CEC sorbed much less Cd than that having high CEC. Singh et al. [33] also observed that CEC plays an important role in the sorption of Cd in soils.

Every 10 $^{\circ}$ C increase in temperature, percentage Cd sorption increases 5.8 to 6.19% for S₁, 6 to 6.9% for S₂, 7.6 to 11.11% for S₃, 3.8 to 5.0% for S₄, and 1.8 to 2.6% for S₅ from higher to lower concentration of added Cd. The increase in sorption with rise in temperature indicates that Cd sorption is exothermic in nature (see later in thermodynamic approach). The marked effect of Cd sorption with temperature was found in acidic soils (S₄ and S₅) versus alkaline soils (S₁, S₂, and S₃). The results from this study corroborated with those reported by Almås et al. [16]. Increasing temperature enhances the rate of metal reaction with soil constituents [16] and this effect has been ascribed to a diffusion-controlled metal penetration in the mineral structure [34]. The general explanation can also be recognized from our experiment on the adsorption of Cd in soil that increases with temperature due to the decrease in the activation energy of sorption reaction which promotes the sorption kinetics.

Cadmium sorption on soils also depends on the presence of organic carbon in soils. The sorption of Cd by different soils decreases with increase in organic carbon. This may be due to the formation of stable soluble metal-organic complex with soil organic matter facilitating the persistence of Cd in soil solution [35]. The increased temperature may also have facilitated the biological degradation of organic materials, which may be the possible reason of the observed fact.

3.1. Modeling of Sorption Data. For all experimental soils, sorption data of Cd was initially tried to be fitted in conventional Langmuir equation (2) to predict the behavior of Cd sorption in soils (Table 2). The values of sorption maxima (b) ranged from 24.39 to 99.01 mg kg $^{-1}$. In all cases, a sorption maximum (b) was increased with rise in temperature. Percent increase of b from 25 $^{\circ}$ C to 35 $^{\circ}$ C and 35 $^{\circ}$ C to 45 $^{\circ}$ C was 6.26 and 10.89 for soil S₁, 13.97 and 14.12 for S₂, 12.46 and 16.22 for soil S₃, 3.57 and 18.18 for S₄, and 7.05 and 9.42 for S₅ soil. Sorption was maximum for soil S₃ due to higher clay content. Bolton and Evans [36] also reported that clay content in soil was significantly correlated to sorption maximum. Pronounced change of b in soil S₄ may be due

FIGURE 2: Amount of Cd adsorbed at equilibrium as a function of three different temperatures for the experimental soils [(a) S_1 , (b) S_2 , (c) S_3 , (d) S_4 , and (e) S_5] at different Cd concentrations.

TABLE 3: Modified Langmuir constants of Cd sorption in soils (data represent mean of tree replications $\pm$ SD).

Sample ID	Temperature ($^{\circ}$ C)	Modified Langmuir constants					
		Part I curve			Part II curve		
		b_I (mg kg $^{-1}$)	k_I (L mg $^{-1}$)	R^2	b_{II} (mg kg $^{-1}$)	k_{II} (L mg $^{-1}$)	R^2
S ₁	25	14.26 $\pm$ 2.73	0.19 $\pm$ 0.001	0.998**	81.97 $\pm$ 5.19	0.005 $\pm$ 0.001	0.999**
	35	17.06 $\pm$ 2.97	2.08 $\pm$ 0.051	0.992**	88.50 $\pm$ 4.07	0.007 $\pm$ 0.001	0.994**
	45	20.37 $\pm$ 3.01	9.26 $\pm$ 1.93	0.991**	97.09 $\pm$ 3.79	0.008 $\pm$ 0.001	0.999**
S ₂	25	21.28 $\pm$ 3.09	0.20 $\pm$ 0.001	0.997**	111.11 $\pm$ 7.17	0.006 $\pm$ 0.001	0.992**
	35	24.75 $\pm$ 2.73	0.76 $\pm$ 0.001	0.999**	114.94 $\pm$ 7.91	0.008 $\pm$ 0.001	0.992**
	45	30.67 $\pm$ 4.07	11.24 $\pm$ 1.78	0.997**	116.28 $\pm$ 11.83	0.011 $\pm$ 0.001	0.994**
S ₃	25	38.02 $\pm$ 3.71	0.15 $\pm$ 0.001	0.999**	172.41 $\pm$ 14.07	0.007 $\pm$ 0.001	0.994**
	35	42.37 $\pm$ 5.09	0.27 $\pm$ 0.001	0.998**	175.44 $\pm$ 13.19	0.009 $\pm$ 0.001	0.999**
	45	49.26 $\pm$ 6.31	0.40 $\pm$ 0.001	0.997**	188.68 $\pm$ 11.11	0.010 $\pm$ 0.001	0.995**
S ₄	25	12.39 $\pm$ 2.07	0.12 $\pm$ 0.001	0.998**	67.57 $\pm$ 6.37	0.005 $\pm$ 0.001	0.990**
	35	12.52 $\pm$ 2.56	0.79 $\pm$ 0.003	0.998**	79.37 $\pm$ 8.19	0.005 $\pm$ 0.001	0.995**
	45	15.11 $\pm$ 1.73	4.80 $\pm$ 1.09	0.999**	90.91 $\pm$ 6.67	0.006 $\pm$ 0.001	0.996**
S ₅	25	10.49 $\pm$ 1.03	0.08 $\pm$ 0.001	0.995**	56.82 $\pm$ 7.07	0.004 $\pm$ 0.001	0.973**
	35	10.66 $\pm$ 1.11	0.16 $\pm$ 0.007	0.999**	71.43 $\pm$ 3.79	0.004 $\pm$ 0.001	0.994**
	45	11.90 $\pm$ 1.23	1.26 $\pm$ 0.001	0.999**	76.92 $\pm$ 4.09	0.005 $\pm$ 0.001	0.996**

** Significant at 1% level.

to more dissolution of organic acids present in soil and the more competition between H^+ and Cd^{2+} contributes to the observed fact. Results from this experiment bear similarities to those reported by Bruemmer et al. [37]. They also found that the adsorption of Cd on soil increases with increase of sorption reaction temperature.

The affinity of metal bonding varied with soil types and sorption temperature. Bonding energy (k) increases with increase in temperature and ranges from 0.018 to 0.055 L mg $^{-1}$. The results are very much similar to those reported by Adhikari and Singh [7]. Increasing k with rise in temperature not only indicates the increased sorption but also indicates that at higher temperature sorption of Cd in soil may shift from physisorption to chemisorptions [31].

The Langmuir one-site isotherm is conceptually valid for monolayer sorption on a surface containing a finite number of binding sites. Moreover, the treatment assumes uniform energies of sorption on the surface and no transmigration of adsorbates into the plane of the surface. Such restrictions are not applicable to solids characterized by heterogeneous adsorptive surface like those found in soil systems. Data analysis and interpretations solely on Langmuir adsorption maximum (b) should be undertaken with care since it does not outline some sorption particularities as illustrated in figures (Figures 3(a), 3(b), 3(c), 3(d), and 3(e)).

When the sorption data were plotted according to the conventional Langmuir equation (2), the frequently reported linear relationship was obtained for equilibrium concentration (C_e) lower than 33.74, 33.35, and 33 mg L $^{-1}$ for S₁, 33.17, 32.64, and 31.95 mg L $^{-1}$ for S₂, 31.84, 31.18, and 30.15 mg L $^{-1}$ for S₃, 34.00, 33.80, and 33.51 mg L $^{-1}$ for S₄, 34.21, 34.09, and 33.83 mg L $^{-1}$ for S₅ at 25 $^{\circ}$ C, 35 $^{\circ}$ C, and 45 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively (Figures 3(a), 3(b), 3(c), 3(d), and 3(e)). Above those values of C_e the linearity of curves follows another path. These deviations

also follow the linear Langmuir relationship, which suggest that the existence in each soil with different temperature has two sites of adsorption populations. These two different sites have widely differing affinity for Cd, each of which can be described by two-site Langmuir relationship having varied k and b values. To describe this phenomenon, $C_e/(x/m)$ versus C_e curve (2) was resolved into two-site equation using modified Langmuir sorption equation described by Syers et al. [38]. Consider the following:

$$\frac{C_e}{(x/m)} = k_I b_I \left(\frac{C_e}{(1 + k_I C_e)} \right) + k_{II} b_{II} \left(\frac{C_e}{(1 + k_{II} C_e)} \right) \text{ modified Langmuir.} \quad (12)$$

The values of coefficients k_I and b_I for part I curve and k_{II} and b_{II} for part II curve were calculated to know the sorption maxima and bonding energy separately using regression equations (Table 3). Modified Langmuir equation give better fit for Cd sorption ($R^2 = 0.990$ – 0.999^{**}) than conventional Langmuir equation ($R^2 = 0.784$ – 0.875) in all ranges of sorption temperatures. Harter and Baker [39] suggested that the modified Langmuir isotherm provides better linearity rather than conventional Langmuir isotherm, because the former considers the ratio of adsorbed and desorbed cations. The adsorption maxima for part I (b_I) was smaller versus part II (b_{II}) irrespective of treatment and temperature for all the soils. Adsorption maxima for part I (b_I) was found for S₃ at 45 $^{\circ}$ C (49.26 mg kg $^{-1}$) and it was minimum for S₅ (11.90 mg kg $^{-1}$). At 25 $^{\circ}$ C and 35 $^{\circ}$ C it was 38.02 and 42.37 mg kg $^{-1}$ for S₃, whereas 10.49 and 10.66 mg kg $^{-1}$ were obtained for S₅. A highest adsorption maximum for part II (b_{II}) was for S₃ at 45 $^{\circ}$ C (188.61 mg kg $^{-1}$)

FIGURE 3: Isotherm for the sorption of added Cd by the soils obtained using the conventional Langmuir equation; breaks in the isotherms are indicated by arrows and line of each plot represents modified Langmuir equation. (a) S_1 , (b) S_2 , (c) S_3 , (d) S_4 , and (e) S_5 . -○-, -△-, and -◇- for 25, 35, and 45°C.

TABLE 4: Freundlich constants of Cd sorption in soils (data represent mean of tree replications $\pm$ SD).

Sample ID	Temperature ($^{\circ}$ C)	Freundlich constants		
		K_f	n	R^2
S ₁	25	3.228 $\pm$ 1.17	2.298 $\pm$ 1.05	0.934**
	35	2.503 $\pm$ 1.71	3.763 $\pm$ 2.01	0.925**
	45	10.028 $\pm$ 2.13	3.824 $\pm$ 1.36	0.981**
S ₂	25	4.833 $\pm$ 1.22	2.339 $\pm$ 1.24	0.937**
	35	10.447 $\pm$ 2.19	3.419 $\pm$ 1.65	0.952**
	45	17.968 $\pm$ 3.17	4.771 $\pm$ 1.24	0.931**
S ₃	25	7.264 $\pm$ 2.13	2.145 $\pm$ 1.05	0.965**
	35	12.362 $\pm$ 1.78	2.685 $\pm$ 1.04	0.930**
	45	16.413 $\pm$ 4.01	2.899 $\pm$ 1.10	0.916**
S ₄	25	1.938 $\pm$ 0.27	1.996 $\pm$ 0.99	0.964**
	35	4.899 $\pm$ 1.11	3.171 $\pm$ 1.50	0.901**
	45	7.998 $\pm$ 2.17	4.117 $\pm$ 2.05	0.945**
S ₅	25	1.241 $\pm$ 0.01	1.808 $\pm$ 1.09	0.979**
	35	2.079 $\pm$ 0.07	2.155 $\pm$ 1.00	0.935**
	45	5.451 $\pm$ 1.78	3.588 $\pm$ 2.04	0.952**

** Significant at 1% level.

and it was minimum for S₅ (76.92 mg kg⁻¹). At 25 $^{\circ}$ C and 35 $^{\circ}$ C it was 172.41 and 175.44 mg kg⁻¹ for S₃, while the same was 56.82 and 71.43 mg kg⁻¹ for S₅. The values of b , obtained by using modified Langmuir adsorption isotherm, follow the same trend observed in conventional Langmuir equation. Cadmium sorption maxima for part I (b_I) was five times less than that of part II (b_{II}). This deviation may be due to the high initial concentration (C_i) of Cd. Lower values of b_I on part I curve may be due to the less adsorption of Cd on soil surface up to C_i equal to 35 mg L⁻¹ whereas higher values of b_{II} on part II curve may be due to high cadmium adsorption on soil. The ranges of k_I and k_{II} were 0.08 to 11.24 and 0.005 to 0.01 mg L⁻¹, respectively. The high value of k_I indicate the strong bonding between Cd and soil particles prevailing chemisorption on soil surface and it mainly rises up to 35 mg L⁻¹ as Cd is added in all experimental soils irrespective of reaction temperature. On other hand the lower value of k_{II} conforms the physisorption of Cd on soil surface along with the precipitation of Cd at high soil pHs. The values of k_I and k_{II} also suggest that there are at least two forms of Cd sorbed on soils. The one at low concentrations ($C_i = 35$ mg L⁻¹ Cd) represents specially sorbed Cd at high energy surfaces having low dissociation constant. The other one at high metal concentrations ($C_i > 35$ mg L⁻¹) may be the indicative of loosely held metal at low energy surfaces having high dissociation constant or precipitation as suggested by Adhikari and Singh [7]. Thus differences in bonding affinity for Cd among all soil types were more prominent at low level of Cd pollution.

Table 4 shows the Freundlich constants of Cd sorption isotherms of five different agroecological top soils in the pH range of 5.61–8.62. Freundlich adsorption isotherm better fits the data ($R^2 = 0.901$ – 0.981^{**}) versus the Langmuir isotherm ($R^2 = 0.791$ – 0.875^{**}). For all experimental soils the values of K_f and n were greater at higher temperatures. The values of

K_f were >17.968 for S₂ at 45 $^{\circ}$ C whereas it was <1.241 for S₅ at 25 $^{\circ}$ C. All K_f values were >1 . Another constant n follows the same trend like K_f .

3.2. Thermodynamic Variables of Cadmium Sorption in Soils.

Vant Hoff's equation (10) provides a way of measuring the enthalpy of a reaction without using calorimeter. Equilibrium compositions are measured over a range of temperature (at constant pressure), and $\ln K$ is plotted against $1/T$. It is revealed from (10) that the slope is $-\Delta H^0/R$. On account of the temperature dependence of the reaction enthalpy, this slope depends on the temperature and so the line is not expected to be perfectly straight. In practice, however, ΔH^0 normally depends only weakly on the temperature [23]. On sorption experiments of Cd in soil, it was found that the sorption of Cd increases with temperature, and therefore according to Le Chatelier's principle, the sorption of Cd in soil is endothermic one. In order to find the reason for behavior of Cd sorption in soils we need to look for thermodynamics variables like K_T^0 , ΔG_T^0 , ΔH^0 , and ΔS_T^0 . The data in Table 5 indicate that values of K_T^0 increased with rise in temperature from 25 $^{\circ}$ C to 45 $^{\circ}$ C ranging from 0.021 to 0.123. Higher values of K_T^0 indicate the lower amount of C_e . The variations of K_T^0 with respective sorption temperatures may be due to soil pHs. The changes of pH have commonly been attributed to the preferential adsorption of CdOH⁺ [40], the adsorption of Cd²⁺ [41], proton competition for adsorption sites [42], variation in the negative surface charge density of the soil [2, 43], and acid catalyzed dissolution of reactive oxide sites [13, 44] or precipitations as carbonates, hydroxides, and phosphates. In all soils, the free energy change (ΔG_T^0) of Cd sorption is positive and these values decrease with temperature which suggests the spontaneity of adsorption process with rise in temperature [45]. The spontaneity of

TABLE 5: Thermodynamics variables on Cd sorption at reaction temperatures on experimental soils (data represent mean of tree replications $\pm$ SD).

Sample ID	Temperature ($^{\circ}$ C)	Thermodynamics variables			
		K_T^0	ΔG_T^0 (kJ mol $^{-1}$)	ΔH^0 (kJ mol $^{-1}$)	ΔS_T^0 (j mol $^{-1}$)
S ₁	25	0.034 $\pm$ 0.001	8.41 $\pm$ 1.79	8.07 $\pm$ 1.97	-1.15 $\pm$ 0.78
	35	0.044 $\pm$ 0.001	7.99 $\pm$ 1.38		0.26 $\pm$ 0.03
	45	0.053 $\pm$ 0.001	7.76 $\pm$ 1.09		0.96 $\pm$ 0.01
S ₂	25	0.049 $\pm$ 0.001	7.48 $\pm$ 1.21	7.10 $\pm$ 2.29	-1.26 $\pm$ 0.12
	35	0.063 $\pm$ 0.001	7.07 $\pm$ 1.37		0.10 $\pm$ 0.001
	45	0.079 $\pm$ 0.001	6.71 $\pm$ 1.41		1.24 $\pm$ 0.61
S ₃	25	0.087 $\pm$ 0.001	6.06 $\pm$ 1.21	5.81 $\pm$ 1.01	-0.82 $\pm$ 0.002
	35	0.104 $\pm$ 0.001	5.81 $\pm$ 1.73		0.01 $\pm$ 0.001
	45	0.123 $\pm$ 0.001	5.54 $\pm$ 1.91		0.86 $\pm$ 0.17
S ₄	25	0.026 $\pm$ 0.001	9.03 $\pm$ 1.73	8.79 $\pm$ 2.01	-0.82 $\pm$ 0.02
	35	0.032 $\pm$ 0.001	8.80 $\pm$ 1.07		-0.03 $\pm$ 0.001
	45	0.040 $\pm$ 0.001	8.50 $\pm$ 1.39		0.90 $\pm$ 0.001
S ₅	25	0.021 $\pm$ 0.001	9.63 $\pm$ 2.12	9.44 $\pm$ 1.07	-0.63 $\pm$ 0.001
	35	0.024 $\pm$ 0.001	9.51 $\pm$ 1.01		-0.21 $\pm$ 0.001
	45	0.031 $\pm$ 0.001	9.16 $\pm$ 2.09		0.89 $\pm$ 0.03

sorption of Cd follows the order $S_3 > S_2 > S_1 > S_4 > S_5$ and this is also the same agreement that was found in sorption isotherm.

The values of ΔH^0 of Cd sorption were found to be positive and ranging from 5.81 to 9.44 (kJ mol $^{-1}$). The positive values of ΔH^0 conform the endothermic Cd sorption process in soils and spontaneity is favored by high temperature. ΔH^0 values follow the same trend that was found in K_T^0 .

The values of ΔS_T^0 were found positive at higher adsorption temperature (45 $^{\circ}$ C) and negative at 25 $^{\circ}$ C. For soil S₄ and S₅ the values of ΔS_T^0 were also negative. $|\Delta S_T^0|$ ranges from 0.01 to 1.26 j mol $^{-1}$. The positive values of ΔS^0 with higher temperatures indicate that the sorption of Cd is also favored by high temperature, whereas negative values cannot be able to bear the spontaneity of Cd sorption at lower temperature. However, the overall system in Cd sorption seemed to be endothermic, which may be attributed to the formation of different structural type of hydrated species of Cd ion and their movement from soil solution to soil. Roth et al. [46] reported that entropies are positive (just not for CS-MO) indicating that the adsorption is irreversible. Furthermore, entropies for the all soil are of the same order range as those determined on a soil from Aspach le Bas in Eastern France (Alsace-Haut Rhin) by Roth et al. [46].

4. Conclusions

Sorption of Cd was facilitated by temperature. At higher temperature Cd sorption was maximum in all experimental soils. Cd sorption data can be described satisfactorily by modified two surfaces, Langmuir isotherm and Freundlich isotherm. The conventional Langmuir equation failed to describe sorption of Cd in soils. The occurrence of Cd toxicity will be less in soil having higher pH, clay content, CaCO₃, and CEC. Acidic soils are more vulnerable to Cd toxicity; even a

small initial concentration of Cd may account for problem of Cd pollution and its toxicity to plants and underground water. These results also demonstrate that increasing amount of indigenous soil organic matter may be the factor of Cd toxicity and its mobility in soils via the formation of soluble Cd-organic complexes.

On the basis of thermodynamic parameters, namely, ΔG , ΔH , and ΔS , of adsorption kinetics it can be concluded that Cd sorption is endothermic and irreversible. Temperature always favors the sorption process. This observed phenomenon proves that the Cd toxicity in soils of India or other tropical countries would not be a serious problem due to more sorption of Cd by soils if temperature increases. Thus soil properties and soil environment particularly temperature need to be specially emphasized to minimize Cd toxicity in India or any other tropical country.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the helpful comments and suggestions made by the two anonymous reviewers of this paper. The authors are also thankful for the valuable suggestions of Dr. Sampa Das, Dibrugarh Polytechnic, Dibrugarh, Assam, India, during preparation of the paper.

References

- [1] T. Karak and P. Bhattacharyya, "Heavy metal accumulation in soil amended with roadside pond sediment and uptake by

- winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. PBW 343),” *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL*, vol. 10, pp. 2314–2329, 2010.
- [2] T. Karak, D. K. Das, U. K. Singh, and D. Maiti, “Influence of pH on soil charge characteristics and cadmium sorption in some noncontaminated soils of Indian subtropics,” *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL*, vol. 5, pp. 183–194, 2005.
 - [3] Q. X. Zhou, X. Wang, R. L. Liang, and Y. Wu, “Effects of cadmium and mixed heavy metals on rice growth in Liaoning, China,” *Soil and Sediment Contamination*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 851–864, 2003.
 - [4] G. L. Guo and Q. X. Zhou, “Evaluation of heavy metal contamination in Phaeozem of northeast China,” *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 331–340, 2006.
 - [5] A. Rathinam, B. Maharshi, S. K. Janardhanan, R. R. Jonnagadda, and B. U. Nair, “Biosorption of cadmium metal ion from simulated wastewaters using *Hypnea valentiae* biomass: a kinetic and thermodynamic study,” *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 101, no. 5, pp. 1466–1470, 2010.
 - [6] X.-F. Wang and Q.-X. Zhou, “Ecotoxicological effects of cadmium on three ornamental plants,” *Chemosphere*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 16–21, 2005.
 - [7] T. Adhikari and M. V. Singh, “Sorption characteristics of lead and cadmium in some soils of India,” *Geoderma*, vol. 114, no. 1–2, pp. 81–92, 2003.
 - [8] G. S. Miner, R. Gutierrez, and L. D. King, “Soil factors affecting plant concentrations of cadmium, copper, and zinc on sludge-amended soils,” *Journal of Environmental Quality*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 989–994, 1997.
 - [9] M. Shirvani, H. Shariatmadari, M. Kalbasi, F. Nourbakhsh, and B. Najafi, “Sorption of cadmium on palygorskite, sepiolite and calcite: equilibria and organic ligand affected kinetics,” *Colloids and Surfaces A*, vol. 287, no. 1–3, pp. 182–190, 2006.
 - [10] K. Wang and B. Xing, “Adsorption and desorption of cadmium by goethite pretreated with phosphate,” *Chemosphere*, vol. 48, no. 7, pp. 665–670, 2002.
 - [11] C. A. Christophi and L. Axe, “Competition of Cd, Cu, and Pb adsorption on goethite,” *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, vol. 126, no. 1, pp. 66–74, 2000.
 - [12] S. E. O’Reilly, D. G. Strawn, and D. L. Sparks, “Residence time effects on arsenate adsorption/desorption mechanisms on goethite,” *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 67–77, 2001.
 - [13] V. Antoniadis and C. D. Tsadilas, “Sorption of cadmium, nickel, and zinc in mono- and multimetal systems,” *Applied Geochemistry*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 2375–2380, 2007.
 - [14] A. L. Horn, W. Reiher, R.-A. Düring, and S. Gäth, “Efficiency of pedotransfer functions describing cadmium sorption in soils,” *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, vol. 170, no. 1–4, pp. 229–247, 2006.
 - [15] Š. Palágyi and J. Rigas, “Sorption of cadmium in some arable and forest soils,” *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, vol. 261, no. 2, pp. 255–261, 2004.
 - [16] Å. Almås, B. R. Singh, and B. Salbu, “Mobility of cadmium-109 and zinc-65 in soil influenced by equilibration time, temperature, and organic matter,” *Journal of Environmental Quality*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 1742–1750, 1999.
 - [17] E. Pehlivan, M. Ersoz, M. Pehlivan, S. Yildiz, and H. J. Duncan, “The effect of pH and temperature on the sorption of zinc(II), cadmium(II), and aluminum(III) onto new metal-ligand complexes of sporopollenin,” *Journal of Colloid And Interface Science*, vol. 170, no. 2, pp. 320–325, 1995.
 - [18] G. Sposito, *The Surface Chemistry of Soils*, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1984.
 - [19] D. W. Nelson and L. E. Sommers, *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 3, Chemical Methods*, SSSA Book Series no. 5, SSSA, Madison, Wis, USA, 1996.
 - [20] G. W. Gee and J. W. Bauder, “Particle size analysis,” in *Agronomy Monograph*, vol. 9, ASA and SSSA, Madison, Wis, USA, 2nd edition, 1986.
 - [21] A. L. Page, *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part II, Chemical and Microbiological Properties*, Soil Science, Society of America Journal, Madison, Wis, USA, 1982.
 - [22] W. L. Lindsay and W. A. Norvell, “Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper,” *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, vol. 42, pp. 421–428, 1978.
 - [23] P. W. Atkins, *Physical Chemistry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1982.
 - [24] J. E. Biggar and M. W. Cheung, “Adsorption of picloram (4-amino-3,5,6-trichloropicolinic acid) on panoche, ephrata, and palouse soils: a thermodynamic approach to the adsorption mechanism,” *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 863–868, 1973.
 - [25] D. L. Dolcater, M. L. Jackson, and J. K. Syers, “Cation exchange selectivity in mica and vermiculite,” *The American Mineralogist*, vol. 57, pp. 1823–1831, 1972.
 - [26] W. P. Inskeep and J. Baham, “Adsorption of Cd(II) and Cu(II) by Na-montmorillonite at low surface coverage,” *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 660–665, 1983.
 - [27] J. P. Hunt, *Metal Ion in Aqueous Solution*, W. A. Benjamin, New York, NY, USA, 1963.
 - [28] C. Appel and L. Ma, “Concentration, pH, and surface charge effects on cadmium and lead sorption in three tropical soils,” *Journal of Environmental Quality*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 581–589, 2002.
 - [29] R. Levi Minzi, G. F. Soldatini, and R. Riffaldi, “Cadmium adsorption by soils,” *Journal of Soil Science*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 10–15, 1976.
 - [30] R. L. Reed, M. A. Sanderson, V. G. Allen, and R. E. Zartman, “Cadmium application and pH effects on growth and cadmium accumulation in switchgrass,” *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, vol. 33, no. 7–8, pp. 1187–1203, 2002.
 - [31] D. L. Sparks, *Environmental Soil Chemistry*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1995.
 - [32] J. E. Huheey, *Inorganic Chemistry: Principles and Structure and Reactivity*, Harper and Row, New Delhi, India, 8th edition, 2008.
 - [33] S. P. Singh, L. Q. Ma, and W. G. Harris, “Heavy metal interactions with phosphatic clay: sorption and desorption behavior,” *Journal of Environmental Quality*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 1961–1968, 2001.
 - [34] N. J. Barrow, “Testing a mechanistic model. II. The effects of time and temperature on the reaction of zinc with a soil,” *Journal of Soil Science*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 277–286, 1986.
 - [35] N. S. Bolan, D. C. Adriano, P. Duraisamy, and A. Mani, “Immobilization and phytoavailability of cadmium in variable charge soils. III. Effect of biosolid compost addition,” *Plant and Soil*, vol. 256, no. 1, pp. 231–241, 2003.
 - [36] K. A. Bolton and L. J. Evans, “Cadmium adsorption capacity of selected Ontario soils,” *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 183–189, 1996.
 - [37] G. W. Bruemmer, J. Gerth, and K. G. Tiller, “Reaction kinetics of the adsorption and desorption of nickel, zinc and cadmium by

- goethite. I. Adsorption and diffusion of metals," *Journal of Soil Science*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 37–52, 1988.
- [38] J. K. Syers, M. G. Browman, G. W. Smillie, and R. B. Corey, "Phosphate sorption by soils evaluated by the langmuir adsorption equation," *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 358–363, 1973.
- [39] R. D. Harter and D. E. Baker, "Applications and misapplications of the Langmuir equation of soil adsorption," *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, vol. 41, pp. 1077–1079, 1977.
- [40] R. Naidu and R. D. Harter, "Effect of different organic ligands on cadmium sorption by and extractability from soils," *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 644–650, 1998.
- [41] S. Sauvé, W. Hendershot, and H. E. Allen, "Solid-solution partitioning of metals in contaminated soils: dependence on pH, total metal burden, and organic matter," *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 1125–1131, 2000.
- [42] A. E. Boekhold, E. J. M. Temminghoff, and S. E. A. T. M. van der Zee, "Influence of electrolyte composition and pH on cadmium sorption by an acid sandy soil," *Journal of Soil Science*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 85–96, 1993.
- [43] R. Naidu, N. S. Bolan, R. S. Kookana, and K. G. Tiller, "Ionic-strength and pH effects on the sorption of cadmium and the surface charge of soils," *European Journal of Soil Science*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 419–429, 1994.
- [44] H. A. Elliott and C. P. Huang, "The adsorption characteristics of Cu(II) in the presence of chelating agents," *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 29–45, 1979.
- [45] J. J. Jurinak and N. Bauer, "Thermodynamic of zinc adsorption on calcite, dolomite and magnesite type minerals," *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, vol. 20, pp. 466–471, 1956.
- [46] E. Roth, V. Mancier, and B. Fabre, "Adsorption of cadmium on different granulometric soil fractions: influence of organic matter and temperature," *Geoderma*, vol. 189, pp. 133–143, 2012.