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Role of Accumulated Calcium in Alleviating Aluminum Injury in Wheat Plants
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Aluminum (Al) sensitive wheat cultivar kalyansona was grown for 14 d in a range of Ca solution (125, 625, and 2500 μM) plus other nutrients without Al. At 14 d after Ca treatment, half of these plants were harvested (H1), and the rest of the plants were exposed to 100 μM Al for additional 6 d and harvested (H2). Severe Al injury was found only in the plants with the lowest supply of Ca before Al treatment. Aluminum concentration in the apoplastic fluid was very high at 125 μM Ca probably because the plasma membrane of some of the cells was destroyed due to the attack of 100 μM Al. Aluminum content in roots decreased with increasing supply of Ca before Al treatment. Calcium content decreased drastically at harvest (H2) in the plants with 100 μM Al. Under Al stress conditions, the plant responded to Al in different ways due to not only the different Ca supply but also the variation of Ca content in the plant tissues. Actually, the plants having the largest Ca content in the roots before Al treatment can receive less Al injury during Al treatment. To substantiate this idea, a companion study was conducted to investigate the effects of 2500 μM Ca supply during, before, and after 100 μM Al treatment on root growth. The results indicated clearly that exogenous Ca supply before Al treatment is able to alleviate Al injury but less effective than Ca supply during Al treatment.

1. Introduction

The effects of Aluminum on the uptake and accumulation of divalent cations such as Ca and Mg have been extensively studied [1, 2]. It has been accepted that Al reduces accumulation of divalent cations, especially by displacing Ca from the cell wall as well as plasma membrane and that higher levels of Ca in the solution can alleviate deleterious Al effects [3–6]. Recently, we found that Al displaced some part of Ca in cell walls of wheat roots when they were exposed to Al [7] and additional Mg was less effective in alleviating Al injury when exogenous Ca supply was high [8]. The ability to prevent displacement of Ca in the root apoplast by Al has been suggested as one of the mechanisms determining Al tolerance in plants [4]. So, Al tolerance has been considered to be associated with the ability of absorbing and utilizing Ca when Al is present. There have been conflicting reports regarding whether Ca influx into plant cells is inhibited by Al. The inhibition of Ca uptake in roots by Al has long been considered a possible cause of toxicity [9], but later reports suggested that the inhibition of root growth is not caused by the reduction of Ca uptake [10, 11].

Considerable evidence suggests that the phytotoxic effects of Al on roots can be partially or completely overcome by increasing the concentration of Ca in the culture solution [4, 12, 13]. This phenomenon is not solely due to changes in external Al activity but also related to Ca nutrition. From reviewing the above-mentioned reports, it is clear that increased supply of Ca in the culture solution alleviated Al toxicity by improving Ca status of the plants. Therefore, the status of Ca of a plant is an important factor to explain the alleviation mechanism/strategies of Al toxicity. Calcium and Al were applied simultaneously in the culture solution in most of the experiments studying for Al-Ca interactions.
But literature is very scarce for studying the interactions by applying Ca before Al treatment. Therefore, the aim of the present study is to investigate the performance of latter one in alleviating Al injury. To perform the study objectives, long period pretreatment with Ca (without Al) was done which ensures the high uptake of this element into the plant tissues. That is, a sufficient amount of Ca accumulation by the plant was accomplished before Al treatment. A companion study was also conducted to investigate the effects of supply of 2500 μM Ca during, before, and after Al treatment on root growth. This study will also clarify the importance of accumulated Ca in the root tissues during, before, and after Al treatment in alleviating Al injury.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials and Growth Conditions. A Bangladeshi wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cultivar, Kalyansona, was used in our research work. Seeds were sterilized with 1% NaClO, stirred for 10 min, washed in deionized water, and soaked in distilled water for 24 h. Then the seeds were placed on planting trays with nylon fabric screen for and soaked in deionized water, used in our research work. Seeds were sterilized with 1% NaClO, stirred for 10 min, washed in deionized water, and kept for 7 d at pH 5. The seedlings by then had developed 5–7 roots. The seedlings were then transferred to 3.5 L pots containing 125, 625, and 2500 μM Ca along with other nutrients. The pH of these treatment solutions was adjusted to 5.0 with NaOH and HCl, and these solutions were renewed every 3 d. Treatments consisted of three replicates and each of them was composed of 3 pots. Each pot had 4 plants. A control plot was set up with 625 μM Ca from the beginning. Constant aeration of the nutrient solution was provided. After two weeks, harvest one (H1) was performed with half seedlings, when growth difference appeared (observed visually) due to different levels of Ca. Then the remaining plants were exposed to 100 μM Al containing only 125 μM Ca at pH 4.5 for 6 d. The solutions were renewed every 3 d. Constant aeration to the nutrient solution was also provided. Then harvest two (H2) was performed at 6 d after Al treatment. At each harvest, the roots were washed in water and root lengths were measured. Then the roots were used for chemical analyses.

In the companion study, same plant materials and same nutrient solution were used. During Al treatment, other nutrients were not applied except Ca. In this study, 250 μM Ca was considered as low dose and Ca treatment duration before Al treatment was reduced to 7 d instead of 14 d. Three weeks treatment duration was designated by three letters either L (250 μM Ca) or H (2500 μM Ca) consecutively (such as, LLL, HLL, LHL, LH1, and cLLL). C stands for the control (without Al). In the first week, plants were treated with 250 and 2500 μM Ca without Al (before Al treatment). In the second week, plants were treated with 250 and 2500 μM Ca with 100 μM Al (during Al treatment) but the control was kept without Al. In the third week, plants were treated with 250 and 2500 μM Ca without Al (recovery phase). At the end of every week, one-third plants were harvested and root lengths were measured. Here, the harvests were designated as HI, HI, and HIII.

2.2. Determination of Ca and Al Contents in Roots. After completion of root growth measurement, the harvested plants (root and shoot) were dried in an oven at 70–800° C for 24 h and dry weights were determined. The Al content in roots was determined as described [8]. Briefly, 20 mg dry root samples were digested using 10 N H₂SO₄ and 30% H₂O₂. Then Al in the samples was determined by pyrocatechol violet (PCV) method using a spectrometer [14]. Calcium was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

2.3. Extraction and Measurements of Ca and Al in the Apoplastic Fluid (AF). The method was used as described [15]. After 6 d exposure to 100 μM Al, about 2 cm (from the apex) long roots were detached from a plant of each treatment, weighed, vacuum-infiltrated with demineralized water, blotted, and reweighed. The infiltrated roots were arranged on strips (2 cm x 10 cm) of thin polyvinyl sheeting (cut-up of plastic shopping bags). The all cut ends of roots were arranged in the same direction as described [16]. The strips were rolled around to a cylindrical plastic tube with enough pressure to give a tight roll. Then the tube was placed in a 50 mL plastic syringe with 1.5 mL Eppendorf cup at its tip. The roots were centrifuged, with their cut ends pointing centrifugally at 440 g for 15 min to obtain the AF. Calcium in the AF was measured by atomic absorption spectrometry after digesting the AF with H₂SO₄ -H₂O₂. Aluminum in the AF was determined by the same method as described above.

3. Results

3.1. Plant Growth. In the absence of Al (H1), increasing supply of Ca from 125 to 2500 μM for 14 d had adistinct effect on the shoot growth as well as on root growth (Figure 1). The lowest shoot and root dry matter was recorded at 125 μM Ca. Similar trend of root dry matter yields was also observed in the companion study at H1 (Figure 2). That is, 7 d culture with 2500 μM Ca before Al treatment (HLL) produced 20% higher root dry matter than the control with 250 μM Ca (cLLL). The root dry matter in other plots was similar to the control (Figure 2).

Under Al stress conditions (H2), the plants with different Ca supply before Al treatment responded to the same level of Al in different ways (Figure 1). Dry matter production is one of the best indicators of Al toxicity. Severe Al toxicity was found in those plants treated with 125 μM Ca before Al treatment. It was the highest (104%) in the control plot (data not shown). About 34, 46, and 62% increase in root dry matter was recorded at H2 in comparison with their corresponding root dry matter at H1 in the plots pretreated with 125, 625, and 2500 μM Ca, respectively. The shoot dry matter production in the presence of Al was greater than the root dry matter production and showed a similar tendency.
3. Effects of different levels of Ca supply on root and shoot dry matter of wheat seedlings without Al at H1 and with Al at H2. After 14d of Ca treatment, the seedlings were exposed to 100 μM Al for additional 6d for H2. Values are the means ± SE of three replicates.

Figure 1: Effects of different levels of Ca supply on root and shoot dry matter (g pot⁻¹) of wheat seedlings without Al at H1 and with Al at H2. After 14d of Ca treatment, the seedlings were exposed to 100 μM Al for additional 6d for H2. Values are the means ± SE of three replicates.

3.2. Ca and Al Contents in Roots. In the absence of Al (H1), Ca content in the roots increased with increasing supply of Ca in the culture solution (Table 1). The highest Ca content was recorded in the roots with 2500 μM Ca for 14d before Al treatment. Calcium content in roots decreased drastically in the presence of Al (H2). The plants with the highest

Table 1: Calcium and Al contents (μgg⁻¹ dry matter) in the roots obtained before (H1) and after (H2) exposure to 100 μM Al for 6d. Values are the means ± SE of three replicates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Ca content</th>
<th>Al content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>H2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1885 ± 355</td>
<td>2375 ± 445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca125</td>
<td>980 ± 122</td>
<td>95 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca625</td>
<td>1885 ± 355</td>
<td>112 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca2500</td>
<td>3010 ± 585</td>
<td>197 ± 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In case of the companion study, 100 μM Al was applied during the second week. After 7d exposure to 100 μM Al, root dry matter at HIII was in the following order: LHL > HLL > LLH ≥ LLL (Figure 2). The results also showed that 2500 μM Ca supply during Al treatment was 1.18 times more effective than the Ca supply before Al treatment in alleviating Al injury. During recovery phase (last week without Al), new root development was only observed in LHL and HLL plots (Figure 3). It was also found that new root development capacity was greater in the plants with 2500 μM Ca during Al treatment (LHL) than the plants with the same level of Ca before Al treatment (HLL). Furthermore, the root tip of the former (LHL) was not strongly affected as observed in HLL. New root development at the root tips was not observed in the plants with 2500 μM Ca for 7d after Al treatment (LHL). As a consequence at HIII, about 6% more roots dry matter than the control was observed in LHL, whereas it was 88% of the control roots dry matter in HLL (Figure 2). The results indicated that high Ca supply during Al stress recovered Al injury more quickly than high Ca supply before Al stress or during recovery phase (without Al). However, there was no big difference in root dry matter in those plants with 2500 μM Ca (LLH) and 250 μM Ca (LLL) during the recovery phase, although more new roots were observed at the base of shoots in LLH than LLL (Figure 3).
Ca (300 μg g⁻¹ DM) content at HI showed a remarkable reduction in Ca (197 μg g⁻¹ DM) content after 6 d exposure to 100 μM Al. Aluminum was undetected in the plant at H1 and the control plant at H2. The plants pretreated with different levels of Ca had different Al content in the roots at H2. The highest Al content was determined in the roots with the lowest Ca supply before Al treatment. Aluminum content decreased gradually with increasing supply of Ca before Al treatment.

In the companion study, Ca content in the roots varied with the supply of Ca for 7 d before Al treatment (Table 2). Actually, Ca supply in LLL, LHL, LLH, and cLLL treatments was same during first week. Therefore, Ca content among the treatments was identical at HI. In the second week (during Al treatment), the lowest Ca content was observed in LLL and LLH. The Ca content in roots decreased drastically (about 90%) in the presence of Al (HII) in the plants pretreated with 2500 μM Ca (HLL), whereas it was 44% in the plants that treated with 2500 μM Ca (HLL) during Al exposure. In the control plants (cLLL), Ca uptake increased by 33% during second week treatment without Al. During recovery phase (HIII), Ca content increases in all treatments and the highest was in LHL. The highest Al content at HII was observed in LLL and LLH. It was 1.5 and 1.4 times higher than LHL and HLL, respectively. The results further showed that the difference in Al content between LHL and HLL was lesser extent than the difference observed in their root dry matter yields (Figure 2). Again, Al content at the recovery phase (HIII) was lower than the values observed during Al treatment (HII), although the trend was similar to the harvest two (HII).

### 4. Discussion

In the absence of Al, there were differences among the Ca levels in terms of plant (root + shoot) growth (Figures I and 2) and Ca nutrition (Tables I and 2). Under Al stress conditions (H2), root dry matter production occurred substantially but differentially among the Ca treatments in the presence of Al for 6 d (Figure 1). The differential growth may be the residual effect of accumulated Ca in the plant tissues. That is, long period pretreatment with high Ca supply before Al treatment resulted in enrichment of Ca status of the seedlings (Table 1), prevented the Al accumulation (Table 1) in root tissues, and subsequently increased dry matter production (Figure 1). Although the tested cultivar, Kalyansona, is sensitive to Al [7], cultivation with 100 μM Al for 6 d caused a decrease in root elongation by only 44% at 125 μM Ca while it was 56% within 48 h for 4 d old seedlings (data not shown). Therefore, other factors such as plant age may cause the difference in the short-term and long-term experiments as reported [5].

Severe Al injury was found in the plants that pretreated with 125 μM Ca (Figure 1). It is assumed that all of the common binding sites (CBS) of the roots of these plants are not occupied by Ca during pretreatment period. Many of the CBS remain exposed as reported in our previous study [17]. During Al treatment, both the Ca²⁺ bounded and unbounded binding sites in the cell wall as well as plasma membrane may be occupied by Al since Al³⁺ has stronger affinity to the negative CBS than Ca²⁺. Such binding of Al³⁺ to the cell wall as well as plasma membrane caused the destruction of epidermal and cortical cells in the root tip, elongating...
zones, and proximal parts of the root metabolically and morphologically [18, 19]. This type of destruction of root cells increases total Al content in addition to the Al content accomplished by the intact root before destruction. In addition, Al caused disintegration of plasma membrane in barley root cells [20]. In our present study, the plasma membrane in some of the root cells was destroyed due to the attack of 100μM Al for 6 d in the presence of only 125μM Ca, and Al in these cells was possibly extracted during extraction of AF. Therefore, Al concentration in the AF may be affected. Calcium concentration in the AF at 125μM Ca was larger than that of at 625μM Ca (Table 3). Severe attack of Al was accomplished in the former (Figure 1) which possibly caused the destruction of plasma membrane of many/more cells than the latter and consequently affected apoplastic Ca concentration. The highest supply of Ca (2500μM) before Al treatment not only enable the Ca^{2+} ions to occupy most of the CBS of the roots [21] but also enable them present in the highest concentration in the apoplast (Table 3). According to toxicant-ameliorant competition for CBS [4], bounded Ca along with large amount of unbounded Ca in the apoplast may protect the metabolical and morphological disruption of cell wall and plasma membrane [17] in the presence of Al. This protection effect is clearly reflected on the results of low content of Al in the roots (Table 1) as well as low concentration of Al in the AF (Table 3) and increased root dry matter production (Figure 1).

The disturbance of Ca homeostasis via Ca displacement from CBS and increased cytosolic Ca level by Al is a well-established event during Al-Ca interactions in plants [20]. In our present study, the plants with high Ca supply showed a remarkable reduction in the Ca content in roots after 6 d exposure to Al (Table 1). This remarkable reduction in the Ca content may be caused not only by displacing Ca from the CBS as observed in the previous studies [4, 8] but also by the expense of Ca in the cells for continuation of root growth during Al stress (Figure 1). Shoot dry matter was also increased after Al treatment (Figure 1). The results can be explained by the expense of both shoot containing Ca and translocated Ca from roots since Al decreased Ca content in the roots (Table 1).

In the companion study, the highest root growth at HII (Figure 2) as well as the highest Ca content (Table 2) was observed when 2500μM Ca was supplied during Al treatment. The results also showed that 2500μM Ca supply before Al treatment is able to alleviate Al injury but lesser extent than the same level Ca supply during Al treatment (Figure 2). The difference of Ca content between LHL and HLL (Table 2) showed the similar trend to the difference of root dry matter yields (Figure 2). Therefore, it can be speculated that accumulated Ca of the wheat plants plays an important role to alleviate Al injury (expressed by root dry matter yields) during Al treatment. But 2500μM Ca supply (LLH) at the recovery phase could not improve root growth inhibition caused by Al toxicity (Figure 2), and new root development at the root tips was not observed in this plot (Figure 3). This may be the result of destruction of the roots tips having meristematic tissues during Al treatment. However, new root initiation at the base of shoots in LLH (Figure 3) indicates the recovery from Al injury due to high Ca supply in culture solution. The plants in LLH may recover much faster from Al injury than those in LLL. In conclusion, pretreatment with high doses of calcium on the injury induced by aluminum in wheat plants, especially in roots, may be beneficial to protect against subsequent aggression by aluminum. Although the interaction between Ca and Al has been already extensively studied, the potential benefit of Ca pretreatment may be of interest to the field.

**Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

**References**


Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com