Research Article

A Low-Noise Delta-Sigma Phase Modulator for Polar Transmitters

Bo Zhou

School of Information and Electronics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Bo Zhou; zhoubo07@bit.edu.cn

Received 26 November 2013; Accepted 17 January 2014; Published 25 February 2014

Academic Editors: P. Colantonio and F. L. Tofoli

Copyright © 2014 Bo Zhou. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A low-noise phase modulator, using finite-impulse-response (FIR) filtering embedded delta-sigma ($\Delta\Sigma$) fractional-N phase-locked loop (PLL), is fabricated in $0.18\ \mu\text{m}$ CMOS for GSM/EDGE polar transmitters. A simplified digital compensation filter with inverse-FIR and -PLL features is proposed to trade off the transmitter noise and linearity. Experimental results show that the presented architecture performs RF phase modulation well with 20 mW power dissipation from 1.6 V supply and achieves the root-mean-square (rms) and peak phase errors of 4° and 8.5° , respectively. The measured and simulated phase noises of $-104\ \text{dBc/Hz}$ and $-120\ \text{dBc/Hz}$ at 400-kHz offset from 1.8-GHz carrier frequency are observed, respectively.

1. Introduction

Polar transmitters can achieve both high power efficiency and good linearity and become growing popular in modern wireless systems [1, 2]. Polar modulation utilizes envelope A and phase Φ components to represent the baseband symbols instead of the conventional I/Q format. A constant-envelope phase-only signal going through a phase-modulation path is multiplied with the signal envelope going through an envelope-modulation path in a switched-mode power amplifier (PA) to reconstruct the original baseband complex signal ($I + jQ$). The high power efficiency is achieved by using a non-linear switched-mode PA to handle the constant-envelope phase-modulated RF signal, and the good linear transmission is accomplished by modulating the signal envelope through the supply voltage of the switched-mode PA [3].

The delta-sigma ($\Delta\Sigma$) fractional-N phase-locked loop (PLL) [4] enables phase modulation function when the fractional division ratio is modulated by the baseband signal, simplifying the overall transmitter architecture without requiring digital-to-analog converters (DACs) and RF upconverters [5]. Figure 1 shows the existing phase modulation methods based on fractional-N PLLs for polar transmitters. Since the conventional PLL bandwidth is not wide enough to accommodate the required modulation symbol rate, digital

precompensation [6] or two-point modulation [7] techniques are employed to overcome the PLL bandwidth limitation but are prone to quantization noise jamming from the $\Delta\Sigma$ modulator (DSM).

The quantization noise q_n of the DSM needs be concerned for a PLL. The existing noise cancellation technique [4, 8] requires good linearity and strict matching of the analog charge pump (CP) circuit, complicating circuit design. The reported finite-impulse-response (FIR) noise filtering method [9] effectively suppresses DSM noise but causes unexpected signal attenuation, when the DSM input is varying phase component rather than fixed.

In this paper, with simplified inverse-FIR and -PLL digital filters proposed to compensate for the signal attenuation, an FIR-embedded $\Delta\Sigma$ fractional-N PLL is presented to perform RF phase modulation for GSM/EDGE polar transmitters, achieving good trade-off between transmitter noise and linearity.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the FIR-embedded $\Delta\Sigma$ phase modulation and clarifies the proposed FIR-compensated low-noise architecture. In Section 3, detailed design implementations are described and simplified inverse-FIR digital compensation filter is proposed, followed by experimental results in Section 4. Finally, conclusion is given in Section 5.

FIGURE 1: Polar transmitters with $\Delta\Sigma$ PLL based phase modulation: (a) digital precompensation and (b) two-point modulation techniques.

FIGURE 2: Conceptual diagram and signal model of FIR-embedded $\Delta\Sigma$ PLL with DSM noise suppression.

2. FIR-Compensated $\Delta\Sigma$ Phase Modulation for Polar Transmitters

2.1. FIR-Embedded $\Delta\Sigma$ Fractional-N PLL. Figure 2 illustrates conceptual diagram and small-signal model of FIR-embedded $\Delta\Sigma$ fractional-N PLL. For the embedded FIR filter with a transfer function (TF) shown in (1), the numerator is performed by k -tap shifted D flip-flops (DFFs) with a delay depth of n , and by k -path multimodulus dividers (MMDs) and phase-frequency detectors (PFDs), and the denominator is done by a k -branch charge pump (CP) with k -phase inputs [9]. The multiple MMDs in parallel with sequential control bits from shifted DFFs perform FIR filtering on the DSM output

$$TF_{FIR} = \frac{(1 + z^{-n} + z^{-2n} + z^{-3n} + \dots + z^{-(k-1)n})}{k}. \quad (1)$$

Under PLL reference clock F_{ref} , the notch frequency of FIR filter is located at multiples of $F_{ref}/(n \times k)$; thus

the DSM output is conducted low-passed filtering. While the quantization noise qn is suppressed, the signal phase sent to the DSM is also attenuated with linearity degradation. Therefore, a digital compensation filter with inverse-FIR feature shown in (2) needs to be added before the DSM to offset the signal attenuation, without affecting the noise suppression. Obviously, high $n \times k$ value complicates the inverse-FIR filter design:

$$TF_{Inverse-FIR} = \frac{k}{(1 + z^{-n} + z^{-2n} + z^{-3n} + \dots + z^{-(k-1)n})}. \quad (2)$$

The signal path from the DSM input to the voltage-controlled oscillator (VCO) output, excluding the FIR filtering, is functionally equivalent to a DAC with a PLL TF $G(s)$, followed by a multiplication factor F_{ref} and an integrator with frequency-phase conversion [10]. The PLL TF $G(s)$ has low-passed feature with a limited signal bandwidth, which inversely degrades the phase-path bandwidth. Therefore, another digital compensation filter with inverse- $G(s)$ feature

FIGURE 4: Block diagram of baseband I/Q signal generation: (a) GSM for GSM and (b) 8PSK for EDGE.

FIGURE 5: Simulated envelope and frequency components for EDGE signal.

For the given five consecutive phase component inputs, a derivation algorithm using a five-point-interpolation method with phase jump preprocess is shown in (7) under a sampling clock of 6.5 MHz, to accomplish the phase-frequency conversion and generate the desired frequency component

$$f_{in,k} = \frac{6.5 \times 10^6}{24\pi} (\phi_{k-2} - 8 \cdot \phi_{k-1} + 8 \cdot \phi_{k+1} - \phi_{k+2}). \quad (7)$$

Figure 5 gives the simulated baseband components for EDGE signal. Both the envelope component with an amplitude range of 0.22–1.44 V and the frequency component with a range of –340–340 kHz are observed. A peak frequency occurs when the envelope has a local minimum [2]. For GSM signal, both a constant envelope of 1V and a frequency range of –70–70 kHz are achieved. The frequency component divided by F_{ref} (26 MHz) is amplified by the sequent digital compensation filters with high-passed feature, which is still small enough for the DSM input not to cause the overflow.

3.2. Proposed Digital Compensation Filters. Since the fractional-N PLL has a low-passed feature with additional

FIGURE 6: Simulated frequency responses of FIR-compensated filters: ideal versus proposed implementations.

FIGURE 7: Simulated frequency response of presented phase modulator.

FIR attenuation, digital compensation filters need to be designed not only to compensate for the limited path

FIGURE 8: Multiphase charge pump schematic.

FIGURE 9: LC VCO schematic.

bandwidth caused by the PLL but also to offset the gain attenuation due to the FIR filtering:

$$TF_{\text{Inverse-FIR}} = \frac{8}{(1+z^{-2}+z^{-4}+z^{-6}+z^{-8}+z^{-10}+z^{-12}+z^{-14})}, \quad (8)$$

$$TF_{\text{Inverse-FIR}} = \frac{2}{(1 + (2.2/2.7) \cdot z^{-2} + (0.5/2.7) \cdot z^{-3})}. \quad (9)$$

Larger FIR parameter n or k contributes to lesser DSM noise but makes the inverse-FIR filter more complex. As a trade-off between the noise and complexity, $n = 2$, $k = 8$ is chosen. However, the ideal inverse-FIR filter conforming to (8), is prone to instable response with 14th-order high-passed feature. Under 6.5 MHz sampling clock, a simplified 3rd-order filter is proposed in (9), which features unobvious high-passed or slight overshoot response but has the same property as the ideal inverse-FIR one within the desired frequency

band up to 1 MHz. The circuit-level simulation results in frequency response for both ideal and proposed inverse-FIR filters are depicted in Figure 6. The proposed implementation is simple and stable with slight overshoot but has the same compensation feature within desired frequency band, when compared to the ideal one.

Since the type-II PLL with 4th-order TF inherently has 4 poles and 1 zero in $G(s)$, accordingly, there are 4 zeros and only 1 pole existing in the inverse- $G(s)$ TF. To ensure the compensation filter stable, additional 3 poles with farther locations are added to the inverse- $G(s)$ filter, without affecting the frequency response within the desired frequency band. To further simplify filters, the proposed 3rd-order inverse-FIR and 4th-order inverse- $G(s)$ filters are combined together by employing a 7th-order infinite-impulse-response (IIR) digital filter with a high-pass property. Figure 7 shows the simulated frequency response of the presented phase modulator. For the PLL with a loop bandwidth of 100 kHz, the 7th-order IIR filter has a high-pass property with 100-kHz cut-off frequency and then rolls off at 1.3 MHz. As a result, the phase-path bandwidth of 1 MHz is achieved. The frequency component f_{in} , divided by F_{ref} and then amplified by the IIR filter, is small enough for the DSM input not to cause overflow.

3.3. PLL Core Modules. To ensure phase-modulation linearity, it is important to ensure strict match of the TFs between the inverse- $G(s)$ filter and the fractional-N PLL. Considering that the PLL TF is dependent on process, voltage, and temperature (PVT) variations, and the compensation filter is digitally fixed, thus, in most cases, the PLL loop-gain calibration or constant $I_{CP}K_{VCO}$ techniques [13] need to be employed. However for the proposed design, the VCO gain K_{VCO} varies little under small control voltage range and the charge pump current I_{CP} is external reconfigurable, and the low-passed filter (LPF) of the PLL is off-chip and thus fixed. All these benefit the PLL TF robust over PVT.

With embedded FIR filtering, the 4th-order type-II PLL with 100-kHz bandwidth employs eight PFDs in parallel, and all the lead-lag phase errors converted to push-pull

FIGURE 10: PS-based MMD module.

FIGURE 11: CML divider-by-2 schematic.

pulse currents are summed at the output of the 8-phase charge pump. The schematic of the 8-phase charge pump is shown in Figure 8. Cascode biasing architecture helps to reduce switching noise from the multiple current switches and improves static and dynamic current match between the up and down branches. The current I_{CP} is external reconfigurable to ensure $I_{CP}K_{VCO}$ constant.

The summed push-pull error current is low-passed filtered to generate an error control voltage V_C , which tunes the sequent VCO shown in Figure 9. The VCO employs the conventional cross-coupled three-transistor differential architecture with LC tank. The MIM switched capacitors having high quality factor are used for binary-weighted coarse tuning by a 5-bit control word to achieve $\pm 15\%$ frequency tuning range, while an NMOS accumulation-mode varactor is employed for fine frequency tuning by the input control voltage V_C . An inductor L_3 is inserted between the current source M2 and the cross-couple pair M3-M4 to reduce the second-order harmonic noise, and the embedded RC LPF suppresses the bias noise.

The VCO differential output is sent to the sequent MMD and compared to the reference clock. Figure 10 shows phase shifter (PS) based MMD [9, 14] module to provide a programmable division ratio of 64–127 controlled by the baseband phase signal via the DSM. The high frequency current-mode-logic (CML) divider with a fixed division ratio

FIGURE 12: Chip micrograph.

of 4 generates quadrature output phases, which are shaped to nonoverlapping quadrature clocks to ease the timing requirement of logic circuits and are fed into eight parallel PS-based MMDs with 4-stage single-ended 2/3 prescalers supporting the division ratio of 16–31. The CML divider-by-4 is composed of two-stage cascaded dividers-by-2. Figure 11 gives the schematic of the CML divider-by-2, which is similar to two-stage cross-coupled latch architecture.

Considering that fewer DSM output levels result in smaller instantaneous phase error at the PFD output and thus less phase noise, and on the other hand, the wider DSM output levels have more efficient randomization and dithering and generate less fractional spurs to the PLL [15], the DSM employs 4th-order single-loop architecture [14] as the trade-off between in-band noise and fractional spurs.

4. Experimental Results

The proposed digital compensation filters and FIR-embedded fractional-N PLL are fabricated in $0.18 \mu\text{m}$ CMOS, and 16-bit baseband components are generated in a field programmable gate array (FPGA). Figure 12 gives the chip micrograph

FIGURE 13: Measured phase noise of fractional-N PLL.

with the active core area of 2 mm^2 and the measured power dissipation of 20 mW from a 1.6 V supply, excluding the class-E PA. In order to save chip pads, on-chip 4-bit series-to-parallel conversion (S4P) and off-chip 4-bit parallel-to-series conversion (P4S) are used to accomplish the data communication between the chip and FPGA.

The simulated and measured phase noise depicted in Figure 13 of the fractional-N PLL, dominating the phase modulator system noise, is -120 and -104 dBc/Hz at 400-kHz offset frequency from the oscillating frequency of 1.8 GHz , respectively. The PLL bandwidth of 100 kHz is observed, which is compensated by the digital filters to ensure the phase-path bandwidth of 1 MHz . The measured tuning range and gain of the LC VCO centered at 1.8 GHz are $\pm 15\%$ and 5 MHz/V , respectively. The VCO has a small control voltage range of -70 – 70 mV , which contributes to small K_{VCO} variation with PVT.

Figure 14 shows the simulated transient response of the proposed modulator for 8PSK signal. The phase modulation performance can be indirectly estimated by observing the tracking performance of VCO control voltage V_C with baseband frequency component f_{in} . Both a fixed delay caused by the limited path bandwidth (1 MHz) and a fixed multiplication factor caused by the VCO gain K_{VCO} (5 MHz/V) are observed between the curves of f_{in} and V_C with similar waveforms. The presented architecture performs RF phase modulation well with slight phase ripples rising from little DSM quantization noise and VCO phase noise.

Figure 15 shows the transmitter output constellation for GMSK. The root-mean-square (rms) and peak phase errors are 4°_{rms} and 8.5°_{peak} , respectively, meeting error vector magnitude (EVM) requirements [16] of GMSK. Due to little nonlinearity caused by slight TF mismatch between the compensation filter and PLL, the deviation of the symbol vectors from the four homocentric points is observed in the constellation. The loop gain autocalibration technique [13], as discussed above, ensuring $I_{\text{CP}}K_{\text{VCO}}$ constant and thus TF strict matching, may be considered to further optimize the modulation linearity.

FIGURE 14: Simulated modulation performance of proposed architecture for 8PSK.

FIGURE 15: Transmitter output constellation for GMSK.

5. Conclusions

A phase modulator employing FIR-compensated $\Delta\Sigma$ PLL is fabricated in $0.18 \mu\text{m}$ CMOS with baseband components generated by FPGA. Digital compensation filters are proposed to trade off the noise and signal integrality. The experimental results show that the presented architecture performs RF phase modulation correctly with good noise and linearity performances.

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 61306037) for the financial support.

References

- [1] J. Lopez, Y. Li, J. D. Popp et al., "Design of highly efficient wideband RF polar transmitters using the envelope-tracking technique," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 2276–2294, 2009.

- [2] C. Mayer, B. Neurauter, G. Marzinger, C. Munker, and R. Hagelauer, "A robust GSM/EDGE transmitter using polar modulation techniques," in *Proceedings of the 8th European Conference on Wireless Technology*, pp. 93–96, Paris, France, October 2005.
- [3] J. Mehta, V. Zoicas, O. Eliezer et al., "An efficient linearization scheme for a digital polar EDGE transmitter," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 193–197, 2010.
- [4] A. Swaminathan, K. J. Wang, and I. Galton, "A wide-bandwidth 2.4 GHz ISM band fractional-N PLL with adaptive phase noise cancellation," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 42, no. 12, pp. 2639–2650, 2007.
- [5] R. B. Staszewski, J. L. Wallberg, S. Rezek et al., "All-digital PLL and transmitter for mobile phones," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 2469–2482, 2005.
- [6] M. H. Perrott, T. L. Tewksbury III, and C. G. Sodini, "A 27-mW CMOS fractional-N synthesizer using digital compensation for 2.5-Mb/s GFSK modulation," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 32, no. 12, pp. 2048–2060, 1997.
- [7] M. Youssef, A. Zolfaghari, B. Mohammadi, H. Darabi, and A. A. Abidi, "A low-power GSM/EDGE/WCDMA polar transmitter in 65-nm CMOS," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 46, no. 12, pp. 3061–3074, 2011.
- [8] S. Pamarti, L. Jansson, and I. Galton, "A wideband 2.4-GHz delta-sigma fractional-N PLL with 1-Mb/s in-loop modulation," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 49–62, 2004.
- [9] X. Yu, Y. Sun, L. Zhang, W. Rhee, and Z. Wang, "A 1 GHz fractional-N PLL clock generator with low-OSR $\Delta\Sigma$ modulation and FIR-embedded noise filtering," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference (ISSCC '08)*, Digest of Technical Papers, pp. 346–347, San Francisco, Calif, USA, February 2008.
- [10] M. H. Perrott, M. D. Trott, and C. G. Sodini, "A modeling approach for $\Sigma\text{-}\Delta$ fractional-N frequency synthesizers allowing straightforward noise analysis," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 1028–1038, 2002.
- [11] A. Chen and S. Yang, "Reduced complexity CORDIC demodulator implementation for D-AMPS and digital IF-sampled receiver," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference (GLOBECOM '98)*, vol. 3, pp. 1491–1496, Sydney, Australia, November 1998.
- [12] Y. Xiao, *Arithmetic study and ASIC implementation of GMSK/8PSK modulation* [M.S. Dissertation], University of Electronic Science and Technology, Chengdu, China, 2002.
- [13] Y. Akamine, M. Kawabe, K. Hori et al., "A loop-bandwidth calibration system for fractional-N synthesizer and $\Delta\Sigma$ PLL transmitter," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference (ISSCC '05)*, vol. 1 of *Digest of Technical Papers*, pp. 314–315, San Francisco, Calif, USA, February 2005.
- [14] X. Yu, Y. Sun, W. Rhee, Z. Wang, H. K. Ahn, and B.-H. Park, "A $\Delta\Sigma$ fractional-N synthesizer with customized noise shaping for WCDMA/HSDPA applications," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Custom Integrated Circuits Conference (CICC '08)*, pp. 753–756, San Jose, Calif, USA, September 2008.
- [15] W. Rhee, B. Zhou, and Z. Wang, "Fractional-N frequency synthesis: overview and design perspectives," in *Proceedings of the 4th IEEE International Symposium on Radio-Frequency Integration Technology (RFIT '11)*, pp. 125–128, Beijing, China, December 2011.
- [16] T. Sowlati, D. Rozenblit, R. Pullela et al., "Quad-band GSM/GPRS/EDGE polar loop transmitter," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 39, no. 12, pp. 2179–2189, 2004.