Research Article

Dissolved Concentrations, Sources, and Risk Evaluation of Selected Metals in Surface Water from Mangla Lake, Pakistan

Muhammad Saleem, Javed Iqbal, and Munir H. Shah

Department of Chemistry, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan

Correspondence should be addressed to Munir H. Shah; munir_qau@yahoo.com

Received 30 August 2013; Accepted 4 February 2014; Published 9 March 2014

Academic Editors: A. Gambaro, D. W. Metge, and C. Vereda-Alonso

Copyright © 2014 Muhammad Saleem et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The present study is carried out for the assessment of water quality parameters and selected metals levels in surface water from Mangla Lake, Pakistan. The metal levels (Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, Sr, and Zn) were determined by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Average levels of Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, and Pb were higher than the allowable concentrations set by national and international agencies. Principal component analysis indicated significant anthropogenic contributions of Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, and Pb in the water reservoir. Noncarcinogenic risk assessment was then evaluated using Hazard Quotient ($HQ_{ing/derm}$) and Hazard Index ($HI_{ing/derm}$) following USEPA methodology. For adults and children, Cd, Co, Cr, and Pb ($HQ_{ing} > 1$) emerged as the most important pollutants leading to noncarcinogenic concerns via ingestion route, whereas there was no risk via dermal contact of surface water. This study helps in establishing pollutant loading reduction goal and the total maximum daily loads, and consequently contributes to preserve public health and develop water conservation strategy.

1. Introduction

Lakes have important multiusage components, such as sources of drinking water, irrigation, shipping, fishery, landscape entertainment, and energy production [1]; the Mangla Lake located in Mirpur district, Pakistan, is no exception. However, the quality of water is a very sensitive issue and numerous anthropogenic (e.g., urban, industrial, and agricultural activities) as well as natural processes (e.g., changes in precipitation inputs, erosion, and weathering of crustal materials) degrade surface water and impair its use for drinking, industrial, agricultural, and recreation purposes [2–5]. Water pollution with toxic metals due to anthropogenic processes is of great concern worldwide [6–11]. Metal pollutants in aqueous system are often recycled via physiochemical and biological processes, which continue to pose a risk of adverse effects on human health, water, soil quality, and the crops [12–14]. Therefore, researchers worldwide focus their attention on quantitative investigation of the trace metals in aquatic ecosystems [15–17].

The major objective of the present study was to assess surface water quality from Mangla Lake and to compare

the measured levels of the studied parameters with national and international water quality guideline values. Hence, the study was based on the measurement of existing levels of water quality parameters, including pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), total alkalinity (TA), electrical conductivity (EC), total dissolved solids (TDS), and chloride (Cl^-), and selected metals (Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, Sr, and Zn) in surface water in summer and winter from Mangla Lake. Multivariate principal component analysis (PCA) was employed in order to find out the plausible contributing sources of selected metals in the water samples. Human health risk assessment was carried out to evaluate adverse health risks associated with exposure to these metals via oral ingestion and absorption through the skin for children and adults.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area. Mangla Lake (Mirpur, Azad Kashmir, Pakistan) is the 12th largest lake in the world. The lake is approximately 100 km south-east of the capital city, Islamabad,

FIGURE 1: Location map of the study area.

Pakistan. The lake (longitude: 73.65 ($73^{\circ} 39' 0''$ E) and latitude: 33.15 ($33^{\circ} 8' 60''$ N)) was constructed in 1967, across the Jhelum River, in Mirpur District of Azad Kashmir, Pakistan (Figure 1). Other major rivers that contribute to the water storage are Neelum, Kunhar, and Poonch. It has six reservoir pockets: Jhelum, Kanshi, Poonch, Main, Khud, and Jari. The main structures of the lake include 4 embankment lakes, 2 spillways, 5 power-cum-irrigation tunnels, a 1000 MW power station, and upper Jhelum canal. The main lake is 3140 m long and 138 m high (above core trench) with a reservoir of 253 km^2 [18]. Since its construction, the water storage capacity of Mangla Lake has been reduced from 7,254.74 to 5,764.31 million cubic meters due to the sedimentation [18, 19].

The lake has already contributed significantly towards improvement of the environment in terms of agriculture growth, job opportunities, and improved standard of living. Many thousands of acres of land are irrigated using this water. Availability of additional water and hydropower will further enhance these positive impacts. The Mangla Lake was designed primarily to increase the amount of water that could be used for irrigation from the flow of the Jhelum River and its tributaries. Its secondary function was to generate electrical power from the irrigation releases at the artificial head of the reservoir [18, 20]. Recently, water from the reservoir is being used for water supply to the surrounding areas.

2.2. Sample Collection, Processing, and Analysis. Triplicate surface water samples ($n = 150$) in each season were collected in polyethylene bottles (1.5 L capacity) through direct method following standard methodology [21]. Every water sample was collected by combining three fractions of equal volume, each of which was collected from an area of $10\text{--}20 \text{ m}^2$. The water samples were filtered ($0.45 \mu\text{m}$, pore size) to remove the suspensions. The initial portion of the filtration was discarded to clean the filter surface, and the following ones intended for

metal analysis were acidified to $\text{pH} < 2$ using nitric acid and then stored in refrigerator in precleaned polyethylene bottles until analysis.

Standard methods of analyses were adopted for the measurement of water quality parameters [21–23]. The pH, EC, TDS, and DO of each water sample were measured at the sampling points by digital pH, EC, and DO meters, respectively [23]. The Cl^- and total alkalinity (TA) contents were determined by the standard method [22]. The water samples were analyzed for Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, Sr, and Zn under optimum analytical conditions (Table 1) using a Shimadzu Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Model AA-670, Japan) equipped with automatic background compensation. Calibration line method using five standards was employed for the quantification of selected metals [23, 24]. Standard reference material (SRM-1643d) was also used to ensure the reliability of the metal data (Table 2).

All the reagents used were of analytical grade (certified purity $> 99.99\%$) procured from E-Merck, Darmstadt, Germany, or BDH, UK. Doubly distilled water was used for the preparation of standards and the dilution of samples whenever required [23, 24]. The metal standards were prepared from stock solution of 1000 mg/L by successive dilutions. For the removal of inorganic/organic impurities from glassware, they were first washed with tap water, then washed with 5% (w/v) detergent solution, afterwards soaked in 5% (v/v) nitric acid for overnight, and finally rinsed with plentiful distilled water. If some adhering organic matter was suspected, a final rinse with acetone was given. The glassware was then dried in an electric oven maintained at 80°C for about six hours prior to use. All the measurements were made in triplicate.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. Statistical methods were applied to process the analytical data in terms of its distribution and correlation among the studied parameters. STATISTICA software was used for the computation of the data [25]. Basic statistical parameters such as minimum, maximum, mean, median, standard deviation, and skewness were calculated, while multivariate statistic in terms of principal component analysis (PCA) was also carried out using varimax-normalized rotation on the dataset [23, 26]. PCA is mainly used for data reduction and it aims at finding a few components that explain the major variation within the data.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Distribution of Water Quality Parameters in Surface Water. The suitability of water samples mainly depends upon the mineral constituents present in the water. The major quality criteria parameters are pH, DO, TA, EC, TDS, chloride, some metals, and so forth, [27]. Descriptive statistics related to water quality parameters in summer and winter along with water quality guidelines are described in Table 3. The pH levels of the water varied as $7.6\text{--}8.2$ and $7.4\text{--}8.3$ with mean values of 8.0 and 7.8 in summer and winter, successively. It indicated that the water was generally alkaline in nature and the dissolved carbonates were predominantly in the form of HCO_3^- [28]. Moreover, pH levels were within the permissible

TABLE 1: Optimum analytical conditions maintained on AAS for the analysis of selected metals using air-acetylene flame (Shimadzu AA-670, Japan).

Metal	Wavelength (nm)	HC lamp current (mA)	Slit width (nm)	Fuel-gas flow rate (L/min.)	1% Absorption concentration (ppm)
Ca	422.7	6.0	0.5	2.0	0.08
Cd	228.8	4.0	0.3	1.8	0.02
Co	240.7	6.0	0.2	2.2	0.20
Cr	357.9	5.0	0.5	2.6	0.09
Cu	324.8	3.0	0.5	1.8	0.09
Fe	248.3	8.0	0.2	2.0	0.10
K	766.5	5.0	0.5	1.9	0.04
Li	670.7	4.0	0.5	1.6	0.05
Mg	285.2	4.0	0.5	1.6	0.007
Mn	279.5	5.0	0.4	1.9	0.05
Na	589.0	6.0	0.5	1.6	0.02
Ni	232.0	4.0	0.15	1.7	0.10
Pb	217.0	7.0	0.3	1.8	0.20
Sr	460.7	4.0	0.5	1.6	0.10
Zn	213.9	4.0	0.5	2.0	0.02

TABLE 2: Certified versus measured concentrations (mg/L) of selected metals in standard reference material (SRM 1643d).

Metal	Certified	Measured
Ca	31.04	30.26
Cd	0.00647	0.006
Cr	0.01853	0.019
Co	0.025	0.022
Cu	0.0205	0.019
Fe	0.0912	0.092
K	2.356	2.385
Li	0.0165	0.015
Mg	7.989	7.816
Mn	0.03766	0.038
Na	22.07	21.77
Ni	0.0581	0.061
Pb	0.01815	0.019
Sr	0.2948	0.286
Zn	0.07248	0.071

limits set by international authorities [27, 29, 30]. Higher levels of pH can decrease the solubility of Cd, Cu, and Pb, while lower pH levels can dissolve metal complexes, releasing free metal ions into the water column [31]. The measured pH values were higher than those reported in Pandoh Lake, India [32], and Bozkowo, Domimickie, and Wiekie Lakes in W. Poland [33] (Table 4). Mean values of total alkalinity were lower than the respective water criterion [27] (Table 3). Average measured temperature values were 38 and 11°C in summer and winter, respectively. Mean DO levels were found to be 4.3 and 4.4 in summer and winter, respectively. The measured levels were far lower than those reported in Pandoh Lake, India [32], and Lake Beysehir, Turkey [34]. Variability of DO can be related to flow regime, seasonal effects, and anthropogenic impacts [35]. A warm water aquatic ecosystem

should have dissolved oxygen concentration of at least 5 mg/L in order to support the diversified biota [22]. Untreated discharge of municipal effluents, solid wastes from villages, nearby towns and cities, and wastes released from poultry farms in catchment areas may be possible reasons of lower DO.

The total dissolved solids (TDS) and electrical conductivity (EC) are important parameters as they can affect taste of water, in addition to affecting the soil structure, permeability, and aeration which indirectly affect the plants growth. Concentrations of both TDS and EC are generally correlated with human activities in the catchment areas. TDS varied as 65–80 and 91–238 mg/L, while EC ranged as 131–159 and 180–477 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ in summer and winter, successively. TDS and EC levels in both seasons were higher than reported levels from Pandoh Lake, India [32], and lower than the permissible limits set by PakEPA [30], USEPA [29], and WHO [27]. It indicated that the water from Mangle Lake was fresh having low salinity and minerals [36].

Presence of chloride ion (Cl^-) in surface water is mainly due to atmospheric deposition, weathering of sedimentary rocks, sewage effluents, agricultural, and road run offs. It is an indicator of possible fecal contamination and a measure of the extent of dispersion of sewage discharge in water bodies [37]. High concentrations of chloride ion can make waters unpalatable and unfit for drinking and livestock watering uses [2, 36]. Mean concentrations of Cl^- were found to be 11 and 8.8 mg/L in summer and winter, respectively. The measured Cl^- levels were lower than the levels in Manchar Lake, Pakistan [38], Watland of Wadi Gaza [39], and the water quality guidelines [27, 29, 30], but higher than those found in Pandoh Lake, India [32].

3.2. Dissolved Concentrations of Selected Metals in Water. The average and seasonal values for selected metals are shown in Table 3. Among the selected metals, Ca (43 and 79 mg/L

TABLE 4: Comparison of mean levels of water quality parameters of the present study with some other studies.

Water body	Cl ⁻ (mg/L)	DO (mg/L)	EC (μ S/cm)	pH	TA (mg/L)	TDS (mg/L)	Reference
Pandoh Lake, India (monsoon)	1.83	8.75	52.7	6.26	—	28.1	[32]
Pandoh Lake, India (winter)	4.63	7.75	118	7.79	—	68.9	[32]
Pandoh Lake, India (summer)	1.53	8.08	71.6	7.35	—	53.2	[32]
Wielkie Lake, W. Poland	—	—	—	7.7	—	—	[33]
Boszkowo Lake, W. Poland	—	—	—	7.7	—	—	[33]
Domimickie Lake, W. Poland	—	—	—	7.6	—	—	[33]
Lake Beysehir, Turkey	—	9.2	350	8.0	—	—	[34]
Manchar Lake, Pakistan	431.6	—	2310	8.4	125.8	—	[38]
Watland of Wadi Gaza (summer)	924	5.3	4200	7.6	—	—	[39]
Watland of Wadi Gaza (winter)	478	8.4	2180	8.39	—	—	[39]
Mangla Lake, Pakistan (summer)	11	4.3	140	8.0	144	70	Present study
Mangla Lake, Pakistan (winter)	8.8	4.4	250	7.8	94	125	Present study

in summer and winter), Mg (3.4 and 4.9 mg/L in summer and winter), K (1.3 mg/L in summer and winter), and Na (2.4 and 6.8 in summer and winter) were the dominant contributors, whereas Zn and Cd (0.03 mg/L in summer and winter), Cu (0.02 mg/L in summer and winter), Mn (0.01 and 0.02 mg/L in summer and winter), and Li (0.01 in summer and winter) were the least in both seasons. The mean metal concentrations in summer were in the order: Ca > Mg > Na > K > Pb > Co > Sr > Fe > Ni > Cr > Cd > Zn > Cu > Mn > Li, while in winter the trend was slightly different: Ca > Na > Mg > K > Pb > Sr > Co > Fe > Ni > Cr > Zn > Cd > Cu > Mn > Li. Average levels of metals such as Cd, Co, Cr, Fe, K, Ni, Pb, and Zn were measured relatively higher in summer, while Ca, Cu, Li, Mg, Mn, and Sr were recorded higher in winter. The mean and median levels of Fe, Li, Mg, Mn, Na, and Zn were almost equal in summer, while Cu, Fe, Li, Sr, and Zn showed similar average and median concentrations in winter. It demonstrated that these metals showed little variations in both seasons. However, high precipitation, snow melts, large water inputs, and increasing anthropogenic activities in summer elevated the dissolved concentrations of Cd, Co, Cr, Fe, K, Ni, Pb, and Zn in surface water.

Average concentrations of the metals were compared with water quality guidelines set by national and international authorities. The maximum concentrations of Fe and mean levels of Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, and Pb were higher than the maximum permitted concentrations established by WHO [27], USEPA [29], and PakePA [30] (Table 3). Measured concentrations of Cd, Co, and Pb were many times higher than the recommended water guidelines. In summer, 90% samples for Cd; 94% samples for Co, 63% samples for Cr, 75% for Ni, and 95% samples for Pb exceeded the water quality guidelines, whereas 89% samples for Cd and Co, 69% for Cr, 71% for Ni, and 94% samples for Pb surpassed the water guidelines in winter. Consequently, Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, and Pb emerged as the major pollutants in water samples from Mangla Lake in both seasons. It is therefore, recommended that much greater attention should be paid to the remedial measures of the emerging pollutants.

In this study, the selected metal concentrations from the water reservoir were compared with the results of other studies (Table 5). The measured mean levels of Ca were higher than those reported by Anshumali and Ramanathan [32] and lower than those reported by Shomar et al. [39] and the levels in Wielkie and Boszkowo Lakes, W. Poland [33], while K, Na, and Mg levels were lower than the reported by Mastoi et al. [38], Shomar et al. [39] and Szymanowska et al. [33]. Among the metals, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, and Pb levels were found to be higher than reported levels by Mastoi et al. [38], Majagi et al. [40], Duman et al. [41], and Shomar et al. [39] but lower than those reported by Szymanowska et al. [33]. Iron and Zn mean that concentrations were higher than the results reported by Mastoi et al. [38] but lower than those reported by Majagi et al. [40], Duman et al. [41], Lokeshwari and Chandrappa [42, 43], and Szymanowska et al. [33], whereas Mn average concentrations were lower than those reported by Majagi et al. [40], Duman et al. [41], and Szymanowska et al. [33].

3.3. Source Identification of Selected Metals in Water. The correlation study was carried out to find the plausible associations of selected metals in surface water from Mangla Lake (Table 6). In summer, strong positive associations were found between Mg-Na ($r = 0.71$), while significant correlations were noted between Mg-Mn ($r = 0.58$), Mn-Na ($r = 0.60$), and Ca-Mg ($r = 0.50$). Some negative associations were also noted between Ca-Ni, Cd-K, Co-Na, K-Cr-Li, and Ni-Sr. In winter, strong positive correlation was observed between Na-Ca ($r = 0.77$) and Na-Mg ($r = 0.68$), while other positive associations were between Ca-Mg, Sr-Cr, Sr-Mg, Zn-Cd, and Cu-Cr. Some negative correlations between Co-Ca, K-Ni, Ni-Sr, and Zn-Mg were also noted. It demonstrated that the metals showing positive mutual associations were likely to be contributed by same sources, while metals showing negative associations were found to have opposite distributions in surface water.

Furthermore, multivariate principal component analysis (PCA) was employed in order to understand the complex

TABLE 5: Comparison of mean concentrations of selected metals of the present study with some other studies.

Water body	Ca	Cd	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	K	Li	Mg	Mn	Na	Ni	Pb	Sr	Zn	Reference
Pandoh Lake, India (monsoon)	10.7	—	—	—	—	—	1.87	—	2.45	—	2.1	—	—	—	—	[32]
Pandoh Lake, India (winter)	24.43	—	—	—	—	—	2.46	—	6.32	—	5.51	—	—	—	—	[32]
Pandoh Lake, India (summer)	7.99	—	—	—	—	—	1.91	—	1.17	—	3.87	—	—	—	—	[32]
Wielkie Lake, W. Poland	82	7.81	13.4	5.69	5.46	110	6.9	—	15.9	4.09	—	10.7	76.5	—	—	[33]
Boszkowo Lake, W. Poland	108	11.14	24.7	7.25	5.63	180	7.2	—	15.1	2.86	—	11.2	61.2	—	—	[33]
Domimickie Lake, W. Poland	51	8.47	14.3	5.87	3.93	140	2.9	—	16.3	3.23	—	9.0	63.4	—	—	[33]
Lake Beysehir, Turkey	—	0.11	—	0.086	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.028	—	—	[34]
Manchar Lake, Pakistan	70.7	0.001	0.004	—	0.0089	0.012	17.6	—	56.2	—	521.5	0.0043	0.009	—	0.0157	[38]
Watland of Wadi Gaza (summer)	136	0.006	0.043	0.065	0.004	0.382	—	—	89	0.423	678	—	0.012	—	0.082	[39]
Watland of Wadi Gaza (winter)	102.9	0.0016	0.023	0.0206	0.0165	0.0091	—	—	65.7	0.267	124	—	0.0408	—	0.15	[39]
Karanja Reservoir, India	—	—	—	—	0.211	0.585	—	—	—	0.225	—	0.778	1.103	—	0.2103	[40]
Sapanca Lake, Turkey	—	0.003	—	0.062	0.018	—	—	—	—	0.023	—	0.046	0.036	—	0.089	[41]
Bellandur Lake, India	—	0.0007	—	0.006	0.012	1.09	—	—	—	—	—	0.003	0.009	—	0.132	[42]
Lalbagh Tank, India	—	—	—	0.0001	0.001	0.166	—	—	—	—	—	0.001	0.0004	—	0.043	[43]
Mangla Lake, Pakistan (summer)	43	0.03	0.25	0.08	0.02	0.15	1.3	0.01	3.4	0.01	2.4	0.13	0.38	0.19	0.03	Present study
Mangla Lake, Pakistan (winter)	79	0.03	0.16	0.07	0.02	0.13	1.3	0.01	4.9	0.02	6.8	0.11	0.34	0.22	0.03	Present study

TABLE 6: Correlation coefficients matrix (r) for selected metals in water samples in summer (below the diagonal) and winter (above the diagonal) from Mangla Lake ($n = 150$).

	Ca	Cd	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	K	Li	Mg	Mn	Na	Ni	Pb	Sr	Zn
Ca	1	-0.10	-0.31	-0.17	0.15	-0.04	-0.09	0.24	0.59	0.14	0.77	0.01	-0.08	-0.03	-0.12
Cd	0.01	1	-0.11	0.01	-0.20	-0.12	0.01	0.18	-0.26	-0.16	-0.23	0.10	-0.09	-0.17	0.44
Co	-0.16	0.05	1	-0.21	-0.04	0.12	-0.12	-0.19	-0.38	-0.08	-0.31	-0.11	0.04	-0.25	0.12
Cr	0.28	0.03	-0.24	1	0.39	0.01	0.01	-0.12	0.31	-0.07	0.14	-0.14	0.30	0.58	-0.04
Cu	-0.11	0.05	0.17	-0.11	1	-0.05	-0.12	-0.06	0.25	-0.01	0.29	0.04	0.03	0.13	-0.24
Fe	0.36	0.25	-0.15	0.44	-0.01	1	0.11	0.09	0.13	-0.17	0.06	-0.17	0.08	0.28	-0.23
K	-0.14	-0.48	-0.05	-0.34	-0.14	-0.29	1	0.34	0.36	-0.15	-0.28	-0.31	0.22	0.12	0.14
Li	-0.45	-0.23	0.26	-0.51	0.35	-0.48	0.14	1	0.26	0.02	0.05	-0.10	-0.01	-0.07	0.18
Mg	0.50	-0.01	-0.31	0.32	-0.11	0.30	0.06	-0.09	1	-0.12	0.68	-0.23	0.26	0.49	-0.14
Mn	0.25	0.11	-0.12	0.21	-0.19	0.41	-0.15	-0.03	0.58	1	0.14	-0.12	-0.25	-0.15	-0.37
Na	0.13	-0.15	-0.41	0.22	-0.14	0.13	0.12	0.06	0.71	0.60	1	-0.05	0.02	0.16	-0.28
Ni	-0.45	-0.04	0.14	-0.12	0.18	-0.17	0.22	0.45	-0.09	-0.19	-0.10	1	0.04	-0.35	0.09
Pb	-0.06	-0.14	-0.11	-0.16	0.21	0.15	0.19	0.12	0.07	-0.11	0.09	0.20	1	0.20	0.15
Sr	0.28	0.43	-0.18	0.20	-0.06	0.49	-0.24	-0.32	0.09	0.11	-0.02	-0.33	0.04	1	-0.17
Zn	-0.02	0.25	0.01	-0.02	-0.27	0.42	-0.03	-0.22	0.01	0.22	-0.03	0.17	-0.07	0.32	1

* r values > 0.33 of < -0.33 are significant at $P < 0.01$.

TABLE 7: Principal component loadings for selected metals in summer and winter.

	Summer						Winter				
	PC 1	PC 2	PC 3	PC 4	PC 5	PC 6	PC 1	PC 2	PC 3	PC 4	PC 5
Eigenvalue	3.8	2.3	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.0	3.2	2.2	1.9	1.5	1.2
% total variance	25	15	10	9.2	8.6	6.7	21	15	13	10	8.0
% cumulative variance	25	40	51	60	69	75	21	36	48	59	67
Ca	0.73	0.27	0.13	0.01	0.06	-0.20	0.91	-0.17	0.01	0.004	-0.09
Cd	-0.02	-0.06	0.71	0.17	-0.29	0.05	-0.17	-0.09	0.72	0.14	0.10
Co	0.07	-0.27	0.12	0.19	-0.34	0.49	-0.27	0.37	0.44	-0.16	0.22
Cr	0.10	0.19	-0.06	0.08	-0.19	0.89	-0.02	0.90	-0.08	-0.08	0.14
Cu	0.03	-0.10	0.14	0.82	0.19	0.13	0.44	0.27	0.11	-0.38	0.02
Fe	0.69	0.25	-0.15	0.001	0.19	-0.40	0.61	0.002	-0.01	0.28	0.21
K	0.46	0.49	0.10	0.48	0.03	0.26	-0.05	0.08	0.01	0.79	0.20
Li	-0.30	0.16	0.47	0.61	0.03	0.35	0.33	-0.21	-0.25	0.61	0.03
Mg	0.01	0.85	-0.19	0.01	0.13	-0.18	0.76	0.39	0.18	0.32	0.21
Mn	0.26	0.83	-0.07	-0.09	-0.21	0.03	0.06	-0.02	0.05	-0.02	0.81
Na	-0.15	0.87	-0.01	-0.06	0.11	-0.13	0.88	0.14	0.17	-0.19	-0.08
Ni	-0.03	-0.04	0.88	0.05	0.18	0.03	0.08	0.59	-0.33	-0.27	0.23
Pb	0.06	0.02	0.10	0.22	0.85	0.04	0.03	0.26	0.65	0.04	0.11
Sr	0.70	-0.02	-0.39	-0.04	0.17	-0.08	0.77	0.08	0.23	0.24	0.10
Zn	0.68	0.06	0.28	-0.54	0.02	0.04	-0.17	-0.21	-0.37	0.15	0.52

nature of associations among the metals (Table 7). In summer, six principal components (PCs) with eigenvalues > 1 that explained about 75% of the total variance of the dataset were obtained. Principal component 1 (PC 1), which accounted for 25% of the total variance, had elevated loadings (>0.70) for Ca, Sr, Fe, and Zn, and a moderate loading for K. PC 2, which accounted for 15% of the total variance, exhibited higher loadings for Mg, Mn, K, and Na. PC 3 (10% of total variance) revealed positive loadings for Cd and Ni, whereas PC4 exhibited higher contributions of Cu, K, and Li. PC 5 and PC 6 had higher loadings for Pb and Co-Cr, respectively.

Alternatively in winter, five PCs with eigenvalues > 1 that explained about 67% of the total variance of the data were obtained. PC 1, which accounted for 21% of the total variance, had strong loadings (>0.75) for Ca, Mg, Na, and Sr and moderate loadings for Cu and Fe. PC 2, which accounted for 15% of the total variance, exhibited mutual associations for Co, Cr, and Ni, whereas PC 3 had higher loadings in the favor of Cd, Co, and Pb. PC 4 and PC 5 exhibited mutual associations of K-Li and Mn-Zn, respectively. Mean levels Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, and Na never exceeded the maximum permitted levels established by WHO, USEPA, and PakePA,

whereas average concentrations of Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, and Pb were higher than the recommended water quality guidelines established by the national and international authorities (Table 3). Therefore, Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, and Pb were attributed to the anthropogenic intrusions such as atmospheric deposition, agricultural activities, untreated urban, and industrial wastes [44–51].

3.4. Health Risk Assessment of Selected Metals in Surface Water. The human health risk assessment methodology pertaining to aquatic ecosystems has been described elsewhere [10, 52–54]. Human beings may expose to metals through three main pathways including direct ingestion, inhalation through mouth and nose, and dermal absorption through skin exposures; ingestion and dermal absorption are common for water exposure [52–54]. The numeric expressions for risk assessment were obtained from the USEPA Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS) methodology [52]:

$$D_{\text{ing}} = \frac{C_{\text{water}} \times \text{IR} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED}}{\text{BW} \times \text{AT}}, \quad (1)$$

$$D_{\text{derm}} = \frac{C_{\text{water}} \times \text{SA} \times K_p \times \text{ET} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{CF}}{\text{BW} \times \text{AT}}, \quad (2)$$

where D_{ing} is exposure dose through ingestion of water ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}\cdot\text{day}$); D_{derm} is exposure dose through dermal absorption ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}\cdot\text{day}$); C_{water} is concentration of the estimated metals in surface water ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$); IR is ingestion rate (L/day, 2.2 for adults and 1.8 for children); EF is exposure frequency (days/year, 350); ED is exposure duration (years, 70 for adults and 6 for children); BW is average body weight (kg, 70 for adults and 15 for children); AT is averaging time (days, 25550 for adults and 2190 for children); SA is exposed skin area (cm^2 , 18000 for adults and 6600 for children); ET is exposure time (hours/day, 0.58 for adults and 1 for children); CF is unit conversion factor (L/cm^3 , 0.001); and K_p is dermal permeability coefficient (cm/h), 0.001 for Cd, Cu, Fe, Li, Sr, and Mn; 0.002 for Cr; 0.004 for Co, Pb, and Ni; and 0.0006 for Zn [10, 52–55].

Potential noncarcinogenic risks for exposure to contaminants were assessed by comparison of the calculated contaminant exposures from each exposure route with the reference dose (RfD) in order to produce the hazard quotient (HQ), defined as follows [52]:

$$\text{HQ}_{\text{ing/derm}} = \frac{D_{\text{ing/derm}}}{\text{RfD}_{\text{ing/derm}}}, \quad (3)$$

where $\text{HQ}_{\text{ing/derm}}$ is hazard quotient via ingestion or dermal contact (unitless) and $\text{RfD}_{\text{ing/derm}}$ is oral/dermal reference dose ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}\cdot\text{day}$). The RfD_{ing} and RfD_{derm} values were obtained from the literature elsewhere [10, 52, 54, 55].

The hazard quotient (HQ) is a numeric estimate of the systemic toxicity potential posed by a single element within a single route of exposure. To evaluate the overall potential for noncarcinogenic effects posed by more than one element, the

computed HQs for each element are integrated and expressed as a hazard index (HI) [52]:

$$\text{HI} = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{HQ}_{\text{ing/derm}}, \quad (4)$$

where $\text{HI}_{\text{ing/derm}}$ is hazard index via ingestion or dermal contact (unitless). When HQ/HI exceeds unity, there may be a concern for potential human health risks caused by exposure to noncarcinogenic elements [52].

Noncarcinogenic health risk assessment summary for the selected metals in the water for adults and children via ingestion and dermal routes is given in Table 8. For adults via ingestion route, the mean HQ_{ing} levels were found in the order of $\text{Co} > \text{Pb} > \text{Cd} > \text{Cr} > \text{Ni} > \text{Li} > \text{Mn} > \text{Cu} > \text{Sr} > \text{Fe} > \text{Zn}$ and $\text{Co} > \text{Pb} > \text{Cd} > \text{Cr} > \text{Ni} > \text{Mn} > \text{Cu} > \text{Sr} > \text{Fe} > \text{Zn} > \text{Li}$ in summer and winter, respectively. The results demonstrated that Co, Pb, Cd, Cr, and Ni were the major contributor towards noncarcinogenic risks, whereas Fe, Zn, Li, and Sr were the least. Cadmium, Co, and Pb ($\text{HQ} \gg 1.0$) might pose severe adverse health effects for the adults in both seasons. Moreover, there was more risk for adults in summer than winter via ingestion route. Alternatively, the average HQ_{derm} levels were found in the sequence of $\text{Cr} > \text{Co} > \text{Cd} > \text{Pb} > \text{Mn} > \text{Ni} > \text{Li} > \text{Cu} > \text{Sr} > \text{Fe} > \text{Zn}$ and $\text{Cr} > \text{Cd} > \text{Co} > \text{Pb} > \text{Mn} > \text{Li} > \text{Ni} > \text{Cu} > \text{Sr} > \text{Fe} > \text{Zn}$ in summer and winter, successively. The results revealed that Cr, Co, Cd, and Pb were the main contributors towards the adverse risks and Sr, Fe, and Zn were the minor participants to pose adverse effects for the adults. However, the mean HQ_{derm} levels were very less than unity, demonstrating that the metals might pose little or no adverse risks to the local population via dermal absorption of surface water.

Conversely noncarcinogenic health risk assessment was also calculated for the most sensitive population (children). Through ingestion route, the HQ_{ing} levels were found in order of $\text{Co} > \text{Pb} > \text{Cd} > \text{Cr} > \text{Ni} > \text{Li} > \text{Mn} > \text{Cu} > \text{Sr} > \text{Fe} > \text{Zn}$ in both seasons. The calculated HQ_{ing} levels of Co, Pb, Cd, and Cr were higher than safety limit unity, indicating that these metals were the priority pollutants through oral ingestion of surface water for children. On the contrary through dermal contact of surface water, the HQ_{derm} values were found in the order of $\text{Cr} > \text{Co} > \text{Cd} > \text{Pb} > \text{Mn} > \text{Ni} > \text{Li} > \text{Cu} > \text{Sr} > \text{Fe} > \text{Zn}$ and $\text{Cr} > \text{Cd} > \text{Co} > \text{Pb} > \text{Mn} > \text{Mn} > \text{Li} > \text{Ni} > \text{Cu} > \text{Sr} > \text{Fe} > \text{Zn}$ in summer and winter, respectively. Chromium, Cd, Co, and Pb were the priority pollutants, whereas Sr, Fe, and Zn were the least priority elements for children through dermal absorption of surface water in the studied area. However, the HQ_{derm} levels were found to be lower than unity, indicating that there was little or no risk for children through dermal route.

HI_{ing} and HI_{derm} were also calculated to evaluate the overall noncarcinogenic risk posed by selected metals via ingestion and dermal contact of water as a whole. For adults, Cd, Cr, Pb, and Co were found to be the major contributors to the mean values of HI_{ing} ($3.7\text{E}+01$ in summer and $2.6\text{E}+01$ in winter), suggesting that these metals deserved serious health concern via ingestion route. However, the mean value of and HQ_{derm} levels ($7.8\text{E}-04$ in summer and $6.4\text{E}-04$ in winter)

TABLE 8: Noncarcinogenic health risk assessment summary for the selected metals in the water for adults and children via ingestion and dermal routes.

	RfD_{ing} ($\mu g/kg\text{-day}$)	RfD_{derm} ($\mu g/kg\text{-day}$)	Adults				Children			
			Summer		Winter		Summer		Winter	
			HQ_{ing}	HQ_{derm}	HQ_{ing}	HQ_{derm}	HQ_{ing}	HQ_{derm}	HQ_{ing}	HQ_{derm}
Cd	0.5	0.025	$2.0E+00$	$1.9E-04$	$1.6E+00$	$1.6E-04$	$7.6E+00$	$5.6E-04$	$6.3E+00$	$4.6E-04$
Co	0.3	0.06	$2.5E+01$	$2.4E-04$	$1.6E+01$	$1.5E-04$	$9.7E+01$	$7.1E-04$	$6.1E+01$	$4.5E-04$
Cr	3	0.075	$7.8E-01$	$3.0E-04$	$7.5E-01$	$2.9E-04$	$3.0E+00$	$8.7E-04$	$2.9E+00$	$8.4E-04$
Cu	40	8	$1.4E-02$	$3.3E-07$	$1.7E-02$	$4.0E-07$	$5.3E-02$	$9.6E-07$	$6.4E-02$	$1.2E-06$
Fe	700	140	$6.4E-03$	$1.5E-07$	$5.8E-03$	$1.4E-07$	$2.4E-02$	$4.5E-07$	$2.2E-02$	$4.0E-07$
Li	2	1	$1.3E-01$	$1.2E-06$	$1.3E-06$	$1.3E-06$	$5.8E-02$	$3.5E-06$	$4.9E-01$	$3.8E-06$
Mn	24	0.96	$1.7E-02$	$2.0E-06$	$2.3E-02$	$2.7E-06$	$5.8E-02$	$6.0E-06$	$7.7E-02$	$8.0E-06$
Ni	20	5.4	$1.9E-01$	$1.4E-06$	$1.6E-01$	$1.1E-06$	$6.2E-01$	$4.0E-06$	$5.1E-01$	$3.3E-06$
Pb	1.4	0.42	$8.2E+00$	$5.2E-05$	$7.3E+00$	$4.6E-05$	$2.5E+01$	$1.5E-04$	$2.2E+01$	$1.4E-04$
Sr	600	120	$9.6E-03$	$2.3E-07$	$1.1E-02$	$2.6E-07$	$3.7E-02$	$6.7E-07$	$4.2E-02$	$7.7E-07$
Zn	300	60	$3.2E-03$	$4.6E-08$	$3.1E-03$	$4.5E-08$	$1.2E-02$	$1.4E-07$	$1.2E-02$	$1.3E-07$
$HI_{ing/derm}$			$3.7E+01$	$7.8E-04$	$2.6E+01$	$6.4E-04$	$1.3E+02$	$2.3E-03$	$9.3E+01$	$1.9E-03$

were found to be lower than unity, demonstrating that all the selected metals posed little or no hazard to adults through dermal contacts. For children, the mean HI_{ing} values were $1.3E + 02$ and $9.3E + 01$ in summer and winter, respectively. Hence, Cd, Cr, Co, and Pb were the major pollutants through ingestion route.

Overall, among the selected metals, Cd, Co, Cr, and Pb emerged as priority pollutants for both adults and children through ingestion intake of surface water. However, the extent of adverse health risks was more for children than adults in both seasons, since the largest contributors towards chronic noncarcinogenic risks were Cd, Cr, Pb, and Co in the present investigation. Therefore, special attention should be paid to manage these toxic metals in the study area.

4. Conclusions

The present study showed diverse variations of selected metals in surface water in summer and winter from the freshwater Mangla Lake, Pakistan. The mean levels of Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, and Pb were found to be higher than national and international acceptable levels in both seasons. Moreover, their mean levels were found to be higher in summer than winter, demonstrating more risk for adults and children in summer. Multivariate PCA indicated major anthropogenic contributions of Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, and Pb in the water reservoir. Noncarcinogenic health risk assessment was carried out to find out adverse health risks for adults and children. For adults and children, Cd, Co, Cr, and Pb emerged as priority pollutants through ingestion intake of surface water in both seasons. However, children were more susceptible to adverse health risks than adults. Alternatively, the selected metals posed little or no risks to the local residents via dermal contact with surface water. The results demonstrated that the inputs of Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, and Pb should be reduced and managed on priority basis in the area. It is also suggested that the metals pollution should be considered as a vital part for future planning and management strategies for restoration of water quality of the lake reservoir.

Conflict of Interests

The authors do not have any conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The funding by Higher Education Commission, Government of Pakistan, to carry out this project is thankfully acknowledged. The authors are also grateful to the administration of Mangla Dam, Mirpur, Pakistan, for their help during sample collection. Technical and financial help by Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan, to execute this project is also acknowledged.

References

- [1] F. C. Yu, G. H. Fang, and X. W. Ru, "Eutrophication, health risk assessment and spatial analysis of water quality in Gucheng Lake, China," *Environmental Earth Sciences*, vol. 59, no. 8, pp. 1741–1748, 2009.
- [2] L. T. Ajibade, "Assessment of water quality along River Asa, Ilorin, Nigeria," *Environmentalist*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 11–18, 2004.
- [3] S. R. Carpenter, N. F. Caraco, D. L. Correll, R. W. Howarth, A. N. Sharpley, and V. H. Smith, "Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen," *Ecological Applications*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 559–568, 1998.
- [4] H. P. Jarvie, B. A. Whitton, and C. Neal, "Nitrogen and phosphorus in east coast British rivers: speciation, sources and biological significance," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 210–211, pp. 79–109, 1998.
- [5] V. Simeonov, J. A. Stratis, C. Samara et al., "Assessment of the surface water quality in Northern Greece," *Water Research*, vol. 37, no. 17, pp. 4119–4124, 2003.
- [6] K. Bengraïne and T. F. Marhaba, "Using principal component analysis to monitor spatial and temporal changes in water quality," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 100, no. 1–3, pp. 179–195, 2003.
- [7] S. Li, Z. Xu, X. Cheng, and Q. Zhang, "Dissolved trace elements and heavy metals in the Danjiangkou Reservoir, China," *Environmental Geology*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 977–983, 2008.
- [8] S. Li, X. Cheng, Z. Xu, H. Han, and Q. Zhang, "Spatial and temporal patterns of the water quality in the Danjiangkou Reservoir, China," *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 124–134, 2009.
- [9] S. Li, W. Liu, S. Gu, X. Cheng, Z. Xu, and Q. Zhang, "Spatio-temporal dynamics of nutrients in the upper Han River basin, China," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 162, no. 2–3, pp. 1340–1346, 2009.
- [10] S. Li and Q. Zhang, "Risk assessment and seasonal variations of dissolved trace elements and heavy metals in the Upper Han River, China," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 181, no. 1–3, pp. 1051–1058, 2010.
- [11] E. Pertsemli and D. Voutsas, "Distribution of heavy metals in Lakes Doirani and Kerkini, Northern Greece," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 148, no. 3, pp. 529–537, 2007.
- [12] R. S. Ayers and D. W. Westcot, "Water quality for agriculture," Paper 29, Rev 1, FAO Irrigation and Drainage, 1994.
- [13] C. C. M. Ip, X. Li, G. Zhang, O. W. H. Wai, and Y. Li, "Trace metal distribution in sediments of the Pearl River Estuary and the surrounding coastal area, South China," *Environmental Pollution*, vol. 147, no. 2, pp. 311–323, 2007.
- [14] C. Simsek and O. Gunduz, "IWQ Index: a GIS-integrated technique to assess irrigation water quality," *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, vol. 128, no. 1–3, pp. 277–300, 2007.
- [15] P. Woitke, J. Wellmitz, D. Helm, P. Kube, P. Lepom, and P. Litherat, "Analysis and assessment of heavy metal pollution in suspended solids and sediments of the river Danube," *Chemosphere*, vol. 51, no. 8, pp. 633–642, 2003.
- [16] S. Olivares-Rieumont, D. De La Rosa, L. Lima et al., "Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments-Havana City, Cuba," *Water Research*, vol. 39, no. 16, pp. 3945–3953, 2005.
- [17] A. Farkas, C. Erratico, and L. Viganò, "Assessment of the environmental significance of heavy metal pollution in surficial sediments of the River Po," *Chemosphere*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 761–768, 2007.
- [18] I. Haq and S. T. Abbas, "Sedimentation of Tarbela and Mangla reservoirs," in *Proceedings of the 70th Annual Session of the Pakistan Engineering Congress*, Lahore, Pakistan, 2007.

- [19] M. J. Butt, R. Mahmood, and A. Waqas, "Sediments deposition due to soil erosion in the watershed region of Mangla Dam," *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, vol. 181, no. 1–4, pp. 419–429, 2011.
- [20] Z. Ali, S. Y. Shelly, F. Bibi et al., "Peculiarities of mangla reservoir: biodiversity with sustainable use options," *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 372–380, 2011.
- [21] American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation, *Standard Methods for the Examinations of Water and Wastewaters*, American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water Environment Federation, Washington, DC, USA, 19th edition, 1995.
- [22] M. Radojevic and V. N. Bashkin, *Practical Environmental Analysis*, The Royal Society of Chemistry, London, UK, 1999.
- [23] M. H. Shah, J. Iqbal, N. Shaheen, N. Khan, M. A. Choudhary, and G. Akhter, "Assessment of background levels of trace metals in water and soil from a remote region of Himalaya," *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 1243–1252, 2012.
- [24] S. R. Tariq, M. H. Shah, N. Shaheen, M. Jaffar, and A. Khalique, "Statistical source identification of metals in groundwater exposed to industrial contamination," *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, vol. 138, no. 1–3, pp. 159–165, 2008.
- [25] StatSoft Inc, *Statistica for Windows, Computer Programme Manual*, StatSoft Inc, Tulsa, Okla, USA, 1999.
- [26] J. Iqbal and M. H. Shah, "Distribution, correlation and risk assessment of selected metals in urban soils from Islamabad, Pakistan," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 192, no. 2, pp. 887–898, 2011.
- [27] WHO, *Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality: Recommendations Incorporating 1st and 2nd Addenda*, vol. 1, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 3rd edition, 2008.
- [28] S. Adams, R. Titus, K. Pietersen, G. Tredoux, and C. Harris, "Hydrochemical characteristics of aquifers near Sutherland in the Western Karoo, South Africa," *Journal of Hydrology*, vol. 241, no. 1–2, pp. 91–103, 2001.
- [29] USEPA, "Drinking water standards and health advisories," EPA 822-R-09-011, Office of Water, US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA, 2009.
- [30] PakEPA, *National Standards for Drinking Water Quality*, Pakistan Environmental Protection Agency (Pak-EPA), Ministry of Environment, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad, Pakistan, 2008.
- [31] S. Tokalioglu, S. Kartal, and L. Elci, "Speciation and determination of heavy metals in lake waters by atomic absorption spectrometry after sorption on amberlite XAD-16 resin," *Analytical Sciences*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 1169–1174, 2000.
- [32] A. Anshumali and A. L. Ramanathan, "Seasonal variation in the major ion chemistry of Pandoh Lake, Mandi District, Himachal Pradesh, India," *Applied Geochemistry*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 1736–1747, 2007.
- [33] A. Szymanowska, A. Samecka-Cymerman, and A. J. Kempers, "Heavy metals in three lakes in West Poland," *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 21–29, 1999.
- [34] A. Altindağ and S. Yiğit, "Assessment of heavy metal concentrations in the food web of lake Beyşehir, Turkey," *Chemosphere*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 552–556, 2005.
- [35] D. Voutsas, E. Manoli, C. Samara, M. Sofoniou, and I. Stratis, "A study of surface water quality in Macedonia, Greece: speciation of nitrogen and phosphorus," *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, vol. 129, no. 1–4, pp. 13–32, 2001.
- [36] C. A. J. Appelo and D. Postma, *Chemical Analysis of Groundwater, Geochemistry, Groundwater and Pollution*, Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [37] D. Chapman, *Water Quality Assessments-A Guide to Use of Biota, Sediments and Water in Environmental monitoring*, UNESCO/WHO/UNEP, Washington, DC, USA; E&FN Spon, London, UK; Chapman and Hall, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 2nd edition, 1996.
- [38] G. M. Mastoi, S. G. S. Shah, and M. Y. Khuhawar, "Assessment of water quality of Manchar Lake in Sindh (Pakistan)," *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, vol. 141, no. 1–3, pp. 287–296, 2008.
- [39] B. H. Shomar, G. Müller, and A. Yahya, "Seasonal variations of chemical composition of water and bottom sediments in the wetland of Wadi Gaza, Gaza Strip," *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 419–431, 2005.
- [40] S. H. Majagi, K. Vijaykumar, and B. Vasanthkumar, "Concentration of heavy metals in Karanja reservoir, Bidar district, Karnataka, India," *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, vol. 138, no. 1–3, pp. 273–279, 2008.
- [41] F. Duman, G. Sezen, and G. Nilhantug, "Seasonal changes of some heavy metal concentrations in Sapanca Lake water, Turkey," *International Journal of Natural and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 25–28, 2007.
- [42] H. Lokeshwari and G. T. Chandrappa, "Heavy metals content in water, water hyacinth and sediments of Lalbagh tank, Bangalore (India)," *Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 183–188, 2006.
- [43] H. Lokeshwari and G. T. Chandrappa, "Impact of heavy metal contamination of Bellandur Lake on soil and cultivated vegetation," *Current Science*, vol. 91, no. 5, pp. 622–627, 2006.
- [44] J. Bai, R. Xiao, B. Cui et al., "Assessment of heavy metal pollution in wetland soils from the young and old reclaimed regions in the Pearl River Estuary, South China," *Environmental Pollution*, vol. 159, no. 3, pp. 817–824, 2011.
- [45] A. Facchinelli, E. Sacchi, and L. Mallen, "Multivariate statistical and GIS-based approach to identify heavy metal sources in soils," *Environmental Pollution*, vol. 114, no. 3, pp. 313–324, 2001.
- [46] S. Frickel and J. R. Elliott, "Tracking industrial land use conversions: a new approach for studying relict waste and urban development," *Organization and Environment*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 128–147, 2008.
- [47] H. Yongming, D. Peixuan, C. Junji, and E. S. Posmentier, "Multivariate analysis of heavy metal contamination in urban dusts of Xi'an, Central China," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 355, no. 1–3, pp. 176–186, 2006.
- [48] P. T. M. Hanh, S. Sthiannopkao, K. Kim, D. T. Ba, and N. Q. Hung, "Anthropogenic influence on surface water quality of the Nhue and Day sub-river systems in Vietnam," *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 227–236, 2010.
- [49] C. Micó, L. Recatalá, M. Peris, and J. Sánchez, "Assessing heavy metal sources in agricultural soils of an European Mediterranean area by multivariate analysis," *Chemosphere*, vol. 65, no. 5, pp. 863–872, 2006.
- [50] S. Sakan, D. Dordević, G. Dević, D. Relić, I. Andelković, and J. Đuričić, "A study of trace element contamination in river sediments in Serbia using microwave-assisted aqua regia digestion and multivariate statistical analysis," *Microchemical Journal*, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. 492–502, 2011.
- [51] I. Zacharias, I. Bertachas, N. Skoulidakis, and T. Koussouris, "Greek Lakes: limnological overview," *Lakes and Reservoirs*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 55–62, 2002.

- [52] USEPA, "Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Vol. 1, Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A)," Report EPA/540/1-89/002, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA, 1989.
- [53] US EPA, "Risk assessment guidance for superfund, Vol. 1, Human health evaluation manual (Part E, supplemental guidance for dermal risk assessment)," Tech. Rep. EPA/540/R/99/005, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation, U.S Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA, 2004.
- [54] B. Wu, D. Y. Zhao, H. Y. Jia, Y. Zhang, X. X. Zhang, and S. P. Cheng, "Preliminary risk assessment of trace metal pollution in surface water from Yangtze River in Nanjing section, China," *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 405–409, 2009.
- [55] F. Liang, S. Yang, and C. Sun, "Primary health risk analysis of metals in surface water of Taihu Lake, China," *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, vol. 87, no. 4, pp. 404–408, 2011.