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The stator slot geometry of a cable wound permanent magnet synchronous generator for hydrokinetic energy conversion is evaluated. Practical experience from winding two cable wound generators is used to propose optimized dimensions of different parts in the stator slot geometry. A thorough investigation is performed through simulations of how small geometrical changes alter the generator performance. The finite element method (FEM) is used to model the generator and the simulations show that small changes in the geometry can have large effect on the performance of the generator. Furthermore, it is concluded that the load angle is especially sensitive to small geometrical changes. A new generator design is proposed which shows improved efficiency, reduced weight, and a possibility to decrease the expensive permanent magnet material by almost one-fifth.

1. Introduction

The permanent magnet geometry and stator slot geometry are important design parameters in the magnetic circuit of an electrical machine. In addition, utilizing cable winding presents new stator slot geometries that have not previously been studied in great detail. In this paper, the stator slot design of a cable wound permanent magnet synchronous generator for hydrokinetic energy conversion is studied using finite element (FE) simulations. The importance of changes on the millimetre scale in the stator slot geometry is discussed based on the practical experience from the design and the assembly of two prototypes.

The generator is designed to be directly connected to a fixed pitch vertical axis turbine, operating at both variable speed and power to electrically control the tip speed ratio of the turbine [1]. The generator is also intended to efficiently brake the turbine at water velocities above nominal operation, implying that a low load angle at nominal operation is preferable. Other direct drive designs for tidal turbines have been proposed recently; see, for instance, [2–6], but as the focus is on the stator slot geometry, the work presented here is more closely related to studies on other cable wound machine designs for wind power [7], wave power [8], and the high voltage Powerformer [9].

The starting point, or reference geometry, is that of the first prototype presented in [10], rated at 5 kW and 150 V at 10 rpm. As with most early prototypes, the generator design at hand can most likely be improved in many aspects. In this study, however, the focus is on possible performance gains by changing the stator slot geometry in the existing design. In order to incorporate practical experience from construction of two prototypes, the influence of one parameter at a time is discussed rather than a traditional optimization study. Such improvements would likely be relevant even if other parameters in the design would be changed.

2. Reference Machine Characteristics

The 5 kW reference machine has 120 poles and is designed for the low velocities presented by tidal currents. Surface mounted Nd$_2$Fe$_14$B magnets are used and the stator is stacked with laser cut M800-100A sheets with a single row of six 16 mm$^2$ cables in each slot as seen in Figure 1.

The magnet width and slot opening design of the reference machine have largely evolved from a previous study of
a cable wound linear generator [8]. In this case, however, the focus is on the interior geometry of the stator slots. At first, it should be noted that the cable windings are not inserted radially from the air gap. Rather, the cable is inserted axially into each stator slot position and wound in a fractional (7/5) wave winding. No slot wedges are used, but instead the stator slot opening is narrow to prevent the cables from entering the air gap. Furthermore, a small waist is introduced between each cable to prevent them from rubbing against each other during the winding procedure and to keep them fixed in place during operation.

The main characteristics of the reference generator are presented in Table 1. The stator slot geometry is detailed in column one of Table 2 and Figure 2, and the resulting performance is summarized in the first column of Table 3.

### 3. FE Model of the Generator

The generator is designed with the aid of an in-house developed design tool and the combined set of field and circuit equations are solved in the finite element environment ACE [11]. The magnetic field inside the core of the generator is assumed to be axisymmetrical and modeled in two dimensions. The displacement field is neglected and the permanent magnets are modeled using the current sheet approach [12]. Furthermore, coil end impedances are introduced in the circuit equations, the laminated stator core is modeled using a single-valued magnetization curve, and a correction factor of 1.5 is used for all iron losses.

The model used is described in more detail in [13] and simulations of the first prototype have been compared to experiments in [10]. The mesh includes around 33000 elements and second-order shape functions are used.

### Table 1: Reference machine main design parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>New design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>5 kW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>150 V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational speed</td>
<td>10 rpm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of poles</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractional winding</td>
<td>7/5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator outer diameter</td>
<td>2000 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator inner diameter</td>
<td>1835 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air gap</td>
<td>10.5 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine length</td>
<td>270 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet height</td>
<td>13 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet width</td>
<td>32 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM remanence</td>
<td>1.22 T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Stator slot geometry of the reference machine compared to the suggested improved geometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>New design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slot opening</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot width ($w_{slot}$)</td>
<td>8 mm</td>
<td>7.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable diameter</td>
<td>7 mm</td>
<td>7 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist width ($w_{waist}$)</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>6.1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist factor</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable to cable ($d_{c-c}$)</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
<td>0.8 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable to air gap ($d_{c-a}$)</td>
<td>5.5 mm</td>
<td>1.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable to stator ($d_{c-s}$)</td>
<td>0.5 mm</td>
<td>0.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot depth</td>
<td>58 mm</td>
<td>47.6 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Reference machine performance at its nominal operating point compared with the suggested improved design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>New design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>86.4%</td>
<td>87.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B in stator tooth</td>
<td>1.69 T</td>
<td>1.57 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysteresis losses in teeth</td>
<td>0.149 kW</td>
<td>0.128 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eddy current losses in teeth</td>
<td>0.037 kW</td>
<td>0.032 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total losses</td>
<td>0.785 kW</td>
<td>0.748 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load angle</td>
<td>7.5°</td>
<td>6.2°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator weight</td>
<td>598 kg</td>
<td>532 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: The geometry used in the FE model of the reference machine.

Figure 2: A stator slot opening and the two cables closest to the air gap showing the geometry parameters used in this study.
4. Simulations of the Stator Slot Geometry

The parameters that are changed are the waist \( w_{\text{waist}} \), the distance between cables \( d_{c-c} \), the distance between cable and air gap \( d_{c-a} \), and the distance between cable and stator \( d_{c-s} \), as shown in Figure 2.

Simulations are performed using the model presented in Section 3. One parameter at a time is changed, while all other parameters are fixed to their reference value. All computations are performed at nominal load and nominal speed. If the slot depth is changed, the stator outer diameter is adjusted accordingly to maintain the same yoke width. As the voltage and power are kept fixed, changes in the axial length are allowed. The changes are a few millimetres at most and are only presented indirectly through small changes in copper losses, iron losses, and stator weight.

The generator is intended to be used with a diode rectifier. In the simulations, the generator is connected to a purely resistive load, which corresponds to a power factor of unity as is the case with diode rectification. During the analysis, the voltage and power are kept constant. According to the circuit theory, the load angle, \( \delta \), for a generator with unity power factor can be calculated as \( \sin(\delta) = X I / E \), where \( X \) is the synchronous reactance, \( I \) is the current, and \( E \) is the internal voltage. The change in load angle can therefore be seen as a direct measurement of change in the machine reactance.

4.1. Waist Design. In the reference case, the slot width is 8 mm and the waist is 6 mm at its narrowest point. The waist factor, defined as \( w_{\text{waist}} / w_{\text{slot}} \), is thus 0.75 in the reference geometry.

A pronounced waist keeps the cable firmly in place and reduces the area in the tooth with high magnetic induction, thereby slightly reducing the iron losses. However, the waist also increases the leakage flux resulting in a higher load angle. As can be seen in Figure 3, no waist decreases the load angle but increases the hysteresis losses in the stator tooth. Without a waist, however, some other means of protecting the cables from rubbing against each other during winding would have to be implemented.

4.2. Cable to Cable. The distance between cables \( d_{c-c} \) may have practical considerations during the winding procedure. Keeping the cables close to each other results in shorter stator teeth and a more compact design. However, if the cables are positioned too closely, a more pronounced waist may be required to prevent them from rubbing against each other during winding. The coil end positioning may also be affected by the distance between cables. A distance of 2 mm was used successfully in the reference machine, making a more compact design look viable.

Gains in both efficiency and load angle can be achieved by moving the cables closer to each other (see Figure 4). Perhaps more importantly, the stator weight and stator outer diameter can be reduced by 4% and 8 mm, respectively. It should be noted though, that the same space would still be required for the coil ends.

4.3. Cable to Air Gap. Moving the winding closer to the air gap is perhaps the most straightforward way to achieve a more compact design. One might have to consider, depending on winding scheme, if the coil ends need a certain space to the air gap. A distance of 5.5 mm from the air gap to the first cable position was chosen in the reference machine. It turned out to work well in practice, as the coil ends could be pushed backwards away from the air gap, leaving room for improvements in this area as well.

The distance to the air gap hardly affects the efficiency at nominal load conditions. However, as can be seen in Figure 5, the weight of the machine and load angle are both decreased as the windings are moved closer to the air gap.
4.4. Slot Width. The distance between the cable and the stator 
\( (d_{c-s}) \) might be the single parameter that most clearly affects 
the winding procedure. Assuming the stator sheets are well 
aligned and properly stacked, a certain distance between the 
cable and the stator is still needed to allow for a smooth 
and easy winding procedure. A too tight design might cause 
unnecessary wear on the cables.

Cables with a diameter of 7 mm were used to wind the 
reference machine with a slot width of 8 mm (i.e., \( d_{c-s} = 0.5 \text{ mm} \)). The winding procedure went smoothly. It should 
however be noted that the prototype was wound by hand, 
and the distance between cable and stator might have to be 
reevaluated when utilizing industrial robots for automated 
winding [14].

The slot width is changed, which results in a new tooth 
width. Thus, clear changes are seen in the B-field in the stator 
tooth as \( d_{c-s} \) is changed (see Figure 6). In other words, if a 
larger gap between cable and slot is needed, the design will 
also have to incorporate a slightly larger stator diameter to 
accommodate sufficiently wide stator teeth.

5. Results and Discussion

Incorporating all the small changes in the geometry discussed 
above may add up to a significant improvement. However, 
none of the predicted improvements would be worthwhile if it 
turned out to complicate the manufacturing and the assembly 
procedure.

Winding the reference machine went smoothly, most 
likely thanks to proper stacking and good alignment of 
the stator sheets. The result from the reference machine 
has validated the results of the simulations as presented in 
[10]. This experience gave confidence to incorporate some 
changes in the second prototype [15]. There, the winding 
was moved closer to the air gap (2.4 mm instead of 5.5 mm), 
the cables were moved closer to each other (1 mm instead of 
2 mm), and the gap between cable and stator was decreased 
to 0.4 mm. Again, the winding procedure went smoothly 
without appreciable wear on the cable insulation.

Experience from winding the second prototype has given 
confidence that further incremental changes to the geometry 
may be implemented in practice. A tighter gap between cable 
and stator (0.3 mm) would render a less pronounced waist 
possible. Experience also indicate that the cables could be 
moved closer to the air gap (1.3 mm), further decreasing 
the slot depth and the generator outer diameter. The new 
stator slot design is shown in Figure 7 and compared to the 
reference machine in Tables 2 and 3. The proposed changes 
would, according to simulations, improve the efficiency from 
86.4% to 87.0% and lower the load angle from 7.5° to 
6.2°. Perhaps more significantly, the stator weight would be 
decreased by 11%, and the outer diameter would be decreased 
by 20.4 mm allowing for further savings on the support 
structure.

The decrease in load angle can be translated into either 
a decrease in magnetic material necessary to maintain the 
nominal design point of 150 V and 5 kW at a load angle of 
7.5°, or an increase in power output at the same voltage and 
load angle as the reference machine. Simulations predict that 
the new design can reach the nominal design point utilizing 
19% less permanent magnet material, or a 22% increase in 
electrical power output at the reference voltage and load 
angle.

6. Conclusions

The finite element analysis of the cable wound generator 
shows that the performance can be improved by apparently 
small changes in the stator slot geometry. A new design is 
proposed based on practical experience from winding two 
prototypes, and simulations predict an increase in efficiency 
of 0.6 percentage points, while the stator core weight is
reduced by 11% and the load angle is decreased by 17%. The more compact design allows for further savings on the support structure. The decrease in load angle can be translated into a 19% decrease in magnetic material at the nominal design point. Finally, it can be concluded that the load angle is influenced by small alterations in the stator geometry. Therefore, a thorough evaluation of the stator slot geometry is important when optimizing a generator design.
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