Hindawi
The Scientific World Journal
Volume 2018, Article ID 8180174, 7 pages
https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/8180174

Research Article

Development of Novel Protocol to Al^{3+} Stress Tolerance at Germination Stage in *Indica* Rice through Statistical Approaches

Nusrat Jahan,[1] Fazilah Abd Manan,[2] Arsala Mansoor,[3] Mudassir Asrar Zaidi,[4] Muhammad Naeem Shahwani,[1] and Muhammad Arshad Javed[2]

[1]*Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Life Sciences & Informatics, Balochistan University of Information Technology, Engineering & Management Sciences, Quetta, Pakistan*
[2]*Faculty of Biosciences and Medical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Malaysia*
[3]*Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Mississippi, MS 38677, USA*
[4]*Department of Botany, University of Balochistan, Pakistan*

Correspondence should be addressed to Nusrat Jahan; nusrat.jahanbuitems@gmail.com
and Muhammad Arshad Javed; majaved@fbb.utm.my

Received 7 March 2018; Revised 21 May 2018; Accepted 19 June 2018; Published 26 September 2018

Academic Editor: Adriano Sfriso

Rice production is decreasing by abiotic stresses like heavy metals. In such circumstances, producing food for growing human population is a challenge for plant breeders. Excess of Al^{3+} in soil has become threat for high yield of rice. Improvement of crop is one of potential solution for high production. The aim of this study was to develop the new method for optimization of Al^{3+} toxicity tolerance in *indica* rice at germination stag using two-way ANOVA and Duncan's multiple-range test (DMRT). Seeds of two *indica* rice cultivars (*Pokkali* and *Pak Basmati)* were exposed in different concentrations (control, 5 mM, 15 mM, and 20 mM) of Al^{3+} toxicity at pH 4 ±0.2 for two weeks. Germination traits such as final germination percentage (FG%), germination energy (GE), germination speed (GS), germination index (GI), mean time of germination (MGT), germination value (GV), germination velocity (GVe), peak value of germination (GPV), and germination capacity (GC) and growth traits such as root length (RL), shoot length (SL), total dry biomass (TDB), and germination vigour index (GVI) were measured. To obtain the maximum number of significance (≤ 0.01%) parameters in each concentration of Al^{3+} toxicity with control, two-way ANOVA was established and comparison of mean was done using DMRT. The results showed that 5 mM, 10 mM, and 15 mM have less significant effects on the above-mentioned parameters. However, 20 mM concentration of Al^{3+} produced significant effects (≤ 0.01%). Therefore, 20 mM of Al^{3+} is considered optimized limit for *indica* cultivars (*Pokkali* and *Pak Basmati*).

1. Background

Acidic soils are one of the main constraints for crop production. Almost 30-40% of world soils have a pH below 5.5 [1]. The lower the pH, the more acidic the soil. Acidic soils are low in fertility due to the presence of combined mineral toxicities (Al^{3+}, Mn^{2+}, and Fe^{2+}) and deficiency of macronutrients (phosphorous (P), calcium (Ca), and magnesium (Mg) [2]. At low pH of the soil, aluminum and other various species like Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} are solubilized into the soil, which are severely toxic to rice crop production. Heavy rainfall and high-temperature cause the rapid weathering of soil and the essential elements like Ca, P, and K leach from the soil; more stable compounds rich in Al^{3+} and Fe^{2+} oxides are left behind [3]. Al^{3+} is primarily found as a significant component of soil clays. Under highly acidic soil conditions (pH<5.0) it is solubilized to Al^{3+}, which is highly phytotoxic. Al^{3+} affects the root growth rapidly that causes the reduced and stunted root system and has a direct effect on the ability of a crop to acquire both water and nutrients.

Al^{3+} toxicity is reducing production on acidic soils due to inhibition of root growth, reduction in cell division, and cell

elongation [4]. To reduce the cell elongation, Al^{3+} may bind to free carboxyl groups of pectin, resulting in cross-linking of pectin molecules and a decrease in cell wall elasticity [5].

Acidic soils are becoming an issue with the changing environment; reduction of available arable lands due to weathering of soils, unsustainable farming and toxic soils, rigorous agricultural practices, acid rain, and climate change are the contributors to soil acidification [6, 7]. Cultural strategies, like application of lime ($CaCO_3$), could amend the few constraints of acidic soils and lead to increase in production [6]. However, liming is only effective at increasing the pH in the upper soil profile and is mostly unproductive when the subsoil is acidic [8]. It has reported that approximately 75% of the acidic soils in the world are influenced by subsoil acidity. In many regions of the world, liming is also not effective due to high cost and lacking of infrastructure. Therefore, developing Al^{3+} tolerant crops tolerating the acidic soils has great importance for breeding programs worldwide. Identification of QTL linked to tolerance traits is one of the important techniques. The aim of present study was to find the statistical approach that could ease for optimization of Al^{3+} toxicity tolerance level for two commonly used *indica* rice *Pokkali* and *Pak Basmati* against high concentrations of Al^{3+} toxicity at germination stage.

2. Materials and Methods

The parental genotypes, *Pak Basmati* and *Pokkali,* were exposed to different levels of Al^{3+} toxicity to optimize the optimum stress limits. Seeds were kept in 50°C for five days to break dormancy and surface sterilized by dipping in 70% (v/v) ethanol for 1 min and in 2% (w/v) solution of NaOCl for 10min followed by washing 4-5 times with deionized water [9]. Surface sterilized and imbedded seeds were then placed in wet Petri dishes for two weeks by the addition of Al^{3+} stresses (control, 5 mM,15 mM, and 20 mM) at pH4.0-4.2; each treatment had three replications where it has been determined to be a good standardization to natural soil condition where Al^{3+} toxicity is the problem [10]. Experiments were conducted in control condition, where the light and dark periods were 14 hours and 10 hours, respectively, with humidity level of approx. 60%. Seeds were considered germinated when both the plumule (root) and radical (shoot) were extended to approximately more than 2mm [11]. Germination parameters such as final germination percentage (FG %), germination velocity (GVe), germination energy (GE), germination peak value (GPV), germination capacity (GC), germination index (GI), germination value (GV) and growth parameters like root length (RL), shoot length (SL), total dry biomass (TDB), and germination vigour index (GVI) were recorded by the following formulas.

$$\text{(i)}\ \ FG\% = \frac{\text{Number of germinated seeds}}{\text{Total number of seeds tested}}$$
$$\text{(ii)}\ \ \text{GVe} = \sum \frac{\text{G}}{\text{t}} \tag{1}$$

where G is germination percentage and t is total germination time;

$$\text{(iii)}\ \ \text{MGT} = \frac{\Sigma \text{Dn}}{\Sigma \text{n}}\ \ (\text{days})$$

$$\text{(iv)}\ \ GE\,(\%) = \frac{\text{Number of germinated seeds at 4 DAS}}{\text{Total number of seeds tested}} \times 100$$

$$\text{(v)}\ \ \text{GV} = (\text{final})\ \text{MDG} \times \text{PV}$$

$$\text{(vi)}\ \ SG = \frac{\text{Number of germinated seeds}}{\text{days of 1st count}} + \cdots + \frac{\text{Number of germinated seeds}}{\text{days of final count}\ \ (9\ \text{days})} \tag{2}$$

$$\text{(vii)}\ \ \text{GPV} = \frac{\text{Cumulative Percent Germination on each day}}{\text{number of days after germination elapsed}}.$$

$$\text{(viii)}\ \ \text{GI} = \frac{(\text{N10} + \text{N15})}{20} \times 100$$

where N10 is number of germinated seeds with 10 days of stress and N15 is number of germinated seeds with 15 days of the stress

$$\text{(xi)}\ \ \text{GC} = \text{Percentage of seeds germinated at 160 hours.}$$

$$\text{(x)}\ \ \text{GVI} = (\text{Avg shoot length} + \text{Avg root length}) \times \text{Germination percentage} \tag{3}$$

[12–14].

2.1. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was done with SPSS version 18 (Levesque, 2007). To establish the different significance of variables in each concentration of Al^{3+} toxicity with control, analysis of variance (two-way ANOVA) was tested. Two significance levels, p ($\leq$0.05 to $\leq$0.01), were used [15]. Differences between genotypes were compared using Duncan's multiple-range test (DMRT). Al^{3+} concentration was considered as optimized where most of germination and growth parameters exhibited high significant differences [16]

3. Results and Discussion

The inhibitory effects of Al^{3+} toxicity were checked on rice genotypes *Pak Basmati* and *Pokkali*, germination and seedling growth parameters were examined over a wide range of $AlCl_3$ from 5 mM to 20 mM with three replications. ANOVA was applied to the germination and growth parameters of all treatments, i.e., 5 mM, 10 mM, 15 mM, and 20 mM.

Table 1: Analysis of variance of 5 mM and 10 mM of Al^{3+} toxicity at pH4 ±0.2 in germination parameters on parental line *Pak Basmati* and *Pokkali*.

Source of variations	df	Sum of Square												
		FG%	GVe	GE	SG	GPV	GI	GC	GV	MGT	RL	SL	TDB	VI
variety	1	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	208.33^{ns}	17.45^{**}	3.467^{**}	0.00^{ns}	15.64^{**}	0.00^{ns}	154.15^{**}	0.03^{**}	4.663^{**}	23.46^{**}	23.46^{**}
Stress	1	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	75.00^{ns}	0.13^{ns}	0.02^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	0.141	0.00^{ns}	1.21^{ns}	0.00^{**}	24.027^{**}	1.48^{**}	1.48^{**}
variety × Stress	1	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	75.00^{ns}	7.50^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	0.007	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{**}	2.94^{**}	0.15^{**}	0.15^{**}
Error	8	0.00^{ns}	0.00	333.33	0.82	0.165	0.00	0.54	0.00	7.21	0.00	0.01	0.027	0.027
Total	12													
variety	1	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	208.33^{ns}	16.97^{**}	3.35^{**}	0.00^{ns}	15.64^{**}	0.00^{ns}	149.11^{**}	0.026^{**}	23.21^{**}	23.21^{**}	457470.75^{**}
Stress	1	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	75.00^{ns}	0.161^{ns}	0.03^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	0.14^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	1.38^{ns}	0.007^{**}	1.76^{**}	1.77^{**}	414780.08^{**}
variety × Stress	1	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	75.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	0.00^{ns}	0.05^{ns}	0.001^{**}	0.13^{**}	0.134^{**}	24570.75^{**}
Error	8	0.00	0.00	333.33	0.27^{ns}	0.05^{ns}	0.00	0.54	0.00	2.437	0.00	0.038	0.038	722.667
Total	12													

**Significant at 0.01, ∗ significant at 0.05, ns= not significant, FG%= final germination percentage, GVe = germination velocity, GE= germination energy, SG=speed of germination, GPV= germination peak value, GI=germination index, GC= germination capacity, GV=germination value, MGT=mean germination time, RL=root length, SL = shoot length, TDB= total dry biomass, and GVI= germination vigour index.

Table 2: Analysis of variance of 15 mM and 20 mM of Al^{3+} toxicity at pH4 ±0.2 in germination parameters on parental line *Pak Basmati* and *Pokkali.*

Source of variations	df	Sum of Square												
		FG%	GVe	GE	SG	GPV	GI	GC	GV	MGT	RL	SL	TDB	VI
variety	1	33.33^{ns}	0.15^{ns}	1200^{**}	27.36^{**}	6.44^{**}	133.33^{*}	71.74^{*}	133.33^{*}	371.85^{**}	0.028^{**}	3.842^{**}	24.74^{**}	514022.41^{**}
Stress	1	33.33^{ns}	0.15^{ns}	833.33^{**}	2.90^{**}	0.94^{**}	133.33^{*}	25.64^{ns}	133.33^{*}	77.72	0.01^{**}	27.09^{**}	2.314^{**}	483847.68^{**}
variety × Stress	1	33.33^{ns}	0.15^{ns}	833.33^{**}	1.07^{**}	0.45^{**}	133.33^{*}	21.17^{ns}	133.33^{*}	46.65	0.001^{*}	3.663^{**}	0.27^{**}	13493.81^{**}
Error	8	66.67	0.30	133.33	0.411	0.057	66.67	28.27	66.667	14.452	0	0.008	0.023	1615.74
Total	12													
variety	1	75.00^{ns}	0.34^{ns}	833.33^{**}	36.79^{**}	7.29^{**}	675.00^{**}	152.44^{*}	408.33	366.86^{**}	0.03^{**}	3.95^{**}	13.29^{**}	311793.04^{**}
Stress	1	675.00^{ns}	3.03^{ns}	7500^{**}	98.09^{**}	19.38^{**}	3675.00^{**}	308.74^{**}	3008.33^{**}	1409.418^{**}	0.02^{**}	32.28^{**}	55.17^{**}	1833399.19^{**}
variety × Stress	1	75.00^{ns}	0.34^{ns}	533.33^{**}	3.49^{**}	0.70^{**}	675.00^{**}	71.88^{ns}	408.33^{ns}	44.89^{**}	0.00^{**}	3.56^{**}	0.65^{**}	75477.74^{**}
Error	8	0.00	0.00	200.00	1.51	0.30	200.00	65.44	266.67	9.76	0.00	0.01	0.32	2299.46
Total	12													

**Significant at 0.01, $*$ significant at 0.05, ns= not significant FG%= final germination percentage, GVe = germination velocity, GE= germination energy, SG=speed of germination, GPV= germination peak value, GI=germination index, GC= germination capacity, GV=germination value, MGT=mean germination time, RL=root length, SL = shoot length, TDB= total dry biomass, and GVI= germination vigour index.

Table 3: Comparison of mean using DMRT for effect of Al^{3+} on germination and growth traits of rice genotypes.

Varieties	Treatments	FGP	GVe	GEn	SG	GPV	GC	GI	MGT	GV	TDW	RL	SL	GVI
Pokkali	control	100±0.0a	6.67±0.0a	100±0.0a	18.34±0.2a	8.15±0.16a	100±0.0a	200±0.0a	117.06±0.1a	54.36±0.8a	0.28±0.0a	4.43±0.0a	7.49±0.0a	1192.66±0.0a
	5 mM	100±0.0a	6.67±0.0a	100±0.0a	18.07±0.1a	8.03±0.08a	100±0.0a	200±0.0a	116.90±0.1ab	53.54±0.5ab	0.21±0.0ab	0.36±0.0b	6.94±0.0ab	730.33±0.0b
	10 mM	100±0.0a	6.67±0.3a	100±0.0a	17.96±0.1ab	7.98±0.08ab	100±0.0a	200±0.0a	116.80±0.1ab	53.21±0.5ab	0.21±0.0ab	0.32±0.0bc	6.91±0.0b	724.00±0.0bc
	15 mM	93.33±0.5ab	6.62±0.3ab	66.66±0.5b	14.45±0.1b	6.42±0.07c	90.00±0.0ab	186.66±0.1ab	112.58±0.2b	40.00±0.9b	0.21±0.0ab	0.11±0.0c	5.79±0.0bc	550.56±0.3c
	20 mM	86.66±0.5b	5.77±0.4b	53.33±0.5c	12.15±0.9c	5.40±0.39d	76.66±0.1b	173.33±0.7b	951.66±0.5c	31.24±0.6c	0.18±0.0b	0.06±0.0cd	2.74±0.0c	243.03±0.1cd
Pak Basmati	Control	100±0.0a	6.67±0.0a	100±0.0a	15.92±0.1a	7.07±0.04a	100±0.0a	200±0.0a	114.83±0.3a	47.17±0.2a	0.17±0.0a	2.19±0.01a	4.92±0.1a	711.66±0.0a
	5 mM	100±0.01a	6.67±0.0a	96.66±0.3ab	15.73±0.0a	6.99±0.04ab	100±0.0a	200±0.0a	114.56±0.3ab	46.63±0.2ab	0.14±0.0ab	0.35±0.0b	3.96±0.0ab	430.33±0.0b
	10 mM	93.33±0.0ab	6.62±0.3ab	86.66±0.3b	14.34±0.2ab	6.44±0.05ab	90±0.5ab	186.66±0.5ab	112.90±0.3b	40.14±0.4bc	0.14±0.0ab	0.32±0.0bc	3.76±0.0b	377.13±0.0bc
	15 mM	93.33±0.6ab	6.62±0.3ab	70.00±0.4bc	14.15±0.2ab	6.13±0.24bc	86.66±0.0b	186.66±0.5ab	109.52±0.2bc	38.14±0.6c	0.13±0.0b	0.08±0.0c	3.26±0.0bc	310.16±0.2c
	20 mM	70.66±0.5c	5.70±0.1b	63.33±0.4c	13.76±0.1c	5.90±0.31c	70.00±0.0c	164.43±0.7b	346.86±1.0c	30.07±0.7cd	0.12±0.8bc	0.06±0.0cd	2.59±0.1c	64.04±0.4d

∗Same letters are not significantly different at probability ($p<5\%$) error by Duncan's multiple test. Values are means ± SD=standard deviation FG%= final germination percentage, GVe = germination velocity, GE= germination energy, SG=speed of germination, GPV= germination peak value, GI=germination index, GC= germination capacity, GV=germination value, MGT=mean germination time, RL=root length, SL = shoot length, TDB= total dry biomass, and GVI= germination vigour index.

Analysis of variance showed that the germination parameters in 5 mM of $AlCl_3$ are relatively less sensitive in both *Pak Basmati* and *Pokkali* as shown in Table 1. No significant variations were observed in germination parameters while high significant ($p \leq 0.01$) difference in seedling growth parameters was observed. However, ANOVA results showed the difference in germination parameters between 5 mM and 10 mM of $AlCl_3$ that were relatively small sensitivity in both *indica* cultivars *Pak Basmati* and *Pokkali*. Al^{3+} toxicity treatments at 15 mM and 20 mM produced significant ($p \leq 0.01$) effects on all germination and seedling growth parameters except in final germination percentage, germination velocity, and germination index (GI) as shown in Tables 1 and 2.

Comparison of mean showed that, with increasing levels in Al^{+3} toxicity, there was a reduction in germination and seedling growth parameters as presented in Table 3. A significant influence of Al^{3+} toxicity was observed in 15 mM and 20 mM, while the least effect was found out in 5 mM and 10 mM showing that these genotypes are Al^{3+} tolerant varieties.

The germination parameters and seedling growth parameters in 10 mM of $AlCl_3$ were more affected relative to 5 mM; however, at 10 mM concentration of Al^{3+} produced less number of significant effects ($p<0.01$) on germination traits in all source of variables (Tables 1 and 2) which reflects that rice genotypes were responding the same in 10 mM of Al^{3+}. The difference in the results of all germination and growth parameters of both varieties between 15 mM and 20 mM was germination index (GI) producing strong significant ($p<0.01$) variation in 20 mM while in 15 mM it was significant at 0.05%; similarly mean time of germination (MGT) was significant ($p<0.05$) for factor variety and highly significant ($p<0.01$) for stress at 20 mM of Al^{3+} toxicity but it was significant ($p<0.05$) for factor variety only at 15 mM of Al^{3+} toxicity. Similarly, germination capacity was significant ($p<0.05$) for all factors in 15 mM while it was highly significant ($p<0.01$) for stress under 20 mM Al^{3+} toxicity. Similar kind of response has been reported by Nasr [17] while investigating the germination and seedling growth of maize (*Zea mays* L.) seeds in toxicity of aluminum and nickel that Al^{3+} treatments significantly ($p<0.05$) decreased seed germination as compared to control and 2000 mg/L (20 mM) showed the lowest percentage of tolerance in maize seedlings as compared to control. The reduction in seed germination of maize *(Zea mays* L.) can be due to the accelerated breakdown of stored food material in seed by the application of Al^{3+} [18]. Consequently, the concentration 20 mM of Al^{3+} toxicity was selected as a threshold for phenotyping in QTL analysis [5], since its results showed the maximum significance ($p<0.01$) in germination and seedling growth parameters.

4. Conclusion

The genotypes *Pokkali* and *Pak Basmati* showed significance difference ($p<0.01$) when exposed to optimized concentration, i.e., 20 mM (2000mg/L). The genotype *Pokkali* showed stronger tolerance than the *Pak Basmati* in all parameters, especially in root length. Al^{3+} concentration is considered as optimized where most of germination and growth parameters exhibited high significant differences. In addition, promising statistical approaches for optimization of toxicity limits are being developed for phenotyping of population and identifying QTLs that could be used in crop improvement.

Abbreviations

QTL: Quantitative trait loci
mM: Millimole
mg: Milligram
L: Litre.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

Research Management Centre (RMC) of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) is acknowledged for the financial assistance Cost Center no. Q.J130000.2545.05H93. Thanks are due to Dr. Rashid Ahmed (Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia) and my research fellows Ms. Farah and Mrs. Atiqah Samiullah Khan and Muhammad Waseem Chughtai.

References

[1] S. C. Hodges, *Soil Fertility Basics*, Soil Science Extension, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA, 2010.

[2] A. R. Hede, B. Skovmand, and J. L. Cesati, *Acid Soils And Aluminum Toxicity*, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, 2001.

[3] L. V. Kochian, "Cellular mechanisms of aluminum toxicity and resistance in plants," *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, vol. 46, pp. 237–260, 1995.

[4] S. De Dorlodot, S. Lutts, and P. Bertin, "Effects of ferrous iron toxicity on the growth and mineral composition of an interspecific rice," *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 1–20, 2005.

[5] H.-B. Chen, B.-S. Chiou, Y.-Z. Wang, and D. A. Schiraldi, "Biodegradable pectin/clay aerogels," *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 1715–1721, 2013.

[6] M. E. Sumner and A. D. Noble, "The world story," in *The Handbook of Soil Acidity*, Z. Rengel, Ed., pp. 1–28, Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, NY, USA, 2003.

[7] S. Solomon, *Climate change 2007-the physical science basis: Working group I contribution to the fourth assessment report of the IPCC*, vol. 4, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[8] H. Marschner, *Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants*, Academic Press, London, UK, 1995.

[9] A. L. Ranawake, O. E. Manangkil, S. Yoshida, T. Ishii, N. Mori, and C. Nakamura, "Mapping QTLs for cold tolerance at

germination and the early seedling stage in rice (Oryza sativa L.)," *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 989–998, 2014.

[10] B. D. Nguyen, D. S. Brar, B. C. Bui, T. V. Nguyen, L. N. Pham, and H. T. Nguyen, "Identification and mapping of the QTL for aluminum tolerance introgressed from the new source, Oryza rufipogon Griff., into indica rice (Oryza sativa L.)," *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, vol. 106, no. 4, pp. 583–593, 2003.

[11] J. R. Peralta, J. L. Gardea-Torresdey, K. J. Tiemann et al., "Uptake and effects of five heavy metals on seed germination and plant growth in alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.)," *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, vol. 66, no. 6, pp. 727–734, 2001.

[12] S. Kendall and S. Penfield, "Maternal and zygotic temperature signalling in the control of seed dormancy and germination," *Seed Science Research*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. S23–S29, 2012.

[13] A. F. Ologundudu, A. A. Adelusi, and K. P. Adekoya, "Effect of Light Stress on Germination and Growth Parameters of Corchorus olitorius, Celosia argentea, Amaranthus cruentus, Abelmoschus esculentus and Delonix regia," *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*, vol. 5, no. 4, 2013.

[14] S. K. Pradhan, D. K. Nayak, S. Mohanty et al., "Pyramiding of three bacterial blight resistance genes for broad-spectrum resistance in deepwater rice variety, Jalmagna," *Rice*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2015.

[15] F.-B. WU, J. DONG, G.-X. JIA, S.-J. ZHENG, and G.-P. ZHANG, "Genotypic Difference in the Responses of Seedling Growth and Cd Toxicity in Rice (Oryza sativa L.)," *Agricultural Sciences in China*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 68–76, 2006.

[16] M. A. Carson and A. N. Morris, " Germination of ," *BIOS*, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 90–96, 2012.

[17] H. G. Nass and P. Crane, "Effect of Endosperm Mutants on Germination and Early Seedling Growth Rate in Maize (Zea mays L.)1," *Crop Science*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 139, 1970.

[18] N. Pandey and C. P. Sharma, "Effect of heavy metals Co^{2+}, Ni^{2+} and Cd^{2+} on growth and metabolism of cabbage," *Journal of Plant Sciences*, vol. 163, no. 4, pp. 753–758, 2002.