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A Novel Dual Ultrawideband CPW-Fed Printed Antenna for Internet of Things (IoT) Applications
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This paper presents a dual-band coplanar waveguide (CPW) fed printed antenna with rectangular shape design blocks having ultrawideband characteristics, proposed and implemented on an FR4 substrate. The size of the proposed antenna is just 25 mm × 35 mm. A novel rounded corners technique is used to enhance not only the impedance bandwidth but also the gain of the antenna. The proposed antenna design covers two ultrawide bands which include 1.1–2.7 GHz and 3.15–3.65 GHz, thus covering 2.4 GHz Bluetooth/Wi-Fi band and most of the bands of 3G, 4G, and a future expected 5G band, that is, 3.4–3.6 GHz. Being a very low-profile antenna makes it very suitable for the future 5G Internet of Things (IoT) portable applications. A step-by-step design process is carried out to obtain an optimized design for good impedance matching in the two bands. The current densities and the reflection coefficients at different stages of the design process are plotted and discussed to get a good insight into the final proposed antenna design. This antenna exhibits stable radiation patterns on both planes, having low cross polarization and low back lobes with a maximum gain of 8.9 dB. The measurements are found to be in good accordance with the simulated results.

1. Introduction

Internet of Things (IoT) applications incorporate major advancements of computer networking, microelectronics and modern communication system. This technology enables physical sensing and actuating devices to be controlled remotely over the Internet. To attain reliable communication, these devices are required to be compact, cost-effective, and energy efficient to operate on multibands for LTE, WLAN (IEEE 802.11a/b/g/n), WiMAX (IEEE 802.16), ZigBee (IEEE 802.15.4), GSM (800 MHz, 850 MHz, and 1900 MHz), and so on. Scope for Internet of Things (IoT) operating on these bands can be seen as in 2003; the world population was 6.3 billion and connected devices per person were 0.0793%, while with population grown to 7.2 billion in 2015, revolutionized connected devices per person increased to 3.4%. This trend is expected to grow exponentially so the demand for smaller devices along with the better antenna module will grow as well. Due to miniaturization of embedded systems, multiple modules can be assembled on these small gadgets to improve efficiency, reliability, and robustness for various scenarios of environmental monitoring, smart cities, smart healthcare, smart grid, military/defense, and so on [1, 2].

Apart from the many advantages of power options, flexibility, ease of installation, and replacement there are numerous challenges of scalability, fault tolerance, energy harvesting, and security issues which need to be addressed for worldwide acceptability [3]. The antenna system, being the front end of all hand-held communication devices is expected to cover all major frequency bands of IEEE 802.11 (2.4–2.48 GHz) and IEEE 802.15.4 (2.5–2.69 GHz/3.4–3.69 GHz/5.25–5.85 GHz) with acceptable gain and radiation pattern for multiple integrated services. Further, it is anticipated that modern antenna design should be flexible
Table 1: Comparison between different existing CPW designs and our proposed work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Total area (mm$^2$)</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
<th>Peak gain (dBi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>Dual-band</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>2.3–2.5 and 2.9–15.0 GHz</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>Dual-band</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1.86–1.97 and 3.0–12.0 GHz</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>Dual-band</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>3.4–3.6 and 8–15 GHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>Tri-band</td>
<td>839.5</td>
<td>2.23–2.76, 3.05–3.88, and 5.57–5.88 GHz</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>Dual-band</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>2.26–2.57 and 4.81–6.56 GHz</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>Dual-band</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>2386–2510 and 4878–6002 MHz</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>Tri-band</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>2.3–2.8, 3.1–4.0 and 4.6–5.3 GHz</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20]</td>
<td>Dual-band</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>2.2–2.55 and 3.0–5.6 GHz</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed work</td>
<td></td>
<td>875</td>
<td>1.1 GHz–2.7 GHz and 3.15–3.65 GHz</td>
<td>8.9 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apart from these orthodox methods, the use of metamaterials and complementary split ring resonator (CSRR) techniques are employed in literature for getting higher gain in order to reduce cross frequency interference. Ahmed et al. implemented a magneto-electric (ME) dipole antenna that showed wide impedance bandwidth, better gain, and matching radiation patterns on both E-plane and H-plane [6]. But such cross-magneto-electric structures are not suitable for mass production in Internet of Things (IoT) due to the large size and sensitive design parameters. On the other hand, the coplanar waveguide method has advantages such as wide bandwidth, uniplanar design and ease of installation with MMIC, and active components, making it more suitable for targeted IoT applications.

Various CPW-fed antennas have been reported in literature such as multiband [3–8], CPW antennas with added strip for WLAN [9–11], and asymmetric coplanar strip antennas [12–20]. However, majority of these designs have large antenna dimensions and do not cover all the major bands of WLAN/WiMAX/LTE [13–16, 18]. Dual-band antenna in [21] with an average gain of 2.5 dB having dimensions 25 mm × 25 mm being compact in size operates only in WLAN band. Further, in some other designs, the antenna gains and reflection coefficient parameters are not impressive compared to the antenna dimensions [15–20, 22–25]. In [26], a CPW-fed multiband antenna having dimension 70.4 mm × 45 mm is implemented having an impedance bandwidth of 127 MHz for WiMAX band only. Similarly, a 70 mm × 70 mm CPW-fed WLAN antenna implemented in [27] operating at 2.4 GHz with peak gain of 6.5 dB has a very low impedance bandwidth. The rounded corners concept is found in literature to enhance the overall gain, with stable radiation patterns [22–25]. Moreover, frequency dispersion is reduced and current is uniformly distributed on radiation surface corners using this procedure. Adjustable strips in our design enabled CPW design to improve bandwidth over higher frequency bands without compromising the overall size of 875 mm$^2$. In order to reduce size and avoid the complexity, major overlapped slots have been introduced in the proposed antenna design along with two strips above and below the main radiating patch. Compact ground plane length ratio to the overall length of the antenna is optimized to achieve 50 Ohm impedance matching by adjusting the microstrip width and gap between the microstrip and the sides of the ground plane [6, 8, 24]. In Table 1, a comparison is made between different existing CPW designs found in literature and our proposed work. Design working principle and antenna dimensions are explained in the following sections along with the detailed simulated and experimental results.

2. Design Specifications

The feed line calculation in CPW design is depicted in Figure 1, whereas the detailed geometry of the proposed antenna is shown in Figure 2. The antenna is fabricated on an FR4 substrate with relative permittivity of 4.4 having a standard thickness of 1.6 mm. The length, width, and the wavelength of the main rectangular patch is calculated and gradually modified by calculating the resonant frequencies.
Figure 2: Geometry of the proposed antenna: (a) parametric details and (b) major components of proposed antenna.

Table 2: Proposed CPW antenna design parameters values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>( L )</th>
<th>( W )</th>
<th>( L1 )</th>
<th>( W1 )</th>
<th>( L2 )</th>
<th>( W2 )</th>
<th>( L3 )</th>
<th>( W3 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value/mm</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>( L4 )</td>
<td>( W4 )</td>
<td>( L5 )</td>
<td>( L6 )</td>
<td>( R1 )</td>
<td>( L7 )</td>
<td>( h )</td>
<td>( g )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value/mm</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for first and second resonance bands using the following expressions for coplanar waveguide design.

\[
L4 = \frac{c}{4f_{\min}\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}}
\]

\[
L2 = \frac{c}{4f_{\max}\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}}
\]

\[
f1 = \frac{c}{4Y_g \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\varepsilon_r + 1}{2},
\]

where “\( c \)” is the speed of light, \( \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective relative permittivity of substrate which is equal to 2.7, and “\( Y_g \)” is the guided wavelength which depends on the length of upper and lower strips for both bands. Characteristics impedance of the feed line having finite width ground planes on each side of FR4 substrate is given by Van Caekenberghe et al. [28].

\[
Z_0 = \frac{30\pi}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}} \frac{K(k)}{K(k')} \Omega,
\]

where “\( K \)” is complete elliptic integral of first iteration and “\( k \)” and “\( k' \)” are CPW line dependent variables. These two parameters are calculated as follows:

\[
k = \frac{c}{b} \sqrt{\frac{b^2 - a^2}{c^2 - a^2}}
\]

\[
k' = \sqrt{1 - k^2} = \frac{a}{b} \sqrt{\frac{c^2 - b^2}{c^2 - a^2}}.
\]

Center frequencies \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are calculated from (3) and optimized using Ansoft’s High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS) software package.

The strip lengths \( L4 \) and \( L2 \) are optimized close to a quarter wavelength of center frequency considering \( f_{\min} \) around 2.1 GHz and \( f_{\max} \) at 3.6 GHz. The gap between the ground and feed elements “\( g \)” is 1 mm and the length of the feed line is 18.7 mm, while the radius of small rounded corners on the main antenna segment is 1.4 mm. The detailed antenna design parameters values are illustrated in Table 2. The gap between the one of the ground planes and feed line is optimized through simulation software to be 1 mm. Band stop function is realized by adding overlapped rectangular and circular slot in the main rectangular radiator. This reduces
the interference and creates notched frequencies between 2.4 GHz and 3.4 GHz bands. Similar kind of reactively loaded CPW antenna in [29] shows promising results with y-shaped and u-shaped slots in rectangular patch. In literature, various shapes of the slots are used to enhance the bandwidth of CPW designs including square wavelength line slot, fractal shaped slots, asymmetrical CPW slots, and circular slots [25–27, 29–32]. Square shaped slot implemented in [33] showed ultrawideband bandwidth and reduced overall antenna size effectively.

The method of overlapped symmetrical rectangular and circular slots is embedded in our proposed antenna to reduce interference of adjacent frequency bands to obtain efficient antenna parameters. To determine a good impedance matching, the electrical wavelength of the top and bottom strips is kept close to the quarter wavelength along with wideband microstrip coplanar strip line to couple the electromagnetic energy for better radiation efficiency. Similar kinds of small slit loaded antennas in [31, 32, 34] use series inductive slits and rectangular and circular shaped slots for impedance bandwidth improvement. Through iterative simulations, it is experienced that wider overlapped circular and rectangular slots are more productive in widening the impedance bandwidth and improving antenna gain.

3. Antenna Performance

The fabricated prototypes of the two final designs are shown in Figure 3. The simulations are performed in Ansoft's HFSS and the reflection coefficients of the proposed antenna are measured using a Vector Network Analyzer (E5072A). An SMA connector is carefully coupled with ground and feed structures to obtain measurements.

3.1. Antenna Evolution. The evolution process of final antenna is shown in Figures 4(a)–4(d). Simulated reflection coefficient results for all antenna design steps/types are depicted in Figure 5. The antenna design process is started from a coplanar waveguide fed printed antenna by attaching a rectangular patch with feed line that attains a very broad fractional impedance bandwidth of more than 100% (1.1 GHz–3.9 GHz) for $S_{11} < -10$ dB threshold without having second resonant band. However, our design goal is to make a dual-band antenna in which each band tuned/modified.
comparatively independently without significantly affecting the other band as per our design needs. The 2nd design goal is to increase the gain of the antenna as CPW-fed printed antennas are generally omnidirectional antennas. In order to achieve these design goals, Antenna 1 is modified by adding an additional rectangular strip that creates a second resonance around 3.4 GHz as shown in Figure 4(b). Antenna 3 is created by adding another top strip and etching overlapped slots in first rectangular patch that shows first resonance at 2.4 GHz with impedance bandwidth from 1.0 GHz to 2.7 GHz and a second resonance at 3.4 GHz with impedance bandwidth from around 3.1 GHz to 3.7 GHz. Finally, Antenna 4 (proposed) is simulated and fabricated with imbedded rounded corners technique for improved performance in terms of reflection coefficient and gain. This design attains dual bands with a simulated result of around 80% fractional bandwidth (1.1 GHz–2.8 GHz) in the first band and around 23% fractional impedance bandwidth (3.0 GHz–3.75 GHz) in the second resonance band. This is worth mentioning that $S_{11}$ has shown better notched frequency characteristic between the two bands. On the other hand, it is noted that introducing rounded corners has a very low effect on the resonant frequencies, but it has effectively improved the fractional impedance bandwidth and the gain of the final antenna design. Detailed parametric studies have been carried out including all the major lengths, widths, feed lines, and positions of rectangular strips to achieve higher gain of the proposed design.

3.2. Current Distribution and Impedance Matching Analysis. Figure 6 shows the current distribution on the antenna at 2.4 GHz and 3.4 GHz to get a better insight of the antenna design which depicts that the current varies along the antenna x-axis dimension with minimum current at the ends due to the reduced “end effect.” As a matter of fact antenna radiates energy because of radiation resistance. Loss resistance of the antenna is small compared to radiation resistance that is usually considered negligible in measurements. Input pulse and corresponding electric field intensity are calculated by the following expression:

$$
FF = \max_{\tau} \left\{ \frac{\int S_T(t) S_R(t - \tau) dt}{\sqrt{\int S_T^2(t) dt \int S_R^2(t) dt}} \right\},
$$

where “$S_T$” is the input signal and “$S_R$” is the received signal in the antenna far-field. Using Ansoft’s HFSS, full-time domain results are studied. Maximum current at top strip is obtained on both frequencies which have 90-degree phase shift that justifies the inductance of top cladding strip. The rounded corner concept serves well as it reflected more and more energy to the metal strips at resonant bands. Nevertheless, consistent large current density is concentrated on top strip for both frequency bands as common characteristics.

Figure 7 show the real and imaginary components of the input impedance of final two designs. Rounded corners model is tightly aligned to 50 Ω line in real part and has less tolerance in case of imaginary part. Overall better impedance matching is achieved by rounded corners design for both first and second resonance bands.

3.3. Results and Parametric Study. Figure 8 shows the simulated and measured results of reflection coefficients $S_{11}$ [dB] versus frequency of the final proposed CPW antenna. It is shown that the antenna covers two ultrawide bands which include 1.1 GHz–2.7 GHz and 3.15–3.65 GHz, thus covering 2.4 GHz Bluetooth/Wi-Fi band (IEEE 802.11, 2.4–2.48 GHz) and most of the bands of 3G, 4G such as IEEE 802.15.4 (2.5–2.69 GHz, 3.4–3.69 GHz), WiMAX (IEEE 802.16), Zig-Bee (IEEE 802.15.4), DCS (1800), PCS (1900 MHz), Extended IMT (2100 MHz), and LTE (1700, 1900 MHz), and a future expected 5G band, that is, 3.4–3.6 GHz. There is generally a good agreement between simulated and measured results where differences between simulated and measured results can be attributed to factors such as small antenna size, SMA connector quality, soldering effect, and uncertainties in substrate dielectric constant. Major rectangular/circular slot size and lengths/widths of both top and bottom strips are optimized during designing process. The effects of variations in the width of bottom strip on the reflection coefficient $S_{11}$ are plotted in Figure 9. It is experienced that the first resonance frequency increases with the decrease in the width of bottom strip and has negligible effect on second band, while variation of top strip controls the 3.4 GHz resonance frequency (second resonance band) that makes it simple and easy to reconfigure design for other adjacent frequencies if needed. The gains of the antennas with and without rounded edges/circular slot in dB versus frequency are shown in Figure 10. Comparing Antenna 3 and Antenna 4, the value of peak gain is subsequently improved from 6.2 dB to 8.9 dB. This shows that the gain is reasonably increased when the bottom strip edges are rounded and circular slot are overlapped on some of the corners of the upper strip which depicts the variation in gain between 6.2 dB and 8.9 dB in the range of interest.

![Figure 5: Simulated reflection coefficients of the four antennas.](image-url)
Figure 6: Current distribution on the proposed antenna: (a) vector current distribution at 3.4 GHz, (b) Vector current distribution at 2.4 GHz, and (c) Current densities at 2.4 GHz and 3.4 GHz.

Figure 7: Input impedance of the final two designs: (a) real part (b) imaginary part.
The radiation patterns of this dual-band antenna at first and second resonance bands are illustrated in Figure 11 for both $E$- and $H$-planes. High order modes are responsible to generate distribution effect at higher frequencies. It is clearly evident from the 2D patterns that the antenna performs as a directional radiator at $E$-plane and quite close to bidirectional in $H$-plane. These characteristics make this novel design a strong candidate and effectively suitable for profound Internet of Things (IoT) applications.

4. Conclusion

In this article, a novel rectangular shape CPW antenna with overlapped circular slots and rounded edges is proposed and implemented. Top strip and bottom strips effectively control 2.4 GHz and 3.4 GHz resonance bands. Rounded corners technique is used to attain ultrawide bandwidth of 1.1 GHz–2.71 GHz at first resonance band and 3.15–3.65 GHz for second resonance band and to improve antenna gain. Each of these bands can be individually tuned through variation of top and bottom strip dimensions. The proposed antenna shows directional radiation pattern, good return loss, and better gain with acceptable radiation efficiency. The proposed design is very small in size (875 mm$^2$) which makes it a suitable contender for different portable and handheld IoT applications.
Figure 11: 2D radiation patterns of the proposed antenna: (a) $E$-plane at 2.4 GHz, (b) $H$-plane at 2.4 GHz, (c) $E$-plane at 3.4 GHz, and (d) $H$-plane at 3.4 GHz.
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