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#### Abstract

For weighted sums of independent and identically distributed random variables, conditions are placed under which a generalized law of the iterated logarithm cannot hold, thereby extending the usual nonweighted situation.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Heyde [1] established the fact that partial sums of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables $\left\{X, X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ whose common distribution is of the form $P\{|X|>$ $x\}=L(x) x^{-\alpha}(0 \leq \alpha<2, \alpha \neq 1)$, where $L(x)$ is slowly varying at infinity and where $E X=0$ if $E|X|<\infty$, cannot be normalized in the sense that there exist constants $0<b_{n} \uparrow$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k} / b_{n} \rightarrow 1$ a.s. The purpose of this paper is to present similar results in the weighted case.

Herein, we define $S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} X_{k}$ where $\left\{a_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are constants and the random variables $\left\{X, X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are identically distributed with common distribution

$$
P\{|X|>x\}= \begin{cases}L(x) x^{-\alpha} & x \geq 1, \\ 1 & x<1,\end{cases}
$$

where $L(c x) / L(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ for all $c>0$, and $\alpha \geq 0$.
A remark about notation is needed. Throughout, the symbol $C$ will denote a generic finite nonzero constant which is not necessarily the same in each appearance. Also, we let $c_{n}=b_{n} /\left|a_{n}\right|, n \geq 1$, where $\left\{b_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is our norming sequence.

It should be noted that the techniques involved with the main results (Theorems 2 and 3) follow a similar pattern to those that can be found in Heyde [1]. As usual, via the BorelCantelli lemma, one need only consider a truncated version of the random variables $\left\{X_{n}\right.$, $n \geq 1\}$. Instead of truncating $X_{n}$ at $b_{n}$ the trick, in the weighted case, is to cut off $X_{n}$ at $c_{n}$. Then by classical arguments the remaining terms are shown to be almost surely negligible. Also of particular interest is the discussion (Section 3) of the $\alpha=1$ situation.
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## 2. RESULTS.

Our first theorem examines what happens when $P\left\{\left|X_{n}\right|>c_{n}\right.$ i.o. $\left.(n)\right\}=1$.
Theorem 1. Let $\left\{X, X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be i.i.d. random variables. If $\left\{a_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right.$, $n \geq 1\}$ are constants satisfying $b_{n}=O\left(b_{n+1}\right), b_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left\{|X|>c_{n}\right\}=\infty$, then $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|S_{n}\right| / b_{n}=\infty$ a.s.

Proof. If $c_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, then for all large $M$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left\{\left|a_{n} X_{n}\right|>M b_{n}\right\} & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L\left(M c_{n}\right)\left(M c_{n}\right)^{-\alpha} \\
& \geq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L\left(c_{n}\right) c_{n}^{-\alpha} \\
& \geq C \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} P\left\{\left|X_{n}\right|>c_{n}\right\} \text { (for a suitably chosen } n_{0} \text { ) } \\
& =\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Otherwise, if $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}<\infty$, then there exists a subsequence $\left\{n_{k}, k \geq 1\right\}$ and a finite constant $B$ such that $c_{n_{k}} \leq B$. Hence for all $0<M<\infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left\{|X|>M c_{n}\right\} & \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left\{\left|X_{n}\right|>M c_{n_{k}}\right\} \\
& \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{|X|>M B\} \\
& =\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

So in either case we conclude, via the Borel-Cantelli lemma, that

$$
\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{a_{n} X_{n}}{b_{n}}\right|=\infty \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Since

$$
\left|\frac{a_{n} X_{n}}{b_{n}}\right| \leq\left|\frac{S_{n}}{b_{n}}\right|+\left|\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_{n}}\right| \cdot\left|\frac{S_{n-1}}{b_{n-1}}\right|
$$

the conclusion follows.
Note that in the next result independence is not necessary.
Theorem 2. Let $\left\{X, X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be identically distributed random variables. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right.$, $n \geq 1\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be constants satisfying $0<b_{n} \uparrow \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left\{|X|>c_{n}\right\}<\infty$. If $0 \leq \alpha<1$, then $S_{n} / b_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

Proof. Notice, via the Borel-Cantelli lemma, that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} X_{k} I\left(\left|X_{k}\right|>c_{k}\right)=o\left(b_{n}\right) \text { a.s. }
$$

Hence it remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} X_{k} I\left(\left|X_{k}\right| \leq c_{k}\right)=o\left(b_{n}\right) \text { a.s. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, for all large $k$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E|X| I\left(|X| \leq c_{k}\right) & \leq \int_{0}^{c_{k}} P\{|X|>t\} d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} d t+\int_{1}^{c_{k}} L(t) t^{-\alpha} d t \\
& \leq C L\left(c_{k}\right) c_{k}^{-\alpha+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(by Theorem 1b of Feller [2, p. 281]), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{-1} E|X| I\left(|X| \leq c_{k}\right) & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L\left(c_{k}\right) c_{k}^{-\alpha} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left\{|X|>c_{k}\right\} \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{-1}\left|X_{k}\right| I\left(\left|X_{k}\right| \leq c_{k}\right)<\infty \text { a.s. }
$$

This, via Kronecker's lemma, implies (1).
Next, we examine the mean zero situation.
Theorem 9. Let $\left\{X, X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be i.i.d. mean zero random variables. Let $\left\{a_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be constants satisfying $0<b_{n} \uparrow \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left\{|X|>c_{n}\right\}<\infty$. If $1<\alpha<2$, then $S_{n} / b_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

Proof. Again, note that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} X_{k} I\left(\left|X_{k}\right|>c_{k}\right)=o\left(b_{n}\right) \text { a.s. }
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} X_{k}= & \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\left[X_{k} I\left(\left|X_{k}\right| \leq c_{k}\right)-E X I\left(|X| \leq c_{k}\right)\right] \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} E X I\left(|X| \leq c_{k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} X_{k} I\left(\left|X_{k}\right|>c_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we need only show that the first two terms are $o\left(b_{n}\right)$. In view of the Khintchine-Kolmogorov convergence theorem and Kronecker's lemma, all that one needs to show, in order to prove that the first term is $o\left(b_{n}\right)$ a.s., is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{-2} E X^{2} I\left(|X| \leq c_{k}\right)<\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integration by parts and Theorem 1b of Feller [2, p. 281] we observe that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{-2} E X^{2} I\left(|X| \leq c_{k}\right) \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{-2} \int_{0}^{c_{k}} t P\{|X|>t\} d t
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L\left(c_{k}\right) c_{k}^{-\alpha} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left\{|X|>c_{k}\right\} \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (2) holds. Finally, we need to show that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} E X I\left(|X| \leq c_{k}\right)=o\left(b_{n}\right)
$$

Due to the fact that $\left|E X I\left(|X| \leq c_{k}\right)\right| \leq E|X| I\left(|X|>c_{k}\right)$ it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|a_{k}\right| E|X| I\left(|X|>c_{k}\right)=o\left(b_{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{-1} E|X| I\left(|X|>c_{k}\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left\{|X|>c_{k}\right\}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{-1} \int_{c_{k}}^{\infty} P\{|X|>t\} d t \\
& \leq O(1)+C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{-1} \int_{c_{k}}^{\infty} L(t) t^{-\alpha} d t \\
& \leq O(1)+C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L\left(c_{k}\right) c_{k}^{-\alpha} \text { (see Feller, [2, p.281]) } \\
& \leq O(1)+C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left\{|X|>c_{k}\right\} \\
& =O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

it is clear that (3) obtains.

## 3. DISCUSSION.

In this section we combine the previous theorems. The conclusion is that for all $\alpha \in$ $[0,1) \cup(1,2)$ a law of the iterated logarithm cannot hold.

Theorem 4. Let $\left\{X, X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be i.i.d. random variables with

$$
P\{|X|>x\}= \begin{cases}L(x) x^{-\alpha} & x \geq 1 \\ 1 & x<1\end{cases}
$$

with $E X=0$ if $\alpha>1$. If $\left\{a_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are constants with $0<b_{n} \uparrow \infty$, then for all $\alpha \in[0,1) \cup(1,2)$

$$
\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} X_{k}}{b_{n}}\right|=0 \text { or } \infty \text { a.s. }
$$

depending on whether $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left\{|X|>c_{n}\right\}$ converges or diverges.
Proof. In view of Theorems 1, 2, and 3 the conclusion is immediate.
Now, clearly if a law of the iterated logarithm does not exist, then a strong law of large numbers (with limit one) is also not feasible.

Corollary. If the hypotheses of Theorem 4 hold, then

$$
P\left\{\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} X_{k} / b_{n}=1\right\}=0
$$

It is well known that if $\alpha>2$, then a classical law of the iterated logarithm can be obtained provided suitable conditions are imposed on the constants $\left\{a_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$. An interesting question is what happens when $\alpha=1$. If we allow $\alpha=1$, then not only can a law of the iterated logarithm obtain, but a strong law of large numbers can also occur where the limit is one. The following example is of the flavor of those that can be found in Adler [3].

Example. If $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are i.i.d. random variables with common density $f(x)=$ $x^{-2} I_{(1, \infty)}(x),-\infty<x<\infty$, then

$$
\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2}{k} X_{k}}{(\log n)^{2}} \rightarrow 1 \text { a.s. }
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left\{|X|>\frac{n(\log n)^{2}}{2}\right\}=2+\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n(\log n)^{2}}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\left[\frac{n(\log n)^{2}}{2}\right]^{2} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty}\left[\frac{2}{j(\log j)^{2}}\right]^{2}=O(n)
$$

we have, by Theorem 1 of Adler and Rosalsky [4],

$$
\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2}{k}\left(X_{k}-\mu_{k}\right)}{(\log n)^{2}} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. }
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{n} & =E X I\left(|X| \leq \frac{n(\log n)^{2}}{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{1}^{n(\log n)^{2} / 2} x^{-1} d x \\
& \sim \log n
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that

$$
\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2}{k} \log k}{(\log n)^{2}} \rightarrow 1
$$

the proof is complete.
Here we exhibited a strong law in the nonintegrable case. One can obtain similar strong laws for mean zero random variables when $P\{|X|>x\}=L(x) / x$ (see, e.g., Adler and Rosalsky [5]).
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