ALMOST PERIODIC SOLUTIONS TO SYSTEMS OF PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

JANPOU NEE

Academia Sinica

Institute of Mathematics

Nankang, Taipei, 11529, Taiwan, ROC

(Received February, 1994; revised May, 1994)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we show that the second-order differential solution is $\mathbb{L}^2$ -almost periodic, provided it is $\mathbb{L}^2$ -bounded, and the growth of the components of a nonlinear function of a system of parabolic equation is bounded by any pair of consecutive eigenvalues of the associated Dirichlet boundary value problems.

Key words: Almost Periodic Solutions, System of Nonlinear Parabolic Equations.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications: 35B15, 35K55, 35K99.

1. Introduction

Foias et al. [2] proved that if a solution of some system of parabolic equations in $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ and L^2 -bounded satisfying certain conditions then it is a L^2 -almost periodic solution.

Recall that a continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is X -almost periodic if for every ϵ there is a relatively dense subset $T_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sup_t \|f(t + \tau) - f(t)\|_X < \epsilon, \quad \forall \tau \in T_\epsilon,$$

where X is some Banach space.

Recently, Corduneanu [1] and Yang [4] extended the results of Foias to nonlinear parabolic equations. In this paper we extend the results of Corduneanu [1] and Yang [4] to the following system of nonlinear parabolic equations,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + f(t, x, u) \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where u , and $f \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are m -vector valued functions, $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, and Ω is some bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, we assume that $f: \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfies the following conditions (cf. [1, 4]):

- (CI) $f(t, x, u)$ is continuous and $\mathbb{L}^2$ -almost periodic in t , and uniformly continuous with respect to u_j .
- (CII) The matrix $\mathbf{D}(f) = (f_{i,j})$ is diagonalizable, with eigenvalues μ_j , and for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, there exists some integer $i(j)$ such that $\lambda_{i(j)-1} < \mu_j < \lambda_{i(j)}$.

Here $f_{i,j} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_j}$, and $\mathbb{L}^2 = L^2(\Omega) \times \dots \times L^2(\Omega)$, m -times. We call matrix $\mathbf{D}(f)$ diagonalizable if there exists a nonsingular matrix M such that $M\mathbf{D}(f)M^{-1} = I$, at every triple (t, x, u) , where I is the identity matrix. Similarly, M is nonsingular if $\det M \neq 0$ and μ is an eigenvalue of matrix $\mathbf{D}(f)$ if $\det(\mathbf{D}(f) - \mu) = 0$. Notice that condition (CII) implies that $\mu_j > 0$, since λ_j is the eigenvalues of Laplacian in the domain Ω corresponding to the eigenfunction ϕ_j , which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi_j + \lambda_j\phi_j = 0 \\ \phi_j|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We arrange λ_j in the ascending order

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \dots \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

To simplify the notation, we use λ_0 to denote 0, and the function space $\mathbb{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}) = C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \times \dots \times C^2(\bar{\Omega})$, m -times.

2. Main Result

Before we prove the main theorem of this paper we first derive a useful a priori estimate of the following problem,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w - (\Delta + D)w = v, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where w, v are m -vector valued functions, $D = (\delta_{i,j}\nu_j)$ is a diagonal matrix, and $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta, ν_j are positive real numbers satisfying $\lambda_{i(j)-1} < \nu_j < \lambda_{i(j)}$. Here $i(j)$ is the same as in the condition (CII).

Lemma 1: Let $w, v \in \mathbb{C}^2(\bar{\Omega})$ be $\mathbb{L}^2$ -bounded satisfying problem (3). If ν_j satisfies the assumption above, then

$$\sup_t \int_{\Omega} w_j^2(t, x) dx \leq \max\{(\nu_j - \lambda_{i(j)-1})^{-2}, (\nu_j - \lambda_{i(j)})^{-2}\} \sup_t \int_{\Omega} v_j^2(t, x) dx. \quad (4)$$

Proof: It is well known that $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ form an orthogonal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_j(t, x) &= \sum_k a_{j,k} \phi_k, \\ v_j(t, x) &= \sum_k b_{j,k} \phi_k. \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (5)$$

The Parseval formula and the assumption of $L^2(\Omega)$ -boundedness imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} w^2 \leq c, \\ \sum_{j,k} b_{j,k}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} v^2 \leq c,\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

for some positive constant c which is independent of t .

Substituting equation (5) into equation (3) yields

$$a'_{j,k}(t) + (\lambda_k - \nu_j)a_{j,k}(t) = b_{j,k}(t),\tag{7}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$a_{j,k}(t) = e^{-(\nu_j - \lambda_k)(t_0 - t)} a_{j,k}(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-(\nu_j - \lambda_k)(s - t)} b_{j,k}(s) ds.$$

Since $\lambda_{i(j)-1} < \nu_j < \lambda_{i(j)}$, we have $\nu_j - \lambda_k > 0$ for $k \leq i(j) - 1$. Thus for $t_0 > t$, the following is true

$$|a_{j,k}(t)| \leq e^{-(\nu_j - \lambda_k)(t_0 - t)} |a_{j,k}(t_0)| + \frac{1 - e^{-(\nu_j - \lambda_k)(t_0 - t)}}{\nu_j - \lambda_k} |b_{j,k}(t)|.$$

Using (5), (6), and the fact that $a_{j,k}$, $b_{j,k}$ are bounded functions of t , and letting $t_0 \rightarrow \infty$, the above inequality yields

$$\sup_t |a_{j,k}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\nu_j - \lambda_k} \sup_t |b_{j,k}(t)|.$$

Similarly, the above inequality is true for $k \geq i(j)$ which implies

$$\sup_t |a_{j,k}(t)| \leq \alpha_j \sup_t |b_{j,k}(t)|,\tag{8}$$

where

$$\alpha_j = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\nu_j - \lambda_{i(j)-1}}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{i(j)} - \nu_j} \right\}.$$

Thus the assertion of the lemma holds.

Theorem 2: If u is a $\mathbb{C}^2(\bar{\Omega})$, $\mathbb{L}^2$ -bounded solution of problem (1), and if $f_{j,i}$ is a continuous function satisfying conditions (CI) and (CII), then u is $\mathbb{L}^2$ -almost periodic.

Proof: Let u be a solution of equation (1), then for a given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the vector valued function $w = u(t + \tau, x) - u(t, x)$. Then w satisfies the following equation,

$$\begin{cases} w_t - \Delta w = f(t + \tau, w, x, u(t + \tau, x)) - f(t, x, u(t, x)), \\ w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Applying the mean value theorem to f_j with respect to the component u_i and letting $\alpha_{j,i}$ be a constant in the interval $(0, 1)$, we have that

$$\psi_{j,i} = \alpha_{j,i} u_i(t + \tau, x) + (1 - \alpha_{j,i}) u_i(t, x),$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & f_j(t, x, u_1(t, x), \dots, u_{i-1}(t, x), u_i(t + \tau, x), \dots, u_m(t + \tau, x)) \\ & - f_j(t, x, u_1(t, x), \dots, u_i(t, x), u_{i+1}(t + \tau, x), \dots, u_m(t + \tau, x)) \\ & = f_{j,i}(t, x, u_1(t, x), \dots, \psi_i, u_{i+1}(t + \tau, x), \dots, u_m(t + \tau, x))w_i(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Let the vector valued functions $\Psi_{j,i}$ be

$$\Psi_{j,i} = (u_1(t, x), \dots, u_{i-1}(t, x), \psi_i, u_{i+1}(t + \tau, x), \dots, u_m(t + \tau, x)),$$

then w_j satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_j - \Delta w_j &= \sum_i f_{j,i}(t + \tau, x, \Psi_i)w_i \\ &+ f_j(t + \tau, x, u(t, x)) - f_j(t, x, u(t, x)), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and boundary condition

$$w_j|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Since w_j are $L^2(\Omega)$ -bounded, we have

$$w_j(t, x) = \sum_k a_{j,k}(t)\phi_k,$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. The condition (CII) implies that for every j there exist two constants $\bar{\theta}_j$ and $\hat{\theta}_j$, and some integer $i(j) \geq 1$ such that

$$\lambda_{i(j)-1} < \bar{\theta}_j \leq \mu_j \leq \hat{\theta}_j < \lambda_{i(j)}.$$

Recall that λ_0 is 0.

Equation (9) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_j - (\Delta w_j + \nu_j) &= \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}} f_{j,i}(t + \tau, x, \psi_i)w_i + (f_{j,j} - \nu_j)w_j \\ &+ f_j(t + \tau, x, u(t, x)) - f_j(t, x, u(t, x)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\theta}_j < \nu_j < \hat{\theta}_j$. Observe that the ν_j are real; and they will be determined later. By inequality (8), we immediately obtain

$$\sup_t ((1 - k_j)|w_j| - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}} \beta_{j,i}|w_i|) \leq \gamma_j \sup_t |v_j|,$$

where

$$k_j = \frac{|f_{j,j} - \nu_j|}{\alpha_j}, \quad \beta_{j,i} = \frac{|f_{j,i}|}{\alpha_j}, \quad \gamma_j = \frac{1}{\alpha_j}, \quad \alpha_j = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\nu_j - \lambda_{i(j)-1}}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{i(j)} - \nu_j} \right\},$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\epsilon_i > 0$, satisfy

$$\sup_t \{(1 - k_j)|w_j| - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}} \beta_{j,i}|w_i|\} = \gamma_j \sup_t |v_j| + \epsilon_i.$$

Let $\xi = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m)$, and rewrite the above equation as

$$M \cdot w = \xi + G \cdot v, \quad (10)$$

where

$$w = (\sup_t |w_1|, \dots, \sup_t |w_m|), \quad v = (\sup_t |v_1|, \dots, \sup_t |v_m|),$$

$M = (m_{i,j})$, $G = (\delta_{i,j} \gamma_j)$ are $m \times m$ matrices, where $m_{i,j} = \beta_{i,j}$, for $j \neq i$, and $m_{j,j} = 1 - k_j$. Since $\bar{\theta}_j < \nu_j < \hat{\theta}_j$, and using condition (CII), we may choose suitable ν_j such that $1 - k_j > 0$, and M is diagonalizable. By linear algebra we have

$$w = \xi + G \cdot w.$$

Since $\xi = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m)$ and $\epsilon_i > 0$, we have

$$\sup_t \int_{\Omega} w_j^2(t, x) dx \leq c_j \sup_t \int_{\Omega} v_j^2(t, x) dx, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and some constant $c_j > 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We can easily generalize Theorem 2 to the following system of nonlinear parabolic equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_j - L_j u_j = f_j(t, x, u), \\ B_j u_j|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where L_j and B_j are elliptic operators and boundary operators respectively satisfying

$$L_j = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} A_{j,\alpha}(x) D^\alpha,$$

$$B_j u = b_{j,1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + b_{j,0} u.$$

We denote by $D^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$.

Furthermore, we assume that the principal parts of L_j be

$$P_j = \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} A_{j,\alpha}(x) D^\alpha,$$

such that $A_{j,\alpha} \in C^{|\alpha|}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $b_{j,i} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ are real, and L_j are self-adjoint operators such that $\ker(L_j - c_j) = \{0\}$ for some real c_j . Denote by $\sigma(L_j)$ the spectrum of L_j , for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and replace the assumption (CII) by the following,

(CII)' The matrix $\mathbf{D}(f) = (f_{i,j})$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalue μ_j and for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, there exists some integer $i(j)$ such that $\lambda_{j,i(j)-1} < \mu_j < \lambda_{j,i(j)}$, where $\{\lambda_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ are the eigenvalues of the operators L_j .

Then by the same argument as used for Theorem 2, we have the following results.

Theorem 3: If u is a $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$, $\mathbb{L}^2$ -bounded solution of equation (11), and if f is a continuous function satisfies conditions (CI), (CII)', then u is $\mathbb{L}^2$ -almost periodic.

Consider the solution u of equation (11) in the sense that $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}^2)$, where $\mathcal{H}^2 = H_1^2(\Omega) \times \dots \times H_m^2(\Omega)$, and

$$H_j^2 = \{u \mid u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega) \text{ is real, and } B_j u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}.$$

Here $W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space (cf. Ladyženskaja [3]). Let the operator $\mathcal{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_m)$, $\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^2$ with domain $D(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{H}^2$. Then we have a similar result as Theorem 2 (cf. Yang [4]).

Theorem 4: *If u is a $C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}^2)$, and $\mathbb{L}^2$ -bounded solution of equation, and if f satisfies conditions (CI), (CII)', then u is $\mathbb{L}^2$ -almost periodic.*

Remark: The condition (CII) implies the uniqueness of $\mathbb{L}^2$ -bounded solution to problem (1).

To prove the uniqueness, we assume that u, v are the solution to problem (1), and let $w_j = u_j - v_j$ then $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ satisfies

$$\partial_t w_j - \Delta w_j = \sum_i f_{j,i}(t, x, \Psi_{j,i}) w_i.$$

Applying Lemma 1, we see that

$$M \cdot w \leq 0$$

where M is defined as in equation (10). By linear algebra, we obtain $w \equiv 0$.

References

- [1] Corduneanu, C., Almost periodic solution to nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equation, *Nonlinear Anal.-TMA* (1983), 357-363.
- [2] Foias, C., and Zaidman, S., Almost periodic solutions of parabolic systems, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* (1961), 247-262.
- [3] Ladyženskaja, O.A., Solornikov, V.A., and Uralčeva, N.N., *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. of Math. Monographs, 1968.
- [4] Yang, Y., Almost periodic solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* (1988), 231-238.