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## 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the existence of integral solutions for initial value problems for first-order stochastic semilinear functional differential equations with nonlocal conditions in Hilbert spaces. More precisely in Section 3, we consider first-order stochastic semilinear functional differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime}(t)=A y(t)+f\left(t, y_{t}\right) \frac{d w(t)}{d t}, \quad t \in J:=[0, b]  \tag{1.1}\\
y(t)+h_{t}(y)=\phi(t), \quad t \in[-r, 0]
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f: J \times \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, 0], H) \rightarrow H$ is a given function, $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is a nondensely defined closed linear operator on $H$, the function $w(t)$ is a Hilbert space Q-valued Wiener process, $\phi \in \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, 0], D(A)), 0<r<\infty$, is a suitable initial random function independent of $w(t), h: \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, 0], D(A)) \rightarrow D(A), H$ a real separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and norm $|\cdot|$, and $\widehat{M}_{2}$ is a class of $H$-valued stochastic processes that will be specified later (see Section 2). Here $y_{t}(\cdot)$ represents the history of stochastic processes state from time $t-r$, up to the present time $t$. The nonlocal conditions were initiated by Byszewski. We refer the readers to [4] and the references cited therein for motivation regarding the nonlocal initial conditions. The nonlocal condition can be applied in physics
with better effect than the classical initial condition $y(0)=y_{0}$. For example, $h_{t}(y)$ may be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{t}(y)=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} y\left(t_{i}+t\right), \quad t \in[-r, 0], \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}, i=1, \ldots, p$, are given constants and $0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{p} \leq b$. At time $t=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}(y)=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} y\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Random differential and integral equations play an important role in characterizing many social, physical, biological, and engineering problems; see, for instance, the monographs of Da Prato and Zabczyk [6] and Sobczyk [14]. For example, a stochastic model for drug distribution in a biological system was described by Tsokos and Padgett [16] to be a closed system with a simplified heat, one organ or capillary bed, and recirculation of blood with a constant rate of flow, where the heart is considered as a mixing chamber of constant volume. The basic theory concerning stochastic differential equations can be found in the monographs of Bharucha-Reid [3], Da Prato and Zabczyk [6], and Tsokos and Padgett [16]. For recent results, we refer to the papers of Liu [11], McKibben [12, 13], and Taniguchi [15].

Recently, Balasubramaniam and Ntouyas [2] studied the semilinear stochastic evolution delay equations with nonlocal conditions, where $A$ is a densely defined linear operator. Our goal here is to extend the results of Balasubramaniam and Ntouyas [2], where $A$ is nondensely defined. These results can be seen as a contribution to the literature.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions, and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper.

Let $K$ be another real separable Hilbert space and let $w(t), t \geq 0$, be a $K$-valued Wiener process with mean zero and covariance operator $Q$ with $\operatorname{tr} Q<\infty$ ( $\operatorname{tr} Q$ denotes the trace of the operator $Q$ ) defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\langle w(t), g\rangle\langle w(s), h\rangle=(t \wedge s)\langle Q g, h\rangle \quad \text { for every } g, h \in K \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the inner product and $E$ stands for integration with respect to probability measure $P$. Let $L(K, H)$ denote the space of bounded linear operators from $K$ into $H$. For $g_{1}, g_{2} \in L(K, H)$, we define $\left\langle\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\operatorname{tr}\left(g_{1} Q g_{2}^{*}\right)$, where $g_{2}^{*}$ is the adjoint of the operator $g_{2}$ and $Q$ is the nuclear operator associated with the Brownian motion, where $Q \in L_{n}^{+}(K)$, the space of positive nuclear operator in $K$. Let $L\left(K_{Q}, H\right)$ denote the completion of $L(K, H)$ with respect to the topology induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2}$, where $\|g\|_{2}^{2}=$ $\langle\langle g, g\rangle\rangle$. Let $\left(\Omega, \mathscr{F}^{\prime}, \mathscr{F}_{t}, P, H\right)$ be a complete probability space furnished with a complete
family of right continuous increasing $\sigma$-algebras $\left\{\mathscr{F}_{t}, t \in[0, T]\right\}$ satisfying $\mathscr{F}_{t} \subset \mathscr{F}$. Let $L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathscr{F}_{2}, \mathscr{F}_{t}, P, H\right)$ be a space of all square random variables with values in $H$ that are measurable with respect to $\left\{\mathscr{F}_{t}, t \in[0, b]\right\}$. Let $\widehat{M}_{2}([-r, b], H)$ denote the classes of $H$-valued stochastic processes $\{\xi(t): t \in[-r, b]\}$ which are $\mathscr{F}_{t}$-adapted and have finite second moments, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\xi\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}}=\sup _{t \in[-r, b]}\left(E|\xi(t)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $\widehat{M}_{2}$, furnished with the norm topology as defined above, is a Banach space. White noise is usually regarded as informal time derivative $w^{\prime}(t)$ of Brownian motion or Wiener process $w(t)$. In the Itô theory of stochastic integration, an integral with respect to $w^{\prime}(t)$ is rewritten as one with respect to $d w(t)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} \psi(t) d w(t)=\int_{a}^{b} \psi(t) w^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Itô integral $\int_{a}^{b} \psi(t) d w(t)$ is defined for any process $\psi(t)$ which satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $\psi$ is nonanticipating,
(2) almost all sample paths of $\psi$ belong to $L^{2}([a, b])$. Moreover, $\int_{a}^{b} \psi(t) d w(t) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ if and only if $\psi \in L^{2}([a, b] \times \Omega)$. In fact the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|\int_{a}^{b} \psi(t) d w(t)\right|^{2}=E \int_{a}^{b}|\psi(t)|^{2} d t \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For more details on Brownian motion and white noise, we refer the reader to the books of Hida [8] and Hida et al. [9].
$B(H)$ denotes the Banach space of bounded linear operators from $H$ into $H$ with norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|N\|_{B(H)}=\sup \{|N(y)|:|y|=1\} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.1 (see [1]). Let $E$ be a Banach space. An integrated semigroup is a family of operators $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of bounded linear operators $S(t)$ on $E$ with the following properties:
(i) $S(0)=0$;
(ii) $t \rightarrow S(t)$ is strongly continuous;
(iii) $S(s) S(t)=\int_{0}^{s}(S(t+r)-S(r)) d r$, for all $t, s \geq 0$.

Definition 2.2 (see [10]). An operator $A$ is called a generator of an integrated semigroup if there exists $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\omega, \infty) \subset \rho(A)(\rho(A)$ is the resolvent set of $A)$ and there exists a strongly continuous exponentially bounded family $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of bounded operators such that $S(0)=0$ and $R(\lambda, A):=(\lambda I-A)^{-1}=\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} S(t) d t$ exists for all $\lambda$ with $\lambda>\omega$.
Proposition 2.3 (see [1]). Let $A$ be the generator of an integrated semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then for all $x \in E$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} S(s) x d s \in D(A), \quad S(t) x=A \int_{0}^{t} S(s) x d s+t x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.4 (see [10]). (i) An integrated semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is called locally Lipschitz continuous if, for all $\tau>0$, there exists a constant $L$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S(t)-S(s)| \leq L|t-s|, \quad t, s \in[0, \tau] . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) An integrated semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is called nondegenerate if $S(t) x=0$, for all $t \geq 0$, implies that $x=0$.

Definition 2.5. We say that the linear operator $A$ satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition if there exist $M \geq 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\omega, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{(\lambda-\omega)^{n}\left|(\lambda I-A)^{-n}\right|: n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda>\omega\right\} \leq M . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.6 (see [10]). The following assertions are equivalent:
(H0) A is the generator of a nondegenerate, locally Lipschitz continuous integrated semigroup;
(H1) A satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition.
If $A$ is the generator of an integrated semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ which is locally Lipschitz, then from [1], $S(\cdot) x$ is continuously differentiable if and only if $x \in \overline{D(A)}$ and $\left(S^{\prime}(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a $C_{0}$ semigroup on $\overline{D(A)}$.

Definition 2.7. A map $f: J \times \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, 0], H) \rightarrow H$ is said to be $L^{2}$-Carathéodory if
(i) $t \mapsto f(t, u)$ is measurable for each $u \in \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, 0], H)$;
(ii) $u \mapsto f(t, u)$ is continuous for almost all $t \in J$;
(iii) for each $q>0$, there exists $h_{q} \in L^{1}\left(J, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, u)|^{2} \leq h_{q}(t) \quad \forall\|u\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}}^{2} \leq q \text { and for almost all } t \in J . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we will assume that $f$ is an $L^{2}$-Carathéodory function.

## 3. Main result

The aim of this section is to study the existence of integral solutions for the nonlocal problem (1.1).

Definition 3.1. For any $H$-valued $\mathscr{F}_{0}$-measurable stochastic processes $\phi$ satisfying the condition $E\|\phi(t)\|^{2}<\infty$ for every $t \in[-r, 0]$, an element $y \in \widehat{M}_{2}$ is said to be an integral solution of (1.1) if
(i) $y(t)+h_{t}(y)=\phi(t), t \in[-r, 0]$,
(ii) $\int_{0}^{t} y(s) d s \in D(A), t \in J$,
(iii) $y(t)=S^{\prime}(t)\left[\phi(0)-h_{0}(y)\right]+A \int_{0}^{t} y(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s), t \in J$.

From the definition it follows that $y(t) \in \overline{D(A)}, t \geq 0$. Moreover, $y$ satisfies the following variation of constant formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=S^{\prime}(t)\left[\phi(0)-h_{t}(y)\right]+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s), \quad t \in J . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now in a position to state and prove our existence result for the problem (1.1).

Theorem 3.2. Assume (H1) and
(H2) $w$ is an $H$-valued Wiener process defined on Hilbert space $K$;
(H3) $S^{\prime}(t), t>0$, is compact and there exist $M>0, \omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{B(H)}^{2} \leq M e^{\omega t}, \quad t \geq 0 ; \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H4) the function $h$ is continuous with respect to $t$ and there exists a constant $\beta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{t}(u)\right|^{2} \leq \beta, \quad u \in \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, 0], H), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for each $k>0$, the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\phi(0)-h_{0}(y): y \in \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, 0], H),\|y\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}} \leq k\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is precompact in $H$;
(H5) there exist a continuous nondecreasing function $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ and $p \in L^{1}([0, b]$, $\mathbb{R}_{+}$) such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
E|f(t, u)|^{2} \leq p(t) \psi\left(E\|u\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}}^{2}\right) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, b] \text { and each } u \in \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, 0], \overline{D(A)}), \\
\int_{0}^{b} p_{*}(s) d s<\int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x+\psi(x)} \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p_{*}(t)=\max (|\omega|, 2 M p(t))$ and $c=4 M E\left(|\phi(0)|^{2}+\beta\right)$ are satisfied. Then the problem (1.1) has at least one integral solution on $[-r, b]$.

Proof. We transform the problem (1.1) into a fixed-point problem. Consider the operator $N: \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, b], \overline{D(A)}) \rightarrow \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, b], \overline{D(A)})$ defined by

$$
N(y)(t):= \begin{cases}\phi(t)-h_{t}(y) & \text { if } t \in[-r, 0]  \tag{3.6}\\ S^{\prime}(t)\left[\phi(0)-h_{0}(y)\right]+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s) & \text { if } t \in[0, b] .\end{cases}
$$

Remark 3.3. It is clear that the fixed points of $N$ are integral solutions to (1.1).
In order to use the Leray-Schauder alternative, we will obtain a priori estimates for the solutions of the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\lambda\left[S^{\prime}(t)\left[\phi(t)-h_{0}(y)\right]+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d s\right] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $y(t)=\lambda\left[\phi(t)-h_{t}(y)\right], t \in[-r, 0], \lambda \in(0,1)$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
|y(t)|^{2} & =\lambda^{2}\left|S^{\prime}(t)\left[\phi(0)-h_{0}(y)\right]+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq 2\left|S^{\prime}(t)\left[\phi(0)-h_{0}(y)\right]\right|^{2}+2\left|\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

## 6 Stochastic functional differential equations

Thus by (H3), (H4), and (H5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(|y(t)|^{2}\right) \leq 4 M e^{\omega t} E\left(|\phi(0)|^{2}+\beta\right)+2 M e^{\omega t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\omega s} p(s) \psi\left(E\left(\left\|y_{s}\right\|^{2}\right)\right) d s \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the function $\mu$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(t)=\sup \{|y(s)|: 0 \leq s \leq t\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq b \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t_{*} \in[0, t] \subset[0, b]$ be such that $\mu(t)=\left|y\left(t_{*}\right)\right|$. By the previous inequality, we have for $t \in[0, b]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\omega t} E\left(\mu(t)^{2}\right) \leq 4 M E\left(|\phi(0)|^{2}+\beta\right)+2 M \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\omega s} p(s) \psi\left(E\left(\mu(s)^{2}\right)\right) d s \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take the right-hand side of the above inequality as $v(t)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left(\mu(t)^{2}\right) \leq e^{\omega t} v(t) \quad \forall t \in[0, b] \\
c:=v(0)=4 M E\left(|\phi(0)|^{2}+\beta\right)  \tag{3.12}\\
v^{\prime}(t)=2 M e^{-\omega t} p(t) \psi\left(E\left(\mu(t)^{2}\right)\right) \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0, b] .
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the increasing character of $\psi$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}(t) \leq 2 M e^{-\omega t} p(t) \psi\left(e^{\omega t} v(t)\right) \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0, b] \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[e^{\omega t} v(t)\right]^{\prime} } & =\omega e^{\omega t} v(t)+e^{\omega t} v^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq|\omega| e^{\omega t} v(t)+2 M p(t) \psi\left(e^{\omega t} v(t)\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
& \leq p_{*}(t)\left[e^{\omega t} v(t)+\psi\left(e^{\omega t} v(t)\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v(0)}^{e^{\omega t} v(t)} \frac{d x}{x+\psi(x)} \leq \int_{0}^{b} p_{*}(s) d s<\int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x+\psi(x)} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (H5), there exists a constant $K_{*}$ such that $e^{\omega t} v(t) \leq K_{*}, t \in[0, b]$, and there exists $M_{*}$ such that $\|y\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}} \leq M_{*}$.

In the next steps, we will prove that $N$ is continuous and completely continuous. Step 1. $N$ is continuous.

Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence such that $y_{n} \rightarrow y$ in $\widehat{M}_{2}([-r, b], \overline{D(A)})$. Then for each $t \in[0, b]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|N\left(y_{n}\right)(t)-N(y)(t)\right|^{2} \\
& \quad=\left|S^{\prime}(t)\left[h_{0}\left(y_{n}\right)-h_{0}(y)\right]+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left[f\left(s, y_{n s}\right)-f\left(s, y_{s}\right)\right] d w(s)\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq 2\left|S^{\prime}(t)\left[h_{0}\left(y_{n}\right)-h_{0}(y)\right]\right|^{2}+2\left|\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left[f\left(s, y_{n_{s}}\right)-f\left(s, y_{s}\right)\right] d w(s)\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq 2 M e^{\omega t}\left|h_{0}\left(y_{n}\right)-h_{0}(y)\right|^{2}+2\left|\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left[f\left(s, y_{n s}\right)-f\left(s, y_{s}\right)\right] d w(s)\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq 2 M e^{\omega t}\left|h_{0}\left(y_{n}\right)-h_{0}(y)\right|^{2}+2 M\left|\int_{0}^{t}\right| f\left(s, y_{n_{s}}\right)-f\left(s, y_{s}\right)|d w(s)|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq 2 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right)\left|h_{0}\left(y_{n}\right)-h_{0}(y)\right|^{2}+2\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} S^{\prime}(t-s) B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{n_{s}}\right)-f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2} . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(\left|N\left(y_{n}\right)(t)-N(y)(t)\right|^{2}\right) \leq & E\left(2 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right)\left|h_{0}\left(y_{n}\right)-h_{0}(y)\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +E\left(2\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} S^{\prime}(t-s)\left[f\left(s, y_{n_{s}}\right)-f\left(s, y_{s}\right)\right] d w(s)\right|^{2}\right) \\
\leq & 2 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right) E\left(\left|h_{0}\left(y_{n}\right)-h_{0}(y)\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +2 M \max \left(e^{|\omega| b}, 1\right) \int_{0}^{b} E\left(\left|f\left(s, y_{n_{s}}\right)-f\left(s, y_{s}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d s \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|N\left(y_{n}\right)-N(y)\right\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}} \\
& \leq  \tag{3.18}\\
& \quad \sqrt{2 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right) b}\left|h_{0}\left(y_{n}\right)-h_{0}(y)\right| \\
& \quad+\sqrt{2 M b \max \left(e^{|\omega| b}, 1\right)}\left\|f\left(\cdot, y_{n}\right)-f(\cdot, y)\right\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2. $N$ maps bounded sets into bounded sets in $\widehat{M}_{2}([-r, b], H)$.

## 8 Stochastic functional differential equations

Indeed, it is enough to show that there exists a positive constant $\ell$ such that for each $y \in \mathscr{B}_{q}=\left\{y \in \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, b], H):\|y\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}}^{2} \leq q\right\}$, one has $\|N(y)\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}} \leq \ell$.

Let $y \in B_{q}$, then for each $t \in[0, b]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|N(y)(t)|^{2}= & \left|S^{\prime}(t)\left[\phi(0)-h_{0}(y)\right]+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2} \\
\leq & 2\left|S^{\prime}(t)\left[\phi(0)-h_{0}(y)\right]\right|^{2}+2\left|\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2}  \tag{3.19}\\
\leq & 4 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right)\left[\left|h_{0}(y)\right|^{2}+|\phi(0)|^{2}\right] \\
& +2\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} S^{\prime}(t-s) B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\|N(y)\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}} \leq & 4 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right) b\left[\left|h_{0}(y)\right|^{2}+|\phi(0)|^{2}\right]  \tag{3.20}\\
& +2 M b \max \left(e^{|\omega| b}, 1\right)\left\|h_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}:=\ell
\end{align*}
$$

Step 3. N maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets in $\widehat{M}_{2}([-r, b], H)$.
Let $t_{1}, t_{2} \in J, t_{1}<t_{2}, B_{q}$ be a bounded set of $\widehat{M}_{2}([-r, b], H)$ as in Step 2 and let $y \in B_{q}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|N(y)\left(t_{1}\right)-N(y)\left(t_{2}\right)\right|^{2}= & \mid\left[S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)-S^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right]\left[\phi(0)-h_{0}(y)\right]+\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}-s\right) f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s) \\
& +\left.\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left[S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}-s\right)-S^{\prime}\left(t_{1}-s\right)\right] f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2} \\
\leq & 4\left|S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)-S^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|^{2}\left[\left|h_{0}(y)\right|^{2}+|\phi(0)|^{2}\right] \\
& +4\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}-s\right) B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2} \\
& +4\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left[S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}-s\right)-S^{\prime}\left(t_{1}-s\right)\right] B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2} . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(\left|N(y)\left(t_{1}\right)-N(y)\left(t_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right) \leq & E\left(4\left|S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)-S^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|^{2}\left[\left|h_{0}(y)\right|^{2}+|\phi(0)|^{2}\right]\right) \\
& +E\left(4\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}-s\right) B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +E\left(4\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left[S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}-s\right)-S^{\prime}\left(t_{1}-s\right)\right] B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2}\right) \\
\leq & 4 b\left|S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)-S^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|^{2}\left[\left|h_{0}(y)\right|^{2}+|\phi(0)|^{2}\right] \\
& +4 b \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right|^{2} p(s) \psi(E(q)) d s \\
& +4 b \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|S^{\prime}\left(t_{2}-s\right)-S^{\prime}\left(t_{1}-s\right)\right|^{2} h_{q}(s) d s . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The right-hand side tends to zero as $t_{2}-t_{1} \rightarrow 0$. Now we will show that $N \mathscr{B}_{q}(t)$ is relatively compact for every $t \in[0, b]$. In the case where $t=0$, we have $N \mathscr{F}_{q}(0)=\{\phi(0)-$ $\left.h_{0}(y)\right\}$ which is precompact from (H4). Let $0<t \leq b$ and $\epsilon<t \leq b$. For $y \in \mathscr{F}_{q}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{\epsilon}(y)(t)= & S^{\prime}(t)\left[\phi(0)-h_{0}(y)\right]+\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\epsilon}^{t} S^{\prime}(t-s) B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s) \\
& +\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} S^{\prime}(\epsilon) \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} S^{\prime}(t-\epsilon-s) B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s) . \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $S^{\prime}(t)$ is a compact operator, the set $H_{\epsilon}(t)=\left\{N_{\epsilon}(y)(t): y \in \mathscr{B}_{q}\right\}$ is precompact in $\overline{D(A)}$ for every $\epsilon, 0<\epsilon<t$. Moreover, for every $y \in \mathscr{B}_{q}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{\epsilon}(y)(t)-N(y)(t)\right|^{2} \leq\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\epsilon}^{t} S^{\prime}(t-s) B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(\left|N_{\epsilon}(y)(t)-N(y)(t)\right|^{2}\right) & \leq E\left(\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\epsilon}^{t} S^{\prime}(t-s) B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2}\right)  \tag{3.25}\\
& \leq b \int_{t-\epsilon}^{t}\left\|S^{\prime}(t-s)\right\|_{B(H)}^{2} h_{q}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, there are precompact sets arbitrarily close to the set $\left\{N_{\epsilon}(y)(t): y \in \mathscr{B}_{q}\right\}$. Hence the set $\left\{N_{\epsilon}(y)(t): y \in \mathscr{P}_{q}\right\}$ is precompact in $\overline{D(A)}$.

The cases when $t_{1}, t_{2}<0$ or $t_{1}<0<t_{2}$ are obvious.
Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\left\{z \in \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, b], H):\|y\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}}<M_{*}+1\right\} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the choice of $U$, there is no $y \in \partial U$ such that $y=\lambda N(y)$, for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$. As a consequence of the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type [7], we deduce that $N$ has a fixed point $y$ in $U$ which is an integral solution of the problem (1.1).
Remark 3.4. We can replace (H5) by the following condition.
(H5)* There exists a continuous nondecreasing function $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty), p \in L^{1}([0$, $b], \mathbb{R}_{+}$), and nonnegative number $M_{*}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left(|f(t, u)|^{2}\right) \leq p(t) \psi\left(E\|u\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}}^{2}\right) \quad \text { for each } u \in \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, 0], H), \\
\frac{M_{*}}{4 M E\left(|\phi(0)|^{2}+\beta\right)+2 M \max \left(e^{|\omega| b}, 1\right) \psi\left(M_{*}\right) \int_{0}^{b} p(s) d s}>1 \tag{3.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the step on a priori estimates will be modified as follows.
Let $y$ be solution of the problem (1.1), then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|y(t)|^{2} & =\left|S^{\prime}(t)\left[\phi(0)-h_{0}(y)\right]+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq 2\left|S^{\prime}(t)\left[\phi(0)-h_{0}(y)\right]\right|^{2}+2\left|\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2}  \tag{3.28}\\
& \leq 4 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right)\left[|\phi(0)|^{2}+\left|h_{0}(y)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +2\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} S^{\prime}(t-s) B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{s}\right)\right| d w(s)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus using (H5)*, instead of (H5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(|y(t)|^{2}\right) \leq & E\left(4 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right)\left[|\phi(0)|^{2}+\beta\right]\right) \\
& +E\left(2\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} S^{\prime}(t-s) B_{\lambda} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) d w(s)\right|^{2}\right) \\
\leq & 4 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right) E\left(|\phi(0)|^{2}+\beta\right) \\
& +2 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right) b \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\omega s} E\left(\left|f\left(s, y_{s}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d s  \tag{3.29}\\
\leq & 4 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right) E\left(|\phi(0)|^{2}+\beta\right) \\
& +2 M \max \left(e^{\omega b}, 1\right) \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\omega s} p(s) \psi\left(E\left(\|\left. y_{s}\right|^{2}\right)\right) d s
\end{align*}
$$

We consider the function $\mu$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(t)=\sup \{|y(s)|: 0 \leq s \leq t\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq b \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t_{*} \in[0, t] \subset[0, b]$ be such that $\mu(t)=\left|y\left(t_{*}\right)\right|$. By the previous inequality, we have for $t \in[0, b]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(\mu^{2}(t)\right) \leq & 4 M \max \left(e^{|\omega| b}, 1\right) E\left(|\phi(0)|^{2}+\beta\right) \\
& +2 M \max \left(e^{|\omega| b}, 1\right) \int_{0}^{b} p(s) \psi\left(E\left(\mu^{2}(s)\right)\right) d s . \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\|y\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}}}{4 M \max \left(e^{|\omega| b}, 1\right) E\left(|\phi(0)|^{2}+\beta\right)+2 M \psi\left(\|y\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}}\right)} \max \left(e^{|\omega| b}, 1\right) \int_{0}^{b} p(s) d s \leq 1 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (H5) ${ }^{*}$, there exists $M_{*}$ such that $\|y\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}} \neq M_{*}$.
Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\left\{y \in \widehat{M}_{2}([-r, b], \mathbb{R}):\|y\|_{\widehat{M}_{2}}<M_{*}\right\} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and proceed as in Theorem 3.2.

## 4. An example

To apply the previous result, we consider the following partial stochastic differential equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x)=\Delta u(t, x)+f(t, u(t-r, x)) \frac{d w(t)}{d t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq b, x \in \Omega, \\
u(t, x)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq b, x \in \partial \Omega,  \tag{4.1}\\
u(t, x)+h_{t}(x)=v_{0}(x) \quad t \in[-r, 0], x \in \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with regular boundary $\partial \Omega, v_{0} \in C\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), f$ : $[0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a given function, and $\triangle=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\partial^{2} / \partial x_{k}^{2}\right)$. Consider $E=C(\bar{\Omega})$, the Banach space of continuous function on $\bar{\Omega}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Define the linear operator $A$ on $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A z=\triangle z \quad \text { in } D(A)=\{z \in C(\bar{\Omega}): z=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega, \triangle z \in C(\bar{\Omega})\} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{D(A)}=C_{0}(\bar{\Omega})=\{v \in C(\bar{\Omega}): v=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega\} \neq C(\bar{\Omega}) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known from [5] that $A$ is sectorial, $(0,+\infty) \subseteq \rho(A)$, and for $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|R(\lambda, \triangle)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $A$ generates an integrated semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and that $\left|S^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq e^{-\mu t}$ for $t \geq 0$ for some constant $\mu>0$. The partial stochastic differential equation (4.1) can be reformulated as the abstract semilinear stochastic differential equation (1.1) in $E$, where $F:[0, b] \times D(A) \rightarrow E$ is the Nemyskii operator given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, u)(x)=f(t, u(t-r, x)) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that $f$ is an $L^{2}$-Carathéodory function satisfying (H5) and the conditions (H1), (H4) are satisfied, then the integral solution of (1.1) exists by Theorem 3.2.
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