Research Article

Genotyping of the Pseudorabies Virus by Multiplex PCR Followed by Restriction Enzyme Analysis

Antônio Augusto Fonseca Jr.,¹ Cristina Gonçalves Magalhães,¹ Érica Bravo Sales,¹ Régia Maria D'Ambros,² Janice Ciacchi-Zanella,³ Marcos Bryan Heinemann,⁴ Rômulo Cerqueira Leite,⁴ and Jenner Karlisson Pimenta dos Reis⁴

¹ LANAGRO/MG, Avenida Rômulo Joviano, Caixa Postal 50, Centro, 33600-000 Pedro Leopoldo, Minas Gerais, CEP, Brazil

² CEDISA, Concórdia, Santa Catarina, Brazil

³ Embrapa Suínos e Aves, Concórdia, Santa Catarina, Brazil

⁴ Escola de Veterinária, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Antônio Augusto Fonseca Jr., augustofj@yahoo.com.br

Received 18 September 2011; Accepted 9 October 2011

Academic Editor: T. Krishnan

Copyright © 2011 Antônio Augusto Fonseca Jr. et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Suid herpesvirus 1 (SuHV-1) is the causative agent of Aujeszky's disease. The infectious agent has only one serotype, but it was classified by restriction enzyme analysis of the whole genome into four genotypes, named I to IV. The aim of this study was to standardize a rapid method for genotyping SuHV-1 without virus isolation, using a multiplex-PCR followed by enzymatic restriction analysis. The complete genome of the virus was analyzed *in silico* to determine the restriction sites for the enzyme *Bam*HI. Primers were designed to flank sites with emphasis on certain points of differentiation of genotypes. The standard PCRs were able to detect the SuHV-1 and also to differentiate genotypes from brain tissue of infected pigs. The *Bam*HI-PCR is a rapid, practical, and sensitive way to genotype SuHV-1.

1. Introduction

Suid herpesvirus 1 (SuHV-1) is the causative agent of Aujeszky's disease, a disease of great impact on the swine industry. Due to the important economic losses caused by this disease, notification of any outbreak is mandatory [1].

SuHV-1 belongs to the family Herpesviridae, subfamily Alphaherpesvirinae, genus *Varicelovirus* [2]. The main host of SuHV-1 is the pig. Infected young pigs suffer from neurological damage, whereas infected adults suffer from abortion and respiratory signals. SuHV-1 has only one serotype, and virus differentiation is made by molecular techniques, in particular by the restriction fragment length pattern (RFLP), allowing the differentiation of circulating viral strains. The genotyping of samples by RFLP was fundamental to understand the epidemiology of SuHV-1 [3]. Despite the development of other molecular typing techniques, the main method of characterizing the SuHV-1 is still digesting the full genome by the enzyme *Bam*HI (*Bam*HI-RFLP) [4–8]. This

methodology was used by several authors to characterize SuHV-1 isolates in Europe, Japan, Argentina, and Brazil [7–16].

The *Bam*HI-RFLP is able to discriminate the SuHV-1 into four genotypes. Genotypes I and II are distributed worldwide, and genotypes III and IV, originally described in Denmark and Thailand, respectively, were no longer reported [3]. Currently, genotype I is prevalent in populations of wild boars in Europe [8].

The disadvantages of *Bam*HI-RFLP are the need for virus isolation and a time-consuming electrophoresis. Some variations of the methodology require equipments such as ultracentrifuges for viral DNA purification [7]. The small amount of viral DNA and the lack of facilities for virus isolation are also critical in the implementation of this technique [8].

The aim of this work was to develop a fast multiplex PCR followed by enzymatic restriction analysis using *Bam*HI

TABLE 1: Primers used in this work.

Primer	Sequence (5'–3')	Position*	Amplicon	Gene
<i>Bam</i> HI-1-738-F	TACCAGATCGGTTGATGTGC	1227–1246	738	<i>UL56</i>
<i>Bam</i> HI-1-738-R	AACAGGAGCGTCTCCGAGTA	1945–1964		
<i>Bam</i> HI-3-256-F	GTAGGCCGCGTAGAACTGC	37671–37689	256	<i>UL36</i>
<i>Bam</i> HI-3-256-R	GCGCATCGAGAGCAAGTA	37909–37926		
<i>Bam</i> HI-4-357-F	GAGCAGCATGATCGTCGTC	55372–55390	357	<i>UL44</i> , <i>UL26</i> , <i>UL26.5</i>
<i>Bam</i> HI-4-357-R	TTCGTCTCGCAGATGATGTC	55709–55728		
<i>Bam</i> HI-6/7-637-F	GAGTCCAAGGACATGGAGGA	62380–62399	637	
<i>Bam</i> HI-6/7-637-R	GTCTCACACACAACCGGGTA	62997–63016		
<i>Bam</i> HI-16/17-799-F	GAGATGCACCTGATCGACCT	119085–119104	799	<i>US3</i> , <i>US4</i>
<i>Bam</i> HI-16/17-799-R	AAGACGAGCACGACGATGTA	119883–119864		
<i>Bam</i> HI-19/20-519-F	CGCCGTTCTACATCACCAC	126073–126091	519	<i>US2</i>
<i>Bam</i> HI-19/20-519-R	ATCCTGCCGTCTAGGAGATG	126572–126591		

*Complete SuHV-1 genome (GenBank access: BK001744).

TABLE 2: Number of restriction sites in each amplicon in SuHV-1 genotypes I, II, and III.

Primer	Restriction sites in each genotype		
	I	II	III
<i>Bam</i> HI-1-738-F	1	0	1
<i>Bam</i> HI-1-738-R			
<i>Bam</i> HI-3-256-F	1	1	1
<i>Bam</i> HI-3-256-R			
<i>Bam</i> HI-4-357-F	1	1	0
<i>Bam</i> HI-4-357-R			
<i>Bam</i> HI-6/7-637-F	2	2	2
<i>Bam</i> HI-6/7-637-R			
<i>Bam</i> HI-16/17-799-F	2	2	2
<i>Bam</i> HI-16/17-799-R			
<i>Bam</i> HI-19/20-519-F	2	2	2
<i>Bam</i> HI-19/20-519-R			

enzyme that allows the characterization of SuHV-1 without the need of virus isolation, even in samples with small viral DNA amounts.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Primer Design. Primers were designed based on *Bam*HI restriction sites found in the complete genome of SuHV-1 available in GenBank (BK001744) [17], using the software WebCutter (<http://rna.lundberg.gu.se/cutter2/>). The restriction sites were compared with the restriction maps previously described [3]. After detection of the best regions, the sequences were submitted to the program Pimer3Plus [18]. The amplicon sizes were chosen according to the restriction fragments that would be generated after enzymatic digestion and the possibility of their use in a multiplex PCR (Tables 1 and 2).

2.2. Samples. Eleven isolates of SuHV-1 (five of genotype I and six of genotype II), previously characterized in other

works [16, 19], the vaccine strain Bartha, standard sample Shope, and attenuated isolate NIA-4, were used for PCR development. All samples were propagated in PK15 cells before DNA extraction.

Twenty clinical brain samples of pigs positive for SuHV-1 by virus neutralization and virus isolation, collected from outbreaks in the state of Santa Catarina, Brazil, in the years of 2002 and 2003 were used to standardize the technique in tissue sample. Ten negative samples of the same tissue were also used to determine whether the PCRs would be able to detect the virus without unspecific reactions. All samples were previously characterized in other works [20].

Total DNA was extracted by commercial kit Wizard Genomic DNA Purification (Promega, USA) and stored at -20°C for later genotyping.

2.3. Multiplex PCR (mPCR). The reactions were developed by testing different parameters such as annealing temperature, concentration of the reagents (primers, DNA polymerase, MgCl_2), and duration of each stage. The first tests were performed with separate primers for subsequent use in the multiplex.

The multiplex PCRs were standardized using two sets of primers: mix A, which contained *Bam*HI-1-738, *Bam*HI-3-256, and *Bam*HI-6/7-637, and mix B, which contained primers *Bam*HI-4-357, *Bam*HI-19/20-519, and *Bam*HI-16/17-799. Both of the mixes generate three bands prior to restriction enzyme. A $20\mu\text{L}$ reaction was standardized with the following concentrations of reagents: 10 pmol of each primer, $4\mu\text{L}$ of 5x buffer GoTaq Green (Promega, USA), 2.0 mmol/L magnesium chloride, 6% DMSO, 300 mmol/L DNTP (Invitrogen, USA), and 3 U GoTaq HotStart (Promega, USA). The conditions used for both PCR were as follows: a denaturing stage at 95°C for 5 min., 35 cycles at 95°C for 50 s, 60°C for 50 s, 72°C for 1 min., and a final stage extension at 72°C for 7 min.. Positive and negative controls were used in all the PCR mixes to check for contamination and unspecific amplifications.

FIGURE 1: Profiles of enzymatic restriction by *Bam*HI for isolates and clinical samples. (a): mix A and (b): mix B. The samples were selected as examples. Genotypes I (lanes 4 to 6) and II (lanes 7 to 11) were differentiated using mix A. Lanes: (1) molecular size marker, (2) sample Shope (without enzymatic restriction), (3) clinical sample (without enzymatic restriction), (4) standard sample Shope, (5) isolate 936, (6) isolate NIA-4, (7) isolate 3319, (8) isolate 3370, and (9)–(11) clinical samples.

Enzymatic restriction of the mPCR with *Bam*HI was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (New England Biolabs, USA). The gels were analyzed on 2.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide at 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ after one hour of running.

3. Results

3.1. Primer Design. The primers chosen for PCR target nine restriction sites for *Bam*HI detected in the *in silico* analysis of complete genome (Table 1). The regions were chosen because they are the key points used to differentiate genomic types. Among the chosen primer pairs, *Bam*HI-1-738 and *Bam*HI-4-357 are most important for the differentiation of all genotypes. Genotype II has no restriction site in *Bam*HI-1-738, and genotype III has no restriction site in *Bam*HI-4-357 (Table 2). These same areas offer the opportunity to subtyping with the enzyme *Bst*EII, which has a restriction site in *Bam*HI-4-357 for genotype I. The other primers were selected because the regions can be used to differentiate some SuHV-1 strains, like the standard strain Shope, used in our laboratory for virus neutralization tests.

3.2. mPCR. The *Bam*HI-mPCR was first developed with a set of six primer pairs in one reaction, but good visualization of the results could only be possible after two hours of electrophoresis. The use of two mixes allows a cleaner visualization of the restriction enzyme profiles. It was possible to differentiate genotypes I and II using enzymatic restriction after DNA amplification with mix A (Figure 1(a)). Mix B was used to differentiate specific strains like standard strain Shope (Figure 1(b)).

3.3. Samples. Among the twenty clinical samples, seventeen amplified as expected. Three samples required the addition of a larger amount of DNA for better visualization of bands in agarose gel. The clinical samples negative for SuHV-1 showed no bands, indicating the reaction specificity. All isolates

previously characterized in other studies [15, 16] showed the expected restriction patterns for genotype II (Figure 1).

4. Discussion

The *Bam*HI-RFLP is one of the most used methods for molecular characterization of SuHV-1. Although sequencing methods are considered more accurate [8, 18], the restriction enzyme analysis is still important for genotyping of SuHV-1. The biggest problems of RFLP are the need of ultracentrifuges, the necessity of virus isolation and the electrophoresis that takes over eight hours under controlled temperature [14, 16]. The multiplex PCR followed by restriction with *Bam*HI (*Bam*HI-mPCR) developed in this study allows the analysis of restriction sites for genotyping of SuHV-1 directly from clinical samples without the need of any equipment other than that of a basic molecular biology laboratory.

The *Bam*HI-mPCR was able to detect the virus in all the clinical samples, which demonstrated its importance for genotyping SuHV-1 when there is a shortage of equipment or isolation is not possible. We have previously developed a nested PCR for the same purpose in our laboratory [20], but it is a more laborious and time-consuming technique and very prone to contamination.

PCR followed by restriction enzyme has been used characterize other viruses of the Herpesviridae family, such as bovine herpesvirus 1, 2, and 5 [21], herpes simplex 1 [22, 23], varicella-zoster virus, and human cytomegalovirus [24]. These techniques are simple and easy to perform in molecular biology laboratories and are very useful in epidemiological studies. Genotyping of SuHV-1 allows a better understanding of its epidemiology and helps to weigh the impacts of this infectious agent and, ultimately, to reduce or even avoid the losses it produces. Molecular techniques have been used with many objectives such as to verify the introduction of new genotypes in a country [10], to characterize field isolates derived from vaccines samples [11]

and even to identify SuHV-1 isolates from wild animals, such as the wild boar [8]. The *Bam*HI-mPCR is a fast and useful method for genotyping that allows for comparison with results from the published data of the last twenty-five years since the beginning of the use of *Bam*HI-RFLP technique.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Embrapa swine and Poultry for donating the strains. This work was funded by the LANAGRO/MG-Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply and had the support of the INCT-CNPq.

References

- [1] OIE (World Organization for Animal Health), *Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals*, World Organization for Animal Health, Paris, France, 2008.
- [2] C. M. Fauquet, M. A. Mayo, J. Maniloff, U. Desselberger, and L. A. Ball, Eds., *Virus Taxonomy: VIIIth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses*, Elsevier/Academic Press, San Diego, Calif, USA, 2005.
- [3] L. S. Christensen, "The population biology of suid herpesvirus 1," *APMIS, Supplement*, vol. 103, no. 48, pp. 1–48, 1995.
- [4] M. Banks, "DNA restriction fragment length polymorphism among British isolates of Aujeszky's disease virus: use of the polymerase chain reaction to discriminate among strains," *British Veterinary Journal*, vol. 149, no. 2, pp. 155–163, 1993.
- [5] S. C. Herrmann, B. Heppner, and H. Ludwig, "Pseudorabies viruses from clinical outbreaks and latent infections grouped into four major genome types," *Current Topics in Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science*, vol. 27, pp. 378–401, 1984.
- [6] R. M. Weigel and G. Scherba, "Quantitative assessment of genomic similarity from restriction fragment patterns," *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, vol. 32, no. 1-2, pp. 95–110, 1997.
- [7] R. Schaefer, J. C. Zanella, N. Mores et al., "Characterization of Aujeszky's disease virus isolated from South Brazil in the last twenty years by restriction enzyme analysis," *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 390–394, 2006.
- [8] T. Müller, B. G. Klupp, C. Freuling et al., "Characterization of pseudorabies virus of wild boar origin from Europe," *Epidemiology and Infection*, vol. 138, no. 11, pp. 1590–1600, 2010.
- [9] L. S. Christensen, K. J. Soerensen, and J. C. Lei, "Restriction fragment pattern (RFP) analysis of genomes from Danish isolates of suid herpesvirus 1 (Aujeszky's disease virus)," *Archives of Virology*, vol. 97, no. 3-4, pp. 215–224, 1987.
- [10] L. S. Christensen and K. J. Soerensen, "Introduction of foreign strains of Suid herpesvirus 1 (Aujeszky's disease virus) documented by restriction fragment pattern (RFP) analysis," *Archives of Virology*, vol. 100, no. 1-2, pp. 109–113, 1988.
- [11] L. S. Christensen, I. Medveczky, B. S. Strandbygaard, and Z. Pejsek, "Characterization of field isolates of suid herpesvirus 1 (Aujeszky's disease virus) as derivatives of attenuated vaccine strains," *Archives of Virology*, vol. 124, no. 3-4, pp. 225–234, 1992.
- [12] T. Nishimori, T. Imada, M. Sakurai, T. Kitabayashi, H. Kawamura, and H. Nakajima, "Restriction endonuclease analysis of Aujeszky's disease viruses isolated in Japan," *The Japanese Journal of Veterinary Science*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 365–367, 1987.
- [13] S. Yamada, T. Nishimori, and M. Shimizu, "Characterization of pseudorabies viruses recently isolated in Japan by restriction endonuclease assay," *The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 541–549, 1992.
- [14] R. M. Piatti, A. A. Ikuno, E. S. Cunha et al., "Characterization of Aujeszky's disease virus isolates from south and southeast Brazil by RFLP analysis," *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 144–146, 2001.
- [15] A. Salwa, "A natural outbreak of Aujeszky's disease in farm animals," *Polish Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 261–266, 2004.
- [16] A. D. Da Silva, V. A. Sortica, A. C. Braga et al., "Antigenic and molecular characterization of eight samples of Aujeszky's disease virus isolated in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, in 2003," *Pesquisa Veterinaria Brasileira*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 21–24, 2005.
- [17] B. G. Klupp, C. J. Hengartner, T. C. Mettenleiter, and L. W. Enquist, "Complete, annotated sequence of the pseudorabies virus genome," *Journal of Virology*, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 424–440, 2004.
- [18] A. Untergasser, H. Nijveen, X. Rao, T. Bisseling, R. Geurts, and J. A. Leunissen, "Primer3Plus, an enhanced web interface to Primer3," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 35, pp. W71–W74, 2007.
- [19] A. A. Fonseca Jr., M. F. Camargos, A. M. de Oliveira et al., "Molecular epidemiology of Brazilian pseudorabies viral isolates," *Veterinary Microbiology*, vol. 141, no. 3-4, pp. 238–245, 2010.
- [20] A. A. Fonseca Jr., M. F. Carmagos, R. M. F. D'Ambros et al., "Diagnóstico e genotipagem do vírus da pseudorabica por nested-PCR e análise de restrição enzimática," *Ciencia Rural*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 921–927, 2010.
- [21] L. De-Giuli, S. Magnino, P. G. Vigo, I. Labalestra, and M. Fabbì, "Development of a polymerase chain reaction and restriction typing assay for the diagnosis of bovine herpesvirus 1, bovine herpesvirus 2, and bovine herpesvirus 4 infections," *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 353–356, 2002.
- [22] E. Herrera-Martínez, R. Ondarza-Aguilera, S. Estrada-Parra, G. G. Pérez, and B. L. Barrón, "Comparison of two methods of PCR followed by enzymatic restriction digestion for detection and typing of herpes simplex viruses isolated from patients with mucocutaneous or cutaneous lesions," *Revista Latinoamericana de Microbiologia*, vol. 47, no. 3-4, pp. 76–81, 2005.
- [23] V. N. Loparev, E. N. Rubtcova, V. Bostik et al., "Identification of five major and two minor genotypes of varicella-zoster virus strains: a practical two-amplicon approach used to genotype clinical isolates in Australia and New Zealand," *Journal of Virology*, vol. 81, no. 23, pp. 12758–12765, 2007.
- [24] P. Sowmya and H. N. Madhavan, "Analysis of mixed infections by multiple genotypes of human cytomegalovirus in immunocompromised patients," *Journal of Medical Virology*, vol. 81, no. 5, pp. 861–869, 2009.