Clinical Study Screening for Prostate Cancer by Digital Rectal Examination and PSA Determination in Senegal

Lamine Niang, Charles N. Kouka, Mohamed Jalloh, and Sérigne M. Gueye

Urology Service, Grand Yoff General Hospital, BP 3270 Dakar, Senegal

Correspondence should be addressed to Lamine Niang, nianglamine72@yahoo.fr

Received 28 April 2011; Accepted 5 June 2011

Academic Editor: A. Celetti

Copyright © 2011 Lamine Niang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Objectives. The goal of our study was to investigate the prevalence of prostate cancer in an unselected population of Senegalese men. Patients and Methods. We conducted the study over two years (2008 and 2009) on an unselected population of 572 Senegalese men, aged 35 and older. The following parameters have been investigated: the subject's age, the presence or absence of urination disorders, the family's history of prostate cancer or prostate surgery, the aspects of the prostate on digital rectal examination (DRE), the total PSA level, and the outcomes of the prostate biopsies. Data entry was performed with Epi Info 6 software and was analyzed and recorded using Excel software. We performed mean and frequency calculations. Results. The mean age of our patients was 65.5 years, with extremes of 38 and 93 years. Age groups from 50 to 59 and from 60 to 69 were the most represented. DRE was normal in the age group from 35 to 39, and only one patient in the age group from 40 to 49 had a prostate nodule. PSA level was greater than or equal to 4 ng/mL in 66 cases. A total of 5.4% patients had a PSA level greater than or equal to 10 ng/mL. Only two patients in the age group from 40 to 49 had a PSA level greater than 4 ng/mL. Of the 72 biopsies we performed, prostatic adenocarcinoma was found in 30.6% of the cases. It is the only type of prostate cancer we found in our series. The cases of prostate cancer were mostly observed in the age groups from 60 to 69 and from 70 to 79. No cases were detected for ages younger than 50. DRE gave indications of possible adenocarcinoma in 27.30% cases. Its sensitivity was 27%, while its positive predictive value was estimated at 35%. Of all positive biopsies, 4.5% had a PSA level between 0 and 3.9 ng/mL. In this case, the sensitivity of PSA was 95.5%, and the positive predictive value was 31.8%. High-grade intraepithelial neoplasiae were observed in 21 cases. Conclusion. Prostate cancer is frequent in Senegal, and screening remains the best way for early diagnosis.

1. Introduction

The early detection and treatment of prostate cancer continues to pose multiple debates. Early detection of certain cancers allows for a higher, more productive treatment program. Detection is based on digital rectal examination (DRE) and the determination of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels. It is recommended to begin screening for detection of prostate cancer at the age of 50 in men with more than 10 years of life expectancy, and at the age of 45 in men with a familial history of prostate cancer [1]. While in developed countries, the mortality rate of prostate cancer has declined significantly thanks to early detection; in Africa, the diagnosis is most often delayed because patients only consult in cases of urinary disorders, resulting in 80% of cases being diagnosed in a metastatic state [2]. The aim of our study was thus to investigate the prevalence of prostate cancer in an unselected population of Senegalese men to propose an early detection system for localized forms.

2. Patients and Methods

We conducted a prospective study, over two years (2008 and 2009), on an unselected Senegalese population of male patients. Our study included 572 patients whose age was greater than or equal to 35. We investigated the following parameters:

- (i) the subject's age,
- (ii) the presence or absence of urination disorders,
- (iii) the family's history of prostate cancer or prostate surgery,



FIGURE 1: Distribution of patients by age.



FIGURE 2: Results of prostate biopsies.

- (iv) the appearance of the prostate on digital rectal examination,
- (v) the total PSA level,
- (vi) the outcome of the prostate's biopsy.

The data entry was performed with Epi Info 6 software. The data was analyzed and recorded using Excel software. We performed both mean and frequency calculations.

3. Results

The mean age of our patients was 65.5 years, with extremes of 38 and 93 years. Age groups from 50 to 59 and from 60 to 69 were the most highly represented. Figure 1 shows the distribution of patients according to age groups.

The various aspects of the prostate on DRE are shown in Table 1. The prostate was benign in 54.9% of the cases. DRE was normal in the age group from 35 to 39, and only one patient in the age group from 40 to 49 had a prostate nodule. A total of 21.5% of participants had a PSA level greater than or equal to 4 ng/mL, which represented 66 cases.



FIGURE 3: Distribution of adenocarcinoma by age.

TABLE 1: Aspect of the prostate on digital rectal examination depending on age.

Normal	Hbp	Indurated	Nodular
3	0	0	0
67	24	0	1
127	111	2	1
35	129	8	0
6	46	1	3
3	4	0	1
241	314	11	6
	Normal 3 67 127 35 6 3 241	Normal Hbp 3 0 67 24 127 111 35 129 6 46 3 4 241 314	Normal Hbp Indurated 3 0 0 67 24 0 127 111 2 35 129 8 6 46 1 3 4 0 241 314 11

In addition, 5.4% of patients had a PSA greater than or equal to 10 ng/mL. Only two patients in the age group of 40 to 49 had a PSA level greater than 4 ng/mL. The distribution of PSA levels as a function of age is reported in Table 2. Of the 72 biopsies we performed, prostatic adenocarcinoma accounted for 30.6% of them. It is the only type of prostate cancer we found in our series. Other histological lesions were associated with prostate cancer (Figure 2). The distribution of histological lesions according to age groups is reported in Table 3. The cases of prostate cancer were mostly observed in the age groups from 60 to 69 and from 70 to 79. No case was detected for men younger than 50 (Figure 3). DRE was suspicious in 27.3% of cases. Its sensitivity was of 27%, while its positive predictive value was estimated as 35%. Four and one-half percent of all positive biopsies had PSA levels between 0 and 3.9 ng/mL. When PSA levels were above 30 ng/mL, the detection rate was 36.36%. The sensitivity of PSA levels was 95.5%, and the positive predictive value was 31.8%. High-grade intraepithelial neoplasiae were associated in 12 cases with adenomyoma injuries or chronic prostatitis lesions. However, they were only isolated in nine cases. These high-grade lesions were observed in 21 cases, of which the age group from 60 to 69 was the most represented.

ISRN Oncology

Age	0–3.9 ng/mL	4–9.9 ng/mL	10–19.9 ng/mL	20–29.9 ng/mL	>30 ng/mL
<39	3	0	0	0	0
40-49	90	1	1	0	0
50-59	228	12	0	0	1
60–69	140	18	7	5	3
70–79	40	3	5	0	7
>80	5	1	2	0	0
Total	506	35	15	5	11

TABLE 2: PSA level distribution depending on age.

TABLE 3: Distribution of histological lesions depending on age.

Age	Adenocarcinoma	Adenomyoma	Adenomyoma + high-grade PIN	Adenomyoma + chronic prostatitis	Low-grade PIN	High-grade PIN	High-grade PIN + chronic prostatitis
<40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40-49	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
50-59	2	5	1	1	0	3	2
60–69	12	9	5	5	2	3	1
70–79	7	2	2	1	0	3	1
>79	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Total	22	19	8	8	2	9	4

4. Comments

In our study population, the prevalence of prostate cancer was 3.8%. This prevalence is almost the same as observed in Korea [3]. In our study, the detection rate of adenocarcinoma on biopsy was 30.5%. Cosimo de Nunzio detected 30% of adenocarcinoma in a series of biopsies with 12 samples systematically collected [4]. Historically, DRE has been used as a method of early detection of prostate cancer. Any abnormal DRE requires the performance of a prostate biopsy, even if PSA levels are normal [5]. The detection rate of prostate cancer based on prostate biopsy when DRE is abnormal and PSA levels are lower than 4 ng/mL is variably reported in the literature, ranging from 3% to 41% [6, 7]. Furthermore, rectal examination is very operator dependent [8, 9]. Thus, digital examination of the prostate by DRE is a poorly reliable method, with a sensitivity lower than 50%. Normal examination does not eliminate the possibility of a cancer. However, when associated with PSA levels, its predictive value is increased, and thus our decision to use it as a screening tool in our study. This is also the case in other studies performed in Europe, Asia, and United States [1, 3]. The impact of isolated prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) lesions on prostate biopsies is not clear and varies from 0.7 to 19%, depending on the population studied (general population versus population with urological pathologies), the degree of severity considered, and the country selected [10, 11]. In the study by Parkinson [12] and Wills et al. [13], 439 sets of sextant biopsies performed with an 18-gauge needle were reviewed, and the diagnosis of high-grade PIN was discovered in 5.5% of these series of biopsies. In the study by Bostwick, the incidence of isolated high-grade PIN was 16.5%

[14]. In our study population, the PIN incidence was 29.1%. According to some authors, prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia lesions constitute precancerous lesions [11–16]. The prevalence of PIN lesions increases with age, and it appears that there is a five-year gap before cancer onset [17, 18].

5. Conclusion

Prostate cancer is diagnosed at advanced stage in our countries; screening must be recommended for early diagnosis and curative treatment.

References

- L. Salomon, D. Azria, C. Bastide et al., "Recommandations en Onco-Urologie 2010: cancer de la prostate," *Progres en Urologie*, vol. 20, supplement 4, pp. S217–S251, 2010.
- [2] S. M. Gueye, M. Jalloh, I. Labou, L. Niang, R. Kane, and M. Ndoye, "Profil clinique du cancer de la prostate au Sénégal," *African Journal of Urology*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 203–207, 2004.
- [3] H. K. Seo, M. K. Chung, S. B. Ryu, and K. H. Lee, "Detection rate of prostate cancer according to prostate-specific antigen and digital rectal examination in Korean men: a nationwide multicenter study," *Urology*, vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 1109–1112, 2007.
- [4] C. De Nunzio, A. Trucchi, R. Miano et al., "The number of cores positive for high grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia on initial biopsy is associated with prostate cancer on second biopsy," *Journal of Urology*, vol. 181, no. 3, pp. 1069–1075, 2009.
- [5] R. T. Greenlee, M. B. Hill-Harmon, T. Murray, and M. Thun, "Cancer Statistics, 2001," *Ca: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 15–36, 2001.

- [6] W. J. Catalona, J. P. Richie, F. R. Ahmann et al., "Comparison of digital rectal examination and serum prostate specific antigen in the early detection of prostate cancer: results of a multicenter clinical trial of 6,630 men," *Journal of Urology*, vol. 151, no. 5, pp. 1283–1290, 1994.
- [7] T. A. Stamey and J. E. McNeal, "Diagnosis of prostate cancer," in *Campbell's Urology*, pp. 1197–1199, TSL Eds, Washington, DC, USA, 6th edition, 2002.
- [8] D. J. Chadwick, T. Kemple, J. P. Astley et al., "Pilot study of screening for prostate cancer in general practice," *The Lancet*, vol. 338, no. 8767, pp. 613–616, 1991.
- [9] O. Gustafsson, U. Norming, L. E. Almgard et al., "Diagnostic methods in the detection of prostate cancer: a study of a randomly selected population of 2,400 men," *Journal of Urology*, vol. 148, no. 6, pp. 1827–1831, 1992.
- [10] J. C. Cheville, M. J. Reznicek, and D. G. Bostwick, "The focus of "atypical glands, suspicious for malignancy" in prostatic needle biopsy specimens: incidence, histologic features, and clinical follow-up of cases diagnosed in a community practice," *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, vol. 108, no. 6, pp. 633–640, 1997.
- [11] R. F. Hoedemaeker, R. Kranse, J. B. W. Rietbergen, A. E. B. Kruger, F. H. Schröder, and T. H. van der Kwast, "Evaluation of prostate needle biopsies in a population-based screening study: the impact of borderline lesions," *Cancer*, vol. 85, no. 1, pp. 145–152, 1999.
- [12] M. C. Parkinson, "Pre-neoplastic lesions of the prostate," *Histopathology*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 301–311, 1995.
- [13] M. L. Wills, U. M. Hamper, A. W. Partin, and J. I. Epstein, "Incidence of high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia in sextant needle biopsy specimens," *Urology*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 367–373, 1997.
- [14] D. G. Bostwick, "Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN): current concepts," *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 50, pp. 10–19, 1992.
- [15] W. Khan, W. Sakr, D. Grignon, C. Vanlue, and J. D. Crissman, "Analysis of 3300 consecutive prostate biopsies: a 4 year institutional experience with the frequency of carcinoma, isolated high grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and "atypical"," *Modern Pathology*, vol. 10, p. 79A, 1997.
- [16] J. E. McNeal and D. G. Bostwick, "Intraductal dysplasia: a premalignant lesion of the prostate," *Human Pathology*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 64–71, 1986.
- [17] W. A. Sakr, D. J. Grignon, J. D. Crissman et al., "High grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) and prostatic adenocarcinoma between the ages of 20–69: an autopsy study of 249 cases," *In Vivo*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 439–444, 1994.
- [18] W. A. Sakr, G. P. Haas, B. F. Cassin, J. E. Pontes, and J. D. Crissman, "The frequency of carcinoma and intraepithelial neoplasia of the prostate in young male patients," *Journal of Urology*, vol. 150, no. 2, pp. 379–385, 1993.



The Scientific **World Journal**



Gastroenterology Research and Practice





Journal of Diabetes Research



Disease Markers



Immunology Research





Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com





BioMed **Research International**



Journal of Ophthalmology

Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine





Behavioural Neurology









Research and Treatment





Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity



Stem Cells International

