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Susceptibility of Dermatophytes to Thiabendazole Using CLSI Broth Macrodilution
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1. Introduction

Thiabendazole (TBZ) is a systemic benzimidazole fungicide used to control fruit and vegetables diseases such as mold, rot, blight, and stain. In livestock, thiabendazole is applied as an antihelminthic (PubChem SID: 24900571). Thiabendazole, 2-(4'-thiazolyl) benzimidazole, was first described in 1961 as a broad spectrum antihelminthic [1]; it has been used as a topical treatment against human skin diseases caused by fungi, such as dermatophytosis [2, 3] and chromomycosis [4, 5], and there is also a case report of keratitis due to Aspergillus flavus successfully treated with TBZ [6]; it has low acute toxicity (category III) and is neither irritating to the eyes or skin nor is a dermal sensitizer [7]. Although TBZ has been tested against dermatophytes before [8, 9], we could not find any reports where TBZ was submitted to susceptibility testing using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute’s protocol M38-A2. The aim of this study was to evaluate the in vitro activity of TBZ in comparison to that of fluconazole (FLC).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Dermatophyte Strains. The dermatophyte strains were obtained from Hospital Universitario at Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León. We used five different species of dermatophytes: Trichophyton mentagrophytes \((n = 10)\), T. rubrum \((n = 10)\), T. tonsurans \((n = 10)\), Epidermophyton floccosum \((n = 5)\), and Microsporum canis \((n = 10)\). The isolates were stored as suspensions in water at room temperature until used in the study.

2.2. Susceptibility Assay. Protocol M38-A2 of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) was employed [10]. Prior to testing, each isolate was subcultured onto
Table 1: MICs of 5 different species of dermatophytes to TBZ and FCZ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain (n)</th>
<th>Microconazole</th>
<th>Fluconazole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIC (µg/mL) of:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 days Range 50% 90%</td>
<td>7 days Range 50% 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. rubrum (10)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1-2</td>
<td>2 2 0.5–16 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. mentagrophytes (10)</td>
<td>0.25–0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5–1</td>
<td>1 1 8–32 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. tonsurans (10)</td>
<td>1 1 1 2</td>
<td>2 2 8–16 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. canis (10)</td>
<td>0.5–1 0.5 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 2–4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. floccosum (5)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 8–16 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results

Table 1 summarizes the in vitro susceptibility of TBZ and FCZ. The MIC ranges of TBZ for each strain were narrower and/or smaller than those of FCZ. With the exception of M. canis, MICs at which 50% (MIC50) and 90% (MIC90) of the isolates were inhibited remained the same in all cases for TBZ while for FCZ the MIC90 for T. rubrum, T. mentagrophytes, and E. floccosum doubled the MIC50. On average, Microsporum canis showed the lowest MIC values for both drugs while Trichophyton tonsurans showed the highest. No particular activity was exerted by TBZ to the isolates tested. According to the Mann-Whitney U test, TBZ showed a significantly greater potency than FCZ (P = 0.05) against all isolates.

4. Discussion

TBZ was first registered as a pesticide in the US in 1969 by Merck and has been used since then to control a variety of vegetable diseases caused by various fungi; hence its antifungal effect is not a novel fact. Nevertheless and although there have been approaches to evaluate its antifungal activity in human mycoses, no tests had been made with a standardized protocol. Second edition of CLSI reference method for antifungal susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi now addresses dermatophyte susceptibility guidelines only for the microdilution method, so we followed some of the previously reported procedures [12, 13] in order to apply the macrodilution methodology for this study. We found that oatmeal agar induces abundant sporulation in T. rubrum, but the colony growth was slowed down (data not shown), thus not having enough biomass from which to obtain conidia and making it necessary to let the cultures grow for more days or culturing more plates. To solve this issue we used a 1:1 mixture of PDA and oatmeal agar in order to maintain the colony growth speed while inducing abundant sporulation. We found that no more than 10 days were enough to obtain the desired inocula with only two plates of T. rubrum.

Susceptibility data resulted from this study shows that although TBZ is not a particularly strong inhibitor of dermatophytes, it displays a stable and constant effect against all isolates tested. This property along with its antifungal large spectrum make it a suitable option for skin mycoses.

5. Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first study that performs an in vitro susceptibility test to TBZ according to the CLSI guidelines. The only other report we acknowledge where TBZ was tested against dermatophytes was that of Battistini et al. published in 1974 and did not follow an in vitro standard guideline. Furthermore, they claimed that the effectiveness of TBZ in a polyethylene glycol vehicle may have “a negligible effect”, but the results of our study show otherwise. While this study does not attempt to promote TBZ as the best alternative in the treatment of dermatophyte infections, we suggest the reevaluation of this molecule for its clinical application.
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